

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Re: Mr. Reviewer & his thread](#)

Meow - July 7, 2017, 5:06 pm

Let's check some dates and actually see what's going on here.

As we all know Omni implemented [the new rule](#) on 4th of May 2017, regarding buddy bumps.

Now we are actually going to see how many people actually broke that rule.

(We are going to do this in the same order as Mr. Reviewer did)

Numero 1) [=== > CHECK OUT HOW THIS 16 YEAR OLD MAKES \\$9000+ PER MONTH WITH LITTLE TO NO WORK!](#)Arkk (MattGates) = 0/20 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)

Billie Joe = 0/14 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Saba = 0/14 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Mateo = 0/7 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Vyrez = 0/6 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
FiftyTwo = 0/6 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
heartthrob = 0/4 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Jumaji94 = 0/4 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Maximum = 0/4 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
TopKekeroni = 0/4 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Bluffer = 0/4 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Act of Valor = 0/3 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
AncientWolf = 0/3 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Applejuices = 0/3 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Mysterium (Henry Ford) = 0/3 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
?OPTIC = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Enterpriser = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Grifty McGrift = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Mr?Root = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Squealed = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)

Numero 2) [\[BIG DISCOUNT\] ~ ~ ~ > LEARN TO MAKE \\$250/DAY \(\\$7,500/MONTH\) AS A 16 YEAR OLD < ~~~](#)Vyrez. = 0/15 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)

Arnold Swarzenegger = 0/8 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
H3God = 0/7 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
.Sinister = 0/7 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
LilDurk = 0/6 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
StuffSneak = 0/6 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Incapability = 0/6 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Arkk (MattGates) = 0/5 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Nysta = 0/5 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)

Kanami = 0/4 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Billie Joe = 0/4 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
?OPTIC = 0/4 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Squealed = 0/4 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Wrist = 0/3 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Zeffa = 0/3 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
V for Vendetta = 0/3 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Young Thug = 0/3 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Orgy = 0/3 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
EasyPeasy = 0/3 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
FiftyTwo = 0/3 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Hanzo = 0/3 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Alprazolam = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Ariana = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Juicy- = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Bluffer = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Brahn = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Chargen = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Craze Products = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
ParadiseDealz = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Dr. Plague = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Sikes = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
The Ebook Giant = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Yung Metro = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Zombie™ = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
PlayboyJay = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
huuuuuu = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
ß|?cksí-í?d?w™ = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)

Numero 3) (\$29.95)=>>[[CUSTOMER PROOF! \] MAKE \\$500/DAY WITH THE BEST METHOD \(NEVER BEFORE SEEN\)](#) Yung Metro = 0/7 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)

Henry Ford = 0/7 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Vyrez. = 0/6 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Enterpriser = 0/6 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Incapability = 0/4 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
H3God = 0/4 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Tick = 0/3 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Act of Valor = 0/3 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Brahn = 0/3 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Parks = 0/3 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Sickness. = 0/3 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
\$crim = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Ariana = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Arnold Swarzenegger = 0/3 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Billie Joe = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Bromden = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Juicy- = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)

LilDurk = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Mazl = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Milkbone = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Promient = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
scorpi0n = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Squealed = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
StuffSneak = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
The Ebook Giant = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)

Numero 4) [DAILY INCOME - UP TO \\$500/DAY - 100% LEGAL & AUTOPILOT- PROOF OF PAYMENT](#) Arkk (MattGates) = 0/4 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)

Mazl = 0/4 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Sickness. = 0/3 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Parks = 0/3 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Sikes = 0/3 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Enterpriser = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Academy Awards = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)
Incapability = 0/2 (Every single [screenshot](#) Mr. Reviewer provided was before 4th of May 2017)

Numero 5) [BREATH-TAKING MONEY MAKING METHODS >> REVEALED!! <<\[50% Discount\]](#)

Unfortunately I can't go in-depht for this thread due to characters limit. I can make in-depth "review" of this ebook too but I think it's obvious what's going on.

Note: I have checked every screenshot Mr. Reviewer posted, there is always a possibility I typed and/or read something wrong, please let me know if you find anything that like that.

H3God 0/31

Enterpriser 0/9

Ariana 0/9

Act of Valor 0/8

TheXan 0/8

Billie Joe 0/6

V for Vendetta. 0/5

Nysta 0/5

Cara Delevingne 0/5

Elitas 0/5

StuffSneak 0/5

Saba 0/5

Crowley 0/4

I am gone 0/4

7even 0/4

Travis Bickle 0/4

Grievous 0/4

TopKekeroni 0/4

Tando 0/4

Sickness. 0/4

Jinx 0/4

Kevin Runner 0/4

Mazl 0/3

Heart of Kings 0/3

Alprazolam 0/3
Dramario 0/3
Mystikal 0/3
24k 0/3
Young Thug 0/3
Yung Metro 0/3
AXiS 0/3
12Chainz 0/2
MattGates aka Arkk 0/2
Attenborough 0/2
Beast 0/2
BRAHN 0/2
DontQQSoMuch 0/2
EasyPeasy 0/2
Enbee 0/2
Evolved 0/2
Fade 0/2
FiftyTwo 0/2
Heartthrob 0/2
Incapability 0/2
Kanami 0/2
Moeseph 0/2
+Nebula 0/2
Nique Ta Mere 0/2
Omoi 0/2
Paramiko 0/2
Sift 0/2
Sikes 0/2
Squealed 0/2
Suspended 0/2
Thezdo 0/2
Transcendencies 0/2
'Vanilla 0/2
Vape Lord N-o-r-d 0/2
Vizi 0/2
Wakenbake 0/2
William 0/2
Zeffa 0/2
Zombie™ 0/2

In conclusion ([in regards to this](#)) Alright, what if they have similar products? Please, unless you have purchased every book in ebook bazaar you can't really talk about similar methods/products/whatever (1)

Please provide me some proof where 10 members have "similar" avatars or whatever you are claiming. (2)

Some people are here only for money, obviously, what if they are only posting in marketplace & selling threads. (3)

Same advertising & convincing patterns? I have no idea what do you mean by that but alright, maybe you're right. (4)

Vouch network hasn't been "active" ever since Omni implemented new rule. (5)

Yeah, Revolution is vouch network. I will say this one more time, I will personally buy you a membership in to Revolution so you can see what our vouch network looks like (6)

Lucifer's Inferno - July 7, 2017, 5:08 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 05:07 PM)Chief. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) First post I got my popcorn Mines in the microwave. I need salted and sweet for this :D

Prophett - July 7, 2017, 5:08 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 05:07 PM)Chief. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) First post I got my popcorn

[warn]

Jimmie - July 7, 2017, 5:08 pm

I'm not a fan of this guy also he just uses this reviewer shit to get free eBooks I asked him to review my free one and he denied it instantly.

He also told me he doesn't actually test these methods and just plays guess games.

Leroy Jethro Gibbs - July 7, 2017, 5:09 pm

I was under the impression that rule was already added. It was just so greatly ignored Omni went ahead and made a sticky about it and threaten'd to close accounts.

Prophett - July 7, 2017, 5:10 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 05:08 PM)jimmie Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) He also told me he doesn't actually test these methods and just plays guess games.
Proof of him saying that?

grady - July 7, 2017, 5:10 pm

So basically what you're saying is that you BROKE THE RULE IN CONCEPT, but it doesn't count since you did it before it was in place.

So basically you're validating that every single vouch there is meaningless?
hwat bobbeh

EDIT: actually read the other guy's thread for context
nvm
still doesn't help tho

sp?c?m?n - July 7, 2017, 5:10 pm

Well Imma just grab my popcorn for this one

Who Soup - July 7, 2017, 5:11 pm

This has to be the most boring HF drama I've seen in a while.

next.

10x - July 7, 2017, 5:11 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 05:08 PM)jimmie Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm not a fan of this guy also he just uses this reviewer shit to get free eBooks I asked him to review my free one and he denied it instantly.

He also told me he doesn't actually test these methods and just plays guess games.
I don't like it either, I think he is motivated to do it just to get free books.

I remember one of the trusted red lion member was talking about him trying this and he was kept getting denied so why should some guy with l33t and nowhere near his reputation review e-books ?

James Burnham - July 7, 2017, 5:12 pm

Imo, whiteknighting is a suspicious activity. Remember Silicon Valley and his HF Alert userscript?

Leroy Jethro Gibbs - July 7, 2017, 5:12 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 05:10 PM)?Infamy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So basically what you're saying is that you BROKE THE RULE IN CONCEPT, but it doesn't count since you did it before it was in place.

So basically you're validating that every single vouch there is meaningless?

hwat bobbah

Thats pretty much whats going now right now. They think because the vouches were made prior to the rule, that it doesn't count. It still violates the rule. They posts need to be removed. I assume the only way to do that is reporting the posts.

Edit: Went back to the thread Omni put. He said only report new ones. So not sure if he meant ever or just then.

God - July 7, 2017, 5:13 pm

and here goes another set of drama.

grady - July 7, 2017, 5:14 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 05:12 PM)Leroy Jethro Gibbs Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thats pretty much whats going now right now. They think because the vouches were made prior to the rule, that it doesn't count. It still violates the rule. They posts need to be removed. I assume the only way to do that is reporting the posts.

No, no, no

I got that entirely wrong.

It's not really breaking the rule, since that rule was put into place to stop threads being bumped over & over. What I thought was that the reviewer buckaroo was saying the eBooks r bad because they broke the rule beforehand, and OP is like "no we broke the rule BEFORE it mattered!"

Riyu - July 7, 2017, 5:14 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 05:12 PM)Leroy Jethro Gibbs Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thats pretty much whats going now right now. They think because the vouches were made prior to the rule, that it doesn't count. It still violates the rule. They posts need to be removed. I assume the only way to do that is reporting the posts.

Removing over 1,000 posts isn't good for the site. Might as well just keep the multi-vouches.

Eagerkid - July 7, 2017, 5:14 pm

So many shit posts " like me grab some popcorn because I am a fag "

Wires - July 7, 2017, 5:14 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 05:08 PM)jimmie Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm not a fan of this guy also he just uses this reviewer shit to get free eBooks I asked him to review my free one and he denied it instantly.

He also told me he doesn't actually test these methods and just plays guess games.

The whole point of Mr Reviewer reviewing paid eBooks is so people don't waste their money and basically buy an eBook that doesn't work or holds little to no value. He's not going to do a free eBook because people don't have to worry about wasting their money on it. Nonetheless, I do free eBooks sometimes.

Rikz - July 7, 2017, 5:15 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 05:11 PM)Who Soup Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This has to be the most boring HF drama I've seen

in a while.

next.

Real talk. Lol this shit is boring af.

Basically that reviewer guys ss are before the rule of whatever you guys are on about.

But at the same time the vouches are fake after all lol but mr reviewer guy is just begging it rn.

All boring IMO

Leroy Jethro Gibbs - July 7, 2017, 5:16 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 05:14 PM)Riyu Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Removing over 1,000 posts isn't good for the site. Might as well just keep the multi-vouches.

I'm sure more than 1,000 posts are removed each month. I don't see it hurting anything imo.

Jimmie - July 7, 2017, 5:16 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 05:14 PM)Wires Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The whole point of Mr Reviewer reviewing paid eBooks is so people don't waste their money and basically buy an eBook that doesn't work or holds little to no value. He's not going to do a free eBook because people don't have to worry about wasting their money on it. Nonetheless, I do free eBooks sometimes.

My point is he should at least test the methods before he does a review a reviewer group on hf wouldn't be a bad idea really if the right person was doing them.

W?lr?? - July 7, 2017, 5:17 pm

The secret intention of "Mr Reviewer" is to get free items. Prove it to me if I'm wrong.

Hackforums is a greedy community and it's something that you learn over time. And everyone wants to be the person who has access to tons of ebooks for free, while in return posting a vouch. There is a reason why reviewers have never existed. It's simply because jealousy is way too strong. Everyone wants to see things that are hidden, and no person should ever be able to view all of the content at once.

Description - July 7, 2017, 5:20 pm

You're such a fuckin fag for posting this holy fuck

[warn]

Vamps - July 7, 2017, 5:20 pm

I think the purpose of his thread was to make members aware of their sales threads' fake vouches and to expose the credibility of their products.

Chief - July 7, 2017, 5:22 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 05:20 PM)Description Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You're such a fuckin fag for posting this holy fuck
How is his different from Mr. Reviewer's thread?

Leroy Jethro Gibbs - July 7, 2017, 5:24 pm

How to properly review ebooks.

Wires - July 7, 2017, 5:24 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 05:16 PM)jimmie Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) My point is he should at least test the methods before he does a review a reviewer group on hf wouldn't be a bad idea really if the right person was doing them.

That most certainly didn't sound like the point you were making initially. It sounded like you think

he's just trying to get free eBooks and were salty because he didn't want to review your free eBook. Nonetheless, I'd like to see where he said he doesn't actually test the methods. Additionally, some things actually don't need testing. For example, an investment eBook which uses an online platform may not need to be tested fully due to its nature of the eBook.

(Jul 7, 2017 05:17 PM)W?lr?? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The secret intention of "Mr Reviewer" is to get free items. Prove it to me if I'm wrong.

No one needs to prove anything to you. Since when was it guilty until proven innocent? I personally don't 100% know what his intentions are but you're acting like no one can be sincerely trying to clean up the marketplace. Of course getting free eBooks may be a perk of the job, but if you can see further past that you'll actually see he's had a positive impact on the marketplace.

Jimmie - July 7, 2017, 5:27 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 05:24 PM)Wires Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That most certainly didn't sound like the point you were making initially. It sounded like you think he's just trying to get free eBooks and were salty because he didn't want to review your free eBook. Nonetheless, I'd like to see where he said he doesn't actually test the methods. Additionally, some things actually don't need testing. For example, an investment eBook which uses an online platform may not need to be tested fully due to its nature of the eBook.

No one needs to prove anything to you. Since when was it guilty until proven innocent? I personally don't 100% know what his intentions are but you're acting like no one can be sincerely trying to clean up the marketplace. Of course getting free eBooks may be a perk of the job, but if you can see further past that you'll actually see he's had a positive impact on the marketplace. Why would I be salty because he didn't review a free eBook? I asked him to review it because I thought he was doing a decent service until I actually spoke with him.

Khamul - July 7, 2017, 5:28 pm

Wait so, just to get this straight, you're saying none of you guys have broken any rules but you're still a bunch of lying bastards?

Strong defence...

reBELLYus - July 7, 2017, 5:31 pm

I have called him out on this. It was ignored and I think my post was removed.

W?lr?? - July 7, 2017, 5:34 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 05:24 PM)Wires Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That most certainly didn't sound like the point you were making initially. It sounded like you think he's just trying to get free eBooks and were salty because he didn't want to review your free eBook. Nonetheless, I'd like to see where he said he doesn't actually test the methods. Additionally, some things actually don't need testing. For example, an investment eBook which uses an online platform may not need to be tested fully due to its nature of the eBook.

No one needs to prove anything to you. Since when was it guilty until proven innocent? I personally don't 100% know what his intentions are but you're acting like no one can be sincerely trying to clean up the marketplace. Of course getting free eBooks may be a perk of the job, but if you can see further past that you'll actually see he's had a positive impact on the marketplace.

The only way it would work in the marketplace is if Hackforums forced users ebook to get approved, which has been debated in the past and would never be changed. Bad ebook sellers will never give their ebook to "Mr Reviewer" because they don't want an honest review. And unfortunately it is not

practical to buy every ebook in the marketplace at this point in time. I updated my original post with more information so please be sure to read that because I explain more on what I mean.

Has he made an impact?.. Maybe. Honestly, he's just impacted the marketplace by having an excuse to ask for vouch copies on a mass scale.

Meow - July 7, 2017, 5:38 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 05:28 PM)NAZGUL Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Wait so, just to get this straight, you're saying none of you guys have broken any rules but you're still a bunch of lying bastards?

Strong defence...

I was never involved in any of those vouch groups or whatever you want to call them, I sold 2 ebooks in my 5 year hf "career", both of them were sold for \$1 if I remember correctly.

Rikz - July 7, 2017, 5:42 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 05:24 PM)Leroy Jethro Gibbs Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How to properly review ebooks.

Vouch for this method. HQ and works.

Mac - July 7, 2017, 5:43 pm

Mr. Reviewers thread brought light on sellers who get the same members to vouch constantly for one another. It doesn't matter that the rule came into effort after, they are still scummy for behaving in that manner.

Cylow - July 7, 2017, 5:43 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 05:42 PM)Rikz Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Vouch for this method. HQ and works.
I have reported you for multiple vouching and buddy bumping.

Happeh - July 7, 2017, 5:45 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 05:43 PM)Package Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Mr. Reviewers thread brought light on sellers who get the same members to vouch constantly for one another. It doesn't matter that the rule came into effort after, they are still scummy for behaving in that manner.

Think I'm about to actually agree with Package.

I feel fucking gross.

Rikz - July 7, 2017, 5:47 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 05:43 PM)Cylow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I have reported you for multiple vouching and buddy bumping.

wait no!

Umm

This is not the Rikz you are looking for.. ?

J. McCarthy - July 7, 2017, 5:48 pm

Posting a few times isn't the problem.

Posting 20-40 times with retarded shit posts is the problem.

Copywriter - July 7, 2017, 5:50 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 05:48 PM)Formula1 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Posting a few times isn't the problem.

Posting 20-40 times with retarded shit posts is the problem.

Exactly, even before the rule. Stupid then, still fucking stupid now.

B?ker - July 7, 2017, 5:52 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 05:50 PM)Copywriter Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Exactly, even before the rule. Stupid then, still fucking stupid now.

Agreed - I think what Meow is trying to say they don't possibly deserve the penalty of buddy bump as clearly they haven't done it since the room was implement.

Are they scummy for it? Yes, do they deserve to be closed, no they do shitting tactics to make sales but hey life is a bitch people should look more in-depth before making a purchase.

Greg???????????????? - July 7, 2017, 6:00 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 05:28 PM)NAZGUL Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Wait so, just to get this straight, you're saying none of you guys have broken any rules but you're still a bunch of lying bastards?

Strong defence...

A ton of people got their posting privileges suspended and there were people who got banned for doing it. If i recall correctly i got post banned 2 diff times and one time i got a 90% warning. We already paid our dues on what we did which was that we got banned or post banned. The point of Mr. Reviewer making the thread is that he wants us to get banned again for something we already basically served time for. That's like asking someone who spent 5 years in a jail to serve his/her time to go back to jail again for the same crime they already served. That and also seems like he also loves attention. Most people (not all) are just like little sheep who always follow the pack. Someone will say "Oh H3god's ebooks are trash" or say "So and so's product is bad" when they've never bought a product from them. Compared to my sales there is only a small % of people who don't like them but there will never be a product in existence that everyone likes. All it takes is one person who doesn't like you/is jealous of you/etc.. to start a lie and it will spread and the idiots will follow the lie. Don't be an idiot and make your own decisions. Don't let other people influence your decisions.

Meow - July 7, 2017, 6:00 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 05:52 PM)?MrDark Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Agreed - I think what Meow is trying to say they don't possibly deserve the penalty of buddy bump as clearly they haven't done it since the room was implement.

Pretty much this, I AGREE buddy bumps and all that shit is stupid but why all of a sudden expose all of them when literally half of them are closed/banned.

That thread was completely unnecessary (and so is this one), you have no legit reason to write down all of their names & UIDs because of something they did a year ago.

'Axion - July 7, 2017, 6:13 pm

What's the point of this thread though? Omniscient replied to the other thread saying "he'll take care of it" and that the thread is "awesome" and I'm pretty sure he knows about the policy change since he changed it (common sense).

This thread is unnecessary drama, I suggest you close this thread before Omniscient does.

Greg???????????????? - July 7, 2017, 6:14 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 06:13 PM)Axion Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I suggest you close this thread before Omniscient does. Trying to back up your buddy Mr. Reviewer? Imao.

Meow - July 7, 2017, 6:15 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 06:13 PM)Axion Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What's the point of this thread though? Omniscient replied to the other thread saying "he'll take care of it" and that the thread is "awesome" and I'm pretty sure he knows about the policy change since he changed it (common sense).

This thread is unnecessary drama, I suggest you close this thread before Omniscient does.Yeah..

Influence member, I expected this kind of reply.
What was the point of Reviewers thread?

'Axion - July 7, 2017, 6:16 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 06:14 PM)H3God Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Trying to back up your buddy Mr. Reviewer? Imao.
What does me being in the same group have to do? Also, you do realize he will close this, right?
Probably even warn a few members for being dum-dums.

@Meow Not sure if you noticed but two other members replied too.

J. McCarthy - July 7, 2017, 6:17 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 06:16 PM)Axion Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What does me being in the same group have to do? Also, you do realize he will close this, right? Probably even warn a few members for being dum-dums.
He didn't even bring the group thing up..

Rikz - July 7, 2017, 6:18 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 06:00 PM)H3God Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) A ton of people got their posting privileges suspended and there were people who got banned for doing it. If i recall correctly i got post banned 2 diff times and one time i got a 90% warning. We already paid our dues on what we did which was that we got banned or post banned. The point of Mr. Reviewer making the thread is that he wants us to get banned again for something we already basically served time for. That's like asking someone who spent 5 years in a jail to serve his/her time to go back to jail again for the same crime they already served. That and also seems like he also loves attention. Most people (not all) are just like little sheep who always follow the pack. Someone will say "Oh H3god's ebooks are trash" or say "So and so's product is bad" when they've never bought a product from them. Compared to my sales there is only a small % of people who don't like them but there will never be a product in existence that everyone likes. All it takes is one person who doesn't like you/is jealous of you/etc.. to start a lie and it will spread and the idiots will follow the lie. Don't be an idiot and make your own decisions. Don't let other people influence your decisions.

This is making a lot of sense...

What they did was wrong but they got punished for it already, APPARENTLY?

So why bring up the past?

Varane - July 7, 2017, 6:18 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 06:18 PM)Rikz Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is making a lot of sense...
What they did was wrong but they got punished for it already, APPARENTLY?

So why bring up the past?Who doesn't love some attention, am I right?
Anyways, Omni is deciding so there is no point of adding anymore to this drama.

'Axion - July 7, 2017, 6:23 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 06:18 PM)Vyrez. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Who doesn't love some attention, am I right?
Anyways, Omni is deciding so there is no point of adding anymore to this drama.
Exactly, he sure as hell does not care what you think about this policy, it's his choice wheter he will close the users or not.

(Jul 7, 2017 06:17 PM)Formula1 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) He didn't even bring the group thing up..
What else could he have meant, he would have no reason for him to think that.

/close

Meow - July 7, 2017, 6:59 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 06:18 PM)Rikz Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So why bring up the past?I would kindly like to ask Mr. Reviewer if he could answer this question.

Mr. Reviewer - July 8, 2017, 5:20 am

(Jul 7, 2017 06:59 PM)Meow? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I would kindly like to ask Mr. Reviewer if he could answer this question.

There is not much to say. This thread is filled with hate and lies towards me. I will open a topic in suggestion section to provide more insight on WHY did I made that topic and also SUGGEST some projects me and my team have in mind. In the end, it's for the better good of the Marketplace.

Did I know about your network being suspended before? No.

Did I know it's allowed to rebrand same product by more sellers? No. Even though some people say this is NOT allowed, I will discuss more with Eagle and Omni or Mini about this subject and provide the necessary proofs.

Xeenon - July 8, 2017, 5:29 am

(Jul 8, 2017 05:20 AM)Mr. Reviewer Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There is not much to say. This thread is filled with hate and lies towards me. I will open a topic in suggestion section to provide more insight on WHY did I made that topic and also SUGGEST some projects me and my team have in mind. In the end, it's for the better good of the Marketplace.

Did I know about your network being suspended before? No.

Did I know it's allowed to rebrand same product by more sellers? No. Even though some people say this is NOT allowed, I will discuss more with Eagle and Omni or Mini about this subject and provide the necessary proofs.The thing is - the network hasn't been suspended, nor was it when the buddy bumping rule was added. I like you, Mr. Reviewer - keep fighting the bad.

Amount of members in the network: <https://i.imgur.com/097WZbF.png>

Code UnknownUser - Yesterday at x:xx PM

He's not in there anymore

he left after he got his businessman award removed bc someone snitched

-

UnknownUser - Yesterday at x:xx PM

nah V for Vendetta used to bump all of our threads and one day he just decided to expose the skype group

-

UnknownUser - Yesterday at x:xx PM

and got H3God on Omni radar and got his award removed and threatened to close his account week later he made that vouching rule

W?lr?? - July 8, 2017, 6:21 am

(Jul 7, 2017 06:00 PM)H3God Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) A ton of people got their posting privileges suspended and there were people who got banned for doing it. If i recall correctly i got post banned 2 diff times and one time i got a 90% warning. We already paid our dues on what we did which was that we got banned or post banned. The point of Mr. Reviewer making the thread is that he wants us to get banned again for something we already basically served time for. That's like asking someone who spent 5 years in a jail to serve his/her time to go back to jail again for the same crime they already served. That and also seems like he also loves attention. Most people (not all) are just like little sheep who always follow the pack. Someone will say "Oh H3god's ebooks are trash" or say "So and

so's product is bad" when they've never bought a product from them. Compared to my sales there is only a small % of people who don't like them but there will never be a product in existence that everyone likes. All it takes is one person who doesn't like you/is jealous of you/etc.. to start a lie and it will spread and the idiots will follow the lie. Don't be an idiot and make your own decisions. Don't let other people influence your decisions.

(Jul 7, 2017 06:19 PM)Formula1 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Ahh

Ten

Shun

Just my thoughts. If you hate the marketplace so bad don't use it

Big ebook sellers deserve more than just being given a few warnings. You are essentially scamming people who made their money from alternative sources to fund something which will not provide them with value over the price that they bought at unless they worked incredibly hard or got extremely lucky. That is what drastically brought down the quality of Hackforums.

sonex - July 8, 2017, 6:40 am

(Jul 8, 2017 06:21 AM)W?lr?? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Big ebook sellers deserve more than just being given a few warnings. You are essentially scamming people who made their money from alternative sources to fund something which will not provide them with value over the price that they bought at unless they worked incredibly hard or got extremely lucky. That is what drastically brought down the quality of Hackforums.

Are you sure about that?

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5385324>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5633191>

Seems to me you're exaggerating more than anyone around here, first its 500\$/day, then its 300\$/day. I'd keep my mouth shut if I was you. Thx.

Otis???????????????? - July 8, 2017, 7:22 am

(Jul 8, 2017 06:40 AM)sonex Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Are you sure about that?

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5385324>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5633191>

Seems to me you're exaggerating more than anyone around here, first its 500\$/day, then its 300\$/day. I'd keep my mouth shut if I was you. Thx.

He is just a fucking hypocrite lol

Denzarou - July 8, 2017, 7:23 am

Quote:Yeah, Revolution is vouch network. I will say this one more time, I will personally buy you a membership in to Revolution so you can see what our vouch network looks like (6)

Buy me in baby.

Riyu - July 8, 2017, 7:24 am

(Jul 8, 2017 07:23 AM)Renevence Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Buy me in baby.

write Riyu on your forehead

i pay

Meow - July 8, 2017, 8:34 am

(Jul 8, 2017 06:40 AM)sonex Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Are you sure about that?

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5385324>
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5633191>

Seems to me you're exaggerating more than anyone around here, first its 500\$/day, then its 300\$/day. I'd keep my mouth shut if I was you. Thx.

Most of them are talking shit and memeing about ebooks but they sell them too. And obviously, most of them are \$10,000/second.

Varane - July 8, 2017, 8:37 am

(Jul 8, 2017 05:29 AM)Lookin Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) -snipStop being a fucking retard, it is just a Skype group chat where we talk about porn. Once Omni made his thread, we kicked 60+ members who decided to continue. The member [Minimalist](#) has been in the chat for roughly 6-7 months, and you can ask him what our conversations have been about for the last 3-4 months.

ejshadow - July 8, 2017, 8:42 am

Truth is, 99% of the ebooks in the marketplace are all shit and a "fun way to steal money" imho. I've never bought any of them, but there are plenty of them (those included here also) leaked all over the web and all are pure trash.

And yeah, Omni himself confirmed that there's this vouch trading between members so just stop trying to justify what you/they (I don't know if the OP is included in that list).

I really hope Omni bans them from Marketplace, they've "stolen" alot so far.

Cammy - July 8, 2017, 8:47 am

Just when I thought it couldn't get any better

I'm so glad i Bought my new tv today so i can enjoy drama in full hd

Meow - July 8, 2017, 2:09 pm

(Jul 8, 2017 08:42 AM)ejshadow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Truth is, 99% of the ebooks in the marketplace are all shit and a "fun way to steal money" imho.

I've never bought any of them, but there are plenty of them (those included here also) leaked all over the web and all are pure trash.

And yeah, Omni himself confirmed that there's this vouch trading between members so just stop trying to justify what you/they (I don't know if the OP is included in that list).

I really hope Omni bans them from Marketplace, they've "stolen" alot so far.

I'm not justifying them, I couldn't give less fucks if every ebook seller gets banned. I'm not included in that list and even if I was that wouldn't be a reason for me to make a thread like this.

They should be banned, 99% of people already know ebooks are shit, I don't know who even buys that crap.

As H3God said, most of them already got "punished" (posting privileges suspended, bans, repkills, etc.)

Whatever you say for my thread can be said the same for Reviewers thread, that rules was implemented just after Reviewer joined HF, who the fuck does he think he is just going around and exposing members who did something in the past before Omni implemented that rule.

mvmt - July 8, 2017, 2:50 pm

I've never seen so many people get worked up over a forum, this is pathetic.

Ambien - July 8, 2017, 8:28 pm

(Jul 7, 2017 06:13 PM)Axion Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What's the point of this thread though? Omniscient replied to the other thread saying "he'll take care of it" and that the thread is "awesome" and I'm pretty sure he knows about the policy change since he changed it (common sense).

This thread is unnecessary drama, I suggest you close this thread before Omniscient does. Mind linking it? I wanna see it.

Riyu - July 8, 2017, 8:30 pm

(Jul 8, 2017 08:28 PM)Ambien Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Mind linking it? I wanna see it.

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5664016&pid=55288129#pid55288129>

Ambien - July 8, 2017, 8:34 pm

(Jul 8, 2017 08:30 PM)Riyu Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5664016&pid=55288129#pid55288129>

Thanks my good man, I'll check it out.

Gary - July 8, 2017, 8:38 pm

This is what I was questioning.

All of these vouches/bumps were made before the rule was implemented.

If you wanna "expose" people for that before the rule was implemented then go through all marketplace threads ever posted.

Meow - July 9, 2017, 4:03 pm

(Jul 8, 2017 08:38 PM)Gary. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is what I was questioning.

All of these vouches/bumps were made before the rule was implemented.

If you wanna "expose" people for that before the rule was implemented then go through all marketplace threads ever posted.

Reviewer (and his gang) are saying that those people still need to be exposed, they "ruined" the community by doing that.

Even tho H3God and most other major ebook sellers got what they deserved, either posting priviledges suspended, repkill, etc.

Gary - July 9, 2017, 5:06 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 04:05 PM)?MrDark Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The funniest part is what he sells is just as trash, he sells public websites for \$24.99. ?

No one is forcing you to buy the trash is the point here.

Wings of Redemption - July 9, 2017, 5:10 pm

Regardless, it is a huge problem on the forum. people just post replies and fucking add another page to their thread by saying they are only selling a few copies, but after a month they still have the last copy for sale lol

Gary - July 9, 2017, 5:25 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 05:10 PM)The Rival Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Regardless, it is a huge problem on the forum. people just post replies and fucking add another page to their thread by saying they are only selling a few copies, but after a month they still have the last copy for sale lol

That's true, but even as a normal member you should be doing research, reading replies on the thread.

B?ker - July 9, 2017, 5:26 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 05:06 PM)Gary. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No one is forcing you to buy the trash is the point here. I understand that, but he is so all about the HQ stuff yet his shit is just as bad if not worse than

Vyrez.

Meow - July 9, 2017, 5:26 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 05:10 PM)The Rival Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Regardless, it is a huge problem on the forum. people just post replies and fucking add another page to their thread by saying they are only selling a few copies, but after a month they still have the last copy for sale lol

I'm against ebooks and all that but after Omni implemented that rule regarding buddy bumping everyone stopped doing it. Literally everyone. My main question is, why would Mr. Reviewer bring that stuff back, especially since he wasn't even on HF when that shit was happening.

Gary - July 9, 2017, 5:28 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 05:26 PM)?MrDark Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I understand that, but he is so all about the HQ stuff yet his shit is just as bad if not worse than Vyrez.

Cause a lot of these people bought resale rights to the eBook they're selling.

When I was selling an eBook which I hated to do I didn't offer support. I made it clear. I also made it clear that I bought resale rights. That thread is now gone.

Maybe force resellers to disclose on the thread at the top they're resellers after buying resale rights.

(Jul 9, 2017 05:26 PM)Meow? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm against ebooks and all that but after Omni implemented that rule regarding buddy bumping everyone stopped doing it. Literally everyone. My main question is, why would Mr. Reviewer bring that stuff back, especially since he wasn't even on HF when that shit was happening.

He's an admitted multi that's allowed on the site cause of his "teams review"

Riyu - July 9, 2017, 5:32 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 05:26 PM)Meow? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm against ebooks and all that but after Omni implemented that rule regarding buddy bumping everyone stopped doing it. Literally everyone. My main question is, why would Mr. Reviewer bring that stuff back, especially since he wasn't even on HF when that shit was happening.

I don't mind the reviews but the vouching thing is retarded lol. It's so old.

Chief - July 9, 2017, 5:34 pm

Yeah this vouching shit stopped 4 months ago. Reviewer trying to make his name big before a big exit scam

FamousHater - July 9, 2017, 5:38 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 05:28 PM)Gary. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Cause a lot of these people bought resale rights to the eBook they're selling.

When I was selling an eBook which I hated to do I didn't offer support. I made it clear. I also made it clear that I bought resale rights. That thread is now gone.

Maybe force resellers to disclose on the thread at the top they're resellers after buying resale rights.

He's an admitted multi that's allowed on the site cause of his "teams review"i agree with that part. he fucked up and should of just kept it to himself reviewing ebooks. as no one had an issue when he was just doing the reviews. when he started involving other members then it has become kinda a joke

Gary - July 9, 2017, 5:40 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 05:38 PM)FamousHater Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) i agree with that part. he fucked up and should of just kept it to himself reviewing ebooks. as no one had an issue when he was just doing the reviews. when he started involving other members then it has become kinda a joke

Attention gets to some people. It changed "him".

Meow - July 9, 2017, 5:46 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 05:38 PM)FamousHater Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) i agree with that part. he fucked up and should of just kept it to himself reviewing ebooks. as no one had an issue when he was just doing the reviews. when he started involving other members then it has become kinda a joke

I, personally, have nothing against Mr. Reviewer and his gang. But it bothers me when dude is "exposing" ebook sellers when he wasn't even on HF when majority of that stuff was happening. He is talking about those vouch4vouch skype chats like he was in one of them.

Maybe he was, who knows but he should be happy he isn't closed because of his 'other' account.

I do 100% support his reviews and I think HF needed that kind of service for a long time now but this exposing shit is completely unnecessary.

God - July 9, 2017, 5:50 pm

who names themselves Mr. Reviewer when they first register lol

Gary - July 9, 2017, 5:52 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 05:50 PM)smye Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) who names themselves Mr. Reviwer when they first register lol

Someone who has been on this site before and has admitted to it.

Happeh - July 9, 2017, 5:52 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 05:28 PM)Gary. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) He's an admitted multi that's allowed on the site cause of his "teams review"

Please don't drop me or the team in this. This is solely Mr. Reviewer. Me, Wires and Space just wanted to help out with reviews. Think I can speak for the team when we neither support nor deny the claims.

B?ker - July 9, 2017, 5:52 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 05:52 PM)Happeh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Please don't drop me or the team in this. This is solely Mr. Reviewer. Me, Wires and Space just wanted to help out with reviews. Think I can speak for the team when we neither support nor deny the claims.

No offense but this shit is reflecting your team and the group.

Meow - July 9, 2017, 5:54 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 05:52 PM)Happeh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Please don't drop me or the team in this. This is solely Mr. Reviewer. Me, Wires and Space just wanted to help out with reviews. Think I can speak for the team when we neither support nor deny the claims.

Yeah, let's not drag Happeh or other review guys into this.

You guys literally didn't do anything wrong in this whole "drama" or however the fuck you want to call it.

Happeh - July 9, 2017, 5:55 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 05:52 PM)?MrDark Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No offense but this shit is reflecting your team and the group.

Which sucks if an individual goes out his way to do something, but is also why I'm trying to stop those claims. All I want is to help out a little, I/We have nothing to do with this.

Gary - July 9, 2017, 5:55 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 05:52 PM)Happeh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Please don't drop me or the team in this. This is solely Mr. Reviewer. Me, Wires and Space just wanted to help out with reviews. Think I can speak for the team when we neither support nor deny the claims.

No, I will drop you and the team into this. You're part of his gang. You are subject to this. You don't like being criticized for dumb shit then don't be part of it. Mr. Reviewer has brought up his "team"

multiple times.

Also, are you guys all buying the eBook you are reviewing? Or are you guys breaking the ToS of the seller and leaking it to each other? Which is against the site rules to leak members products regardless if you're in a team or not. Or is each review he posts unique by one person and he's simply posting it on your behalf?

(Jul 9, 2017 05:52 PM)?MrDark Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No offense but this shit is reflecting your team and the group.

This.

Happeh - July 9, 2017, 5:56 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 05:54 PM)Meow? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yeah, let's not drag Happeh or other review guys into this.

You guys literally didn't do anything wrong in this whole "drama" or however the fuck you want to call it.

Basically, too many "reviewer team" quotes thrown around. This was and is all Mr. Reviewer and we're indifferent as a group about this. So, please leave us out.

B?ker - July 9, 2017, 5:56 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 05:55 PM)Happeh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Which sucks if an individual goes out his way to do something, but is also why I'm trying to stop those claims. All I want is to help out a little, I/We have nothing to do with this.

I agree, but whenever someone wears a UB they represent the group and his actions will always reflect until he no longer has that UB.

Greg???????????????? - July 9, 2017, 5:59 pm

Maybe someone should do a review on Mr. Reviewers ebook?

Happeh - July 9, 2017, 5:59 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 05:55 PM)Gary. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No, I will drop you and the team into this. You're part of his gang. You are subject to this. You don't like being criticized for dumb shit then don't be part of it. Mr. Reviewer has brought up his "team" multiple times.

Also, are you guys all buying the eBook you are reviewing? Or are you guys breaking the ToS of the seller and leaking it to each other? Which is against the site rules to leak members products regardless if you're in a team or not. Or is each review he posts unique by one person and he's simply posting it on your behalf?

Not a part of any "gang", hence why I'm trying to separate things. Joined the team to try and help the community with reviews and nothing more, you and I both know Gary I don't hop onto that "gang" shit, I do me and always have.

Far as I'm aware every review Mr. Reviewer has done he has bought the ebook first, as for the team I can't speak for them but in my case I buy the ebook or someone PMs me first and asks for a review. I haven't done any vouch begging or PM'ing random owners. So, please don't try to speculate so much. There is 0 sharing when it comes to ebooks. We do everything by the rules of HF.

Riyu - July 9, 2017, 6:00 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 05:59 PM)H3God Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Maybe someone should do a review on Mr. Reviewers ebook?

You should review it.

Gary - July 9, 2017, 6:01 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 05:59 PM)Happeh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Not a part of any "gang", hence why I'm trying to separate things. Joined the team to try and help the community with reviews and nothing more, you and I both know Gary I don't hop onto that "gang" shit, I do me and always have.

Far as I'm aware every review Mr. Reviewer has done he has bought the ebook first, as for the team I can't speak for them but in my case I buy the ebook or someone PMs me first and asks for a review. I haven't done any vouch begging or PM'ing random owners. So, please don't try to speculate so much. There is 0 sharing when it comes to ebooks. We do everything by the rules of HF.

I mean team when I say gang. Its like saying crew.

I didn't speculate anything. I asked a simple question if all of you are buying the eBook. If that's the case you guys aren't helping the community either. You're giving more sales to the seller, which he can use for sticky/market tags.

You guys think you're doing good but in the end of the day you're really not.

B?ker - July 9, 2017, 6:01 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 05:59 PM)H3God Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Maybe someone should do a review on Mr. Reviewers ebook?

I did purchase it, fuck it I will do that tomorrow considering it half of the it public information.

James Burnham - July 9, 2017, 6:01 pm

When I first came on HF I had bought some ebooks that were overpromising. Of course they did not work and I got mad. Then I went to warriorforum and had the same thing happen to me. Then went on another forum and same thing happened again. It is not hackforums that has sellers that focus explicitly on the best case scenario in their threads. It is every single marketplace. If you think about it, that is how the market works globally. We change HF, we change nothing. A buyer that wants to buy a method that makes him "rich overnight" will buy it from somewhere else if he doesn't find it here.

Meow - July 9, 2017, 6:02 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 05:59 PM)H3God Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Maybe someone should do a review on Mr. Reviewers ebook?

Someone from his review team (I hope Happeh) should review that ebook. I highly doubt it's anything special but you never know.

..or he should review his own ebook

Greg???????????????? - July 9, 2017, 6:03 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 06:00 PM)Riyu Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You should review it.

No need. I already got a good idea on what the method is. There aren't many g2a methods out there.

Gary - July 9, 2017, 6:03 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 06:02 PM)Meow? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Someone from his review team (I hope Happeh) should review that ebook. I highly doubt it's anything special but you never know.

..or he should review his own ebook

No. That's retarded. If anyone from his team or himself does a review it will be biased.

Meow - July 9, 2017, 6:03 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 06:03 PM)H3God Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No need. I already got a good idea on what the method is.

From what I've realized it's just a basic buy low sell high method. That excel spreadsheet is the only thing that is worth money imo.

Greg???????????????? - July 9, 2017, 6:04 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 06:03 PM)Meow? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) From what I've realized it's just a basic buy low sell high method. That excel spreadsheet is the only thing that is worth money imo.

Lmaooo he's criticizing other sellers when he's selling a shit method himself.

Mr. Reviewer - July 9, 2017, 6:05 pm

Initially, I was about to post a big chunk of text defending myself. But no.

None from this thread is part of the list and you're more pissed about what I am doing than the persons I am accusing. This can mean only one thing and you can do that from main accounts. Also stop making new accounts and trashing my name in the Lounge.

Gary - July 9, 2017, 6:05 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 06:04 PM)H3God Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Lmaooo he's criticizing other sellers when he's selling a shit method himself.

> Lets review eBooks on the market and say how shit they are (99% are)

> lets release an eBook myself that way people can think my method actually works since I say other eBooks are trash

> lets exit scam in a few months

Happeh - July 9, 2017, 6:06 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 05:56 PM)?MrDark Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I agree, but whenever someone wears a UB they represent the group and his actions will always reflect until he no longer has that UB.

Then it sucks you and possibly others cannot separate between the two. I cannot do anything to stop that but carry on trying to do what is best for me and what I think is best for the community. Just try to remember Mr. Reviewer is an individual posting this thread and I'd prefer to not have my 7 years of reputation on the site judged just because we share a userbar.

(Jul 9, 2017 06:01 PM)Gary. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I mean team when I say gang. Its like saying crew.

I didn't speculate anything. I asked a simple question if all of you are buying the eBook. If that's the case you guys aren't helping the community either. You're giving more sales to the seller, which he can use for sticky/market tags.

You guys think you're doing good but in the end of the day you're really not.

You and I both know the use of "gang" has negative connotations. You're using language to reinforce your claims.

Sorry to see you so pessimistic, Gary. Hopefully, after the drama has calmed down and in a few months you try and see the good that some of us are just trying to do and if it helps or not, that's a separate case. I can't speak for others, but I only joined because I wanted to try and help, and that should be a good enough reason. As I said we don't just buy ebooks, sellers come to us and we offer a service.

(Jul 9, 2017 06:02 PM)Meow? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Someone from his review team (I hope Happeh) should review that ebook. I highly doubt it's anything special but you never know.

..or he should review his own ebook

One of the rules of the group is we don't review each other's services. Whether we mean well or not

it is always going to be taken as bias. No point risking a community upset.

Greg - July 9, 2017, 6:07 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 06:05 PM)Gary. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) >Lets review eBooks on the market and say how shit they are(99% are)

>lets release an eBook myself that way people can think my method actually works since I say other eBooks are trash

>lets exit scam in a few months

I mean he is an admitted multi after all. Never know what his true intentions are.

Meow - July 9, 2017, 6:08 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 06:05 PM)Mr. Reviewer Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Initially, I was about to post a big chunk of text defending myself. But no.

None from this thread is part of the list and you're more pissed about what I am doing than the persons I am accusing. This can mean only one thing and you can do that from main accounts. Also stop making new accounts and trashing my name in the Lounge.

Do you really think I have that much free time that I'm making new accounts and trolling? Yeah, not really.

I believe that was someone from Revolution but I could be wrong. Also, please, post that big chunk of text, I'm interested to see what do you have to say regarding this whole situation.

Younis Khan - July 9, 2017, 6:09 pm

hf drama over fucking shitty ebooks come on man

i want some real action

Gary - July 9, 2017, 6:09 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 06:06 PM)Happheh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You and I both know the use of "gang" has negative connotations. You're using language to reinforce your claims.

Sorry to see you so pessimistic, Gary. Hopefully, after the drama has calmed down and in a few months you try and see the good that some of us are just trying to do and if it helps or not, that's a separate case. I can't speak for others, but I only joined because I wanted to try and help, and that should be a good enough reason. As I said we don't just buy ebooks, sellers come to us and we offer a service.

Just the way I talk on here lol, you can't blame my use of terms.

I'm not pessimistic, remember what happened last time we had a "team" of reviewers? Bad things. How is what you're doing anything different? What's stopping one of you from getting a payment on the lowkey to make the eBook look a little better than complete trash? Nothing. We the community don't see this. You guys don't provide any proof that sellers are coming to you. I'm sure sellers wouldn't mind you guys showing a PM conversation.

Meow - July 9, 2017, 6:10 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 06:09 PM)Riyu Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It was you lol wtf

Now is not really the time to troll around. Everyone in Revolution discord (since I'm most active there) knows what wasn't me.

GloryToBe - July 9, 2017, 6:11 pm

H3God is here, this thread is evolving into an anti-mr.reviewer thread lmao

Happheh - July 9, 2017, 6:14 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 06:09 PM)Gary. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Just the way I talk on here lol, you can't blame my use of terms.

I'm not pessimistic, remember what happened last time we had a "team" of reviewers? Bad things. How is what you're doing anything different? What's stopping one of you from getting a payment on the lowkey to make the eBook look a little better than complete trash? Nothing. We the community don't see this. You guys don't provide any proof that sellers are coming to you. I'm sure sellers wouldn't mind you guys showing a PM conversation.

Sharing PM's isn't allowed unless used as evidence in a deal dispute far as I'm aware. Again, you don't know but we're just trying to help for the most part. I can't speak for the others but I'm not here trying to take donations and ruin my account.

Look, if you want to continue this train of thought feel free to open up another thread or PM me, ya know I'm always good to talk. But right now this thread isn't about this or the gang. It's about Mr. Reviewer, all I was trying to do is diffuse this conception that "his reviewer team" is in on anything when it was an individual act.

Greg???????????????? - July 9, 2017, 6:15 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 06:11 PM)Gloryallowed Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) H3God is here, this thread is evolving into an anti-mr.reviewer thread lmao

He's doing reviews but is selling an ebook himself. What stops him from reviewing all other ebooks as shit so he can get more sales on his lmao. Also if he was making money on g2a why does he need to buy other ebooks in the first place? Some people on the forum see him as a hero but he's literally doing the same shit as us by selling an ebook lmao.

GloryToBe - July 9, 2017, 6:18 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 06:15 PM)H3God Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) He's doing reviews but is selling an ebook himself. What stops him from reviewing all other ebooks as shit so he can get more sales on his lmao. Also if he was making money on g2a why does he need to buy other ebooks in the first place? Some people on the forum see him as a hero but he's literally doing the same shit as us by selling an ebook lmao.

I think it's hypocritical but that doesn't mean his view is bullshit, discrediting an ebook seller won't give him sales as there are so many ebook sellers.

Gary - July 9, 2017, 6:18 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 06:14 PM)Happheh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Sharing PM's isn't allowed unless used as evidence in a deal dispute far as I'm aware. Again, you don't know but we're just trying to help for the most part. I can't speak for the others but I'm not here trying to take donations and ruin my account.

Look, if you want to continue this train of thought feel free to open up another thread or PM me, ya know I'm always good to talk. But right now this thread isn't about this or the gang. It's about Mr. Reviewer, all I was trying to do is diffuse this conception that "his reviewer team" is in on anything when it was an individual act.

Sharing PMs is allowed if both people agree to it. It happens all the time in these community alerts and normal threads.

Im sure in this case it wouldn't matter if you shared. Since in a sense all these reviews are community alerts, since pretty much they're all negative.

James Burnham - July 9, 2017, 6:20 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 06:18 PM)Gloryallowed Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think it's hypocritical but that doesn't mean his view is bullshit, discrediting an ebook seller won't give him sales as there are so many ebook sellers.

Calling others out helps with building trust. I 've never done this, but it is proven that it works. Plus, it gets you and your product free exposure.

Greg???????????????? - July 9, 2017, 6:23 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 06:18 PM)Gloryallowed Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think it's hypocritical but that doesn't mean his

view is bullshit, discrediting an ebook seller won't give him sales as there are so many ebook sellers. <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5639764&pid=55155927#pid55155927> - Here is an example of someone who bought his ebook because of his reviews.

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5655830> - Omni negged this guy as a multi before and he's the one who made a review for Mr. Reviewers Thread for all we know this guy who made the review can be associated with Mr. Reviewer one way or another. Oh and shiftys account was made 3 days after Mr Reviewer made his ebook thread. Coincidence?

<https://gyazo.com/c832c21f7d04812b7975e449fe2c7a77>

<https://gyazo.com/aa72ded94960baa53de00a4668f95b16>

Here's the date shifty joined and the date Mr Reviewer made his ebook thread.

Gary - July 9, 2017, 6:26 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 06:23 PM)H3God Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5639764&pid=55155927#pid55155927> - Here is an example of someone who bought his ebook because of his reviews.

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5655830> - Omni negged this guy as a multi before as a multi and he's the one who made a review for Mr. Reviewers Thread for all we know this guy who made the review can be associated with Mr. Reviewer one way or another. Oh and shiftys account was made 3 days after Mr Reviewer made his ebook thread. Coincidence?
he got negged for multi account nvm i read ur post properly heedxd!!

Happeh - July 9, 2017, 6:29 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 06:23 PM)H3God Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5655830> - Omni negged this guy as a multi before and he's the one who made a review for Mr. Reviewers Thread for all we know this guy who made the review can be associated with Mr. Reviewer one way or another. Oh and shiftys account was made 3 days after Mr Reviewer made his ebook thread. Coincidence?

Reaaaaally playing with fire right now.

Gary - July 9, 2017, 6:32 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 06:29 PM)Happeh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Reaaaaally playing with fire right now.

How so? He's just posting public information. Its just a coincidence.

Chief - July 9, 2017, 6:34 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 06:23 PM)H3God Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Here's the date shifty joined and the date Mr Reviewer made his ebook thread.

THIS RIGHT HERE. This "shifty" guy has something to do with Reviewer but I can't pin it yet.

Happeh - July 9, 2017, 6:39 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 06:32 PM)Gary. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How so? He's just posting public information. Its just a coincidence.

Regardless of being true or not, he's loaded the argument. He's not just giving out public information, he's implying. Again I'm neither for or against here, trying to stay as indifferent as I can, but Jesus it's playing with fire.

Gary - July 9, 2017, 6:40 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 06:39 PM)Happeh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Regardless of being true or not, he's loaded the argument. He's not just giving out public information, he's implying. Again I'm neither for or against here, trying to stay as indifferent as I can, but Jesus it's playing with fire.

Not really. People can make assumptions with the information they can find. He's not playing with fire. The only reason it can be playing with fire if its true information and at this point you're trying divert the information away from it by saying he's playing with fire at this point.

Meow - July 9, 2017, 6:41 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 06:23 PM)H3God Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Here's the date shifty joined and the date Mr Reviewer made his ebook thread.

Yeah, I saw that one too but I can't find the link between Shifty & Reviewer (if there are any).

Happeh - July 9, 2017, 6:45 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 06:40 PM)Gary. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Not really. People can make assumptions with the information they can find. He's not playing with fire. The only reason it can be playing with fire if its true information and at this point you're trying divert the information away from it by saying he's playing with fire at this point.

Of course, they can but instead of leading people to the water he is grabbing them by the head and pushing them towards it. Feel like you're trying to argue with me for the sake of arguing at this point, again when you've calmed your boots down I'm sure you'll realise how unnecessarily heated you're being regardless of outcomes.

Also, it is playing with fire.

Quote:Playing With Fire

Used primarily to advise someone against a course of action that may result in an unpleasing outcome either for themselves or others around them.

Of course the "course of action" being the use of his very, very, loaded words.

Gary - July 9, 2017, 6:51 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 06:45 PM)Happeh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Of course, they can but instead of leading people to the water he is grabbing them by the head and pushing them towards it. Feel like you're trying to argue with me for the sake of arguing at this point, again when you've calmed your boots down I'm sure you'll realise how unnecessarily heated you're being regardless of outcomes.

Also, it is playing with fire.

Of course the "course of action" being the use of his very, very, loaded words.

I'm not heated at all lol.

I'm simply stating you're part of this cause you're part of his team. He wants to bring you guys up.

Its kinda like when people in groups scam? What happens to that person? They get removed cause they don't want the image of the group being ruined. You're part of his team. Im not trying to argue for the sake of arguing. Im simply stating that don't try to avoid it cause you're part of his team and aren't part of his actions. If you're not part of his actions tell him to make it clear and not bring up his "team" when it comes to posts.

Otherwise I just think you guys are shady. I don't believe all of you guys are buying eBooks/or all of you are getting it for free.

Could you show me also where he has a thread stating what members are part of his team? Or are people just assuming you're part of it then PMing you giving out free copies.

I strongly believe products are being leaked to each other for the sake of these "Reviews."

Its kinda hypocritical also while he's posting reviews while selling an eBook himself.

I don't see how any of this does good to the community. New members are NOT gonna search up about products. They're not gonna look in this section for pages looking for a review thread.

Like honestly, who views the review threads mostly? Regular members or well established members of the community?

Can anyone provide that statistic? Is is private for Omni only? Can staff provide it?

Greg???????????????????? - July 9, 2017, 6:52 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 06:39 PM)Happeh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Regardless of being true or not, he's loaded the argument. He's not just giving out public information, he's implying. Again I'm neither for or against here, trying to stay as indifferent as I can, but Jesus it's playing with fire.

I think Shifty might have something to do with Mr. Reviewer 1 way or another. His account was made 3 days after Reviewers ebook thread was made and No normal member goes out of their way to make a review like that and notice how the review on Mr. Reviewers ebook is better than the one's he's reviewed. So if people do end up reading the reviews they will choose Mr. Reviewers ebook over others. Or Mr Reviewer can just link people the thread to show them the method is good. I don't think a method where you buy low sell high on g2a is a 7/10 lmao you're most likely making like a couple bucks max for buying and reselling on there basically chump change and g2a is wayyyyyyy tooo saturated. Shifty is a confirmed multi by omni who gave him a -3 and Mr. Reviewer confirmed himself he is a multi. Regardless he's selling an ebook himself while doing reviews so he can easily say others are shit and make sales on his. Also maybe he should start posting proof of him actually purchasing the ebooks on his review thread. It's pretty easy to get leaked ebooks. That's another thing.

Gary - July 9, 2017, 6:54 pm

@H3God, this is the account that was banned recently with Mr. Reviewers' profile link as the ban reason.

<https://hackforums.net/member.php?action=profile&uid=3544766>

Greg???????????????????? - July 9, 2017, 7:04 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 06:54 PM)Gary. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) @H3God, this is the account that was banned recently with Mr. Reviewers' profile link as the ban reason.

<https://hackforums.net/member.php?action=profile&uid=3544766>
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5609373&pid=54701230#pid54701230>

I see he was entering a lot of them \$5 and \$10 giveaways lol.

Gary - July 9, 2017, 7:04 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 07:04 PM)H3God Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5609373&pid=54701230#pid54701230>

I see he was entering a lot of them \$5 and \$10 giveaways lol.
gotta buy dem ebooks to leak to his team

Happeh - July 9, 2017, 7:08 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 06:51 PM)Gary. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm not heated at all lol.

I'm simply stating you're part of this cause you're part of his team. He wants to bring you guys up.

Its kinda like when people in groups scam? What happens to that person? They get removed cause they don't want the image of the group being ruined. You're part of his team. Im not trying to argue for the sake of arguing. Im simply stating that don't try to avoid it cause you're part of his team and aren't part of his actions. If you're not part of his actions tell him to make it clear and not bring up his "team" when it comes to posts.

He brings us up when it is relevant, not once has the team been mentioned in any of these last few threads until someone wanted to throw shit at us for irrelevant reasons. I'm not avoiding it because I'm a part of his team, I'm avoiding it because it literally has nothing to do with me. I'm also an Ub3r member, I don't get involved every time some member gets scammed by one of us because it was an individual case, such as Mr. Reviewer and his thread. It was created by him and not by us. I can't really help it if you can't understand that concept and me explaining it three times in the past 2 pages doesn't do it, I'm not sure what will.

(Jul 9, 2017 06:51 PM)Gary. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Otherwise I just think you guys are shady. I don't believe all of you guys are buying eBooks/or all of you are getting it for free.

Not sure what I can do to dispell that immediately. You're pointing at the group so cannot speak for them, but for myself, I've either purchased and/or been PM'd about doing a review (or in the case for Armada, he posted requesting a review and so I contacted him first).

(Jul 9, 2017 06:51 PM)Gary. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Could you show me also where he has a thread stating what members are part of his team? Or are people just assuming you're part of it then PMing you giving out free copies.

[List of us can be found here.](#)

(Jul 9, 2017 06:51 PM)Gary. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I strongly believe products are being leaked to each other for the sake of these "Reviews."

Its kinda hypocritical also while he's posting reviews while selling an eBook himself. As an individual, nothing has been leaked or shared with me.

I believe it is also very bad press, but I can't help that. I'm just a dude trying to help out by posting reviews, what he does is what he does. If you don't like him doing that take it up with him and if there's a community outburst over it I'll try and work something out.

(Jul 9, 2017 06:51 PM)Gary. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't see how any of this does good to the community. New members are NOT gonna search up about products. They're not gonna look in this section for pages looking for a review thread.

Looking at the glass half empty rather than half full. I can't help you there, you're just arguing an inane point.

(Jul 9, 2017 06:51 PM)Gary. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Like honestly, who views the review threads mostly? Regular members or well established members of the community?

Probably no one, but if I've helped one dude make a decision, whether good or bad, and it has truly helped. I'm happy.

(Jul 9, 2017 06:52 PM)H3God Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think Shifty might have something to do with Mr. Reviewer 1 way or another. No normal member goes out of their way to make a review like that and

notice how the review on Mr. Reviewers ebook is better than the one's he's reviewed. So if people do end up reading the reviews they will choose Mr. Reviewers ebook over others. I don't think a method where you buy low sell high on g2a is a 7/10 lmao you're most likely making like a couple bucks max for buying and reselling on there. Shifty is a confirmed multi by omni who gave him a -3 and Mr. Reviewer confirmed himself he is a multi. Regardless he's selling an ebook himself while doing reviews so he can easily say others are shit and make sales on his. Also maybe he should start posting proof of him actually purchasing the ebooks on his review thread. It's pretty easy to get leaked ebooks. That's another thing.

Maybe, not arguing for or against that. Just saying you're playing with some hot fire.

(Jul 9, 2017 07:04 PM)Gary. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) gotta buy dem ebooks to leak to his team
Don't be an asshole.

Greg???????????????? - July 9, 2017, 7:11 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 07:08 PM)Happeh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Not sure what I can do to dispell that immediately. You're pointing at the group so cannot speak for them, but for myself, I've either purchased and/or been PM'd about doing a review (or in the case for Armada, he posted requesting a review and so I contacted him first).

It's simple. You guys can post proof of you purchasing. Majority of people sell on rocketr so after you purchase it takes you to the purchase receipt page i believe.

Happeh - July 9, 2017, 7:16 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 07:11 PM)H3God Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's simple. You guys can post proof of you purchasing. Majority of people sell on rocketr so after you purchase it takes you to the purchase receipt page i believe.

Enjoy:

Spoiler [\(Click to View\)](#)

Gary - July 9, 2017, 7:16 pm

(Jul 9, 2017 07:08 PM)Happeh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) He brings us up when it is relevant, not once has the team been mentioned in any of these last few threads until someone wanted to throw shit at us for irrelevant reasons. I'm not avoiding it because I'm a part of his team, I'm avoiding it because it literally has nothing to do with me. I'm also an Ub3r member, I don't get involved every time some member gets scammed by one of us because it was an individual case, such as Mr. Reviewer and his thread. It was created by him and not by us. I can't really help it if you can't understand that concept and me explaining it three times in the past 2 pages doesn't do it, I'm not sure what will.

Not sure what I can do to dispell that immediately. You're pointing at the group so cannot speak for them, but for myself, I've either purchased and/or been PM'd about doing a review (or in the case for Armada, he posted requesting a review and so I contacted him first).

[List of us can be found here.](#)

As an individual, nothing has been leaked or shared with me.

I believe it is also very bad press, but I can't help that. I'm just a dude trying to help out by posting reviews, what he does is what he does. If you don't like him doing that take it up with him and if

there's a community outburst over it I'll try and work something out.

Looking at the glass half empty rather than half full. I can't help you there, you're just arguing an inane point.

Probably no one, but if I've helped one dude make a decision, whether good or bad, and it has truly helped. I'm happy.

Maybe, not arguing for or against that. Just saying you're playing with some hot fire.

Don't be an asshole.

He brings you guys up in all his reviews, and other threads.

Im pointing at the group cause he brings you guys up lmao. You're part of his review group. You're subject to all of this. Don't like it? Leave it.

The list thread,

"I can safely say if you trust me with your product you should trust these guys too!"

You shouldn't trust anyone on HF. You shouldn't trust anyone who says you should trust these other members.

I don't agree with members offering their products for free either.

You can't prove that the thread isn't biased even a little bit cause you got the product for free.

All review purchases should be confidential until the thread is posted. All of the people who bought the product should have to provide proof of purchase.

Im not being an asshole fuck off. You wanna be a dick like that then I can be a dick back.

I think you and the group are bunch of fuckwit retards who just wanna be like the old Reviewers group. You guys are not original. Nothing but fucking retards like Views who is also trying to bring back some old ass group. Get original.

There shouldn't be a team moderating products.

Prove to everyone that no products are being leaked @ everyone in this retarded ass reviewing group.

Don't try to also say Im defending these eBook sellers. Im not.

If you can't provide proof of purchase and that you're not leaking to each other then stop doing "Reviews".

Stop doing reviews for people who give their product for free.

Kids a fucking hypocrite like I said. Hes bumping his own thread by doing what I posted earlier in his suggestion thread

Seller sells a product

Buyer comments on a thread

Seller doesnt respond

Thread goes down in the page ranks

Seller responds a day later replying to the person and bumping the thread at the same time.

But Seller was active that entire day when buyer posted a response.

Spoiler [\(Click to View\)](#)

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5639764&page=7>

Wires - July 10, 2017, 12:24 am

Been itching to reply to this.

(Jul 9, 2017 07:16 PM)Gary. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) He brings you guys up in all his reviews, and other threads.

Im pointing at the group cause he brings you guys up lmao. You're part of his review group. You're subject to all of this. Don't like it? Leave it.

The list thread,

"I can safely say if you trust me with your product you should trust these guys too!"

You shouldn't trust anyone on HF. You shouldn't trust anyone who says you should trust these other members.

I don't agree with members offering their products for free either.

You can't prove that the thread isn't biased even a little bit cause you got the product for free.

All review purchases should be confidential until the thread is posted. All of the people who bought the product should have to provide proof of purchase.

Im not being an asshole fuck off. You wanna be a dick like that then I can be a dick back.

I think you and the group are bunch of fuckwit retards who just wanna be like the old Reviewers group. You guys are not original. Nothing but fucking retards like Views who is also trying to bring back some old ass group. Get original.

There shouldn't be a team moderating products.

Prove to everyone that no products are being leaked @ everyone in this retarded ass reviewing

group.

Don't try to also say Im defending these eBook sellers. Im not.

If you can't provide proof of purchase and that you're not leaking to each other then stop doing "Reviews".

Stop doing reviews for people who give their product for free.

-snip-

You shouldn't trust anyone on here, but hey, it doesn't stop people from saying it. As for this free products and bias shit, it works both ways, paid products are more likely to be bias too with your logic, so essentially anyone who's purchased an eBook and have written a vouch or review is bias.

You've filled your argument with bullshit which I'm more than happy to reply to. It's quite clear what we're doing isn't original, but what is original nowadays? Majority of the shit done on here isn't original, that goes for groups, eBooks, and all sorts. Because something isn't original doesn't mean it shouldn't or can't be done. Also, there isn't a team moderating products, asking for a review is optional.

As for the proof for anything I do you can simply look for it up your ass. You started the name-calling not me. For me to defend my actions you need to provide some sort of evidence that I've been paid off, leaked ebooks, or done anything I'm accused of.

So I should stop reviewing because you say so and I can't provide you with proof? Remind me who the fuck you are again.

As for this Mr. Reviewer and bumping shit, I couldn't care less. Take it up with him and/or report it if you feel its necessary and move on.

Gary - July 10, 2017, 12:31 am

(Jul 10, 2017 12:24 AM)Wires Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Been itching to reply to this.

You shouldn't trust anyone on here, but hey, it doesn't stop people from saying it. As for this free products and bias shit, it works both ways, paid products are more likely to be bias too with your logic, so essentially anyone who's purchased an eBook and have written a vouch or review is bias.

You've filled your argument with bullshit which I'm more than happy to reply to. It's quite clear what we're doing isn't original, but what is original nowadays? Majority of the shit done on here isn't original, that goes for groups, eBooks, and all sorts. Because something isn't original doesn't mean it shouldn't or can't be done. Also, there isn't a team moderating products, asking for a review is optional.

As for the proof for anything I do you can simply look for it up your ass. You started the name-calling not me. For me to defend my actions you need to provide some sort of evidence that I've been paid off, leaked ebooks, or done anything I'm accused of.

So I should stop reviewing because you say so and I can't provide you with proof? Remind me who the fuck you are again.

As for this Mr. Reviewer and bumping shit, I couldn't care less. Take it up with him and/or report it if you feel its necessary and move on.

Remind me who you are also? I don't remember the last time you were relevant here?

Where did I start the name calling? I wasn't the one that called someone an asshole first.

Don't you think it would make sense to provide proof of payment?

Wouldn't it be better to inform the community you were given the copy for free?

Where does it state in Happehs' review of Armadas eBook that he was given the copy for free to review? Nowhere.

Why don't you care? This whole thread is based on the fact that he called out people for bumping that happened before a rule was implemented.

Nah, you wouldn't care. Continue leaking eBooks to each other and sucking each others dick. Have fun wasting your life reviewing internet books that everyone who is smart knows are shit.

GrandpaSherman - July 10, 2017, 12:32 am

Damn it took us to get to 2017 to have a 'no buddy bump' rule? Better late than never GOOD SHIT OMNI YOU DID A GREAT JOB WOO HOO!!

I fucking hate bumps

Mr. Reviewer - July 10, 2017, 1:11 am

(Jul 10, 2017 12:31 AM)Gary. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Remind me who you are also? I don't remember the last time you were relevant here?

Where did I start the name calling? I wasn't the one that called someone an asshole first.

Don't you think it would make sense to provide proof of payment?

Wouldn't it be better to inform the community you were given the copy for free?

Where does it state in Happehs' review of Armadas eBook that he was given the copy for free to review? Nowhere.

Why don't you care? This whole thread is based on the fact that he called out people for bumping that happened before a rule was implemented.

Nah, you wouldn't care. Continue leaking eBooks to each other and sucking each others dick. Have fun wasting your life reviewing internet books that everyone who is smart knows are shit.

I read your replies carefully and I see you are focusing only on the negative parts and try to find windows for interpretation, you are deliberately putting gas on the fire and trying to undermine us(me) with each occasion. Therefore, I will ignore each post you make from now on.

You have 14k posts and you are nothing but bad news.

B?ker - July 10, 2017, 4:37 am

(Jul 10, 2017 01:24 AM)Gary. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) hurdur u have 14k posts it must mean ur a shitty member

I can't agree anymore with Gary typically he's just a Cunt today he's a Cunt with accuracy

Wires - July 10, 2017, 5:19 am

(Jul 10, 2017 12:31 AM)Gary. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Remind me who you are also? I don't remember the last time you were relevant here?

Where did I start the name calling? I wasn't the one that called someone an asshole first.

Don't you think it would make sense to provide proof of payment?

Wouldn't it be better to inform the community you were given the copy for free?

Where does it state in Happehs' review of Armadas eBook that he was given the copy for free to review? Nowhere.

Why don't you care? This whole thread is based on the fact that he called out people for bumping that happened before a rule was implemented.

Nah, you wouldn't care. Continue leaking eBooks to each other and sucking each others dick. Have fun wasting your life reviewing internet books that everyone who is smart knows are shit.

It doesn't matter who I am. You ask for the team to provide some sort of evidence to defend ourselves without an inkling of evidence to accuse us with. If you were a somebody here then you're more than welcome to ask us for proof and put us on trial, but fortunately you're not.

Happeh may have directed comments towards you, and by all means direct them back, but don't direct them at the rest of the team if we haven't said anything to you.

Why would it make sense to provide proof of payment? Unless there's a case regarding how we obtain the products (in this case, their either purchased or the sellers request us to do a review) then it shouldn't be in question. As I said, it's innocent until proven guilty, not the other way round. No reason to defend myself and prove anything if there isn't any substantial evidence to accuse me with. As for receiving it for free, you've targeted Happeh because he's the only one that doesn't use the standard reviewing template. The template used is optional, but IMO whether it's stated or not doesn't affect the content of the review so it shouldn't matter, but that's subjective to opinion.

I don't care because Mr. Reviewer has his own opinion and has chosen to voice it in his thread. There is no group branding or any mention of it being the groups opinion in the main post.

Stop throwing around accusations and back your accusations regarding leaking, sharing, and being bias with some actual evidence.

"Have fun wasting your life reviewing internet books that everyone who is smart knows are shit."
You sound like you was a bit emotional when you wrote this.

Meow - July 10, 2017, 8:15 am

(Jul 10, 2017 01:11 AM)Mr. Reviewer Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I read your replies carefully and I see you are focusing only on the negative parts and try to find windows for interpretation, you are deliberately putting gas on the fire and trying to undermine us(me) with each occasion. Therefore, I will ignore each post you make from now on.

You have 14k posts and you are nothing but bad news.

You ignored every post that was about you in this thread

Keep ignoring, can't wait to see your reviewer team get disbanded because of bullshit you post on behalf of you, your team & whole Influence. You have 300 posts and 299 of them are asslicking

Omni for awards & attention.

Gary - July 10, 2017, 12:37 pm

(Jul 10, 2017 05:19 AM)Wires Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It doesn't matter who I am. You ask for the team to provide some sort of evidence to defend ourselves without an inkling of evidence to accuse us with. If you were a somebody here then you're more than welcome to ask us for proof and put us on trial, but fortunately you're not.

Happeh may have directed comments towards you, and by all means direct them back, but don't direct them at the rest of the team if we haven't said anything to you.

Why would it make sense to provide proof of payment? Unless there's a case regarding how we obtain the products (in this case, their either purchased or the sellers request us to do a review) then it shouldn't be in question. As I said, it's innocent until proven guilty, not the other way round. No reason to defend myself and prove anything if there isn't any substantial evidence to accuse me with. As for receiving it for free, you've targeted Happeh because he's the only one that doesn't use the standard reviewing template. The template used is optional, but IMO whether it's stated or not doesn't affect the content of the review so it shouldn't matter, but that's subjective to opinion.

I don't care because Mr. Reviewer has his own opinion and has chosen to voice it in his thread. There is no group branding or any mention of it being the groups opinion in the main post.

Stop throwing around accusations and back your accusations regarding leaking, sharing, and being bias with some actual evidence.

"Have fun wasting your life reviewing internet books that everyone who is smart knows are shit."
You sound like you was a bit emotional when you wrote this.

i could have cared less while writing this

simply stated

have fun

reviewing

eBooks

on

Hack Forums

Meow - July 11, 2017, 1:37 am

Mr. Reviewer says he will add more 'proof' regarding vouch4vouch and stuff like that.

I will update this thread if I deem it necessary. ?

Moeseph - July 11, 2017, 1:40 am

(Jul 7, 2017 05:06 PM)Meow? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) tryhard

did u make this thread in hopes that omni would give u an award too?

Meow - July 11, 2017, 1:44 am

(Jul 11, 2017 01:40 AM)Moeseph Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) did u make this thread in hopes that omni would give u an award too?

Nope, if anything, Omni will give me repkill/ban. This thread doesn't deserve an award.

Greg???????????????????? - July 11, 2017, 11:53 am

(Jul 9, 2017 07:16 PM)Happeh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Enjoy:

Spoiler [\(Click to View\)](#)

This is just one person. What about the rest of your "Review teams" proof?

Nine - July 11, 2017, 12:01 pm

(Jul 10, 2017 12:37 PM)Gary. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) i could have cared less while writing this couldn't have cared less*

If you can't use it right, don't use it at all. ?

Happeh - July 11, 2017, 1:03 pm

(Jul 11, 2017 11:53 AM)H3God Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is just one person. What about the rest of your "Review teams" proof?

No longer apart of the team but feel free to ask them.

Greg???????????????????? - July 11, 2017, 1:07 pm

(Jul 11, 2017 01:03 PM)Happeh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No longer apart of the team but feel free to ask them. Why did you leave the group sir.

Happeh - July 11, 2017, 1:09 pm

(Jul 11, 2017 01:07 PM)H3God Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why did you leave the group sir.

Not up for discussion in this thread - this thread is about Mr. Reviewer and his own thread. Not why I left a group.

Greg???????????????????? - July 11, 2017, 3:00 pm

(Jul 11, 2017 01:09 PM)Happeh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Not up for discussion in this thread - this thread is about Mr. Reviewer and his own thread. Not why I left a group.

A little feisty today are we? Seems like you did all that defending just to leave or get kicked out of that group what a waste.

Armada - July 11, 2017, 10:24 pm

(Jul 11, 2017 01:40 AM)Moeseph Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) did u make this thread in hopes that omni would give u an award too?

Frankly, Someone had to say it sooner or later.

Honestly speaking, who is he to be making decisions and reviews on our products and services, the dudes got an account less than a new born baby around here.

Nothing about it adds up, and frankly its situations like THIS EXACT SHIT that really put me on edge.

This is a setup to disaster.

Id take his words with a grain of salt. Maybe hes not ill intended, but there are Waaay too many red flags for my personal liking.

RBH - July 12, 2017, 4:07 am

(Jul 11, 2017 10:24 PM)Armada Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Frankly, Someone had to say it sooner or later.

Honestly speaking, who is he to be making decisions and reviews on our products and services, the dudes got an account less than a new born baby around here.

Nothing about it adds up, and frankly its situations like THIS EXACT SHIT that really put me on edge.

This is a setup to disaster.

Id take his words with a grain of salt. Maybe hes not ill intended, but there are Waaay too many red flags for my personal liking.

I think he and everybody else here has every right to review products from the marketplace. Sure it is a little suspicious that a new user would do this and I am pretty sure his motive is to sell his own products better. But I don't really care if he atleast keeps his reviews on the quality level it is now.

Cammy - July 12, 2017, 4:10 am

(Jul 12, 2017 04:07 AM)RBH Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think he and everybody else here has every right to review products from the marketplace. Sure it is a little suspicious that a new user would do this and I am pretty sure his motive is to sell his own products better. But I don't really care if he atleast keeps his reviews on the quality level it is now.

I thought he admitted to being a multi before

Elitas - July 12, 2017, 4:11 am

Thank you for clearing this up. Most of his screenshots of me date back to 2016 when I wasn't even UB3R or L33T, I mean, was I really involved in some sort of bumping group when I didn't even have any products to sell? LOL. One of the screenshots is posted days after I even joined, the guy was ignorant and just overlooked this.

Meow - July 12, 2017, 4:25 am

(Jul 12, 2017 04:10 AM)Cammy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I thought he admitted to being a multi before He said that he was on HF "long time ago" and that he purchased ebooks which turned out to be scams. Apparently. And now he randomly came back to review (and sell) them.

Armada - July 12, 2017, 5:13 am

(Jul 12, 2017 04:07 AM)RBH Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think he and everybody else here has every right to review products from the marketplace. Sure it is a little suspicious that a new user would do this and I am pretty sure his motive is to sell his own products better. But I don't really care if he atleast keeps his reviews on the quality level it is now.

By all means, go ahead anyone who is part of the marketplace has an even say in what they think of the products they have purchased, considered purchasing etc.

That is not my point.

This situation is as old as time itself on the site.

Members join, quickly gain rep and rapport and suddenly shit goes haywire.

I am simply advising what is common sense for the Marketplace to start.

Deal with caution. "Trust no one", especially Wolves dressed in sheeps clothing.

(Jul 12, 2017 04:25 AM)Meow? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) He said that he was on HF "long time ago" and that he purchased ebooks which turned out to be scams. Apparently. And now he randomly came back to review (and sell) them.

Again, I mean thats a hell of a long time to hold a grudge over buying a shitty ebook. I am saying it here and now, there is something else at play involved here.

Greg???????????????????? - July 12, 2017, 5:53 pm

(Jul 12, 2017 04:10 AM)Cammy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I thought he admitted to being a multi before He did admit to being a multi on one of his threads

Gary - July 12, 2017, 8:19 pm

(Jul 12, 2017 05:53 PM)H3God Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) He did admit to being a multi on one of his threads

Also I gave you the account that was banned with his profile as the ban reason.