

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Cryptix : Network-Owner Tool](#)

CryptixHacking - July 18, 2017, 11:55 pm

This is a simple project I created that allows you to hack and manipulate packets withing your own LAN network. By using this tool you can force any system on your network to be kicked offline or allow them back online. You can make any website they visit load another website. You can inject HTML code into any HTTP webpage they visit in browser or even log and capture the network data from any selected port you desire.

[Virus Scan Results](#)

[Download Cryptix Network Owner](#)

Command Line Version also available,

[Download CryptixArp CommandLine](#)

Command Line Based Tool - Is a revamped and modified version of zxarps "pZXARPS" - Credit to "LZX,SinCoder"

Publicly available open source

Should also be noted this application will not work without WinPcap Drivers installed so you may need to install WinPcap if you get issues

Gary - July 18, 2017, 11:57 pm

any network on your system

Do you mean any system on your network?

Armada - July 19, 2017, 6:48 am

I stand corrected.

Sorry for the misunderstanding, that wrapper you used raised some real unusual flags.

Cleaned your thread up for ya. ?

CryptixHacking - July 19, 2017, 11:23 am

(Jul 19, 2017 07:25 AM)Yani Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Seems like you didn't make this

<https://github.com/sincoder/zxarps/blob/master/main.cpp>

You are correct I never made the zxarps tool I believe that was created by a asain or chinese guy going by the name of sincoder.

I did however use his source code supplied there and converted most of the gibberish back into english also cleaned up a few functions they had going within the code. And edited a few of the header library files within the source. So tech I converted the code into a more understandable english version and fixed a few small functions in the code. The GUI "wrapper" however itself is fully custom and coded in lua to interact with the modified version of that command line tool I converted and fixed up. So I will admit I somewhat script-kiddie'd the orginal zxarps source but really just as a way to understand how its functions worked and to make it easier to use.

Its a edited,modified and fixed up version of a open source release built upon and expended upon.

Armada - July 19, 2017, 11:35 am

(Jul 19, 2017 11:23 AM)CryptixHacking Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You are correct I never made the zxarps tool I believe that was created by a asain or chinese guy going by the name of sincoder.

I did however use his source code supplied there and converted most of the gibberish back into english also cleaned up a few functions they had going within the code. And edited a few of the header library files within the source. So tech I converted the code into a more understandable english version and fixed a few small functions in the code. The GUI "wrapper" however itself is fully custom and coded in lua to interact with the modified version of that command line tool I converted and fixed up. So I will admit I somewhat script-kiddie'd the orginal zxarps source but really just as a way to understand how its functions worked and to make it easier to use.

Its a edited,modified and fixed up version of a open source release built upon and expended upon. Er, conversion of source code from an already readable, compiled version is well.. theft...

Being you purged the debate I had with you earlier, I will quote your OP instead:

Quote:This is a simple project I created

I am sorry, WHO created?

You literally just admitted you used someone elses source, but yet this is something you wrote?

I f*cking knew there was something up when I ran my scan this morning.... SMH...

CryptixHacking - July 19, 2017, 11:38 am

(Jul 19, 2017 11:35 AM)Armada Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Er, conversion of source code from an already readable, compiled version is well.. theft...

Being you purged the debate I had with you earlier, I will quote your OP instead:

I am sorry, WHO created?

You literally just admitted you used someone elses source, but yet this is something you wrote?

I f*cking knew there was something up when I ran my scan this morning.... SMH...

Listen the GUI "wrapper" 150% coded by me using the lua language via the AutoPlay media Studio.

The command line tool that the GUI uses to work and interact with - CryptixArp is based off the source of zxarps by sincoder yes but in no way shape or form is it the same code. His first off isnt even in english and many of the functions and loops within his source had issues. So I took and fixed and converted the command line tool source code myself and recompiled it to be english friendly and work better then compiled the "AMS" based GUI to work with it thats all.

Correct I Created this project.

You can check out the zxarps command line tool yourself and see its open source files will not match up to my command line tool I fixed revamped converted and rebuilt and expended upon his source then made a GUI for the tool after. Not sure how taking a "OPEN SOURCE" publicly available source code that half of which is not even in english and or its functions flawed and recompiling it counts as theft. Maybe at most a little script kiddiesh but theft really lol.

I would like if people would calm there tits down and think before spamming post on my thread that or inaccurate or irrelevant, I understand asking question but straight up making claims and false claims is nothing but annoying.

Armada - July 19, 2017, 11:46 am

(Jul 19, 2017 11:38 AM)CryptixHacking Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Listen the GUI "wrapper" 150% coded by me using the lua language via the AutoPlay media Studio.

The command line tool that the GUI uses to work and interact with - CryptixArp is based off the source of zxarps by sincoder yes but in no way shape or form is it the same code. His first off isnt even in english and many of the functions and loops within his source had issues. So I took and fixed and converted the command line tool source code myself and recompiled it to be english friendly and work better then compiled the "AMS" based GUI to work with it thats all.

Correct I Created this project.

You can check out the zxarps command line tool yourself and see its open source files will not match up to my command line tool I fixed revamped converted and rebuilt and expended upon his source then made a GUI for the tool after. Not sure how taking a "OPEN SOURCE" publicly available source code that half of which is not even in english and or its functions flawed and recompiling it counts as theft. Maybe at most a little script kiddiesh but theft really lol.

You talk open source and wrapped it in a fancy wrapper.

Expect my +4 to turn to a -4 once my cool down ends for this gibberish.

I wasted time analyzing this only to be told I was wrong and only to be shown it was fucked up anyways, although in another method.

Some links to mull over while you consider grabbing another github project to push around here:

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5673178>

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5657375>

Result:

Dont do it man....

Belief it or not, I am trying to help here. lul

CryptixHacking - July 19, 2017, 11:49 am

LOL

The source I based this projects command line tool on xzarps "CryptixArp" is not registered under any trademark stamp or copyright protected in any way or form. Now taking a copyright protected or trademark protected source and editing that and claiming it as your own is just dumb which is not what I did here.

I suggest you take a look at the xzarps project itself and compare it to mine. One thing you should notice is that mine runs better and is smaller and is faster and is easier to understand.

I took a "OPEN SOURCE" non copyright or trademark protected source code fixed and converted the source code to be more effective and easier to use, Recompiled it then coded a custom wrapper and GUI to interact with it.

Armada - July 19, 2017, 11:52 am

(Jul 19, 2017 11:49 AM)CryptixHacking Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) LOL

The source I based this projects command line tool on xzarps "CryptixArp" is not registered under any trademark stamp or copyright protected in any way or form. Now taking a copyright protected or trademark protected source and editing that and claiming it as your own is just dumb which is not what I did here.

I suggest you take a look at the xzarps project itself and compare it to mine. One thing you should notice is that mine runs better and is smaller and is faster and is easier to understand.

I took a "OPEN SOURCE" non copyright or trademark protected source code fixed and converted the source code to be more effective and easier to use, Recompiled it then coded a custom wrapper and GUI to interact with it.

You took code that was not yours and claimed as it as your own.

That is theft, dumb ass.

CryptixHacking - July 19, 2017, 11:59 am

(Jul 19, 2017 11:52 AM)Armada Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You took code that was not yours and claimed as it as your own.

That is theft, dumb ass.

Not true I took a publicly available source code that is not copyrighted or protected under any trademark laws. And edited the original source code and its header libraries to work better and be easier to read and understand. I then recompiled it and created a wrapper and GUI to interact with the new command line tool that has been revamped.

That is not theft you cant get my program CryptixARP or the GUI Network Owner from anywhere

else online other than if someone else just uploads the same programs I created for the project.

You can get a zxarps project that is not copyright protected or trademark protected and compile or edit that code but it still will not be the same project or programs I have here.

Armada - July 19, 2017, 12:00 pm

(Jul 19, 2017 11:59 AM)CryptixHacking Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Not true I took a publicly available source code that is not copyrighted or protected under any trademark laws. And edited the original source code and its header libraries to work better and be easier to read and understand. I then recompiled it and created a wrapper and GUI to interact with the new command line tool that has been revamped.

That is not theft you cant get my program CryptixARP or the GUI Network Owner from anywhere else online.

You can get a zxarps project that is not copyright protected or trademark protected and compile or edit that code but it still will not be the same project or programs I have here.

I dont see any credit to the original coder though... ? ?

Have you respect?

CryptixHacking - July 19, 2017, 12:03 pm

(Jul 19, 2017 12:00 PM)Armada Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I dont see any credit to the original coder though... ? ?
Have you respect?

That is true I should of gave credit to the OG coder of the source I built upon that is true I give you that xD

But seeing as how the OG coder "sincoder" is like from korea or china and half his program wasnt even understandable in english and his code has been publicly avaiable for over 3 years and I had to fix some of his functions loops and header files I didnt care to give them credit.

Although I guess I should have , I guess yes it was a somewhat disrespectful move but at the same time his program is not the same as mine. But never the less you are correct I should have gave credit.

Armada - July 19, 2017, 12:06 pm

(Jul 19, 2017 12:03 PM)CryptixHacking Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That is true I should of gave credit to the OG coder of the source I built upon that is true I give you that xD

But seeing as how the OG coder "sincoder" is like from korea or china and half his program wasnt even understandable in english and his code has been publicly avaiable for over 3 years and I had to fix some of his functions loops and header files I didnt care to give them credit.

Although I guess I should have , I guess yes it was a somewhat disrespectful move but at the same time his program is not the same as mine. But never the less you are correct I should have gave credit.

I mean thats what respectable coders do:

Spoiler [\(Click to View\)](#)

CryptixHacking - July 19, 2017, 12:25 pm

(Jul 19, 2017 12:06 PM)Armada Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I mean thats what respectable coders do:
Well for what its worth I added credit to the OG coder in the main thread post.

But yes should be noted its based off a open source release that is not copyright protected or registered as a trademark. And even if they did copyright that code and project or trademark protect it. My project and programs cant be held liable to breaking such copyrights or trademark protections as I can prove I made the programs and project before the fact they were ever protected with such rights.

Armada - July 19, 2017, 12:28 pm

(Jul 19, 2017 12:25 PM)CryptixHacking Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Well for what its worth I added credit to the OG coder in the main thread post.

But yes should be noted its based off a open source release that is not copyright protected or registered as a trademark. And even if they did copyright that code and project or trademark protect it. My project and programs cant be held liable to breaking such copyrights or trademark protections as I can prove I made the programs and project before the fact they were ever protected with such rights.

Again, I am trying to help you here.

Read this:

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5673178>

See what happened?

He got banned. Intellectual property, open source or not, is not allowed to be "Re-branded" as what you have done here.

Take the warning dude....

CryptixHacking - July 19, 2017, 12:36 pm

(Jul 19, 2017 12:28 PM)Armada Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Again, I am trying to help you here.

Read this:

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5673178>

See what happened?

He got banned. Intellectual property, open source or not, is not allowed to be "Re-branded" as what you have done here.

Take the warning dude....

I agree open source code should not be re-branded in such a way especially in that case, See in that case its different because the stub "target file" is a identical match to the OG programs stub builder, There for its using the exact same code in that part of the project and is UN-modified. That can cause issues as they are claiming its something new when infact its exactly the same. Also if I had to guess they probably added a terms of agreement or such intellectual property acknowledgement to the source code or project itself. And then that user broke those terms or acknowledgement buy not fully modifying the code or holding up to the agreements of the open source release.

My programs and project cant be held liable to the same standards as this for one because there was no such agreement terms or intellectual property acknowledgement within it to downloading or obtaining the open source code.

Also my program is not the same as the open source release, Its completely modified unlike that

above project that has issues. My code is not the same code as the open source code where as that other project's stub source is using the exact same source un-modified.

And from the looks of that project they only just changed the GUI look of the program and claimed it as something new which I never have done or will do.

Armada - *July 19, 2017, 3:30 pm*

I find it best to leave it be here.

Good luck, sure I will come across you again.

Regards, and the best on HF.