

# Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Quick Feedback Regarding Coding Sections](#)

**Bluffer** - August 25, 2017, 11:18 am

Just wanted to post some quick feedback about something that really... grinds my gears.

The coding sections on here are mostly for people to learn and for others to help people learn.

So why is it that when you ask a question, and somebody helps you learn something regarding coding, you decide to edit your thread so nobody knows what you asked in the first place?

Editing the thread removes the contents of your question, and means the next person who has a similar issue won't be able to find their answer by searching.

Many people do this and that's what grinds my gears. I just wanted to express my thoughts on that.

[The thread that sparked this feedback/rant.](#)

**Nachfolger** - August 25, 2017, 11:20 am

Yeah I agree, I hate people who do this. Or people who think their little PHP or HTML question is worthy of moving to PMs with.

**.iniFile** - August 25, 2017, 11:31 am

They do that because they want to seem more superior than everyone else, knowing answers to all the questions. If you make 10k a month from your ebook, you just can't have something like that around. It will show everyone how less of an intelligent person you claim to be.

Just my 2 cents.

**M?xim** - August 25, 2017, 12:15 pm

Very true. I agree.

If the section would be perfect; people would share their solutions and then lock it. Without editing it out.

It applies to all 'learning' sections (tech, coding, graphics...).

**Voxfames** - August 25, 2017, 12:43 pm

Easy solution is if you are going to reply/help be sure to quote the OP :) then they cant delete what they originally wrote because it will still exist in the quote.

**Charger** - August 25, 2017, 12:44 pm

(Aug 25, 2017 11:20 AM)Nachfolger Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yeah I agree, I hate people who do this. Or people who think their little PHP or HTML question is worthy of moving to PMs with. I've blocked every person that has reached out via PM instead of posting their code.

Use the market if you want to keep it private. Use the coding section if you want help.

**Steve** - August 25, 2017, 1:10 pm

Editing out contents of threads is a general problem across the entire forum. Whether it be sales threads, help in coding, or other threads people just seem to edit out the contents when they don't need the thread anymore. Although the [Help Docs](#) do not say this is against the rules, I know Staff has been giving verbal and perhaps even actual warnings for those who do it.

**Bluffer** - August 25, 2017, 4:22 pm

(Aug 25, 2017 01:10 PM)Steve Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Editing out contents of threads is a general problem across the entire forum. Whether it be sales threads, help in coding, or other threads people just seem to edit out the contents when they don't need the thread anymore. Although the [Help Docs](#) do not say this is against the rules, I know Staff has been giving verbal and perhaps even actual warnings for those who do it.

Well I know rule suggestions are not allowed but this is something I'd love to see become a rule. It affects the quality of almost every single section. I've only noticed it the most in the coding sections.

Glad to hear that staff do give out warnings over it though.

**NurSpec** - August 26, 2017, 12:08 am

Noticed a lot of this and I barely use the sections. Good points made.

**.Linear** - August 26, 2017, 7:52 am

Its my first time on seeing those thing but it really grinds my gear. Its okay when it was done on a sales thread but not on a questioning type topic. I don't know why they are doing that. I hope they stop on doing that.

**Kuri** - August 26, 2017, 8:52 am

Im guessing its a ego thing, If people see I need to ask questions my credibility is not as valid.

**Sajuuk** - August 28, 2017, 12:02 pm

I'm just as guilty as anyone else who's posted question threads within the coding section. But, to be honest, it really has become more of a common annoyance than it used to be, specifically within the C++ and CLI subforums.

For all of the newcomers, coding newbs or whatever, looking up questions and coming across threads only to find that the original question is nowhere to be found is a burden in itself. Especially so if what you're searching for isn't relatively often debated or even hardly ever mentioned. It's always been like that and I doubt it'll ever change, so hard-to-find information will likely always be hard to find. :/

**Confound** - August 28, 2017, 12:24 pm

This certainly seems to be a problem that exists throughout the forum and not just in the coding sections. This is definitely useful feedback - hopefully enough people can see this and get the message to not keep on editing threads after their questions have been answered. May also be interesting to develop some sort of Help thread in coding sections where questions can constantly be asked and answered, and then someone can create some sort of Index that helps pin point certain questions so new users have an easier time finding their question through this Index instead of recreating old threads when they can't find the help they are looking for.

**Sajuuk** - August 28, 2017, 1:07 pm

(Aug 28, 2017 12:24 PM)Confound Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This certainly seems to be a problem that exists throughout the forum and not just in the coding sections. This is definitely useful feedback - hopefully enough people can see this and get the message to not keep on editing threads after their questions have been answered. May also be interesting to develop some sort of Help thread in

coding sections where questions can constantly be asked and answered, and then someone can create some sort of Index that helps pin point certain questions so new users have an easier time finding their question through this Index instead of recreating old threads when they can't find the help they are looking for.

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5698799>

Now that paid stickies are widely available at an affordable price, stickies now hold no meaning. That includes help desks that are only stickied because they're paid for to be stickied. (Not referenced for support on that OP's side. The topics mentioned in that thread are semi-relative with this separate issue.)

Not to mention, such an addition already exists and has existed since board members were able to pay to get their shit stickied. There were a few genuinely helpful stickies a few years ago but nowadays those that were held accountable to fit the old definition of "high quality" back then have literally nothing to conform to by today's member's expectations.

I do agree it is present across the board, though. However, like I've said: it's never changed nor slowed down so don't get your hopes up. Old/OG help desk method:

- 1) visit VB.NET section
- 2) post help desk thread
- 3) wait for questions, post answers to the first few on the first page
- 4) never post another answer again (past the second page, no one looks past the second page) and reap the benefits of having a thread locked with 2,000 replies, mostly asking all the same questions

**70s** - August 28, 2017, 1:11 pm

I hate this but when its in the marketplace and people edit the thread to sell something new and you have posts from the old sale and its all so damn confusing

**Vitani** - August 28, 2017, 1:13 pm

I've noticed this as well and it's annoying. I think it would be a good thing if there were certain restrictions for editing in said sections. Hard to implement though without it being more harmful then helpful.

**Confound** - August 28, 2017, 2:35 pm

(Aug 28, 2017 01:07 PM)Sajuuk Wrote: [\[CITE\] https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5698799](https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5698799)

Now that paid stickies are widely available at an affordable price, stickies now hold no meaning. That includes help desks that are only stickied because they're paid for to be stickied. (Not referenced for support on that OP's side. The topics mentioned in that thread are semi-relative with this separate issue.)

Not to mention, such an addition already exists and has existed since board members were able to pay to get their shit stickied. There were a few genuinely helpful stickies a few years ago but nowadays those that were held accountable to fit the old definition of "high quality" back then have literally nothing to conform to by today's member's expectations.

I do agree it is present across the board, though. However, like I've said: it's never changed nor slowed down so don't get your hopes up. Old/OG help desk method:

- 1) visit VB.NET section
- 2) post help desk thread

3) wait for questions, post answers to the first few on the first page

4) never post another answer again (past the second page, no one looks past the second page) and reap the benefits of having a thread locked with 2,000 replies, mostly asking all the same questions

I mean I'm not really referring to Help Desks, and if you looked at that thread, you'll see that I've replied, but I appreciate your response. An index of questions and answers that are asked and answered by multiple users is very different than a minimally useful help desk.

**Steve** - August 28, 2017, 4:30 pm

(Aug 25, 2017 04:22 PM)?luffer Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Well I know rule suggestions are not allowed but this is something I'd love to see become a rule. It affects the quality of almost every single section. I've only noticed it the most in the coding sections.

Glad to hear that staff do give out warnings over it though.

Not only are rules suggestions not allowed (as you pointed out) but suggestions as a whole are not being taken at the time.

If it becomes a major problem Omniscient will address it.

**webdev77** - August 29, 2017, 5:42 am

This is also why thread junking was removed. People abuse it. Don't let the edit feature be abused too.

**False King** - August 29, 2017, 6:01 am

Quite simple solution to this. Once they edit the thread to stuff like this, it's either LQ/avoiding the removal of the junk feature. Simply report these violations to a staff member through PM if it can't be reported, or if possible just report it. After a few warnings people will learn, I assume.

**Vana** - August 29, 2017, 6:33 am

(Aug 29, 2017 06:01 AM)Wessel Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Quite simple solution to this. Once they edit the thread to stuff like this, it's either LQ/avoiding the removal of the junk feature. Simply report these violations to a staff member through PM if it can't be reported, or if possible just report it. After a few warnings people will learn, I assume.

Like this?

<https://hackforums.net/search.php?action=results&sid=5b3c5cf61d4a7244c1fb631f915fbf70>

**M?xim** - August 29, 2017, 7:09 am

(Aug 29, 2017 06:33 AM)Vana Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Like this?

<https://hackforums.net/search.php?action=results&sid=5b3c5cf61d4a7244c1fb631f915fbf70>

Christ... Many threads are recently. Forward that to a staff member.

14-fucking-pages.

**Rhez** - August 29, 2017, 8:25 am

It really sucks but the edit features has been abused. Editing a help thread to "answered. please delete" is something like selfishness. This is a community, we should help each other and by doing those things, you only help yourself. Maybe because of their ego and act like they don't ask question from anybody else but yeah, its not appropriate.

I'm talking about HELP thread.

**Omniscient** - August 29, 2017, 10:17 am

Maybe I will remove edit ability the same as I did Junk. It grinds my gears too.

Members who edit their content to remove the quality are FUCKING ASSHOLES.

**Charger** - August 29, 2017, 10:19 am

(Aug 29, 2017 10:17 AM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Maybe I will remove edit ability the same as I did Junk. I grinds my gears too.

Members who edit their content to remove the quality are FUCKING ASSHOLES.

I don't think removing edit ability is the best idea. Maybe the ability to see the original post (maybe for staff?). Obviously, you can't save every edit nor is it really needed.

**Steve** - August 29, 2017, 10:19 am

(Aug 29, 2017 10:17 AM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Maybe I will remove edit ability the same as I did Junk. I grinds my gears too.

Members who edit their content to remove the quality are FUCKING ASSHOLES.

I always thought that would be going too far but at this members abuse it and don't see the problem. Hopefully this doesn't happen though.

**Confound** - August 29, 2017, 10:20 am

Editing threads is definitely helpful in the event that you make a mistake in your post and you genuinely need to fix it for legitimate reasons. I can see how people abuse the feature though and why it may be necessary to get rid of it. I would prefer some kind of alternate solution as opposed to a complete removal of the edit thread feature. When I work on longer length threads, I would fear tremendously upon posting it that if I make an error, I wouldn't be able to fix it.

**Omniscient** - August 29, 2017, 10:24 am

Quote:I don't think removing edit ability is the best idea.

I don't care how bad of an idea it is. Likely I'd allow for editing where you'd only be able to add to the post and not edit existing content. It's just too fucked up when members edit their threads to remove the bulk of their content and it's not just every now and then. It's frequent. It's very frequent. It's bullshit.

I have low tolerance for bullshit and obviously removing junk wasn't enough. You'll all lose edit ability one day. That's nearly a guarantee because we have too many stupid fucking asshole morons still on HF.

**Confound** - August 29, 2017, 10:28 am

(Aug 29, 2017 10:24 AM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't care how bad of an idea it is. Likely I'd allow for editing where you'd only be able to add to the post and not edit existing content. It's just too fucked up when members edit their threads to remove the bulk of their content and it's not just every now and then. It's frequent. It's very frequent. It's bullshit.

I wonder if it'd be possible to create some sort of algorithm that depending on how long the original thread post is, you can only edit/remove a certain percentage of its content? So for example, if the thread is 2000 characters, you can only remove 10% of the 2000 characters, thus you can only edit/remove 200 characters worth of content? And additionally, like you said, you could add as much content to the OP as you wanted? I don't know much about coding, and whether or not an idea like this is even possible, but maybe that could be a potential idea to combat this issue that is forum wide.

**Elitas** - August 29, 2017, 10:34 am

(Aug 29, 2017 10:24 AM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't care how bad of an idea it is. Likely I'd allow for editing where you'd only be able to add to the post and not edit existing content. It's just

too fucked up when members edit their threads to remove the bulk of their content and it's not just every now and then. It's frequent. It's very frequent. It's bullshit.

I have low tolerance for bullshit and obviously removing junk wasn't enough. You'll all lose edit ability one day. That's nearly a guarantee because we have too many stupid fucking asshole morons still on HF. A simple plugin which exposes previous revisions would be a good idea. 99% of the time it'd just show people correcting typos. But when some asshole does edit away his content, then it'll expose him. Not only this but people who say something and look like an asshole so that they then edit their posts to look better will also be exposed.

**Vitani** - August 29, 2017, 10:42 am

(Aug 29, 2017 10:34 AM)Elitas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) A simple plugin which exposes previous revisions would be a good idea. 99% of the time it'd just show people correcting typos. But when some asshole does edit away his content, then it'll expose him. Not only this but people who say something and look like an asshole so that they then edit their posts to look better will also be exposed.

I think something like this would be a better and less harmful solution then removing the edit feature all together.

Personally I edit my threads (and posts) a lot to improve them as I'm sure others do as well.

Maybe a rollback plugin of some sorts to roll a post back to the previous edit and adding an report option to the report window for this. That way staff could easily detect, warn and fix posts that have been altered like this.

Then again it should be common sense that this sort of thing is not allowed.

**Elitas** - August 29, 2017, 10:46 am

(Aug 29, 2017 10:42 AM)Vitani Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think something like this would be a better and less harmful solution then removing the edit feature all together.

Personally I edit my threads (and posts) a lot to improve them as I'm sure others do as well.

Maybe a rollback plugin of some sorts to roll a post back to the previous edit and adding an report option to the report window for this. That way staff could easily detect, warn and fix posts that have been altered like this.

Then again it should be common sense that this sort of thing is not allowed.

I think the main issue with exposing posts/publishing them publicly is that it does impede on user's privacy. Some users when they leave the site like to delete/edit out their posts to nullify them. This can prevent personal information staying connected with them (not entirely but it is important to be able to overwrite accidental exposure of information).

How about this scheme: Edits shown on the site for more then 1440 minutes (1 day) will be shown in this exposure plugin. It's not incredibly important but I do know that some users relish the ability to overwrite their content for personal benefits (other than just blatantly being assholes).

**Vitani** - August 29, 2017, 10:50 am

(Aug 29, 2017 10:46 AM)Elitas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think the main issue with exposing posts/publishing them publicly is that it does impede on user's privacy. Some users when they leave the site like to delete/edit out their posts to nullify them. This can prevent personal information staying connected with them (not entirely but it is important to be able to overwrite accidental exposure of information).

How about this scheme: Edits shown on the site for more then 1440 minutes (1 day) will be shown in this exposure plugin. It's not incredibly important but I do know that some users relish the ability to overwrite their content for personal benefits (other than just blatantly being assholes).

That's actually not a bad idea as the plugin will only log a threads previous edit once a change has

been made. That way it shouldn't be too heavy on the server performance and solve this issue.

I do think it would be good for people who (actively) do this to be banned or warned with a specific warning so even they know not to do it anymore from that moment on.

**Dredd** - August 29, 2017, 12:26 pm

(Aug 29, 2017 10:17 AM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Maybe I will remove edit ability the same as I did Junk. It grinds my gears too.

Members who edit their content to remove the quality are FUCKING ASSHOLES.

Not everyone removes content though, sometimes people add to the content or edit the content already there.

**Nachfolger** - August 29, 2017, 12:28 pm

(Aug 29, 2017 10:17 AM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Maybe I will remove edit ability the same as I did Junk. It grinds my gears too.

Members who edit their content to remove the quality are FUCKING ASSHOLES. Oh please do not remove edit. Perhaps there's other ways, removing edit is very dramatic.

**Elitas** - August 29, 2017, 1:10 pm

How about all edits which are remove more than 60% of the character in the post are sent for moderation?

**Night Fury** - August 29, 2017, 1:12 pm

(Aug 29, 2017 10:17 AM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Maybe I will remove edit ability the same as I did Junk. It grinds my gears too.

Members who edit their content to remove the quality are FUCKING ASSHOLES.

Could you remove the edit ability from just those that abuse the feature?

**M?xim** - August 29, 2017, 1:13 pm

(Aug 29, 2017 01:12 PM)Night Fury Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Could you remove the edit ability from just those that abuse the feature?

Those who abuse should get closed. Not only lose the ability to edit their threads.

And any manually handled solution is not worth it.

**bdawn** - August 29, 2017, 1:22 pm

Just read this but yes, I agree that its annoying. Often times, I've seen it where people edit their post to cover something wrong they did or to make themselves look good. It's quite annoying. Quality feedback suggestion.

**Night Fury** - August 29, 2017, 1:36 pm

(Aug 29, 2017 01:13 PM)Maxim Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Those who abuse should get closed. Not only lose the ability to edit their threads.

And any manually handled solution is not worth it.

Why would you say manually-handled solutions aren't worth it? Aren't all warnings handed out manually?

Btw, this user has already been warned before for doing the same thing to another thread of his.

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5671292&pid=55365976#pid55365976>

**Elitas** - August 29, 2017, 1:38 pm

(Aug 29, 2017 01:36 PM)Night Fury Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why would you say manually-handled solutions aren't worth it? Aren't all warnings handed out manually?

Btw, this user has already been warned before for doing the same thing to another thread of his. <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5671292&pid=55365976#pid55365976>

Because loading more work onto staff members isn't really fair. Ideally there would be a minimal amount of manual work needed to run this forum on a daily basis. This is a feature we would like to see implemented and the best chance of it being implemented is if we explore every aspect of it so that it has as little negative effect on the community as possible.

**Night Fury** - August 29, 2017, 1:40 pm

(Aug 29, 2017 01:38 PM)Elitas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Because loading more work onto staff members isn't really fair. Ideally there would be a minimal amount of manual work needed to run this forum on a daily basis. This is a feature we would like to see implemented and the best chance of it being implemented is if we explore every aspect of it so that it has as little negative effect on the community as possible.

Which feature are you talking about and who is "we"?

**Nachfolger** - August 29, 2017, 1:42 pm

(Aug 29, 2017 01:38 PM)Elitas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Because loading more work onto staff members isn't really fair. Ideally there would be a minimal amount of manual work needed to run this forum on a daily basis. This is a feature we would like to see implemented and the best chance of it being implemented is if we explore every aspect of it so that it has as little negative effect on the community as possible. It's their job. They might not be paid to do it, but they have the option of opting out and no longer being a staff member.

Regardless, I'm not being ignorant of your point, the workload would increase by a huge amount. I think Omni should add another report option for users who deleted their content. Staff can view it and then hand out a warning of such.

**Elitas** - August 29, 2017, 1:43 pm

(Aug 29, 2017 01:40 PM)Night Fury Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Which feature are you talking about and who is "we"?

"We" being the people in this thread who support the suggestion and would like to see it put into place.

And sorry, I am talking about the suggestion of stopping users editing their posts.

I am arguing to automate the process instead of your recommendation of a manual process.

(Aug 29, 2017 01:42 PM)Nachfolger Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's their job. They might not be paid to do it, but they have the option of opting out and no longer being a staff member.

Regardless, I'm not being ignorant of your point, the workload would increase by a huge amount. I think Omni should add another report option for users who deleted their content. Staff can view it and then hand out a warning of such.

I mean if it is possible to automate the process then on earth not?

**Night Fury** - August 29, 2017, 1:56 pm

(Aug 29, 2017 01:10 PM)Elitas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How about all edits which are remove more than 60% of the character in the post are sent for moderation?

Your idea seems like a lot more work. Unticking a checked box labeled "editing privileges" seems like much less of a hassle.

**Elitas** - August 29, 2017, 2:03 pm

(Aug 29, 2017 01:56 PM)Night Fury Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Your idea seems like a lot more work. Unticking a checked box labeled "editing privileges" seems like much less of a hassle.

Well I had 2 suggestions if you scroll up.

**Cammy** - August 29, 2017, 2:55 pm

I'd like it better if the edit option was removed from thread OP post only and not subsequent posts since I think most people wouldn't like to see the edit function removed altogether and the issue is mostly present with the opening post on threads (afaik)

**Nachfolger** - August 29, 2017, 3:02 pm

(Aug 29, 2017 02:55 PM)Cammy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'd like it better if the edit option was removed from thread OP post only and not subsequent posts since I think most people wouldn't like to see the edit function removed altogether and the issue is mostly present with the opening post on threads (afaik) Cammy, dear cammy. This is dumb. What about people offering services who need to update their pricing etc.

I say remove it from anyone without a rank.

**Cammy** - August 29, 2017, 3:04 pm

(Aug 29, 2017 03:02 PM)Nachfolger Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Cammy, dear cammy. This is dumb. What about people offering services who need to update their pricing etc.

Make a new post. Removing the edit button altogether would result in the same problem anyway.

**VirtualFrost** - August 29, 2017, 4:13 pm

Generally, I found people do this when they don't want to seem like a 'noob' and how one day they can say they never had that much help. I agree it sucks though.

**Agent Shark** - August 29, 2017, 4:21 pm

I've always found that the editing feature has been abused.

**Rhez** - August 29, 2017, 6:28 pm

(Aug 29, 2017 10:24 AM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't care how bad of an idea it is. Likely I'd allow for editing where you'd only be able to add to the post and not edit existing content. It's just too fucked up when members edit their threads to remove the bulk of their content and it's not just every now and then. It's frequent. It's very frequent. It's bullshit.

I have low tolerance for bullshit and obviously removing junk wasn't enough. You'll all lose edit ability one day. That's nearly a guarantee because we have too many stupid fucking asshole morons still on HF.

Maybe just for certain thread only like HELP thread.

Some threads really need an edit for update purposes like TUTORIAL thread. ?

**Bluffer** - August 29, 2017, 6:33 pm

(Aug 29, 2017 03:02 PM)Nachfolger Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Cammy, dear cammy. This is dumb. What about people offering services who need to update their pricing etc.

I say remove it from anyone without a rank. Lots of upgraded members abuse the feature as well though.

**Nachfolger** - August 29, 2017, 6:36 pm

(Aug 29, 2017 06:33 PM)?luffer Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Lots of upgraded members abuse the feature as well though. Completely agree, no doubt. But removing the feature overall would have drastic effects on

marketplace sellers, for starters.

**Designer** - August 29, 2017, 7:00 pm

Removing or altering the edit functionality is one of the worst ideas ever.  
I'm not even going to waste my time explaining why removing it is dumb.

**Bluffer** - August 29, 2017, 7:39 pm

(Aug 29, 2017 06:36 PM)Nachfolger Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Completely agree, no doubt. But removing the feature overall would have drastic effects on marketplace sellers, for starters. Absolutely, I don't agree that is a step that needs to be taken here either.

I've often wondered if losing privileges of certain features could ever be a thing here?

I know post ban is already a thing, but here me out.

Lots of members are kids, why not treat them like it? Abuse rep system? Get ban and rep giving suspension too. Abuse editing? Suspended from editing posts, etc ,etc.

Guess it's easier to just ban and close accounts though. ?

(Aug 29, 2017 07:00 PM)Designer Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Removing or altering the edit functionality is one of the worst ideas ever.

I'm not even going to waste my time explaining why removing it is dumb.

Hf is not a democracy. I'm sure Omni wouldn't remove such a feature without deep thought though.

**bdawn** - August 29, 2017, 7:42 pm

(Aug 29, 2017 07:00 PM)Designer Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Removing or altering the edit functionality is one of the worst ideas ever.

I'm not even going to waste my time explaining why removing it is dumb.

Mate, did you "waste" your time even reading the thread? ?

**Voxfames** - August 29, 2017, 8:14 pm

(Aug 29, 2017 10:24 AM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't care how bad of an idea it is. Likely I'd allow for editing where you'd only be able to add to the post and not edit existing content. It's just too fucked up when members edit their threads to remove the bulk of their content and it's not just every now and then. It's frequent. It's very frequent. It's bullshit.

I have low tolerance for bullshit and obviously removing junk wasn't enough. You'll all lose edit ability one day. That's nearly a guarantee because we have too many stupid fucking asshole morons still on HF.

:) While I agree would it be possible to limit the ability to edit to certain section/posters? For example in the marketplace I do believe that OP should be able to edit their OP for offering a discount/updating inventory numbers/adding new items to their sales thread. Because if those kinds of things couldnt be edited in and could only be posted later in the thread it would create very disorganized sales threads where a discount would show up on page x and then would end on x+y or a new item would show up on page x and the only way to see it would be to look through the entire sales thread.

I do like the idea of being able to see edit history as mentioned by others in this thread. <- add edit history/add warnings to people who edit it down to a garbage post like in OP's example.