

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [BitcoinGold - Second Hardfork](#)

The Illusionist - September 5, 2017, 4:13 am

Yes BitcoinGold or BTG for short. Yet another hard fork of the Bitcoin Blockchain, much like BitcoinCash a few weeks back.

So this is a new development, and I will update this thread as I gather more info. Because this is so new some of this information might be inaccurate. If you have anything to add please post it below!

When will this happen?

This will happen on blocknumber 487427 which will be on the 1st of October.

Why another hard fork?

As some of you might know Bitcoin is NOT mineable through graphic cards or GPUs for short. It uses a different kind of technology called ASIC, now ASIC is expensive and big companies need to invest millions if not hundreds of millions of dollars to become relevant in the Bitcoin mining game.

This has created a problem, Bitcoin mining has become centralized by these big companies... And centralization goes against the core of Bitcoin, BTC needs to be decentralized.

So BitcoinGold will work on a different mining algorithm making it GPU mineable! Yes, you can then mine BitcoinGold with GPUs.

What does this mean?

It means the ASIC hardware will become useless for BitcoinGold.

It means everyone with a computer could start mining! Or anyone with a budget of around \$2300 can build their own full GPU mining rig and become relevant in the BitcoinGold mining sector.

It will create a global network of miners making it decentralized once again.

BTG is friendly to Bitcoin because it uses Equihash, a GPU-optimized PoW algorithm, for mining. It will be competing with ETH and ETC for hash power, not BTC. This will be very good for GPU miners, as they will have another profitable coin to mine. It will be very interesting to see how much hash power switches from ETH to BTG.

If I hold Bitcoin will I get BitcoinGold?

Yes, as long as your wallet supports it or if you control your own private key (same concept as BCH)

What exactly a forkcoin?

Hardforks are much like altcoins a forkcoin can be created by pretty much anyone really, and it's almost more efficient than starting an altcoin with an ICO. Because with forkcoins you get an airdrop of coins, everyone who owns the original coin now owns the new coin. This is good because then you already have a solid user base + a lot of name recognition. Plus... Everyone gets to keep the same private key, which will mean 1 private key for BTC, BCH, BTG and any other forkcoin that might follow.

I think we will see a lot more forkcoins coming soon.

"For too long, Bitcoin has been held back by the centralize (sic) mining industry,"

"GPU brings Bitcoin into the modern age with an exciting technological roadmap to enable massive

on-chain scaling well into the future with decentralize (sic).”

Updates:

#1:

bitstar.com & bitexchange.com.hk are confirmed exchange site that will support this fork, if you have BTC on these exchanges you will get BitcoinGold when the split happens.

#2:

A new schedule has been released, there is a good chance this will be launching the 1st of October.

#3:

BTG will have Windows, Linux and Mac support for both wallets and mining on the day of release.

#4:

BTG will implement Lightning Network as soon as possible, to benefit from the BTC/LTC Lightning ecosystem and network effects.

#5:

The blocknumber for the split is 487427

Multiple big exchanges in China have agreed to list BitcoinGold and support the fork, the names of these exchange will be publicly available soon :)

More info will be added soon...

Some extra info;

<https://cointelegraph.com/news/suddenly-bitcoin-gpu-hard-fork-could-give-bitcoiners-more-free-money>
<https://bitcoingpu.org/>

This information is entirely written by me, I'm in personal contact with the developers, if you have any questions for them please PM me and I'll ask them.

My article has been reposted on steemit without my permission or credit toward my being the OG writer.

Sheiva - September 5, 2017, 4:15 am

I missed out on the free BitcoinCash, could you possibly include a guide on how to redeem these coins when it happens?

Mr Kewl - September 5, 2017, 4:40 am

Who's leading this GPU movement?

SIM-2223238 - September 5, 2017, 5:21 am

A new release by bitcoin! BitcoinSilver, BitcoinBronze, BitcoinAluminium

?now - September 5, 2017, 5:29 am

(Sep 5, 2017 05:21 AM)Aggron Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) A new release by bitcoin! BitcoinSilver, BitcoinBronze, BitcoinAluminium

Shit thought those were League of Legends ranks

webdev77 - September 5, 2017, 5:31 am

Also just curious on this. What is going to be the limitation of GPU mining to prevent Asics again for the new split? Is this going to be a memory limited algorithm like ethereum?

The Illusionist - September 5, 2017, 5:39 am

(Sep 5, 2017 05:31 AM)webdev77 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Also just curious on this. What is going to be the limitation of GPU mining to prevent Asics again for the new split? Is this going to be a memory limited algorithm like ethereum?

ASIC works on a sha256 algorithm, BitcoinGold will work on something called Aquahash (I believe). This can only be processed by graphic cards, not by ASIC machines.

LanMan - September 5, 2017, 6:19 am

(Sep 5, 2017 05:39 AM)The Illusionist Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) ASIC works on a sha256 algorithm, BitcoinGold will work on something called Aquahash (I believe). This can only be processed by graphic cards, not by ASIC machines.

what webdev777 is saying, it's that specific designed ASIC can work on ANY algo, but cryptonight and ethash were designed to be very memory intensive so making an ASIC for it would be too costly (Since memory access is the more costly operation)

Now is Aquahash designed in the same way ?

(Sep 5, 2017 05:21 AM)Aggron Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) A new release by bitcoin! BitcoinSilver, BitcoinBronze, BitcoinAluminium

You gave me an idea, inb4 BitcoinAdamantium.

The Illusionist - September 5, 2017, 7:34 am

(Sep 5, 2017 06:19 AM)LanMan Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) what webdev777 is saying, it's that specific designed ASIC can work on ANY algo, but cryptonight and ethash were designed to be very memory intensive so making an ASIC for it would be too costly (Since memory access is the more costly operation)

Now is Aquahash designed in the same way ?

You gave me an idea, inb4 BitcoinAdamantium.

Yes, it's designed in the same way, ASICs will be useless with mining BitcoinGold.

PARABELLUM - September 5, 2017, 7:51 am

goood, this time btc wont loose hash rates

i will dump the shit after few hours :)

The Illusionist - September 5, 2017, 1:47 pm

(Sep 5, 2017 07:51 AM)khalil951 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) goood, this time btc wont loose hash rates i will dump the shit after few hours :)

Correct BTC will keep it hash rate, however other GPU mineable coins might lose some hash rates like Ether.

PARABELLUM - September 5, 2017, 2:07 pm

(Sep 5, 2017 01:47 PM)The Illusionist Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Correct BTC will keep it hash rate, however other GPU mineable coins might lose some hash rates like Ether.

monero is more likely to loose some instead of ether

Boolean type - September 5, 2017, 2:09 pm

Great news, we gonna get free coins again. More hardforks please xD

jahas - September 5, 2017, 2:34 pm

Alts will have a tough time during the hard fork as people accumulate BTC to get free BTCTGold.

VirtualMon3y - September 5, 2017, 3:28 pm

Let the fun Begin! Will my profit/gains never be safe? Hahaha

SIM-82498 - September 5, 2017, 3:34 pm

Errbody gonna be cashing out their alts right before the fork for some free tokens, amirite?

Goals - September 5, 2017, 6:56 pm

Bitcoin is becoming a joke now. 2 hardforks within a 3-4 month span.

Techius - September 5, 2017, 6:59 pm

More free money!

(Sep 5, 2017 06:56 PM)Goals Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Bitcoin is becoming a joke now. 2 hardforks within a 3-4 month span.

This isn't the fault of actual Bitcoin though.

The Illusionist - September 6, 2017, 2:42 am

(Sep 5, 2017 06:56 PM)Goals Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Bitcoin is becoming a joke now. 2 hardforks within a 3-4 month span.

Hardforks are much like altcoins, are altcoins a joke because there are thousands of altcoins? A forkcoin can be created by pretty much anyone really, and it's almost more efficient than starting an altcoin with an ICO. Because with forkcoins you get an airdrop of coins, everyone who owns the original coin now owns the new coin. This is good because then you already have a solid user base + a lot of name recognition.

I think we will see a lot more forkcoins coming soon.

TheIndex - September 6, 2017, 7:36 am

Very good points, I am not sure myself.

The Illusionist - September 6, 2017, 7:37 am

Update #1:

bitstar.com & bitexchange.com.hk are confirmed exchange site that will support this fork, if you have BTC on these exchanges you will get BitcoinGold when the split happens.

Update #2:

A new schedule has been released, there is a good chance this will be launching the 1st of October.

???? Shana - September 6, 2017, 11:03 am

(Sep 6, 2017 07:37 AM)The Illusionist Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Update #1:

bitstar.com & bitexchange.com.hk are confirmed exchange site that will support this fork, if you have BTC on these exchanges you will get BitcoinGold when the split happens.

Update #2:

A new schedule has been released, there is a good chance this will be launching the 1st of October.

Any speculation on if this would affect the market like it did in the first fork?

The Illusionist - September 6, 2017, 11:05 am

(Sep 6, 2017 11:03 AM)???? Shana Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Any speculation on if this would affect the market like it did in the first fork?

I suppose it will, BCH is now \$600 with a 10 bill market cap I see no reason why BTG wouldn't have a few bill dollar market.

MagnusStor - September 6, 2017, 2:04 pm

Dont see why free coins make up such a high marketcap. Or am I wrong here?

And whats the main difference between CASH AND GOLD?

The Illusionist - September 6, 2017, 2:06 pm

(Sep 6, 2017 02:04 PM)MagnusStor Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Dont see why free coins make up such a high marketcap. Or am I wrong here?

And whats the main difference between CASH AND GOLD?

Because these coins are just as rare as BTC and take over a large user base from BTC. Every active BTC user will use BCH at some point due to either selling it or trading it.

Main difference:

BitcoinCash created a new blockchain with larger block sizes

BitcoinGold will create a new blockchain with GPU mineable blocks making mining decentralized again.

Malentor - September 6, 2017, 4:12 pm

I like free money but seems like everyone now will make a new fork of bitcoin and generate free money. Although I like free money I'm worried how this will affect bitcoin? There is already like 5 forks of bitcoin and we all keep using the original one xD

Orgy - September 6, 2017, 6:05 pm

no ones gonna care about this nonsense lol

The Illusionist - September 7, 2017, 12:27 am

(Sep 6, 2017 06:05 PM)Orgy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) no ones gonna care about this nonsense lol

This is actually pretty big for the mining community, the entire mining community (except for those big multi-million dollar companies) all run GPU miners soon this community of miners can mine BitcoinGold. Plus anyone who wants to do a little mining as a hobby can start doing it with their desktop PC.

It means that the BitcoinGold blockchain could potentially hold a lot of hashing power compared to the blockchain of BTC or BCH.

Update #3:

BTG will have Windows, Linux and Mac support for both wallets and mining on the day of release.

Update #4:

BTG will implement Lightning Network as soon as possible, to benefit from the BTC/LTC Lightning ecosystem and network effects.

LanMan - September 7, 2017, 6:08 am

(Sep 7, 2017 12:27 AM)The Illusionist Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Update #4:

BTG will implement Lightning Network as soon as possible, to benefit from the BTC/LTC Lightning ecosystem and network effects.Oh that means it will have segwit then ?

Orgy - September 7, 2017, 6:45 am

(Sep 7, 2017 12:27 AM)The Illusionist Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is actually pretty big for the mining community, the entire mining community (except for those big multi-million dollar companies) all run GPU miners soon this community of miners can mine BitcoinGold. Plus anyone who wants to do a little mining as a hobby can start doing it with their desktop PC.
anyone can release coins easy to mine for GPU miners -- that doesn't mean it'll be valuable

Vana - September 7, 2017, 6:53 am

(Sep 5, 2017 04:13 AM)The Illusionist Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It means everyone with a computer could start mining! Or anyone with a budget of around \$2300 can build their own full GPU mining rig and become relevant in the BitcoinGold mining sector.

It will create a global network of miners making it decentralized once again.

Do you even know how supply/demand and difficulty adjustments work?

Edit: Oh, this thread is just a copy/paste of

<https://steemit.com/bitcoin/@haiku/bitcoingold-the-second-hardfork>

Orgy - September 7, 2017, 7:06 am

(Sep 7, 2017 06:53 AM)Vana Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Do you even know how supply/demand and difficulty adjustments work?

hey im glad youre here. the other day i saw something about some new bitcoin fork coming out that seemed like it was a scam to get your private keys. is this the one?

turns out "bitcoingold" is very hard to google for.

?now - September 7, 2017, 7:07 am

More free money I aint complaining bring on the forks

Vana - September 7, 2017, 7:13 am

(Sep 7, 2017 07:06 AM)Orgy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) hey im glad youre here. the other day i saw something about some new bitcoin fork coming out that seemed like it was a scam to get your private keys. is this the one?

turns out "bitcoingold" is very hard to google for.

Wasn't that "Bitcoin Pay"?

?now - September 7, 2017, 7:14 am

(Sep 7, 2017 07:13 AM)Vana Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Wasn't that "Bitcoin Pay"?

Is their roasts on every fucking thread I visit ?

Vana - September 7, 2017, 7:17 am

(Sep 7, 2017 07:14 AM)?now Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Is their roasts on every fucking thread I visit ?

Huh? That was a serious reply.

https://www.reddit.com/r/Bitcoin/comments/6xtfgr/psa_bitcoin_pay_a_supposed_new_coinbtc_fork_w_ith/

Orgy - September 7, 2017, 7:31 am

(Sep 7, 2017 07:17 AM)Vana Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Huh? That was a serious reply.

https://www.reddit.com/r/Bitcoin/comments/6xtfgr/psa_bitcoin_pay_a_supposed_new_coinbtc_fork_w_ith/

Yeah that's the one. I couldn't remember. Thanks. But yeah I just don't see BitcoinGold taking off. No one cares about it other than GPU miners thinking they'll be able to mine "Bitcoin"

Danny Khalifa - September 7, 2017, 7:32 am

Great news.

Thank you for the HQ thread.

The Illusionist - September 7, 2017, 7:57 am

(Sep 7, 2017 06:53 AM)Vana Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Do you even know how supply/demand and difficulty adjustments work?

Edit: Oh, this thread is just a copy/paste of <https://steemit.com/bitcoin/@haiku/bitcoingold-the-second-hardfork>
LOL someone copied my thread and posted this.....

I literally wrote this thread.

He fucking stole my thread :(

EziNet - September 7, 2017, 7:59 am

(Sep 7, 2017 07:57 AM)The Illusionist Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) LOL someone copied my thread and posted this.....

I literally wrote this thread.

He fucking stole my thread :(
He already made \$20 ?

Vana - September 7, 2017, 8:05 am

(Sep 7, 2017 07:57 AM)The Illusionist Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) LOL someone copied my thread and posted this.....

I literally wrote this thread.

He fucking stole my thread :(
Ah I didn't look at the dates, I guess he did steal it lol.

The Illusionist - September 7, 2017, 8:07 am

(Sep 7, 2017 08:05 AM)Vana Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Ah I didn't look at the dates, I guess he did steal it lol.I put a lot of work into this, I even got into personal contact with the Chinese developers of the coin to get more information.

Sucks to see someone else taking the credit for this.

Pink-Tshirt - September 7, 2017, 8:14 am

So this second hardfork is doomed to fail ?

The Illusionist - September 7, 2017, 8:37 am

(Sep 7, 2017 08:14 AM)Pink-Tshirt Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So this second hardfork is doomed to fail ?
Why would it? Forkcoins are just like altcoins, some will fail some will succeed. This Forkcoin will have a decentralized global network of miners which is a good thing. If the community will hate it or love it... only time will tell.

shreder - September 7, 2017, 10:17 am

Report that steemit for plagiarism

?D?vi??c?t?™ - September 7, 2017, 12:34 pm

(Sep 5, 2017 05:21 AM)Aggron Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) A new release by bitcoin! BitcoinSilver, BitcoinBronze, BitcoinAluminium

You forgot Bitcoinexpectatronum

Orgy - September 7, 2017, 1:35 pm

Okay who wants to buy my Bitcoin gold futures ?

edit: anyone?

The Original - September 7, 2017, 7:25 pm

at least this will provide hashrate drop at eth/etc and everyone will win good thing imo

Vana - September 7, 2017, 7:33 pm

(Sep 7, 2017 07:25 PM)The Original Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) at least this will provide hashrate drop at eth/etc and everyone will win good thing imo

With the extreme lack of support for BTG I highly doubt it will be more profitable to mine than other coins, thereby making a hashrate shift unlikely.

FaberCastell - September 8, 2017, 4:00 am

If noone important is backing this, it'll be just a shitcoin and that's what it seems like.

The Illusionist - September 8, 2017, 4:21 am

(Sep 8, 2017 04:00 AM)FaberCastell Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If noone important is backing this, it'll be just a shitcoin and that's what it seems like.

The devs have notified us that big Chinese exchanges agreed to support the fork, they will release names of these exchanges soon.

Orgy - September 8, 2017, 5:48 am

(Sep 8, 2017 04:21 AM)The Illusionist Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The devs have notified us that big Chinese exchanges agreed to support the fork, they will release names of these exchanges soon.

Do you want to buy my Bitcoin gold futures?

The Illusionist - September 8, 2017, 5:53 am

(Sep 8, 2017 05:48 AM)Orgy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Do you want to buy my Bitcoin gold futures?How much per BTG? ?

PotatoBlood - September 8, 2017, 5:56 am

This is nearly as funny as the 'dope' BCH, what's next? A GPU fork with 1GB blocks!!!! Will this be as stable as BCH? ROFL

The Illusionist - September 8, 2017, 5:58 am

(Sep 8, 2017 05:56 AM)PotatoBlood Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is nearly as funny as the 'dope' BCH, what's next? A GPU fork with 1GB blocks!!!! Will this be as stable as BCH? ROFL

Prepare for my forkcoins, I think we'll see a shift towards more forkcoins next year rather than ICO's and altcoins. BCH has opened a new door.

Orgy - September 8, 2017, 6:00 am

(Sep 8, 2017 05:56 AM)PotatoBlood Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is nearly as funny as the 'dope' BCH, what's next? A GPU fork with 1GB blocks!!!! Will this be as stable as BCH? ROFL

Hey btw I'm still waiting for you here

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5704313&pid=55723579#pid55723579>

LanMan - September 8, 2017, 6:06 am

I was truly interested by this until i read their website <https://bitcoingpu.org/>, some parts just made me laugh, especially their plan/step, Step4 is world domination if we follow them ?

PotatoBlood - September 8, 2017, 6:47 am

(Sep 8, 2017 06:00 AM)Orgy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hey btw I'm still waiting for you here

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5704313&pid=55723579#pid55723579>I tend to ignore autistic kids like you, keep on dreaming BOI, one day BCH will rule the world rofl ?

Orgy - September 8, 2017, 8:41 am

(Sep 8, 2017 06:47 AM)PotatoBlood Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I tend to ignore autistic kids like you, keep on dreaming BOI, one day BCH will rule the world rofl ?

but you just responded to me -- that's not ignoring. that's dodging questions you know you cant respond to ;)

PotatoBlood - September 8, 2017, 9:32 am

(Sep 8, 2017 08:41 AM)Orgy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) but you just responded to me -- that's not ignoring. that's dodging questions you know you cant respond to ;)

Oh jesus you're such type of guy. I told or quoted my reasons, not sure what else you want to hear. Try google if you really want to know more, the link of that sophisticated application is in my signature!

Orgy - September 8, 2017, 9:33 am

(Sep 8, 2017 09:32 AM)PotatoBlood Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Oh jesus you're such type of guy. I told or quoted my reasons, not sure what else you want to hear. Try google if you really want to know more, the link of that sophisticated application is in my signature!

still not ignoring me as you said, but instead just ignoring anything where you'd have to prove your claims. interesting.

PotatoBlood - September 8, 2017, 9:37 am

(Sep 8, 2017 09:33 AM)Orgy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) still not ignoring me as you said, but instead just ignoring anything where you'd have to prove your claims. interesting. There I just ignored you ?

Interesting indeed, you're ignoring me yet you aren't, on top of that you ignore to accept my points. Typical interwebz kiddo mentality I guess

Vana - September 8, 2017, 9:38 am

(Sep 8, 2017 09:32 AM)PotatoBlood Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I told or quoted my reasons, not sure what else you want to hear.

Care to link to that?

PotatoBlood - September 8, 2017, 9:41 am

(Sep 8, 2017 09:38 AM)Vana Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Care to link to that?

I actually don't care to link that, this doesn't mean it's not there though.

I suggest you do your own research and base your opinion on YOUR thoughts, not by reading FUD, FOMO or social media, because that's what 99% here does.

Vana - September 8, 2017, 9:43 am

(Sep 8, 2017 09:41 AM)PotatoBlood Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I actually don't care to link that, this doesn't mean it's not there though.

Actually, it does since I don't see it anywhere in your post history.

Orgy - September 8, 2017, 9:44 am

(Sep 8, 2017 09:43 AM)Vana Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Actually, it does since I don't see it anywhere in your post history.

?

PotatoBlood - September 8, 2017, 9:50 am

(Sep 8, 2017 09:43 AM)Vana Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Actually, it does since I don't see it anywhere in your post history.

It's right here: <http://bit.ly/2vTMJ4K>

Vana - September 8, 2017, 9:51 am

(Sep 8, 2017 09:50 AM)PotatoBlood Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's right here: <http://bit.ly/2vTMJ4K>

That's cute, but it probably took more effort to do that then it would to simply link your (non-existent) post.

PotatoBlood - September 8, 2017, 10:41 am

(Sep 8, 2017 09:51 AM)Vana Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That's cute, but it probably took more effort to do that then it would to simply link your (non-existent) post. Yeah you're cute too, here's a quote

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5704313&pid=55722463#pid55722463>

Watch this and make up your own opinion. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AkbSrmsYJ9c>

But I doubt most of you have a timespan longer than 160 characters

Orgy - September 8, 2017, 12:12 pm

(Sep 8, 2017 10:41 AM)PotatoBlood Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yeah you're cute too, here's a quote

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5704313&pid=55722463#pid55722463>

Watch this and make up your own opinion. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AkbSrmsYJ9c>

But I doubt most of you have a timespan longer than 160 characters

woah im so surprised that you're unable to put any of this into your own words and have to just link to other shit

The Illusionist - September 10, 2017, 2:25 am

Multiple big exchanges in China have agreed to list BitcoinGold and support the fork, the names of these exchange will be publicly available soon :)

casuall - September 10, 2017, 3:50 am

So in a year we will also have BitcoinSilver, BitcoinCopper, BitcoinLegacy, LeBitcoin, BitcoinKing and many more?

It just seems retarded to me to create new chain whenever developers can't agree on common solution.. It adds nothing to bitcoin value.

Orgy - September 10, 2017, 5:32 am

(Sep 10, 2017 03:50 AM)casuall Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So in a year we will also have BitcoinSilver, BitcoinCopper, BitcoinLegacy, LeBitcoin, BitcoinKing and many more?

It just seems retarded to me to create new chain whenever developers can't agree on common solution.. It adds nothing to bitcoin value.

It probably wouldn't seem so retarded if you weren't retarded in the first place. Bitcoin Gold won't have much value. Maybe it'll be \$100 when it comes out but I doubt it. Forking off isn't a way to give value to Bitcoin. People forking off aren't trying to add value to Bitcoin. That would be counterproductive. Bitcoin gold isn't even a disagreement between devs. It's just some random people that have gpus but likely are scared of ETH POS.

BCC is the result of multiple years of disagreement and needed to happen before segwit activation.

S2X is because of NYA.

Any other forks don't matter

SIM-2015410 - September 10, 2017, 6:05 am

(Sep 5, 2017 04:13 AM)The Illusionist Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) As some of you might know Bitcoin is NOT mineable through graphic cards or GPUs for short. It uses a different kind of technology called ASIC, now ASIC is expensive and big companies need to invest millions if not hundreds of millions of dollars to become relevant in the Bitcoin mining game.

Correction, bitcoin is certainly mineable with GPUs or even CPUs, that used to be the only way to mine before ASICs became the standard. The difference is that the ASICs are so much more powerful than GPUs that people trying to mine with GPU will get no profit (in fact, lose money due to electricity bill). But it's still perfectly possible to mine in the sense of hashing blocks looking for a valid one (albeit how unlikely)

(Sep 7, 2017 12:27 AM)The Illusionist Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Update #3:

BTG will have Windows, Linux and Mac support for both wallets and mining on the day of release.

Update #4:

BTG will implement Lightning Network as soon as possible, to benefit from the BTC/LTC Lightning ecosystem and network effects. (Sep 7, 2017 06:08 AM)LanMan Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Oh that means it will have segwit then ?

Things like segwit, (and thereby lightning, etc) are basically guaranteed on fork coins unless they are deliberately removed for some reason, because if it's in the bitcoin source, the "devs" (lol) don't have to do any work to add it. It's just copy paste of bitcoin with a bit of changed mining code, that's all. Same with including all OS support, it's literally done for them in bitcoin, they're adding nothing useful to it.

Bitcoin Gold, what a joke -_-

Rubbish like this gives bitcoin a bad name to people who don't know anything about it, it's just wannabe coders trying to make their own 'bitcoin'. Guaranteed this will crash and burn the day of release lmao, wonder if they'll even have it working for release or if it will be as big a fail as cash was

Orgy - September 10, 2017, 6:12 am

(Sep 10, 2017 06:05 AM)MeshCollider Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) as big a fail as cash was
yeah lol cash only secured its place at #3 marketcap in its first month lol what a failure

MrCreepy - September 10, 2017, 11:00 am

GPU mining will boom and now gpus r going to be invisible from market again

SIM-2015410 - September 10, 2017, 1:10 pm

(Sep 10, 2017 06:12 AM)Orgy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) yeah lol cash only secured its place at #3 marketcap in its first month lol what a failure

Why tf would you neg someone just because you disagree with them. I was referring to the lack of replay protection and even basic ability to send transactions on the day of release, I couldn't care less about the market cap

Orgy - September 10, 2017, 2:26 pm

(Sep 10, 2017 01:10 PM)MeshCollider Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why tf would you neg someone just because you disagree with them. I was referring to the lack of replay protection and even basic ability to send transactions on the day of release, I couldn't care less about the market cap

??? bitcoin cash had both of those, though??

i negged u cause youre saying stupid shit. idc about disagreements. get your head out of your ass.

SIM-2015410 - September 10, 2017, 2:34 pm

(Sep 10, 2017 02:26 PM)Orgy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) ??? bitcoin cash had both of those, though??

i negged u cause youre saying stupid shit. idc about disagreements. get your head out of your ass. On the day of release, literally noone could send their cash to an exchange to sell it, nothing worked. They fixed it since then ofc, but not release day.

Orgy - September 10, 2017, 2:40 pm

(Sep 10, 2017 02:34 PM)MeshCollider Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) On the day of release, literally noone could send their cash to an exchange to sell it, nothing worked.

That was well known ahead of time. Many exchanges copied your balance over anyway and let you trade if you already had BTC on the exchange, so your point is incredibly stupid. Hell, that's not even the fault of BCC itself; it was just exchanges being cautious because of the risk that a contentious hardfork creates. Everything else worked, so why are you saying "nothing worked"?

The fact that you would call the coin a failure just because no exchanges were accepting new BCC on the first day is incredibly asinine.

Vana - September 10, 2017, 3:56 pm

(Sep 10, 2017 01:10 PM)MeshCollider Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) and even basic ability to send transactions on the day of release

The issue of the difficulty being too high for the initial hashrate was known ahead of time and is the reason EDAs were implemented.

SIM-2015410 - September 10, 2017, 4:25 pm

(Sep 10, 2017 02:40 PM)Orgy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That was well known ahead of time. Many exchanges copied your balance over anyway and let you trade if you already had BTC on the exchange, so your point is incredibly stupid. Hell, that's not even the fault of BCC itself; it was just exchanges being cautious because of the risk that a contentious hardfork creates. Everything else worked, so why are you saying "nothing worked"?

The fact that you would call the coin a failure just because no exchanges were accepting new BCC on the first day is incredibly asinine.

<https://www.businessinsider.com.au/bitcoin-cash-price-may-be-house-of-cards-close-to-collapsing-2017-8>

Vana - September 10, 2017, 4:31 pm

(Sep 10, 2017 04:25 PM)MeshCollider Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)

<https://www.businessinsider.com.au/bitcoin-cash-price-may-be-house-of-cards-close-to-collapsing-2017-8>

Can you explain whatever your argument is with your own words? That article makes several points and it's not clear which of those you're backing.

SIM-2015410 - September 10, 2017, 4:34 pm

(Sep 10, 2017 04:31 PM)Vana Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Can you explain whatever your argument is with your own words? That article makes several points and it's not clear which of those you're backing.

It's just an example of how messy the release was, that's the only point I'm trying to make. Idk what you guys think you're arguing against.

Vana - September 10, 2017, 4:36 pm

(Sep 10, 2017 04:34 PM)MeshCollider Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's just an example of how messy the release was, that's the only point I'm trying to make. Idk what you guys think you're arguing against.

Exchanges not immediately implementing BCC support is "messy"?

SIM-2015410 - September 10, 2017, 4:37 pm

(Sep 10, 2017 04:36 PM)Vana Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Exchanges not immediately implementing BCC support is "messy"?

-_- I'm not talking about exchanges here?? Where did I say anything about exchanges supporting cash? Orgy was the only one who brought that up. I'm talking about sending in general

Vana - September 10, 2017, 4:42 pm

(Sep 10, 2017 04:37 PM)MeshCollider Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) -_- I'm not talking about exchanges here?? Where did I say anything about exchanges supporting cash? Orgy was the only one who brought that up. I'm talking about sending in general

That was one of the main points the article you linked was making, which is why I asked you to explain your argument.

Block mining was slow for about the first day, after the first EDA kicked in everything was fine. It wasn't "messy", it was anticipated.

SIM-2015410 - September 10, 2017, 4:49 pm

(Sep 10, 2017 04:42 PM)Vana Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That was one of the main points the article you linked was making, which is why I asked you to explain your argument.

Block mining was slow for about the first day, after the first EDA kicked in everything was fine. It wasn't "messy", it was anticipated.

Ok I think the difference here is with perspective. You're looking at the markets. I'm thinking about the trustworthiness and quality of the 'developers' of cash and it's code, code which is hacked together with a much lower standard of QA, test and review compared to core. That's why they had technical issues, and that's why I'm calling it messy.

Vana - September 10, 2017, 4:50 pm

(Sep 10, 2017 04:49 PM)MeshCollider Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Ok I think the difference here is with perspective. You're looking at the markets. I'm thinking about the trustworthiness and quality of the 'developers' of cash and it's code, code which is hacked together with a much lower standard of QA, test and review compared to core. That's why they had technical issues, and that's why I'm calling it messy.

Elaborate on "technical issues".

Orgy - September 10, 2017, 4:59 pm

(Sep 10, 2017 04:49 PM)MeshCollider Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Ok I think the difference here is with perspective. You're looking at the markets. I'm thinking about the trustworthiness and quality of the 'developers' of cash and it's code, code which is hacked together with a much lower standard of QA, test and review compared to core. That's why they had technical issues, and that's why I'm calling it messy.

man the point you're trying to make sure seems to keep changing. this is the first time you've mentioned any of this.

can you point to any source that the code is "hacked together"? and what "technical issues" you're talking about?

Donald Trump Jr. - September 10, 2017, 5:00 pm

This is just as bad if not worse then inflation by our government

esoteric? - September 10, 2017, 5:39 pm

Is this the future of bitcoin?

thinidotra - September 10, 2017, 6:35 pm

Will bittrex support it? how much will I get if I got .02 btc

Vana - September 10, 2017, 6:47 pm

(Sep 10, 2017 06:35 PM)thinidotra Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Will bittrex support it? how much will I get if I got .02 btc

Just like any other BTC fork your BTG balance will be your BTC balance at the time of the fork, provided your exchange/online wallet supports it or you have the private key for your wallet.

shhhark - September 10, 2017, 6:57 pm

So bitcoin developers are trying to solve all issues with hard forks.. I wonder when will we start to use cheap (almost free), instant, PoS and anonymous coin as currency instead of btc which fails in all of those aspects. Something like PIVX is ideal.

These forks only weaken the brand of btc, the only (but powerful) thing it has. BTC will go up a lot, but the eventual crash is imminent once people start waiting hours for transactions they pay >5\$ fee

Orgy - September 10, 2017, 7:09 pm

(Sep 10, 2017 06:57 PM)shhhark Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So bitcoin developers are trying to solve all issues with hard forks.. I wonder when will we start to use cheap (almost free), instant, PoS and anonymous coin as currency instead of btc which fails in all of those aspects. Something like PIVX is ideal.

These forks only weaken the brand of btc, the only (but powerful) thing it has. BTC will go up a lot, but the eventual crash is imminent once people start waiting hours for transactions they pay >5\$ fee shut up you dont know what youre talking about

Ravioli - September 10, 2017, 7:32 pm

(Sep 10, 2017 05:00 PM)Donald Trump Jr. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is just as bad if not worse then inflation by our governmentKind of agree with this...

shhhark - September 10, 2017, 8:13 pm

(Sep 10, 2017 07:09 PM)Orgy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) shut up you dont know what youre talking about wow, such strong argumentation... i guess you're right

Orgy - September 11, 2017, 1:01 am

(Sep 10, 2017 04:49 PM)MeshCollider Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Ok I think the difference here is with perspective. You're looking at the markets. I'm thinking about the trustworthiness and quality of the 'developers' of cash and it's code, code which is hacked together with a much lower standard of QA, test and review compared to core. That's why they had technical issues, and that's why I'm calling it messy.

Gonna elaborate on those technical issues?

casuall - September 11, 2017, 1:45 am

(Sep 10, 2017 05:39 PM)esoteric? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)

Is this the future of bitcoin?I think you forgot bitcoin365

LanMan - September 11, 2017, 6:03 am

(Sep 10, 2017 05:39 PM)esoteric? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Is this the future of bitcoin?

Maybe, it's a free market with open source tech, you can't do anything about it, what happened with bcash is probably just the start, everyone want a piece of the cake now.

Same scenario happened in 2012-2014 with the altcoins rush

The Illusionist - September 11, 2017, 6:05 am

(Sep 11, 2017 06:03 AM)LanMan Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Maybe, it's a free market with open source tech, you can't do anything about it, what happened with bcash is probably just the start, everyone want a piece of the cake now.

Same scenario happened in 2012-2014 with the altcoins rush

True that, BCH opened a new door I think we'll see a lot more Forkcoins in the future. This isn't necessarily bad for BTC though, a ForkCoin is just another altcoin but then with an airdrop so you have an instant userbase.

Orgy - September 11, 2017, 3:13 pm

(Sep 10, 2017 04:49 PM)MeshCollider Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Ok I think the difference here is with perspective. You're looking at the markets. I'm thinking about the trustworthiness and quality of the 'developers' of cash and it's code, code which is hacked together with a much lower standard of QA, test and review compared to core. That's why they had technical issues, and that's why I'm calling it messy.

hey mister core contributor we're still waiting on info about these "technical issues"

Jesse Pinkman - September 11, 2017, 4:19 pm

This is fucking stupid. A more scientifically logical fork would be switching completely to POS. There is a reason why Ethereum is moving away from POW, google it.

Orgy - September 12, 2017, 9:28 am

(Sep 10, 2017 04:37 PM)MeshCollider Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) -_- I'm not talking about exchanges here?? Where did I say anything about exchanges supporting cash? Orgy was the only one who brought that up. I'm talking about sending in general

actually btw you were the one that brought it up:

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5710939&pid=55826946#pid55826946>

good backpedal though. still waiting on you to support literally anything you've claimed. those super basic commits you send to the bitcoin core repo so that you can claim you're a contributor can't be taking up too much of your time

Pink-Tshirt - September 26, 2017, 3:49 am

What wallets are supporting this fork ?

keraj37 - September 27, 2017, 8:18 am

Oh my. Actually when I saw Gold in the name I thought someone finally backed crypto with gold. Not the case yet as I can see.