

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [W3C Considering Supporting Cryptos in Browsers](#)

Hydra - September 16, 2017, 11:02 am

<https://www.coindesk.com/bitcoin-browser-google-apple-move-adopt-crypto-compatible-api/>

Really cool. The current API supports credit cards that you save on your browser and "autofill" it. This new proposal is going to allow you to save your cryptocurrency addresses like how you do with CCs.

Might take a while till it takes effect but them considering this will go a long way for cryptos.

Ravioli - September 16, 2017, 11:04 am

I just saw this article on twitter 10 minutes ago. Really cool to see that big companies are starting to lean towards crypto assets.

Mikeseo - September 16, 2017, 11:05 am

That will make a big change. Thanks for the link!

Punisher - September 16, 2017, 11:32 am

I wonder how long it will take before someone writes an exploit that replaces your stored BTC addresses to someone elses to steal funds

Not sure how good of an idea this is.

Hydra - September 16, 2017, 11:57 am

(Sep 16, 2017 11:32 AM)Error Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I wonder how long it will take before someone writes an exploit that replaces your stored BTC addresses to someone elses to steal funds

Not sure how good of an idea this is.

Why would anyone do that? If a hacker replaced your stored BTC address to someone else's address, that someone else is paying for it. And keep in mind it's API based so I'm sure there's going to be a verification process to 'activate' that address.

SIM-1589588 - September 16, 2017, 12:08 pm

(Sep 16, 2017 11:32 AM)Error Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I wonder how long it will take before someone writes an exploit that replaces your stored BTC addresses to someone elses to steal funds

Not sure how good of an idea this is.

That kind of exploits aka bitcoin address replacers are already there, many people got burned in past because they either got infected or they installed some unverified price ticker plugins.

Ontopic: It's a good news for cryptocurrencies ?

Punisher - September 16, 2017, 12:09 pm

(Sep 16, 2017 11:57 AM)iHydra Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why would anyone do that? If a hacker replaced your stored BTC address to someone else's address, that someone else is paying for it. And keep in mind it's API based so I'm sure there's going to be a verification process to 'activate' that address. If you have your BTC address stored to accept payments, and a malicious hacker replaces that

address with your own, THEY receive the payment instead of you.

If you are making a payment to someone, and you've installed a malicious extension on your browser, it could hook into this API and direct the funds to an alternative BTC address instead of the intended address.

I guess time will tell if it's developed securely or not.

Hydra - September 16, 2017, 12:27 pm

(Sep 16, 2017 12:09 PM)Error Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If you have your BTC address stored to accept payments, and a malicious hacker replaces that address with your own, THEY receive the payment instead of you.

If you are making a payment to someone, and you've installed a malicious extension on your browser, it could hook into this API and direct the funds to an alternative BTC address instead of the intended address.

I guess time will tell if it's developed securely or not.

Don't believe it's gonna support receiving. We'll find out more when it's finalized and being pushed out but from the looks of it, it's only to pay for a product on supported websites. If the API is being worked on by Google, Apple, Mozilla, Microsoft, and W3C, I'd like to think security would be top notch.