

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [NGINX vs. Apache](#)

foxtrott50 - September 17, 2017, 9:20 am

hey guys, doing some serious research here. NGINX vs. Apache, which in your own opinion is better and why? I'm old school and used to Apache but so many people talking about NGINX these days i'd be an ass to ignore and not ask.

Nachfolger - September 17, 2017, 9:38 am

I prefer Apache cause it comes with WAMP and it seems to work when I need it to.

My host also uses it so.

Wires - September 17, 2017, 9:42 am

Performance wise I personally prefer a LEMP setup, so basically Nginx, php-fpm, and MariaDB. That's the standard setup anyway.

Sikes - September 17, 2017, 9:43 am

nginx has better performance and is easier to manage IMO

RumbleFrog - September 17, 2017, 9:45 am

A robust structural system was sound practice when Apache was new and crisp, yet application engineers are finding that such an approach is never again up to the undertaking of conveying complex

applications at speed their organizations require. Microservice design is rising as the influx without bounds for web applications and destinations, and NGINX is superbly ready to expect its place in

that engineering as the perfect application conveyance stage for the present day Web.

“Apache is like Microsoft Word. It has a million options but you only need six. NGINX does those six things, and it does five of them 50 times faster than Apache.” - Chris Lea

NGINX scraps all the unnecessary things presented with Apache when the web just began and concentrated on things we require today: stability, mitigation, high concurrency, light-weight, load

balancing, speed, and reliability.

Edit: 2,000th post

Magicmann - September 17, 2017, 9:48 am

(Sep 17, 2017 09:38 AM)Nachfolger Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I prefer Apache cause it comes with WAMP and it seems to work when I need it to.

My host also uses it so.

That's what LEMP or WINGINX are for.

VirtualFrost - September 17, 2017, 3:11 pm

I've always used nginx as it was the first http server software I used. It's easy for me to use and setting up multiple sites on one VPS with it is easy. It could be just as easy on Apache though to do what I do.

Charger - September 19, 2017, 11:44 am

(Sep 19, 2017 10:24 AM)Yani Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'd go with nginx.

Apache if you want it to always work. Nginx if you want to put in the time to make it work good. Pretty much this.

Apache is simple and reliable. Nginx is fast and complicated.

crown - September 19, 2017, 11:46 am

I love NGINX for a load balancer and reverse proxy.

As for a web server, APACHE is my favorite.

Charger - September 19, 2017, 11:47 am

(Sep 19, 2017 11:46 AM)Tyrell Wellick Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I love NGINX for a load balancer and reverse proxy.

As for a web server, APACHE is my favorite.

I've used Nginx as a load balancer to apache servers before. Very complicated, should have just done nginx.

SIM-1456221 - September 19, 2017, 11:52 am

(Sep 19, 2017 11:44 AM)Charger Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Pretty much this.

Apache is simple and reliable. Nginx is fast and complicated.

Fast and complicated? Wat? imo nginx is simple af and apache is the most difficult one to work with, and the slowest.

M O D E R N - September 19, 2017, 11:54 am

I would like to add this also:

NGINX is faster except if you try using it on a Windows server. It does not perform well in that environment.

Apache is great for Windows.

I personally use NGINX though with my Ubuntu dedi (using plesk)

crown - September 19, 2017, 4:33 pm

(Sep 19, 2017 11:52 AM)? ?Pa?a?DoX ? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Fast and complicated? Wat? imo nginx is simple af and apache is the most difficult one to work with, and the slowest.

Apache is easier to me then NGINX..

heh I still own the domain NGINX.pw

SIM-1456221 - September 20, 2017, 12:40 pm

(Sep 19, 2017 04:33 PM)Tyrell Wellick Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Apache is easier to me then NGINX..

heh I still own the domain NGINX.pw

But to enable hsts in nginx it's like 1 line

php? 3 lines

;-;

y tho

apache is so heavyweight