

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Additional feature for certain sections: Hide Tags](#)

M?xim - February 10, 2018, 4:52 am

People who do contribute in the form of a free ebook or another sort of giveaway, USUALLY says something like "post and I will PM you the eBook".

And sure, they do, for the first day or two. But then people start posting and not getting anything (the OP might be closed, banned, inactive, etc.)

Why not just make it so that in those PARTICULAR sections they have a feature to hide content, which will be unlocked when you post once.

Suggested sections: [Free Services and Giveaways](#), [Free Money Making Ebooks](#), [Referrals](#), [Shopping Deals](#), [Monetizing Techniques](#)

*Suggest more sections and I will add it to this list.

Elitas - February 10, 2018, 5:01 am

I like the suggestion, hide tags have been denied by Omni in the past though. But haven't seen a suggestion just for those sections.

M?xim - February 10, 2018, 7:12 am

(Feb 10, 2018 06:01 AM)Elitas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I like the suggestion, hide tags have been denied by Omni in the past though. But haven't seen a suggestion just for those sections.

Yeah, might just be for some sections then.

Got any other sections in mind other than the two mentioned?

Elitas - February 10, 2018, 8:29 am

(Feb 10, 2018 08:12 AM)Maxim Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yeah, might just be for some sections then.

Got any other sections in mind other than the two mentioned?

A lot of people in Shopping Deals, Referrals and other Monetizing sections do a 'Post to PM' in their threads.

Just realized this wouldn't work for UB3R/L33T/Specific requirement giveaways, some people even do positive rep/no open scam reports.... Could be used by people if they have no requirements, but wouldn't clean up the sections as much.

M?xim - February 10, 2018, 10:37 am

(Feb 10, 2018 09:29 AM)Elitas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Just realized this wouldn't work for UB3R/L33T/Specific requirement giveaways, some people even do positive rep/no open scam reports.... Could be used by people if they have no requirements, but wouldn't clean up the sections as much.

True. But it's an option, not a requirement to use that feature.

HF Staff - February 12, 2018, 7:40 am

Yes, it would be very useful! There wouldn't be any hassle of posting and then PMing like we always have to do.

Elitas - February 12, 2018, 7:42 am

(Feb 12, 2018 08:40 AM)HF Staff Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yes, it would be very useful! There wouldn't be any hassle of posting and then PMing like we always have to do.
Loving the username! ?

(Feb 10, 2018 11:37 AM)Maxim Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) True. But it's an option, not a requirement to use that feature.

Ehh yeah, but it does render it that bit more useless as the majority (from what I've seen) would be UB3R/L33T specific.

Nachfolger - February 12, 2018, 7:42 am

Already suggested something similar to this.

Omni said he was working on it but then gave up. Probably to be declined once again.

Edit: <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=4771687&pid=45649432#pid45649432>

M?xim - February 12, 2018, 7:44 am

(Feb 12, 2018 08:42 AM)Nachfolger Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Omni said he was working on it but then gave up. Not much to give up on. There's already a plugin existing.

Elitas - February 12, 2018, 7:45 am

(Feb 12, 2018 08:44 AM)Maxim Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Not much to give up on. There's already a plugin existing.

Didn't Omni say before he doesn't like using other people's plugins?

Besides, those plugins are far from perfect.

The plugin you are referring to in particular, had a bug, allowing the exposure of the information within the hide tags. Not sure if that was even ever patched.

M?xim - February 12, 2018, 7:48 am

"Omni said this, omni said that."

Adam said "But there's always room for change!"

More people should listen to Adam.

Elitas - February 12, 2018, 7:50 am

(Feb 12, 2018 08:48 AM)Maxim Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) "Omni said this, omni said that."

Adam said "But there's always room for change!"

More people should listen to Adam.

Sry, no offence to Adam but:

Omni > Adam

:(

KOTOR - February 12, 2018, 1:38 pm

I don't think a post requirement on a thread should warrant your ability to view a thread, but instead should be something along the lines of prestige or post count.

Using your logic one could, in theory, just make a shitpost and bypass that requirement.

M?xim - February 12, 2018, 1:45 pm

(Feb 12, 2018 02:38 PM)KOTOR Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) in theory, just make a shitpost and bypass that requirement.

Then they get +20 for shitposting.

It's a fair trade.

Omniscient - February 12, 2018, 3:21 pm

Quote:On a serious note, Omni just said that because he couldn't get it working.

If another user cough Xerotic *cough*, could get it working, i'm sure Omni would implement it.

I couldn't get it working without core edits which I try very hard to avoid.

Ultimately not worth it and I'm not a fan of this type of feature.

Thank you for the suggestion.