

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [At what point is financial crime worse than murder?](#)

boy1DAA - August 2, 2018, 2:24 pm

At what point is financial crime worse than murder?

1st off do you even believe this and why?

Zoomer - August 2, 2018, 2:26 pm

Both, you can kill people financially as well so there is no difference. Not where you are going with this at least

boy1DAA - August 2, 2018, 2:28 pm

(Aug 2, 2018 02:26 PM)Zoomer Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Both, you can kill people financially as well so there is no difference. Not where you are going with this at least

I guess i should have defined it more. Legally will any finical crime be as bad as murder? And do you believe it should or shouldnt?

Zoomer - August 2, 2018, 2:29 pm

(Aug 2, 2018 02:28 PM)boy1DAA Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I guess i should have defined it more. Legally will any finical crime be as bad as murder? And do you believe it should or shouldnt?

You didn't define it you just rephrased it, my answer remains the same.

In addition to my current answer, financial crime has the word crime in it there for not legal in any kind of way. Thus you can scale the size of financial crime to make it as bad as murder itself.

So, no difference.

Takehito Morokuzu - August 2, 2018, 2:33 pm

A lot of people care more about money than their own lives, because they are lonely and money is the only thing that keeps them emotionally stable.

Zoomer - August 2, 2018, 2:39 pm

(Aug 2, 2018 02:33 PM)???? Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) A lot of people care more about money than their own lives, because they are lonely and money is the only thing that keeps them emotionally stable.

I really did not want to quote you but i feel the need to do it anyway,

What sane person cares more about money than his own life? (lets be general and realistic, the answe is almost nobody)

What does money and loneliness have anything to do with eachother? (you can have all the money in the world, and you could still be lonely)

Are you saying that money acts as a anti depressive? Because i can prove you wrong in so many ways. (just look at all the rich hollywood stars killing themselves)

Takehito Morokuzu - August 2, 2018, 2:44 pm

(Aug 2, 2018 02:39 PM)Zoomer Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I really did not want to quote you but i feel the need to do it anyway,

What sane person cares more about money than his own life? (lets be general and realistic, the answer is almost nobody)

What does money and loneliness have anything to do with each other? (you can have all the money in the world, and you could still be lonely)

Are you saying that money acts as an antidepressant? Because I can prove you wrong in so many ways. (just look at all the rich hollywood stars killing themselves)

What sane person cares more about money than his own life?

That's what I'm trying to say. Insane people. There are LOTS of them. That if they lose money, they could be lonely and then commit suicide.

Yes, but sometimes making money is fun and could be their only hobby or thing to do.

2073436 - August 2, 2018, 2:45 pm

Death is the worst possible outcome theoretically. Sure, you can be stripped away financially but being stripped from life definitively is much worse - in my opinion at least.

DenizZ - August 2, 2018, 2:55 pm

(Aug 2, 2018 02:45 PM)\$lugg Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Death is the worst possible outcome theoretically. Sure, you can be stripped away financially but being stripped from life definitively is much worse - in my opinion at least.

What if money is stolen from a fund that was meant to send to a certain place with famine? What is worse now, this financial crime or murder?

Decim - August 2, 2018, 2:56 pm

(Aug 2, 2018 02:55 PM)DenizZ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What if money is stolen from a fund that was meant to send to a certain place with famine? What is worse now, this financial crime or murder?

There is a possibility of correcting that mistake. But you can never bring back a dead person.

DenizZ - August 2, 2018, 2:58 pm

(Aug 2, 2018 02:56 PM)Decim Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There is a possibility of correcting that mistake. But you can never bring back a dead person.

It's not a mistake, I mean if somebody steals it for example and let's say he succeeded.

Until the correction is made, many people can die.

2073436 - August 2, 2018, 2:58 pm

(Aug 2, 2018 02:55 PM)DenizZ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What if money is stolen from a fund that was meant to send to a certain place with famine? What is worse now, this financial crime or murder?

(Aug 2, 2018 02:56 PM)Decim Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There is a possibility of correcting that mistake. But you can never bring back a dead person.

Exactly this. Death is definitive, what you mentioned doesn't definitively result in death.

Murder is intentional, stealing money is to steal money

DenizZ - August 2, 2018, 3:00 pm

(Aug 2, 2018 02:58 PM)\$lugg Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Exactly this. Death is definitive, what you mentioned doesn't definitively result in death.

Murder is intentional, stealing money is to steal money

Why not? Until a correction is made, many can die out of famine, you can name even worse things than famine that needs direct emergency aid.

2073436 - August 2, 2018, 3:05 pm

(Aug 2, 2018 03:00 PM)DenizZ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why not? Until a correction is made, many can die out of famine, you can name even worse things than famine that needs direct emergency aid.

Murder is intentional. Someone dying because lack of funds doesn't mean the offender did that intentionally.

Murdering someone intentionally assures no other way, not even a 0.0001% chance of recovering.

At least with a financial crime there is that chance, and just for that I'm going to have to say murder will always be worse than a financial crime.

DenizZ - August 2, 2018, 3:08 pm

(Aug 2, 2018 03:05 PM)\$lugg Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Murder is intentional. Someone dying because lack of funds doesn't mean the offender did that intentionally.

Murdering someone intentionally assures no other way, not even a 0.0001% chance of recovering.

At least with a financial crime there is that chance, and just for that I'm going to have to say murder will always be worse than a financial crime.

The thief can intentionally steal money from the fund knowing that many people can die because if it?

I'm not really sure. Financial crime can be worse in some situations in my opinion.

Toasties - August 2, 2018, 3:10 pm

(Aug 2, 2018 02:56 PM)Decim Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There is a possibility of correcting that mistake. But you can never bring back a dead person.

This. murder > fraud by a long shot

2073436 - August 2, 2018, 3:10 pm

(Aug 2, 2018 03:08 PM)DenizZ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The thief can intentionally steal money from the fund knowing that many people can die because if it?

I'm not really sure. Financial crime can be worse in some situations in my opinion.

If you were to somehow commit a crime where hundreds of families would be left without money versus murdering 1 person, then sure I agree.

Big financial crimes vs single murders - that's when I'll agree

but too much of anything is never good as they say, we can all agree on that :)

Rasclart - August 2, 2018, 6:20 pm

I don't think it's ever that bad.

DenizZ - August 2, 2018, 6:46 pm

(Aug 2, 2018 03:10 PM)\$lugg Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If you were to somehow commit a crime where hundreds of families would be left without money versus murdering 1 person, then sure I agree.

Big financial crimes vs single murders - that's when I'll agree

but too much of anything is never good as they say, we can all agree on that :)

That's exactly what I was trying to say :)

Judge Judy - August 2, 2018, 6:58 pm

No, you guys are being absolutely preposterous, taking people's inherently worthless paper or pixels on a screen away is never going to be as morally abhorrent as willfully planning and taking action to take away life itself from somebody. The only exceptions to this are if your 'financial crimes' are so

morally bankrupt that they themselves would constitute murder. (Or even large scale manslaughter, or terrorism.)

You cannot possibly mean to argue that taking livelihood away is worse than taking life itself away. Life itself is more valueable than any material possession. The fact that I even feel the need to emphasize this is depressing.

Aphrodite12a - August 2, 2018, 9:02 pm

This is paradoxical. I'm rewriting and changing instance in this reply of mine. I believed yes before. but I guess no. for instance. murdering a single human brutally is worse than robbing every single penny out of a poor/rich family. because life goes on. ohh yeah, LIFE. which is everything.

Al-Bundy - August 2, 2018, 9:39 pm

(Aug 2, 2018 03:08 PM)DenizZ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The thief can intentionally steal money from the fund knowing that many people can die because if it?

I'm not really sure. Financial crime can be worse in some situations in my opinion. It's about intentions.

Knowing many people can die, but still taking money is not the same as taking money just so people die.

The only time financial crime is worse than murder is on the latter. If they steal money just so those people die, then yes, that would be worse. Or else murder will always be worse.

Aphrodite12a - August 2, 2018, 9:52 pm

(Aug 2, 2018 09:39 PM)Al-Bundy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's about intentions.

Knowing many people can die, but still taking money is not the same as taking money just so people die.

The only time financial crime is worse than murder is on the latter. If they steal money just so those people die, then yes, that would be worse. Or else murder will always be worse.

Totally agree with you. ?

n0XZe - August 2, 2018, 10:37 pm

I cant see anything worse then taking someones life away :(

SysAdmin - August 2, 2018, 11:29 pm

(Aug 2, 2018 02:39 PM)Zoomer Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I really did not want to quote you but i feel the need to do it anyway,

What sane person cares more about money than his own life? (lets be general and realistic, the answeare is almost nobody)

What does money and loneliness have anything to do with eachother? (you can have all the money in the world, and you could still be lonely)

Are you saying that money acts as a anti depressive? Because i can prove you wrong in so many ways. (just look at all the rich hollywood stars killing themselves)

A lot of people, actually. Mostly it's just living from paycheck to paycheck and if that income is gone, you're basically fucked.

Judge Judy - August 3, 2018, 7:33 am

(Aug 2, 2018 09:39 PM)Al-Bundy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The only time financial crime is worse than murder is on the latter. If they steal money just so those people die, then yes, that would be worse. Or else murder will always be worse.

If you steal money knowing very well it will cause loss of life, with that intent, that already classifies as murder by definition.

So no, financial crimes cannot ever be worse than murder.

(Aug 2, 2018 11:29 PM)SysAdmin Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) A lot of people, actually. Mostly it's just living from paycheck to paycheck and if that income is gone, you're basically fucked.

Let's make firing somebody a crime, fuck it let's go full communism.

FiftyTwo - August 3, 2018, 7:35 am

What about being financially killed?

Sorry if it's already been mentioned.

Armada - August 3, 2018, 7:38 am

I will tell you one thing that flat out pisses me off when I hear about it.

Senior citizens, pensioners and veterans getting scammed out of their remaining savings or pension.

It basically is committing murder, you are effectively taking the weak and vulnerable's last resources to keep them alive.

Fuck those people.

DenizZ - August 3, 2018, 7:39 am

(Aug 2, 2018 09:39 PM)Al-Bundy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's about intentions.

Knowing many people can die, but still taking money is not the same as taking money just so people die.

The only time financial crime is worse than murder is on the latter. If they steal money just so those people die, then yes, that would be worse. Or else murder will always be worse.

What if they steal money for wealth but knowing that many people can die?

Al-Bundy - August 3, 2018, 8:13 am

(Aug 3, 2018 07:33 AM)Judge Judy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If you steal money knowing very well it will cause loss of life, with that intent, that already classifies as murder by definition.

So no, financial crimes cannot ever be worse than murder.

No it doesn't classify as murder. It would be classified as voluntary manslaughter which is not the same as murder.

pmtobefriend - August 3, 2018, 8:14 am

When the financial crime leads to deaths.

Armada - August 3, 2018, 8:15 am

(Aug 3, 2018 08:13 AM)Al-Bundy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No it doesn't classify as murder. It would be classified as voluntary manslaughter which is not the same as murder.

I understand your logic and view point, but its still does not make it good or valid honestly speaking...

Al-Bundy - August 3, 2018, 8:16 am

(Aug 3, 2018 07:39 AM)DenizZ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What if they steal money for wealth but knowing that many people can die?

Would be charged with voluntary manslaughter but not murder. Murder involved prior intention of killing. Let me give you another example.

You get into a fight during a traffic stop. You decide it'll be fun to run over the person in front of you who you are fighting with. You kill him. You will be charged with voluntary manslaughter but not murder even though it's common sense that running someone over kills them. Murder by definition is PREMEDITATED killing of another human. PREMEDITATED is the keyword.

(Aug 3, 2018 08:15 AM)Armada Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I understand your logic and view point, but its still does not make it good or valid honestly speaking...

It doesn't need to be good or bad. Not saying either are good. But in order to classify as murder, it needs to be premeditated. In which case this wouldn't be.

eComrade - August 3, 2018, 8:38 am

(Aug 2, 2018 02:28 PM)boy1DAA Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I guess i should have defined it more. Legally will any finical crime be as bad as murder? And do you believe it should or shouldnt?

Bad is an opinion. I dont believe any financial crime will be as bad as murder unless you cause the market to crash and leave people dying because of starvation.

Judge Judy - August 3, 2018, 8:41 am

(Aug 3, 2018 08:13 AM)Al-Bundy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No it doesn't classify as murder. It would be classified as voluntary manslaughter which is not the same as murder.

Voluntary manslaughter requires no prior intent to kill. It's also called second/third-degree murder. Obviously your intent here is to cause loss of life, ergo it cannot be voluntary manslaughter.

Don't try and school me on legal terms please.

WageWolf - August 3, 2018, 8:43 am

Financial Crime is repairable usually, killing someone isn't, this is not even a comparison.

Al-Bundy - August 3, 2018, 9:03 am

(Aug 3, 2018 08:41 AM)Judge Judy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Voluntary manslaughter requires no prior intent to kill. It's also called second/third-degree murder.

Obviously your intent here is to cause loss of life, ergo it cannot be voluntary manslaughter.

Don't try and school me on legal terms please.

Your intent was to steal the money even though you knew people would die. Your intent wasn't to steal money just so people could die. Clearly you need to be taught else you wouldn't be arguing.

Judge Judy - August 3, 2018, 9:12 am

(Aug 3, 2018 09:03 AM)Al-Bundy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Your intent was to steal the money even though you knew people would die. Your intent wasn't to steal money just so people could die. Clearly you need to be taught else you wouldn't be arguing.

Re-read my replies. I replied to the second part of your post, in which you reference taking money with the intent to cause loss of life being worse than murder. Which I disagreed with.

Al-Bundy - August 3, 2018, 9:30 am

(Aug 3, 2018 09:12 AM)Judge Judy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Re-read my replies. I replied to the second part of your post, in which you reference taking money with the intent to cause loss of life being worse than murder. Which I disagreed with.

Let's go back to your first reply to me.

(Aug 3, 2018 07:33 AM)Judge Judy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If you steal money knowing very well it will cause loss of life, with that intent, that already classifies as murder by definition.
So no, financial crimes cannot ever be worse than murder.

My reply was to the first part of that comment. I put that in green. I correctly mentioned, that stealing money knowing there will be loss of life is not murder. Since murder is premeditated and requires intention of killing.

(Aug 3, 2018 08:13 AM)Al-Bundy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No it doesn't classify as murder. It would be classified as voluntary manslaughter which is not the same as murder.
I corrected you and let you know that it wouldn't be murder but rather manslaughter. Only thing I was wrong about was whether it will be voluntary or involuntary manslaughter. Most like it will be involuntary manslaughter due to criminal negligence. Other charges excluded.

You replied with and got defensive with this.

(Aug 3, 2018 08:41 AM)Judge Judy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Voluntary manslaughter requires no prior intent to kill. It's also called second/third-degree murder.
Obviously your intent here is to cause loss of life, ergo it cannot be voluntary manslaughter.

Don't try and school me on legal terms please.
Involuntary manslaughter is also not = second/third degree murder. It depends on case by case basis. They can be charged but it's all about intentions. Which we already talked about above.

So now tell me, what should I re-read and what point are you trying to make?

Judge Judy - August 3, 2018, 9:38 am

(Aug 3, 2018 09:30 AM)Al-Bundy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So now tell me, what should I re-read and what point are you trying to make?

Clearly we're suffering from a miscommunication here. Let me emphasize what I was trying to make clear with adequate green texts.

You posted the following:

(Aug 2, 2018 09:39 PM)Al-Bundy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The only time financial crime is worse than murder is on the latter. If they steal money just so those people die, then yes, that would be worse. Or else murder will always be worse. To which I replied:

(Aug 3, 2018 07:33 AM)Judge Judy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If you steal money knowing very well it will cause loss of life, with that intent, that already classifies as murder by definition.

I highlighted the key words in bright green. If you take away money knowing it will cause loss of life, and do it with that intent then that does in-fact classify as murder and the murder charge will not be reduced to manslaughter. Therefore it cannot be worse than murder in this case, because it is murder.

Al-Bundy - August 3, 2018, 9:51 am

(Aug 3, 2018 09:38 AM)Judge Judy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Clearly we're suffering from a miscommunication here. Let me emphasize what I was trying to make clear with adequate green texts.

You posted the following:

To which I replied:

I highlighted the key words in bright green. If you take away money knowing it will cause loss of life, and do it with that intent then that does in-fact classify as murder and the murder charge will not be reduced to manslaughter. Therefore it cannot be worse than murder in this case, because it is murder.

You literally just repeated what I said. You disagreed with me, and then repeated it, and at the end, throw in a red herring in the end. Let's go back to what I said shall we.

Al-Bundy Wrote:It's about intentions.

Knowing many people can die, but still taking money is not the same as taking money just so people die.

The only time financial crime is worse than murder is on the latter. If they steal money just so those people die, then yes, that would be worse. Or else murder will always be worse.

In a nutshell, I clearly said, that if you steal the money with the intention of killing people, then it's worse crime than murder. Your response to that is

Judge Judy Wrote:If you take away money knowing it will cause loss of life, and do it with that intent then that does in-fact classify as murder and the murder charge will not be reduced to manslaughter. Therefore it cannot be worse than murder in this case, because it is murder.

Now tell me, how is what you said which I highlighted any different from what I said? First you said that stealing money knowing people die classify as murder, in which case I corrected you and let you know it doesn't. That part classifies as voluntary manslaughter.

Then you go and change your wordings. You subtly adding "DO IT" which changes the whole meaning of what you originally said. Then you go and play a game with red herring with "it cannot be worse than murder cause it is murder".

You clearly meant something else, then change the wording and play that game. Yes it will be worse than murder because more than one person is dying. Now don't come back saying "what if I kill more than one people is it still worse".

Armada - August 3, 2018, 10:02 am

(Aug 3, 2018 08:16 AM)Al-Bundy Wrote: [CITE](#) It doesn't need to be good or bad. Not saying either are good. But in order to classify as murder, it needs to be premeditated. In which case this wouldn't be.

Technicalities..... Honestly speaking my friend.

The court looks for a few major primary things, and one of them is INTENT.

Did you intend to do this?

And then, only then do they look at the consequences your actions caused.

So for example, you ripped or scammed some elderly person out of their saving, they could no longer afford their medication, and thus died.

- Your argument is in fact true, its involuntary manslaughter, as a MINIMAL charge. But your knowledge of events, and how that would impact the said victim makes it premeditated. Honestly speaking if the law wants to go after you, your gonna have an uphill battle regardless, dont give them dumb ass reasons to go after you.

John F. Kennedy - August 3, 2018, 10:04 am

Financial crime is not comparable to murder, but if you ruin someone's life financially, you're fucked.

Al-Bundy - August 3, 2018, 10:08 am

(Aug 3, 2018 10:02 AM)Armada Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Technicalities..... Honestly speaking my friend.

The court looks for a few major primary things, and one of them is INTENT.

Did you intend to do this?

And then, only then do they look at the consequences your actions caused.

So for example, you ripped or scammed some elderly person out of their saving, they could no longer afford their medication, and thus died.

- Your argument is in fact true, its involuntary manslaughter, as a MINIMAL charge. But your knowledge of events, and how that would impact the said victim makes it premeditated. Honestly speaking if the law wants to go after you, your gonna have an uphill battle regardless, dont give them dumb ass reasons to go after you.

Agreed it's technicalities but those little bit can make a huge difference. Due to that little technicality, your sentence can go from 10 months in prison to 10 years in prison. Also, my point wasn't the legal ramifications of it. Just my opinion that only time financial crime is worse than murder or equal to murder, is when the person's intent was to kill someone by committing the financial crime.

Armada - August 3, 2018, 4:06 pm

(Aug 3, 2018 10:08 AM)Al-Bundy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Agreed it's technicalities but those little bit can make a huge difference. Due to that little technicality, your sentence can go from 10 months in prison to 10 years in prison. Also, my point wasn't the legal ramifications of it. Just my opinion that only time financial crime is worse than murder or equal to murder, is when the person's intent was to kill someone by committing the financial crime.

Not that I enjoy these debates, but just assume for a moment, we rip someone off for \$100k

NOW, Lets assume 2 scenarios.

1 is a wealthy well off person, say a net worth of 1 million.

And the second is an elderly pensioner

Who is going to suffer most or be brought closer to death between those 2 people?

Judge Judy - August 3, 2018, 9:30 pm

(Aug 3, 2018 09:51 AM)Al-Bundy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) First you said that stealing money knowing people die classify as murder, in which case I corrected you and let you know it doesn't. That part classifies as voluntary manslaughter.

I never said this, which is why I said we were suffering from a miscommunication.

I said stealing money knowing people will die, with that intent, is murder by definition. (Because it is, even though it's a ridiculous hypothetical.)

(Aug 3, 2018 09:51 AM)Al-Bundy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) In a nutshell, I clearly said, that if you steal the money with the intention of killing people, then it's worse crime than murder. Your response to that is I never replied to this part of your post.

(Aug 3, 2018 09:51 AM)Al-Bundy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Now tell me, how is what you said which I highlighted any different from what I said? First you said that stealing money knowing people die classify as murder, in which case I corrected you and let you know it doesn't. That part classifies as voluntary manslaughter.

Voluntary manslaughter is tricky, it doesn't apply in the case I initially made in my first post. In which I clearly referenced that the hypothetical person took money away with intent to end lives. It could

apply, but considering it's a financial crime it most likely won't. It's easy to prove premeditation.

(Aug 3, 2018 09:51 AM)Al-Bundy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Then you go and change your wordings. You subtly adding "DO IT" which changes the whole meaning of what you originally said. Then you go and play a game with red herring with "it cannot be worse than murder cause it is murder".

You clearly meant something else, then change the wording and play that game. Yes it will be worse than murder because more than one person is dying. Now don't come back saying "what if I kill more than one people is it still worse".

No, I did not mean something else. I clearly stated in my first post that I meant somebody had intent. I have never edited my posts after the fact, it's all there. Which is why I initially asked you to re-read my posts before you continued replying. We're suffering from a gross miscommunication, whether that be because you overlooked the word "with that intent" or because I should've worded it differently, seeing as you're not from a legal background, I'm not sure but it happened. Let's just get over the fact and continue this further.

To make myself clear again, legally a financial crime cannot ever possibly be worse than murder. Because a financial crime in it's worst form is felony murder. But you are correct, in most cases it will be a 2nd/3rd degree murder charge. However this still kind of makes my point for me, manslaughter is not as bad as murder. Feel free to disagree.

On the moral side of things, sure. Morally a financial crime could be worse than murder, but again that's just a hypothetical most of the time. For taking a life is definitely very, very high up there on the list of morally reprehensible things.

CyberError - August 3, 2018, 9:36 pm

if you end up in financial you can get back with your hard work,
but if you are dead you cant be brought back to life
also being poor is also a type of Prison(hell), but a little better then death

30y old boomer - August 4, 2018, 2:24 am

when it is in the form of taxation
don't worry, government murders as well

Hsde - August 4, 2018, 2:26 am

Murder is pleasing more than stealing. It is like killed a fly that has been annoying you, where stealing is just an adrenaline rush which leads to paranoia and anxiety.

Reginald Kray - August 4, 2018, 4:56 am

It's worse if you scam me because I would have to murder you.
If you murdered me you would survive tho

SyrupSplashin - August 4, 2018, 5:15 am

I don't think they should be even remotely similar in the court of law when it comes to sentencing. You can be reimbursed or earn money back, a dead man can't be brought back to life. Money and human life are incomparable.

Voltaire - October 5, 2018, 7:02 am

You take all of someone's btc, and they kill them selves. There you go.