

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [KazyCrypter is running of Stolen Freeware](#)

Cryptic Coder.. - August 13, 2018, 6:51 pm

KazyCrypter's obfuscation is running of stolen freeware from ConfuserEx!!!!

If you take a look at this:

AND THIS:

DONT BUY THIS NOW!

It's pretty damn obvious what's going on, This dude Can't even code a .NET Crypter, while anyone her can do it and make it fud for a while...the coders a cheap ass mr krabs bitch

NotAFed - August 13, 2018, 6:54 pm

Do people really think that buying a crypter off of Hackforums that hundreds of other people have bought is going to stay undetected or not skidded in any way? I can understand buying a RAT or something else, but a crypter, really?

Cryptic Coder.. - August 13, 2018, 6:57 pm

(Aug 13, 2018 06:54 PM)NotAFed Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Do people really think that buying a crypter off of Hackforums that hundreds of other people have bought is going to stay undetected or not skidded in any way? I can understand buying a RAT or something else, but a crypter, really?
just hate the bitchy coder..I know c/asm while he gotta skiddie off with a better coder's work

Cød3ing - August 13, 2018, 11:23 pm

(Aug 13, 2018 06:57 PM)Cryptic Coder.. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) just hate the bitchy coder..I know c/asm while he gotta skiddie off with a better coder's work
What idiot builds malware with the goal of encapsulating a .NET payload?

.NET is converted into MSIL and then machine code, drags down the entire thing. MSIL isn't for malware, it's for optimisations at runtime, like compiling at runtime, etc.

man ._.

Hsde - August 14, 2018, 5:42 am

Crypted are finicky unless you have a private source. Just pay a crypter. Worth your money every time

Juffar - August 14, 2018, 5:45 am

I'm not saying it's not true but that don't prove nothing.

NotAFed - August 14, 2018, 5:45 am

(Aug 14, 2018 05:42 AM)Hsde Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Crypted are finicky unless you have a private source.

Just pay a crypter. Worth your money every time

Did you just say buying a crypter that 300+ other people have bought is worth your money every time with only 1 or 2 devs working to keep it undetected? It's only worth if you buy a private bin, but even then that bin is most likely given to 5 other people, so it's never truly private. The crypter market on HF is a scam and no one knows it lmao.

Hsde - August 14, 2018, 5:58 am

No (Aug 14, 2018 05:45 AM)NotAFed Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Did you just say buying a crypter that 300+ other people have bought is worth your money every time with only 1 or 2 devs working to keep it undetected? It's only worth if you buy a private bin, but even then that bin is most likely given to 5 other people, so it's never truly private. The crypter market on HF is a scam and no one knows it lmao.

No. Sorry for the misunderstanding. I meant a private crypt-er. Someone that crypts it for you.

NotAFed - August 14, 2018, 6:00 am

(Aug 14, 2018 05:58 AM)Hsde Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No

No. Sorry for the misunderstanding. I meant a private crypt-er. Someone that crypts it for you.

That's probably even worse if they are using a crypter from HF lmao. Anyone with a truly private crypter would not sell a service or crypt a stub for anyone but themselves unless it were to cost you big money.

Hsde - August 14, 2018, 6:07 am

(Aug 14, 2018 06:00 AM)NotAFed Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That's probably even worse if they are using a crypter from HF lmao. Anyone with a truly private crypter would not sell a service or crypt a stub for anyone but themselves unless it were to cost you big money.

Talking about private bins from people who know their shit. And near no one on HF creates polymorphic really.

Mr_Bot - August 14, 2018, 7:08 am

And what did u expected from a crypter cheap at 40\$ a month :'D

Kify - August 14, 2018, 4:08 pm

Quote:ConfuserEx supports .NET Framework from 2.0 - 4.5 and Mono (and other .NET platforms if enough request!). It supports most of the protections you'll find in commercial protectors, and some more!

Alot of crypters share the same functions, just because they have similar ones doesn't mean a crypter copied another one.

You have provided 0 proof so far to confirm your claim.