

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Bump Stock Ban](#)

Prepper - December 19, 2018, 3:18 pm

The Trump administration has officially banned Bump stocks

ATF stated that bump stocks are a gun accessory or firearm part and are not subject to federal regulation but Donald Trump called on the Justice department to ban the device anyway.

If you have a bump stock you have 90 days to either destroy it or turn it in to ATF.

Share your thoughts and opinions on it.

What I find interesting is there is Trump supporters on social media now saying "I don't need a bump stock, I don't own a bump stock so it doesn't matter" but the reality is if Obama would have passed this there would be tremendous outrage. This is like saying "I don't need a Ruger, I don't own a Ruger so ban it" "I don't need a trigger upgrade, I don't have a trigger upgrade so ban it"

ZiMz - December 20, 2018, 4:05 am

Bump fire is the act of using the recoil of a semi-automatic firearm to fire shots in rapid succession, which simulates the rate of fire of a fully automatic firearm.

This solves nothing if someone wants to kill someone.

Taking away guns won't stop killings either. They will be smuggled in here just like drugs or handmade.

There's nothing anybody can do to stop the violence. So what does this actually accomplish?

Evil wins no matter what.

CueBasE - December 20, 2018, 7:40 am

I like it. I'm Anti Trump but pro gun. I own ARs but only because everyone else does too.

If I was told ARs are banned, I would surrender it with no problem. The only guns people need is a handgun for protection, rifle for hunting and shotgun for both.

Leroy Jethro Gibbs - December 20, 2018, 7:45 am

(Dec 20, 2018 08:40 AM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I like it. I'm Anti Trump but pro gun. I own ARs but only because everyone else does too.

If I was told ARs are banned, I would surrender it with no problem. The only guns people need is a handgun for protection, rifle for hunting and shotgun for both.

Well there is a flaw with your statement.

Is the same as

Both are used for hunting. Both fire the exact same rounds. Both fire semi-auto.

CueBasE - December 20, 2018, 10:39 am

(Dec 20, 2018 08:45 AM)Leroy Jethro Gibbs Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Well there is a flaw with your statement.

Is the same as

Both are used for hunting. Both fire the exact same rounds. Both fire semi-auto.
Very and I mean VERY few people hunt with 223/556 AR. Thats not even legal in some states.

308 is common.

I don't see the flaw.

Leroy Jethro Gibbs - December 20, 2018, 12:31 pm

(Dec 20, 2018 11:39 AM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Very and I mean VERY few people hunt with 223/556 AR. Thats not even legal in some states.

308 is common.

I don't see the flaw.

So where is the facts that would back up that statement?

.308 is the same exact argument I have.

You say you don't believe anyone needs a AR to hunt but then reference to only needing a rifle.
Although, a rifle can shoot the exact same ammunition as an AR at pretty much the same rate.

Thats an AR chambered in .308.

Hatchet - December 20, 2018, 2:22 pm

you can bump fire with out a bump stock all you need is a finger and semi auto rifle or pistol

CueBasE - December 20, 2018, 2:36 pm

(Dec 20, 2018 01:31 PM)Leroy Jethro Gibbs Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So where is the facts that would back up that statement?

.308 is the same exact argument I have.

You say you don't believe anyone needs a AR to hunt but then reference to only needing a rifle.
Although, a rifle can shoot the exact same ammunition as an AR at pretty much the same rate.

Thats an AR chambered in .308.

Ok, when people say AR, they generally mean assault style weapons which are most commonly chambered in 223/556 like real assault rifles.

The only advantage of an AR chambered in .308 is higher rate of fire and customization. Therefore its not needed.

Prepper - December 20, 2018, 2:46 pm

(Dec 20, 2018 08:40 AM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If I was told ARs are banned, I would surrender it with no problem. The only guns people need is a handgun for protection, rifle for hunting and shotgun for both.

The fact of the matter is this.

First they ban bump stocks, then they are pushing for a nation wide ban on high capacity magazines, what is next? are they going to push for a ban of RRS's now because it increases accuracy?.

That is how it starts, they ban 1 thing after another and then before you know it guns are banned all together. Your right has vanished.

Any rifle is an assault rifle if it is used with bad intent. So the fact that you would surrender your "AR" with no problem is ridiculous.

Are you going to support a ban of semi-auto and pump shot guns? Got to ban buckshot because its too dangerous 00 Buckshot has 8 pellets in 1 shot, pair that with a pump shotgun or a semi auto shotgun with or without an extension tube that is pretty dangerous for a large crowd if used with bad intent. But yet 99.9% of the time buckshot is used with non bad intent.

Leroy Jethro Gibbs - December 20, 2018, 2:48 pm

(Dec 20, 2018 03:46 PM)Prepper Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The fact of the matter is this.

First they ban bump stocks, then they are pushing for a nation wide ban on high capacity magazines, what is next? are they going to push for a ban of RRS's now because it increases accuracy?.

That is how it starts, they ban 1 thing after another and then before you know it guns are banned all together. Your right has vanished.

Any rifle is an assault rifle if it is used with bad intent. So the fact that you would surrender your "AR" with no problem is ridiculous.

Are you going to support a ban of semi-auto and pump shot guns? Got to ban buckshot because its too dangerous 00 Buckshot has 8 pellets in 1 shot, pair that with a pump shotgun or a semi auto shotgun with or without an extension tube that is pretty dangerous for a large crowd if used with bad intent. But yet 99.9% of the time buckshot is used with non bad intent.

People with his mindset only think about the now. They aren't concerned with their rights nor the future of them or their country.

CueBasE - December 20, 2018, 2:51 pm

(Dec 20, 2018 03:46 PM)Prepper Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The fact of the matter is this.

First they ban bump stocks, then they are pushing for a nation wide ban on high capacity magazines, what is next? are they going to push for a ban of RRS's now because it increases accuracy?.

That is how it starts, they ban 1 thing after another and then before you know it guns are banned all together. Your right has vanished.

Any rifle is an assault rifle if it is used with bad intent. So the fact that you would surrender your "AR" with no problem is ridiculous.

Are you going to support a ban of semi-auto and pump shot guns? Got to ban buckshot because its too dangerous 00 Buckshot has 8 pellets in 1 shot, pair that with a pump shotgun or a semi auto shotgun with or without an extension tube that is pretty dangerous for a large crowd if used with bad intent. But yet 99.9% of the time buckshot is used with non bad intent.

The thing is gun laws are different in all states. Look at Cali, NY and the city of Philadelphia where high capacity mags have been banned since before the Obama administration.

I just don't see why people are so attached to their non concealable guns. Like I said, I'm very pro gun but I'm also a realist.

DrDoof - December 20, 2018, 3:08 pm

I don't really see how banning bump stocks would make life better for the US citizen rather than just 1) score easy political points and 2) plot the path for further banning of guns and accessories? Also, vast majority of murders in the US are with handguns? Why are rifles the gun type under attack?

CueBasE - December 20, 2018, 6:58 pm

(Dec 20, 2018 04:08 PM)DrDoof Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't really see how banning bump stocks would make life better for the US citizen rather than just 1) score easy political points and 2) plot the path for further banning of guns and accessories? Also, vast majority of murders in the US are with handguns? Why are rifles the gun type under attack?

1. Mass shootings are generally committed with an AR rifle.

2. The Las Vegas shooting which is now the worst in US history was carried out an AR with a bump stock.

Deathx103 - December 20, 2018, 7:43 pm

if fully automatic weapons aren't legal a mod to attempt making a weapon fully automatic should be banned as well

SIM-1097664 - December 20, 2018, 11:03 pm

(Dec 20, 2018 07:58 PM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 1. Mass shootings are generally committed with an AR rifle.

2. The Las Vegas shooting which is now the worst in US history was carried out an AR with a bump stock.

With higher ground on a huge target, the Vegas shooting would have been massive regardless of having a bump stock or not. You could literally have one in each hand mag dumping with no fucks given since you're more than likely going to hit something from his position. Bump stocks themselves can have issues actually getting them to work properly anyway, and require both hands to use, Something like a lightening link or even a drop in auto sear, actually making the gun full auto instead of trying to mimic it like a bump stock, would be a better option. Specs for both of those can be found online and could be made out of some scrap metal and would require just some basic tools like a saw, drill, and a file.

(Dec 20, 2018 08:43 PM)Deathx103 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) if fully automatic weapons aren't legal a mod to attempt making a weapon fully automatic should be banned as well

In a lot of states it's actually legal to own a full auto gun, it's just very expensive and hard to do. Buying the lower or either of the parts I mentioned above costs \$10,000+ and has the normal restrictions (Not a felon, US resident, etc). It is of course already illegal to convert a standard semi auto rifle to full auto, but the jail time for that is the least of your worries if you plan on killing someone with it.

Biariuakbar - December 20, 2018, 11:16 pm

Gun laws are complete trash. In Illinois/California (and many other states) you can't buy toy guns, even ones that are shitty 6 foot long muskets with orange tubes at the end.

IF someone wants to shoot up my school with a musket, feel free.

DrDoof - December 21, 2018, 2:41 am

(Dec 20, 2018 07:58 PM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 1. Mass shootings are generally committed with an AR rifle.

2. The Las Vegas shooting which is now the worst in US history was carried out an AR with a bump stock.

1. Mass shootings (3+ dead or wounded) are what, 2% of murders? Of the 29 deadliest mass shootings in US history 48% was fully or partly committed with a rifle, 69% fully or partly committed with a pistol or revolver, 41% committed with only pistols or revolvers and 17% committed with only rifles. 79% of shootings with rifles were with AR rifles.

Out of the 29 deadliest mass shootings in US history,

- 48% were fully or partly committed with rifles (79% of shootings with rifles were with AR rifles)
- 69% were fully or partly committed with pistols or revolvers
- 17% were committed with only rifles
- 41% were committed with only pistols or revolvers

...and out of the 501 killed in those shootings,

- 59% were in shootings fully or partly committed with rifles
- 41% were in shootings fully or partly committed with pistols or revolvers
- 16% were in shootings committed with only rifles
- 35% were in shootings committed with only pistols or revolvers

Conclusion: rifles are probably deadlier (when they are used), but it is not by a huge margin if they are. If all mass shootings are reflective of the 29 deadliest then they are not "generally committed with an AR rifle." If rifles are deadlier than handguns then it is safe to assume rifles are more common in the 29 deadliest shootings than less deadly shootings, making the previous statement even more accurate.

2. The Las Vegas shooting was disgustingly evil and horrendous, but it was only one shooting, with few victims in the grand scheme of things. If there's a will there's a way, as shown by truck attacks and airplane hijackings.

High capacity magazines are involved in 50% of shootings and is next up to be struck. Then what? Suppressors? Sights? Rifles? Semi-automatic guns? I don't care about bump stocks, and apart from looking like they are a freakin fun time to use I doubt many people feel they absolutely need them, but getting accustomed with banning things without absolute necessity is dangerous and paves the path for a more authoritarian future.

CueBasE - December 21, 2018, 6:42 am

(Dec 21, 2018 03:41 AM)DrDoof Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 1. Mass shootings (3+ dead or wounded) are what, 2% of murders? Of the 29 deadliest mass shootings in US history 48% was fully or partly committed with a rifle, 69% fully or partly committed with a pistol or revolver, 41% committed with only pistols or revolvers and 17% committed with only rifles. 79% of shootings with rifles were with AR rifles.

Out of the 29 deadliest mass shootings in US history,

- 48% were fully or partly committed with rifles (79% of shootings with rifles were with AR rifles)
- 69% were fully or partly committed with pistols or revolvers
- 17% were committed with only rifles
- 41% were committed with only pistols or revolvers

...and out of the 501 killed in those shootings,

- 59% were in shootings fully or partly committed with rifles
- 41% were in shootings fully or partly committed with pistols or revolvers
- 16% were in shootings committed with only rifles
- 35% were in shootings committed with only pistols or revolvers

Conclusion: rifles are probably deadlier (when they are used), but it is not by a huge margin if they are. If all mass shootings are reflective of the 29 deadliest then they are not "generally committed with an AR rifle." If rifles are deadlier than handguns then it is safe to assume rifles are more common in the 29 deadliest shootings than less deadly shootings, making the previous statement even more accurate.

2. The Las Vegas shooting was disgustingly evil and horrendous, but it was only one shooting, with few victims in the grand scheme of things. If there's a will there's a way, as shown by truck attacks and airplane hijackings.

High capacity magazines are involved in 50% of shootings and is next up to be struck. Then what? Suppressors? Sights? Rifles? Semi-automatic guns? I don't care about bump stocks, and apart from looking like they are a freakin fun time to use I doubt many people feel they absolutely need them, but getting accustomed with banning things without absolute necessity is dangerous and paves the path for a more authoritarian future.

You're missing the point. You said it yourself, rifles are deadlier. Boom end of discussion, that's why they are trying to ban them. It's not about the death toll vs pistols.

Listen I worked in law enforcement, I wore a level 3A vest that would stop up to a .44 mag and 12 gauge shotgun. A rifle would go right through it like butter. So you must actually understand how deadly of a weapon an AR can be.

Bill Gates - December 21, 2018, 6:51 am

I had a friend show me these a month ago, I argued that it's surely illegal and will get banned, he argued otherwise. Thanks, OP I'll now get to rag on him.

DrDoof - December 21, 2018, 7:31 am

(Dec 21, 2018 07:42 AM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You're missing the point. You said it yourself, rifles are deadlier. Boom end of discussion, that's why they are trying to ban them. It's not about the death toll vs pistols.

Listen I worked in law enforcement, I wore a level 3A vest that would stop up to a .44 mag and 12 gauge shotgun. A rifle would go right through it like butter. So you must actually understand how deadly of a weapon an AR can be.

But that makes no sense? Americans are stereotypically stupid but they can't be that dense? Of course the rifle is way more deadly when shot, and probably more deadly when used in a mass shooting (more deaths), but how can that be the focus? Why is decreasing the deaths per incident more important than increasing the total deaths? Wtf?

Since you were law enforcement, how often are bump stocks used by criminals?

Leroy Jethro Gibbs - December 21, 2018, 10:14 am

(Dec 21, 2018 08:31 AM)DrDoof Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) But that makes no sense? Americans are stereotypically stupid but they can't be that dense? Of course the rifle is way more deadly when shot, and probably more deadly when used in a mass shooting (more deaths), but how can that be the focus? Why is decreasing the deaths per incident more important than increasing the total deaths? Wtf?

Since you were law enforcement, how often are bump stocks used by criminals?
Also how often were the firearms related to crimes actually legally owned.

CueBasE - December 21, 2018, 11:40 am

(Dec 21, 2018 08:31 AM)DrDoof Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) But that makes no sense? Americans are stereotypically stupid but they can't be that dense? Of course the rifle is way more deadly when shot, and probably more deadly when used in a mass shooting (more deaths), but how can that be the focus? Why is decreasing the deaths per incident more important than increasing the total deaths? Wtf?

Since you were law enforcement, how often are bump stocks used by criminals?
I'm not speaking on behalf of the United States by any means. Yes it is stupid but this is a problem that I believe steams from the our constitutional right to own guns.
We don't actually need them.

Now people argue blah blah blah the black market and criminals will still get guns.

That problem comes from corruption with the gun manufacturers. Somewhere between the manufacture and the seller, someone is scratching off serial numbers or perhaps the manufacturer never put them on. You fix that problem then you've cut off the source for bad guys to get guns.

DrDoof - December 21, 2018, 12:29 pm

(Dec 21, 2018 12:40 PM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm not speaking on behalf of the United States by any means. Yes it is stupid but this is a problem that I believe steams from the our constitutional right to own guns.
We don't actually need them.

Now people argue blah blah blah the black market and criminals will still get guns.

That problem comes from corruption with the gun manufacturers. Somewhere between the manufacture and the seller, someone is scratching off serial numbers or perhaps the manufacturer never put them on. You fix that problem then you've cut off the source for bad guys to get guns.
Then again you guys have 400 times more gangs than any other civilized country. 1.5-3 million instances of citizens protecting themselves with guns versus 30 k deaths say guns do serve some kind of a valid purpose... Fix the corruption problem (if that is a problem) and then remove the guns from law abiding citizens, not the other way around, lmao.

Those who want to kill will always kill, it is insane to think you can outrun the inevitable with laws and bans. The once greatest nation on the planet will start banning kitchen knife like the UK eventually if this keeps up.

Leroy Jethro Gibbs - December 21, 2018, 2:00 pm

(Dec 21, 2018 12:40 PM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm not speaking on behalf of the United States by any means. Yes it is stupid but this is a problem that I believe steams from the our constitutional right to own guns.
We don't actually need them.

Now people argue blah blah blah the black market and criminals will still get guns.

That problem comes from corruption with the gun manufacturers. Somewhere between the manufacture and the seller, someone is scratching off serial numbers or perhaps the manufacturer never put them on. You fix that problem then you've cut off the source for bad guys to get guns. Kinda hard to prevent criminals from getting guns when your own government gives them guns.

CueBasE - December 21, 2018, 3:08 pm

(Dec 21, 2018 03:00 PM)Leroy Jethro Gibbs Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Kinda hard to prevent criminals from getting guns when your own government gives them guns.
So do you see the problem?

Gun manufactures are in bed with the NRA who is in bed with the government. They allow guns to end up on the street illegally which leads to criminals going to jail. The government makes money off of citizens taxes to operate the jail.

Its a never ending cycle.

Leroy Jethro Gibbs - December 21, 2018, 3:13 pm

(Dec 21, 2018 04:08 PM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So do you see the problem?

Gun manufactures are in bed with the NRA who is in bed with the government. They allow guns to end up on the street illegally which leads to criminals going to jail. The government makes money off of citizens taxes to operate the jail.

Its a never ending cycle.

Thats a huge rabbit hole to deep dive so hard into.

C000000000000000N - December 21, 2018, 3:19 pm

Maybe ya'll stop shooting up clubs and festivals now.

qq

The_Right_Stuff - December 22, 2018, 12:36 pm

(Dec 20, 2018 03:36 PM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Ok, when people say AR, they generally mean assault style weapons which are most commonly chambered in 223/556 like real assault rifles.

The only advantage of an AR chambered in .308 is higher rate of fire and customization. Therefore its not needed.

Your a fucking retard. You have no idea anything about guns at all.

- 1) .308 AR15's are LOWER fire rate on average than 5.56.
- 2) .308 are MORE deadly not less.
- 3) Assault Style weapon is not a thing no AR15's civilians are buying are "Assault Style"
- 4) Customization does not make a gun more deadly show me one case where a folding stock & hello kitty sticker on the barrel gassed 6 trillion jews.
- 5) Ar15's are functionally no different than any hunting rifle.

There has not been a single mass shooting on US soil with an Assault Rifle. There have been mass shootings in Europe with Assault Rifles. Please show me if you find one.

Assault Rifles have been banned for decades and its bullshit that they were due to never being used in crime.

(Dec 21, 2018 04:08 PM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So do you see the problem?

Gun manufactures are in bed with the NRA who is in bed with the government. They allow guns to end up on the street illegally which leads to criminals going to jail. The government makes money off of citizens taxes to operate the jail.

Its a never ending cycle.

How the fuck does a gun manufacturer benefit from illegal street sales? Don't they want people buying new guns rather than the same gun used in 201 murders?

Also the NRA are fucking cucks they supported the federal background checks that are unconstitutional, bump stock bans, assault rifle ban, etc.

(Dec 20, 2018 07:58 PM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 1. Mass shootings are generally committed with an AR rifle.

2. The Las Vegas shooting which is now the worst in US history was carried out an AR with a bump stock.

1) More mass shootings are committed with Handguns than Rifles

1B) AR15's are used in very few media reports shotguns as fucking AR's.

2)Actually most of the kills were with his other gun that didn't have a bumpstock on it because he couldn't handle the bump stock rifle. He also had an illegally modified full auto rifle but that wasn't used either.

(Dec 21, 2018 01:29 PM)DrDoof Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Then again you guys have 400 times more gangs than any other civilized country. 1.5-3 million instances of citizens protecting themselves with guns versus 30 k deaths say guns do serve some kind of a valid purpose... Fix the corruption problem (if that is a problem) and then remove the guns from law abiding citizens, not the other way around, lmao.

Those who want to kill will always kill, it is insane to think you can outrun the inevitable with laws and bans. The once greatest nation on the planet will start banning kitchen knife like the UK eventually if this keeps up.

Only 10k murders in USA each year about 8k by guns 50% of them by blacks. Pretty low murder rate lower than most of the world and no country with higher blacks or Hispanics have crime rates even close to USA.

(Dec 21, 2018 12:40 PM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Now people argue blah blah blah the black market and criminals will still get guns.

Go Ask mexico or any country in South America or even in Europe how well gun bans work. There has not ever in the history of the planet been a single Gun Control measure that actually reduced crime. This is objective fact.

(Dec 20, 2018 03:51 PM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Like I said, I'm very pro gun but I'm also a realist.

(Dec 21, 2018 12:40 PM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yes it is stupid but this is a problem that I believe steams from the our constitutional right to own guns.

We don't actually need them.

Dood are you fucking serious. You literally support banning guns, you know nothing about guns, you make up huge lies every post.

You are a fucking joke. You don't even have views your just an NPC parroting CNN. You don't even know what an AR15 is but you think its black and scary and every single post you lie about trying to pretend that you are pro gun while you literally advocate for banning guns.

CueBasE - December 22, 2018, 1:25 pm

(Dec 21, 2018 11:14 AM)Leroy Jethro Gibbs Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Also how often were the firearms related to crimes actually legally owned.

(Dec 22, 2018 01:36 PM)The_Right_Stuff Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Your a fucking retard. You have no idea anything about guns at all.

- 1) .308 AR15's are LOWER fire rate on average than 5.56.
- 2) .308 are MORE deadly not less.
- 3) Assault Style weapon is not a thing no AR15's civilians are buying are "Assault Style"
- 4) Customization does not make a gun more deadly show me one case where a folding stock & hello kitty sticker on the barrel gassed 6 trillion jews.
- 5) Ar15's are functionally no different than any hunting rifle.

There has not been a single mass shooting on US soil with an Assault Rifle. There have been mass shootings in Europe with Assault Rifles. Please show me if you find one.

Assault Rifles have been banned for decades and its bullshit that they were due to never being used in crime.

How the fuck does a gun manufacturer benefit from illegal street sales? Don't they want people buying new guns rather than the same gun used in 201 murders?

Also the NRA are fucking cucks they supported the federal background checks that are unconstitutional, bump stock bans, assault rifle ban, etc.

- 1) More mass shootings are committed with Handguns than Rifles
- 1B) AR15's are used in very few media reports shotguns as fucking AR's.

2)Actually most of the kills were with his other gun that didn't have a bumpstock on it because he couldn't handle the bump stock rifle. He also had an illegally modified full auto rifle but that wasn't used either.

Only 10k murders in USA each year about 8k by guns 50% of them by blacks. Pretty low murder rate lower than most of the world and no country with higher blacks or Hispanics have crime rates even close to USA.

Go Ask mexico or any country in South America or even in Europe how well gun bans work. There has not ever in the history of the planet been a single Gun Control measure that actually reduced crime. This is objective fact.

Dood are you fucking serious. You literally support banning guns, you know nothing about guns, you make up huge lies every post.

You are a fucking joke. You don't even have views your just an NPC parroting CNN. You don't even know what an AR15 is but you think its black and scary and every single post you lie about trying to pretend that you are pro gun while you literally advocate for banning guns.
Learn how to read before commenting and calling someone stupid.

I never said any specific caliber is more deadly than another. I mentioned AR style weapons are capable of higher rates of fire due to the design and readiness of aftermarket custom parts.

You brought Assault Rifles into the conversation, not me. An AR isn't an Assault Rifle.

I could go on and discuss this with you if you were civil, but instead you presented yourself as an ignorant redneck who probably drives a shitty lifted truck with camo seat covers and lives in a house that smells like wet dog.

The_Right_Stuff - December 22, 2018, 5:06 pm

(Dec 22, 2018 02:25 PM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I never said any specific caliber is more deadly than another. I mentioned AR style weapons are capable of higher rates of fire due to the design and readiness of aftermarket custom parts.

Except

- 1) You claimed .308 were higher fire rates
- 2) Fire rates will have no difference on an AR15 as its a semi auto
- 3) You litterally claimed .223 are more deadly

Quote:You brought Assault Rifles into the conversation, not me. An AR isn't an Assault Rifle. You actually called the AR15 "assault style"

Quote:I could go on and discuss this with you if you were civil, but instead you presented yourself as an ignorant redneck who probably drives a shitty lifted truck with camo seat covers and lives in a house that smells like wet dog.

No you can't you ignored 90% of my post and replied to 10% of it and were still wrong. You are a liar. You are not arguing in good faith every single post you made you LIED you are just spamming out lies just trying to get people to hate guns.

You literally called yourself pro gun while saying people having a right to own guns is stupid.

CueBasE - December 22, 2018, 6:18 pm

(Dec 21, 2018 11:14 AM)Leroy Jethro Gibbs Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Also how often were the firearms related to crimes actually legally owned.

(Dec 22, 2018 06:06 PM)The_Right_Stuff Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Except

- 1) You claimed .308 were higher fire rates
- 2) Fire rates will have no difference on an AR15 as its a semi auto
- 3) You litterally claimed .223 are more deadly

You actually called the AR15 "assault style"

No you can't you ignored 90% of my post and replied to 10% of it and were still wrong. You are a liar. You are not arguing in good faith every single post you made you LIED you are just spamming

out lies just trying to get people to hate guns.

You literally called yourself pro gun while saying people having a right to own guns is stupid. So you still didn't read the whole thread?

I said the advantage of having an AR chambered in .308 is higher rate of fire. Meaning compared to a standard rifle, the AR can be more easily modified for higher rate. Read the thread to see WHY I said that.

Yes, I said assault STYLE weapons. I didn't say actual Assault rifles.

I work in law enforcement so yes I'm pro gun. However I don't agree that people should be able to own almost any type of gun.

You done? Go read the thread.

The_Right_Stuff - December 22, 2018, 7:46 pm

(Dec 22, 2018 07:18 PM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I said the advantage of having an AR chambered in .308 is higher rate of fire. Meaning compared to a standard rifle, the AR can be more easily modified for higher rate. Read the thread to see WHY I said that. That is not true at all though and statements like this are why we laugh at you. You have 0 understanding of how guns work or what the numbers even mean. You realize .308 is bigger than .223 right?

.308 rifles typically have a LOWER effective fire rate than .223 BECAUSE ITS A BIGGER FUCKING BULLET. What the fuck are you claiming that a .308 has higher rate of fire?

AR15's can fire faster than you can humanly pull the trigger and it would not be faster on either ammo type due to the human bottleneck. Literally any decent rifle you buy can handle faster fire rate than a person can humanly pull the trigger.

The gun may take more damage on .308 as the rounds are literally 3x larger.

Quote: Yes, I said assault STYLE weapons. I didn't say actual Assault rifles.

I work in law enforcement so yes I'm pro gun. However I don't agree that people should be able to own almost any type of gun.

You done? Go read the thread.

Assault Style Weapon is not a word that has any meaning at all and by using that word you prove you have 0 knowledge of how guns work.

I also don't even get your inconsistencies you also claim that .308 guns are somehow more moral than a .223 AR15 then somehow change later to saying .308 AR15's are magically faster fire rate.

I doubt you are law enforcement as you don't have any idea how guns or physics work and you are a known liar as well I feel like anyone who has actually fired a gun would at least know how a gun works somewhat. Unless by law enforcement you mean you work at the ATF or DEA where the only requirement for the job is you must have a sub 90 iq.

You also have no idea what a fucking rifle is every single thing you mentioned about guns is completely wrong. Like for fucks sake you unironically support banning AR15's while claiming to

support guns.

CueBasE - December 22, 2018, 9:21 pm

(Dec 22, 2018 08:46 PM)The_Right_Stuff Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That is not true at all though and statements like this are why we laugh at you. You have 0 understanding of how guns work or what the numbers even mean. You realize .308 is bigger than .223 right?

.308 rifles typically have a LOWER effective fire rate than .223 BECAUSE ITS A BIGGER FUCKING BULLET. What the fuck are you claiming that a .308 has higher rate of fire?

AR15's can fire faster than you can humanly pull the trigger and it would not be faster on either ammo type due to the human bottleneck. Literally any decent rifle you buy can handle faster fire rate than a person can humanly pull the trigger.

The gun may take more damage on .308 as the rounds are literally 3x larger.

Assault Style Weapon is not a word that has any meaning at all and by using that word you prove you have 0 knowledge of how guns work.

I also don't even get your inconsistencies you also claim that .308 guns are somehow more moral than a .223 AR15 then somehow change later to saying .308 AR15's are magically faster fire rate.

I doubt you are law enforcement as you don't have any idea how guns or physics work and you are a known liar as well I feel like anyone who has actually fired a gun would atleast know how a gun works somewhat. Unless by law enforcement you mean you work at the ATF or DEA where the only requirement for the job is you must have a sub 90 iq.

You also have no idea what a fucking rifle is every single thing you mentioned about guns is completely wrong. Like for fucks sake you unironically support banning AR15's while claiming to support guns.

Oh Jesus Christ you are dense.

The discussion of the .308 compared to 223 is irrelevant.

If you take a double action revolver and a semi auto pistol chambered the same, the semi auto pistol is going to be mechanically capable of being modified to shoot faster. It also can be fitted with extended mags. Therefore the semi automatic pistol is the more lethal of the two.

Do you understand or do I need to color it out with crayons?

What's the problem with being against the general population owning ARs? Name a reason you need one instead of a handgun? Explain how you'll ever need to eliminate someone from 200 Yards away because you are in immediate danger.

Last month I witnessed a call for a mass shooting at a Jewish synagogue, the actor was described to have had an assault style weapon. It was later determined to be an AR15. So I don't understand why you can wrap that around your head.

The_Right_Stuff - December 22, 2018, 10:29 pm

(Dec 22, 2018 10:21 PM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Oh Jesus Christ you are dense.

The discussion of the .308 compared to 223 is irrelevant. Yes it is you litterally started this when

you claimed .308 rifles were fine but .223 are not.

(Dec 22, 2018 10:21 PM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Last month I witnessed a call for a mass shooting at a Jewish synagogue, the actor was described to have had an assault style weapon. It was later determined to be an AR15. So I don't understand why you can wrap that around your head.

>Assault Style weapon

Again made up term that anyone uses has no idea how guns work. AR15 are not assault style that is not a thing that exist.

A gun is an assault rifle or it is not. AR15's are not assault style weapons. There is no such thing as an assault style weapon your making up scary words because your a lying sack of shit.

Quote:If you take a double action revolver and a semi auto pistol chambered the same, the semi auto pistol is going to be mechanically capable of being modified to shoot faster. It also can be fitted with extended mags. Therefore the semi automatic pistol is the more leathal of the two. I don't even get what you are trying to say here as related to the previous discussion. Why do you think AR15's are magic?

Also Revolvers are more deadly than Semi automatic handguns and are less likely to jam as well however its much easier to handle and conceal carry a normal handgun.

Quote:What's the problem with being against the general population owning ARs? Name a reason you need one instead of a handgun? Explain how you'll ever need to eliminate someone from 200 Yards away because you are in immediate danger.

- 1) Handguns cannot shoot wild animals attacking my farm for shit
- 2) Bears & Moose are in my yard attacking my animals often
- 3) Hunting
- 4) Fuck you tell me why I don't need one.
- 5) Self defense
- 6) Hunting Rifles have same effective range as an AR15 there is no functional difference between a generic hunting rifle and an AR15.

I should be legally allowed to own automatic rifles and anyone who disagrees with this is a communist cuck traitor who is violating my natural god given rights and should be deported.

CueBasE - December 22, 2018, 10:48 pm

(Dec 22, 2018 11:29 PM)The_Right_Stuff Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yes it is you litterally started this when you claimed .308 rifles were fine but .223 are not.

>Assault Style weapon

Again made up term that anyone uses has no idea how guns work. AR15 are not assault style that is not a thing that exist.

A gun is an assault rifle or it is not. AR15's are not assault style weapons. There is no such thing as an assault style weapon your making up scary words because your a lying sack of shit.

I don't even get what you are trying to say here as related to the previous discussion. Why do you think AR15's are magic?

Also Revolvers are more deadly than Semi automatic handguns and are less likely to jam as well however its much easier to handle and conceal carry a normal handgun.

- 1) Handguns cannot shoot wild animals attacking my farm for shit
- 2) Bears & Moose are in my yard attacking my animals often
- 3) Hunting
- 4) Fuck you tell me why I don't need one.
- 5) Self defense
- 6) Hunting Rifles have same effective range as an AR15 there is no functional difference between a generic hunting rifle and an AR15.

I should be legally allowed to own automatic rifles and anyone who disagrees with this is a communist cuck traitor who is violating my natural god given rights and should be deported.

So finally we are back to square one and you reinforced my theory. You can kill wild animals on your farm with a hunting rife. Why use an AR?

So someone breaks into your house with a weapon and you need to defend yourself, you're telling me you'd rather clear the house with a long gun and limit your mobility instead of using a handgun? Say the bad guy gets into your personal circle, how can you shoot someone with that bulky AR When they are 1 foot away from you?

I'm currently wearing a glock 17 service pistol on my hip which hasn't jammed yet after 2000+ rounds.

My .38 on the other hand has.

falkenburry - December 23, 2018, 1:05 am

(Dec 22, 2018 02:25 PM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You brought Asault Rifles into the conversation, not me. An AR isn't an Asault Rifle.You called it an "Assault Style" in one of your posts. What the hell even is that?

I skimmed through your posts; you have no idea what you're talking about.

CueBasE - December 23, 2018, 1:33 am

(Dec 23, 2018 02:05 AM)falkenburry Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You called it an "Assault Style" in one of your posts. What the hell even is that?

I skimmed through your posts; you have no idea what you're talking about.

Google "Assault Style Weapon" I guaranty it points you in the direction of AR15's.

Bill Gates - December 23, 2018, 1:42 am

You don't need a 30 mag high rate of fire AR15 for home defense or hunting, a pistol or shotty works for home defense and any serious hunter uses a proper rifle, everyone else is just trying to justify their need for an assault weapon to be in their home with all the attachments and bells and whistles.

You don't need a 30 round mag for hunting moose, you'd use a proper rifle like every other sensible hunter.

Bump stock was clearly going to get banned from the offset, it circumvents the reason, you as a civilian, struggle to buy a fully auto version of the same weapon.

NKVD - December 23, 2018, 2:44 am

(Dec 19, 2018 04:18 PM)Prepper Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The Trump administration has officially banned Bump stocks

ATF stated that bump stocks are a gun accessory or firearm part and are not subject to federal regulation but Donald Trump called on the Justice department to ban the device anyway.

If you have a bump stock you have 90 days to either destroy it or turn it in to ATF.

Share your thoughts and opinions on it.

What I find interesting is there is Trump supporters on social media now saying "I don't need a bump stock, I don't own a bump stock so it doesn't matter" but the reality is if Obama would have passed this there would be tremendous outrage. This is like saying "I don't need a Ruger, I don't own a Ruger so ban it" "I don't need a trigger upgrade, I don't have a trigger upgrade so ban it" Trump is more likely to ban guns than any liberal.

(Dec 22, 2018 11:29 PM)The_Right_Stuff Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I should be legally allowed to own automatic rifles and anyone who disagrees with this is a communist cuck traitor who is violating my natural god given rights and should be deported. Those evil gommunist cummin to take mah guns!!!!

Leroy Jethro Gibbs - December 23, 2018, 6:15 am

(Dec 22, 2018 11:48 PM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So finally we are back to square one and you reinforced my theory. You can kill wild animals on your farm with a hunting rife. Why use an AR?

So someone breaks into your house with a weapon and you need to defend yourself, you're telling me you'd rather clear the house with a long gun and limit your mobility instead of using a handgun? Say the bad guy gets into your personal circle, how can you shoot someone with that bulky AR When they are 1 foot away from you?

I'm currently wearing a glock 17 service pistol on my hip which hasn't jammed yet after 2000+ rounds.

My .38 on the other hand has.

So then we loop back to my comment. AR's and hunting rifles that take 5.56 can literally fire and function at the same rate. The only difference is the design. Stop saying Assault Style as its a term that defines intent.

Are you saying that a hunting rifle with a 10 round mag can't do as much damage as a AR-15 with the same 10 round mag?

(Dec 23, 2018 02:33 AM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Google "Assault Style Weapon" I guaranty it points you in the direction of AR15's.

As I said before, stop using Assault Style as that refers to a weapon being used with intent.

The_Right_Stuff - December 23, 2018, 6:42 am

(Dec 23, 2018 03:44 AM)NKVD Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Trump is more likely to ban guns than any liberal. Go to any blue state if you think that is true where its literally illegal to own guns.

Obama literally banned guns.

Clinton literally banned guns and had laws that said a Handgun with a bayonet mount is an assault

rifle.

Reagan literally banned automatic guns.

Trump's administration banned Bump Stocks still a violation of 2a but lower than these.

Republicans will ban anything the NRA says is bad

The democrats will ban any gun they can.

(Dec 23, 2018 02:42 AM)cletis Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You don't need a 30 mag high rate of fire AR15 for home defense or hunting, a pistol or shotty works for home defense and any serious hunter uses a proper rifle, everyone else is just trying to justify their need for an assault weapon to be in their home with all the attachments and bells and whistles.

You don't need a 30 round mag for hunting moose, you'd use a proper rifle like every other sensible hunter.

Bump stock was clearly going to get banned from the offset, it circumvents the reason, you as a civilian, struggle to buy a fully auto version of the same weapon.

AR15's are semi auto they fire as fast as you pull the trigger there is no such thing as a high rate of fire AR15. You pull trigger once one bullet fires the same speed you pull the trigger.

AR15's are the most popular hunting rifle in states where you can buy one. There is no reason to limit my magazine size other than you think it sounds scary most mass shootings are from handguns.

Bill Gates - December 23, 2018, 6:49 am

(Dec 23, 2018 07:42 AM)The_Right_Stuff Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Go to any blue state if you think that is true where its literally illegal to own guns.

Obama literally banned guns.

Clinton literally banned guns and had laws that said a Handgun with a bayonet mount is an assault rifle.

Reagan literally banned automatic guns.

Trump's administration banned Bump Stocks still a violation of 2a but lower than these.

Republicans will ban anything the NRA says is bad

The democrats will ban any gun they can.

AR15's are semi auto they fire as fast as you pull the trigger there is no such thing as a high rate of fire AR15. You pull trigger once one bullet fires the same speed you pull the trigger.

AR15's are the most popular hunting rifle in states where you can buy one. There is no reason to limit my magazine size other than you think it sounds scary most mass shootings are from handguns.

Yo my dude, i used fully autos and pistols my entire Army career, i know how they work.

They do have a purpose, war and combat.

Leroy Jethro Gibbs - December 23, 2018, 6:56 am

I've decided to not respond to this thread anymore. You guys enjoy your debate.

CueBasE - December 23, 2018, 8:47 am

(Dec 23, 2018 07:42 AM)The_Right_Stuff Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Go to any blue state if you think that is true where its literally illegal to own guns.

Obama literally banned guns.

Clinton literally banned guns and had laws that said a Handgun with a bayonet mount is an assault rifle.

Reagan literally banned automatic guns.

Trump's administration banned Bump Stocks still a violation of 2a but lower than these.

Republicans will ban anything the NRA says is bad

The democrats will ban any gun they can.

AR15's are semi auto they fire as fast as you pull the trigger there is no such thing as a high rate of fire AR15. You pull trigger once one bullet fires the same speed you pull the trigger.

AR15's are the most popular hunting rifle in states where you can buy one. There is no reason to limit my magazine size other than you think it sounds scary most mass shootings are from handguns.

How is banning bump stocks a 2nd amendment right violation? Where does the constitution say that we the people have the right to bare any and arms?

The constitution was signed in 1787 I believe. Almost Any gun that was around back then would be considered legal today. Since then, we have created guns that are capable of mass destruction. Had these be available back then, I'm sure they would've written the constitution differently by not allowing civilians to own military grade weapons.

Obama never banned guns, he wanted stricter gun control meaning better background checks. Look at how many shootings occur with legally obtained firearms each year.

Leroy Jethro Gibbs - December 23, 2018, 9:17 am

(Dec 23, 2018 09:47 AM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How is banning bump stocks a 2nd amendment right violation? Where does the constitution say that we the people have the right to bare any and arms?

The constitution was signed in 1787 I believe. Almost Any gun that was around back then would be considered legal today. Since then, we have created guns that are capable of mass destruction. Had these be available back then, I'm sure they would've written the constitution differently by not allowing civilians to own military grade weapons.

Obama never banned guns, he wanted stricter gun control meaning better background checks. Look at how many shootings occur with legally obtained firearms each year.

The 2nd amendment was created to keep the people just as armed as the military that protects it.

The_Right_Stuff - December 23, 2018, 11:24 am

(Dec 23, 2018 09:47 AM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How is banning bump stocks a 2nd amendment right violation? Where does the constitution say that we the people have the right to bare any and arms?

The constitution was signed in 1787 I believe. Almost Any gun that was around back then would be considered legal today. Since then, we have created guns that are capable of mass destruction. Had these be available back then, I'm sure they would've written the constitution differently by not

allowing civilians to own military grade weapons.

Obama never banned guns, he wanted stricter gun control meaning better background checks. Look at how many shootings occur with legally obtained firearms each year.

Because its infringing on our right to own weapons. Same with every single ATF law the entire ATF is unconstitutional. Under Obama they fucking banned forward grips so if I put a Foregrip on a gun its now illegal.

Under Obama it became illegal to put a stock on a Pistol or it becomes illegal.

Very few are with legally obtained firearms and even if there were 10million shootings a year it doesn't matter you cant infringe on rights.

CueBasE - December 23, 2018, 2:25 pm

(Dec 23, 2018 12:24 PM)The_Right_Stuff Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Because its infringing on our right to own weapons. Same with every single ATF law the entire ATF is unconstitutional. Under Obama they fucking banned forward grips so if I put a Foregrip on a gun its now illegal.

Under Obama it became illegal to put a stock on a Pistol or it becomes illegal.

Very few are with legally obtained firearms and even if there were 10million shootings a year it doesn't matter you cant infringe on rights.

But you're saying Obama did things that were already banned in other cities and states before him.

The_Right_Stuff - December 23, 2018, 3:04 pm

(Dec 23, 2018 03:25 PM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) But you're saying Obama did things that were already banned in other cities and states before him.

His administration banned things federally. In New England states with practically no gun laws cannot put a fucking foreward grip on a hunting rifle or its illegal.

I cannot put a stock on an AR Pistol or its illegal.

falkenburry - December 23, 2018, 3:08 pm

(Dec 23, 2018 03:25 PM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) But you're saying Obama did things that were already banned in other cities and states before him.He banned shit federally. However, his administration approved bump stocks.

Imho its a useless ban. You can still make it shoot the exact same way, by holding the gun a certain way.

(Dec 23, 2018 02:33 AM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Google "Assault Style Weapon" I guaranty it points you in the direction of AR15's.I'd like to see that source.

AR15 style weapons ARE NOT assault weapons or assault rifles. An assault rifle is fully automatic — a machine gun. Having a bump stock doesn't make it one either. The only people allowed to have a "assault rifle" would be the military/police and people who have the specific license such as certain shooting ranges. An AR15 is semi-automatic, it looks like an assault rifle, but is different in its operation and capabilities. An example of an assault rifle would be an AK-47. Anything the military considers. However, the civilian AR-15s do not have the select fire capabilities, only semi-automatic settings, so the firearms industry insists they are not an actual assault rifle or assault weapon.

Stop pulling shit out of your ass. People who are uneducated about firearms would probably

consider it to be a assault rifle because it's probably what comes to mind, but it's not. You should google. First thing I googled like you told me and it specifically said IT IS NOT an assault rifle. Certain models maybe, like military ones, but those were banned in an act around 1994-2004 if I remember correctly and civilians do not have access to those. AR stands for Armalite not Assault rifle.

Also AR-15-style rifles are no more powerful than other hunting rifles of the same caliber

CueBasE - December 23, 2018, 4:17 pm

(Dec 23, 2018 04:08 PM)falkenburry Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) He banned shit federally. However, his administration approved bump stocks.

Imho its a useless ban. You can still make it shoot the exact same way, by holding the gun a certain way.

I'd like to see that source.

AR15 style weapons ARE NOT assault weapons or assault rifles. An assault rifle is fully automatic — a machine gun. Having a bump stock doesn't make it one either. The only people allowed to have a "assault rifle" would be the military/police and people who have the specific license such as certain shooting ranges. An AR15 is semi-automatic, it looks like an assault rifle, but is different in its operation and capabilities. An example of an assault rifle would be an AK-47. Anything the military considers. However, the civilian AR-15s do not have the select fire capabilities, only semi-automatic settings, so the firearms industry insists they are not an actual assault rifle or assault weapon.

Stop pulling shit out of your ass. People who are uneducated about firearms would probably consider it to be a assault rifle because it's probably what comes to mind, but it's not. You should google. First thing I googled like you told me and it specifically said IT IS NOT an assault rifle. Certain models maybe, like military ones, but those were banned in an act around 1994-2004 if I remember correctly and civilians do not have access to those. AR stands for Armalite not Assault rifle.

Also AR-15-style rifles are no more powerful than other hunting rifles of the same caliber

I literally said ARs are not assault Rifles already?

What's your point?

falkenburry - December 23, 2018, 4:26 pm

(Dec 23, 2018 05:17 PM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I literally said ARs are not assault Rifles already?

What's your point?I didn't see your recent replies to others, just what you replied to me.

My point is that you were wrong, and I'm glad you see that. Have a good night

CueBasE - December 23, 2018, 4:30 pm

(Dec 23, 2018 05:26 PM)falkenburry Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I didn't see your recent replies to others, just what you replied to me.

My point is that you were wrong, and I'm glad you see that. Have a good night

I said that way before you. You aren't very bright. You must be a trump supporter. You guys can't seem you listen and think things through.

falkenburry - December 23, 2018, 4:46 pm

(Dec 23, 2018 05:30 PM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I said that way before you. You aren't very bright.

You must be a trump supporter. You guys can't seem you listen and think things through.You replied to my posts saying they were assault rifles though... and I corrected you. Even if you already acknowledged you were wrong, I just replied to what you said to me. No need to get upset.

Leroy Jethro Gibbs - December 23, 2018, 11:37 pm

(Dec 23, 2018 05:30 PM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I said that way before you. You aren't very bright. You must be a trump supporter. You guys can't seem you listen and think things through.

Why must your argument include belittling? That is the reason debates become hostile. There's no reason to be upset for something you have no control over. Discuss it, sure. But don't be rude to others because your beliefs don't align with theirs.

CueBasE - December 24, 2018, 6:46 am

(Dec 24, 2018 12:37 AM)Leroy Jethro Gibbs Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why must your argument include belittling? That is the reason debates become hostile. There's no reason to be upset for something you have no control over. Discuss it, sure. But don't be rude to others because your beliefs don't align with theirs.

Hmmm, so I'm belittling? You didn't seem to have any problem with that Right Stuff guy cursing and name calling in here.

Trump supporters gotta stick together.

Leroy Jethro Gibbs - December 24, 2018, 7:08 am

(Dec 24, 2018 07:46 AM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hmmm, so I'm belittling? You didn't seem to have any problem with that Right Stuff guy cursing and name calling in here.

Trump supporters gotta stick together.

Regardless, it's childish. If you are going to debate, then debate. Keep the personal attacks to yourself. Just because I didn't call him out doesn't mean I condone him doing it. The biggest difference is your post literally was nothing but attacking him. If you had said something related to your argument then I likely wouldn't have even noticed but you literally just straight out attacked him for his statement.

Want to know why there is such a divide in the US? It's because people bring up political parties instead of just debating the subject at hand. You also make false assumptions that I supported Trump. A fact you assumed from what I assume was my rebuttal to the original discussion and debate regarding bump stocks and the differences between an "Assault Style Rifle" and a standard hunting rifle chambered in 5.56.

So just to clarify for you, people who didn't/don't support Trump have views that don't align with your own. Just because a political party is generally known for being against banning of firearms doesn't mean that everyone that also are against banning of firearms are within that political party.

The day we can actually stop referring to each other as republican or democrat based on our views and actually debate specific issues we have an opinion is the day we truly become diverse and learn to have an understanding of views that might not align with our own.

It's not always about being right. Sometimes it's just about understanding other people's perspective. Something that can actually help shape your opinion and maybe even strengthen your own argument.

Skaith - December 24, 2018, 9:34 am

indeed i also agreed with you m88

falkenburry - December 24, 2018, 10:12 pm

(Dec 24, 2018 08:08 AM)Leroy Jethro Gibbs Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Regardless, it's childish. If you are going to debate, then debate. Keep the personal attacks to yourself. Just because I didn't call him out doesn't mean I condone him doing it. The biggest difference is your post literally was nothing but

attacking him. If you had said something related to your argument then I likely wouldn't have even noticed but you literally just straight out attacked him for his statement.

Want to know why there is such a divide in the US? Its because people bring up political parties instead of just debating the subject at hand. You also make false assumptions that I supported Trump. A fact you assumed from what I assume was my rebuttal to the original discussion and debate regarding bump stocks and the differences between an "Assault Style Rifle" and a standard hunting rifle chambered in 5.56.

So just to clarify for you, people who didn't/don't support Trump have views that don't align with your own. Just because a political party is generally known for being against banning of firearms doesn't mean that everyone that also are against banning of firearms are within that political party.

The day we can actually stop referring to each other as republican or democrat based on our views and actually debate specific issues we have an opinion is the day we truly become diverse and learn to have an understanding of views that might not align with our own.

Its not always about being right. Sometimes its just about understanding other people perspective. Something that can actually help shape your opinion and maybe even strengthen your own argument. The guy can't debate at all lol. Insults me and then proceeds to neg 3 me. He should probably stay out of this section. ?

SIM-3257834 - December 25, 2018, 3:22 am

(Dec 20, 2018 08:45 AM)Leroy Jethro Gibbs Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Well there is a flaw with your statement.

Is the same as

Both are used for hunting. Both fire the exact same rounds. Both fire semi-auto. Except the bottom one has a book of mods and accessories.

Leroy Jethro Gibbs - December 25, 2018, 3:38 am

(Dec 25, 2018 04:22 AM)Ahri. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Except the bottom one has a book of mods and accessories.

Fair enough. I still don't agree with a ban. Majority of my opinion on that comes from what has been going on around the world. I am however, completely fine with more regulations in order to purchase one. It just would depend on what lawmakers suggest.

CueBasE - December 25, 2018, 6:35 am

(Dec 25, 2018 04:22 AM)Ahri. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Except the bottom one has a book of mods and accessories.

EXACTLY! Finally someone who has enough common sense to see the difference in potential between the two.

SIM-3257834 - December 25, 2018, 4:54 pm

(Dec 25, 2018 07:35 AM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) EXACTLY! Finally someone who has enough common sense to see the difference in potential between the two.

The bottom one is more fun due to the mods and accessories.

CueBasE - December 25, 2018, 5:07 pm

(Dec 25, 2018 05:54 PM)Ahri. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The bottom one is more fun due to the mods and

accessories.

True. Mine is for work use, but its decked out.

DaGeekin - December 25, 2018, 7:51 pm

Essentially it was just a workaround to make a automatic weapon. Which were already banned anyways. So technically we already decide to ban them already just in another form. I think If we are to be outraged about banning of bump stocks we'd have to be outraged on the banning of assault weapons in general.

Themn1979 - December 29, 2018, 3:07 am

If I was told ARs are banned, I would surrender it with no problem. The only guns people need is a handgun for protection, rifle for hunting and shotgun for both.

Theiche1987 - December 29, 2018, 3:08 am

Although, a rifle can shoot the exact same ammunition as an AR at pretty much the same rate.

falkenburry - December 29, 2018, 2:07 pm

(Dec 25, 2018 07:35 AM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) EXACTLY! Finally someone who has enough common sense to see the difference in potential between the two.

Here's why it's a useless ban. Bump-firing is a technique, not a mechanism. IMO a crazy person can just make there own out of plastic if they wanted to.

[Video: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7RdAhTxyP64>]

Prepper - December 29, 2018, 2:18 pm

(Dec 29, 2018 03:07 PM)falkenburry Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Here's why it's a useless ban. Bump-firing is a technique, not a mechanism. IMO a crazy person can just make there own out of plastic if they wanted to.

[Video: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7RdAhTxyP64>]

I suspect people will come up with ideas using those 3D printers or whatever the hell they are. But yep this video shows why this ban is useless and infringes on our 2nd amendment rights.

datguy.dev - December 29, 2018, 4:58 pm

Banning bump stocks isn't useless - if it used as basis for banning other firearms or accessories.

Rsynced - December 29, 2018, 11:21 pm

I think people missed the fact that the US would be a very hard place to invade since citizens own and have access to military grade firearms etc. I believe it's all a conspiracy to disarm American citizens and allow the Chinese to invade without much resistance. At least my imagination comes up with this shit. I wouldn't put anything past it even if it sounds like a nutter type of thing to think.

Prepper - December 29, 2018, 11:33 pm

(Dec 30, 2018 12:21 AM)Rsynced Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think people missed the fact that the US would be a very hard place to invade since citizens own and have access to military grade firearms etc. I believe it's all a conspiracy to disarm American citizens and allow the Chinese to invade without much resistance. At least my imagination comes up with this shit. I wouldn't put anything past it even if it sounds like a nutter type of thing to think.

"You cannot invade mainland United States. There would be a rifle behind every blade of grass."

-Isoroku Yamamoto

While there is debate on whether that quote is authentic or not it still holds truth.

Rsynced - December 30, 2018, 12:38 am

(Dec 30, 2018 12:33 AM)Prepper Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) "You cannot invade mainland United States. There

would be a rifle behind every blade of grass." -Isoroku Yamamoto

While there is debate on whether that quote is authentic or not it still holds truth.

Good point, surprised I never heard of that person or debatable quote. Learned something new after looking up 'Isoroku Yamamoto'

CueBasE - December 30, 2018, 12:49 am

(Dec 29, 2018 03:07 PM)falkenburry Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Here's why it's a useless ban. Bump-firing is a technique, not a mechanism. IMO a crazy person can just make there own out of plastic if they wanted to.

[Video: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7RdAhTxyP64>]

This video doesn't prove anything. Look at his fingers coming off the grip as he's rapid firing, there's no way he could accurately aim, move and shoot like that.

Just because quickdraw gunslingers can rapid fire a single action revolver doesn't mean you can compare that to an auto pistol.

Rsynced - December 30, 2018, 12:56 am

(Dec 30, 2018 01:49 AM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This video doesn't prove anything. Look at his fingers coming off the grip as he's rapid firing, there's no way he could accurately aim, move and shoot like that.

Just because quickdraw gunslingers can rapid fire a single action revolver doesn't mean you can compare that to an auto pistol.

I want to play battlefield v now for some reason... lol

falkenburry - December 30, 2018, 1:47 am

(Dec 30, 2018 01:49 AM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This video doesn't prove anything. Look at his fingers coming off the grip as he's rapid firing, there's no way he could accurately aim, move and shoot like that.

Just because quickdraw gunslingers can rapid fire a single action revolver doesn't mean you can compare that to an auto pistol."This video doesn't prove anything."

???

Leroy Jethro Gibbs - December 30, 2018, 1:56 am

(Dec 30, 2018 01:49 AM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This video doesn't prove anything. Look at his fingers coming off the grip as he's rapid firing, there's no way he could accurately aim, move and shoot like that.

Just because quickdraw gunslingers can rapid fire a single action revolver doesn't mean you can compare that to an auto pistol.

Accept defeat and move on.

CueBasE - December 30, 2018, 7:49 am

(Dec 30, 2018 02:56 AM)Leroy Jethro Gibbs Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Accept defeat and move on.

Lol you know I'm right but you're obviously hardheaded.

Leroy Jethro Gibbs - December 30, 2018, 9:10 am

(Dec 30, 2018 08:49 AM)CueBasE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Lol you know I'm right but you're obviously hardheaded.

[Video: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=K2IOZ-5Nk5k>]

Nah. Your just a troll. Or you can't accept you are wrong. Which is pretty common with people these days.