

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [FuckAv v2 | FASM | FUD | STRONG USG | NATIVE | SMALL STUB \(4 - 5 KB \)](#)

mehtevas - January 20, 2019, 11:13 am

please note:

our service runs on tor you can download it here :

<https://www.torproject.org/download/download-easy.html.en>

<http://fuckavfcnkkvod2u.onion/>

List of not supported software:

Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

web monitor c++ stub

bugged with web monitor vb6 stub

.net

x64 binaries.

Runtime scan:

SIM-3132187 - January 20, 2019, 12:40 pm

Hey, you should think about changing your title for "UD" in view of the results of the "runtime" scan.
GLWS ?

mehtevas - January 20, 2019, 12:42 pm

(Jan 20, 2019 01:40 PM)frenchy440 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hey, you should think about changing your title for "UD" in view of the results of the "runtime" scan.

GLWS ?

why put runtime in quotes ?

the detection will be fixed quickly.

J.P.Belmondo - January 20, 2019, 1:03 pm

Vouch for mehtevas always high quality products
don't hesitate to deal with this talented coder.

I.M.P - January 20, 2019, 1:07 pm

vouch copy? would be appreciated! ? glws

mehtevas - January 20, 2019, 1:07 pm

(Jan 20, 2019 02:03 PM)J.P.Belmondo Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Vouch for mehtevas always high quality products

dont hesitate to deal with this talented coder.
Thanks for your vouch.

joselau - January 20, 2019, 1:21 pm

The best crypter guys. If you want to make money and to be pleased, buy it. With combination with netwire is the best for me.

RCE - January 20, 2019, 1:54 pm

Mapping PE, load linked dll , resolve IAT and without using any suspicious API will provide good stealthy with evading of AV memory scan , Implementing USG is a good idea to extend FUD time but i wonder how long it will be FUD after someone starting a big campaign or sending samples to distributed AV's?

Seems an interesting project i may try it later , GLWS ?

mehtevass - January 20, 2019, 1:57 pm

(Jan 20, 2019 02:54 PM)Shad0Byte Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Mapping PE, load linked dll , resolve IAT and without using any suspicious API will provide good stealthy with evading of AV memory scan , Implementing USG is a good idea to extend FUD time but i wonder how long it will be FUD after someone starting a big campaign or sending samples to distributed AV's?

Seems an interesting project i may try it later , GLWS ?

this is a SIG scan from one of the stubs.

as you can see the USG is really doing a great job

non the less ur still missing a few parts for your crypter explanation.

btw ur VNC isnt c++ its delphi / borland.

RCE - January 20, 2019, 2:03 pm

(Jan 20, 2019 02:57 PM)mehtevass Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)

this is a SIG scan from one of the stubs.

as you can see the USG is really doing a great job

non the less ur still missing a few parts for your crypter explanation.

btw ur VNC isnt c++ its delphi / borland.

yep dont have time to write it all,

also it's not Delphi, its c++ but with a type of CLR hosting with Delphi.

mehtevass - January 20, 2019, 2:07 pm

(Jan 20, 2019 03:03 PM)Shad0Byte Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) yep dont have time to write it all, also it's not Delphi, its c++ but with a type of CLR hosting with Delphi. so its a mixxed application ?

French Products - January 20, 2019, 2:08 pm

Vouch for my german boi!!! Best lucks with sales.

abluka - January 20, 2019, 5:39 pm

its not fud and broke exe bad service

French Products - January 20, 2019, 6:00 pm

(Jan 20, 2019 06:39 PM)abluka Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) its not fud and broke exe bad service

First, it's the sale thread, not support one.

You must know it's a loadpe, it won't work with every files. You might just contact him before sale trashing

Friedrich Nietzsche - January 20, 2019, 6:02 pm

(Jan 20, 2019 02:57 PM)mehtevs Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)

this is a SIG scan from one of the stubs.

as you can see the USG is really doing a great job

non the less ur still missing a few parts for your crypter explanation.

btw ur VNC isnt c++ its delphi / borland.

This is a very bad understanding of how AVs work, yeah you can encrypt everything or insert junk instructions and sig scanners and file comparison tools would see no similarity. AVs however don't just rely on that, they rely on imports, sections, resource, and other PE characteristics. Sigbench is only a simple tool for simple signatures, AVs do far more than that, we are not in 2005.

(Jan 20, 2019 07:00 PM)French Products Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You must know it's a loadpe, it won't work with every files. You might just contact him before sale trashing

A proper LoadPE would support every file, there is no reason for it to not, and if there is a file it can't handle for some reason that is indeed fixable. PE binaries and process are not magical, they are all very well documented.

The only time where some LoadPEs can logical problems is if the original binary does not have relocation and the base address is occupied by something that is a) either not unmapable such as PEB or b) occupied by the crypter code itself, other than that all binaries should be supported. In fact, simply from reading the list of incompatible applications I already know what the loadPE OP used is doing wrong most likely.

French Products - January 20, 2019, 6:17 pm

(Jan 20, 2019 07:02 PM)Friedrich Nietzsche Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is a very bad understanding of how AVs work, yeah you can encrypt everything or insert junk instructions and sig scanners and file comparison tools would see no similarity. AVs however don't just rely on that, they rely on imports, sections, resource, and other PE characteristics. Sigbench is only a simple tool for simple signatures, AVs do far more than that, we are not in 2005.

A proper LoadPE would support every file, there is no reason for it to not, and if there is a file it can't handle for some reason that is indeed fixable.

You're right about the PE core thing. That's not negligible! ESET makes mostly detections on resources, strings, imports etc.

I half agree with you about PrLdr though. Few files aren't working whatever you do. Maybe he can still fix it in the current state? We don't know what this customer tried to crypt. ?

Friedrich Nietzsche - January 20, 2019, 6:24 pm

(Jan 20, 2019 07:17 PM)French Products Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I half agree with you about PrLdr though. Few files aren't working whatever you do. Maybe he can still fix it in the current state? We don't

know what this customer tried to crypt. ?

Based on his list of incompatible software (such as #), it is pretty clear that he is making some rather elementary mistakes in developing or "borrowing" his LoadPE.

And other than the example I gave (which are still possible to address btw, it just is rather inconvenient or unsafe to do so and as such it is simply better to use injection in those circumstances), there should be no binaries that you cannot load.

Slay3r - January 20, 2019, 8:05 pm

Dear Friedrich Nietzsche

Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

(Jan 20, 2019 07:02 PM)Friedrich Nietzsche Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is a very bad understanding of how AVs work, yeah you can encrypt everything or insert junk instructions and sig scanners and file comparison tools would see no similarity. AVs however don't just rely on that, they rely on imports, sections, resource, and other PE characteristics. Sigbench is only a simple tool for simple signatures, AVs do far more than that, we are not in 2005.

A proper LoadPE would support every file, there is no reason for it to not, and if there is a file it can't handle for some reason that is indeed fixable. PE binaries and process are not magical, they are all very well documented.

The only time where some LoadPEs can logical problems is if the original binary does not have relocation and the base address is occupied by something that is a) either not unmapable such as PEB or b) occupied by the crypter code itself, other than that all binaries should be supported. In fact, simply from reading the list of incompatible applications I already know what the loadPE OP used is doing wrong most likely.

Quote:yeah you can encrypt everything or insert junk instructions - there is currently no code encryption or junk code taking place in the usg.

instead we feature codeflow obfuscation, code shuffling, instruction substitution and multiple optimization techniques which all where pretty easy to implement because of the fact that we don't have to deal with high level syntax since it's pure asm.

the sigbench screen just shows that even without named usual approaches we can create same/better results.

good software evolves in it's lifetime and so is fuckAV so you can expect even further improvements.

Quote:if there is a file it can't handle for some reason that is indeed fixable - definitely true thats why it got top priority after it got reported.

Quote:what the loadPE OP used - is a pretty hard accusation since other then a software beeing CURRENTLY (meaning working on it) not supported, you have no proof for that.

Quote:imports, sections, resource, and other PE characteristics - true thing thats why you just get shown testresults after adding VersionInfo & Icon for example.

- but that should be common sence by now

- (teaser) use of user provided .res and list of fake imports and sections is not just a planned but a half way done feature

- in FASM it actually are 3 macros and they are allready in and commented out since the website still needs the fields for it

Quote:very bad understanding of how AVs work - you can't allways think all users will understand exactly what is happening in background.

some will hear unique and search for file comparison so we do that for them - just saving someone the hustle.

My best regards -

mehtevras - January 20, 2019, 8:16 pm

(Jan 20, 2019 07:02 PM)Friedrich Nietzsche Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is a very bad understanding of how AVs work, yeah you can encrypt everything or insert junk instructions and sig scanners and file comparison tools would see no similarity. AVs however don't just rely on that, they rely on imports, sections, resource, and other PE characteristics. Sigbench is only a simple tool for simple signatures, AVs do far more than that, we are not in 2005.

A proper LoadPE would support every file, there is no reason for it to not, and if there is a file it can't handle for some reason that is indeed fixable. PE binaries and process are not magical, they are all very well documented.

The only time where some LoadPEs can logical problems is if the original binary does not have relocation and the base address is occupied by something that is a) either not unmapable such as PEB or b) occupied by the crypter code itself, other than that all binaries should be supported. In fact, simply from reading the list of incompatible applications I already know what the loadPE OP used is doing wrong most likely.

the sigscan was just to provide an example of the usg.

also i remember ur crypter not supporting all files too.

Friedrich Nietzsche - January 20, 2019, 8:30 pm

(Jan 20, 2019 09:05 PM)Slay3r Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) - there is currently no code encryption or junk code taking place in the usg.

instead we feature codeflow obfuscation, code shuffling, instruction substitution and multiple optimization techniques which all where pretty easy to implement because of the fact that we don't have to deal with high level syntax since it's pure asm.

the sigbench screen just shows that even without named usual approaches we can create same/better results.

good software evolves in it's lifetime and so is fuckAV so you can expect even further improvements.

Sigbench doesn't tell much really, you can post CFF explorer screenshot for 2 binaries and an IDA screenshot and that would tell far more.

(Jan 20, 2019 09:05 PM)Slay3r Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) - definitely true thats why it got top priority after it got reported.

Looking forward to see your fix.

(Jan 20, 2019 09:05 PM)Slay3r Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) - is a pretty hard accusation since other then a software beeing CURRENTLY (meaning working on it) not supported, you have no proof for that.

It is not even an accusation, I have no problem with people pasting if what they pasted is good code, there is little reason to reinvent the wheel. However when people can't tell a good place to paste from and a bad place to do so from it becomes a problem. For example Darth's driver is well made and covers pretty much all things needed while many other pastes are not, but most people don't know how to learn from Darth's driver because, well, it is a driver and people can't figure out how to port it to usermode.

(Jan 20, 2019 09:05 PM)Slay3r Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) - true thing thats why you just get shown testresults after adding VersionInfo & Icon for example.

- but that should be common sense by now
- (teaser) use of user provided .res and list of fake imports and sections is not just a planned but a half way done feature
 - in FASM it actually are 3 macros and they are already in and commented out since the website still needs the fields for it

User provided .res file is nice but users providing fake imports by themselves? That does not sound particularly wise, how do you expect users to scrap APIs that are 1) compatible with all windows version and 2) heuristically correct and ordered correctly when generating code?

(Jan 20, 2019 09:05 PM)Slay3r Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) - you can't always think all users will understand exactly what is happening in background.
some will hear unique and search for file comparison so we do that for them - just saving someone the hustle.
If your customers does something wrong or misunderstand something playing along with it is not really a positive thing to do, but that might just be me.

(Jan 20, 2019 09:16 PM)mehtevs Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) also i remember ur crypter not supporting all files too.
It does actually, I have yet to encounter a file my crypter cannot properly handle. The issue with the HVNC bot, before you ask, was a problem from the bot developer, you can confirm this by asking shado himself. And this has little to do with my crypter, I simply pointed out several simple things regarding yours, mine could be dogshit for all I care and my points would remain correct.

mehtevs - January 20, 2019, 9:37 pm

(Jan 20, 2019 09:30 PM)Friedrich Nietzsche Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Sigbench doesn't tell much really, you can post CFF explorer screenshot for 2 binaries and an IDA screenshot and that would tell far more.

Looking forward to see your fix.

It is not even an accusation, I have no problem with people pasting if what they pasted is good code, there is little reason to reinvent the wheel. However when people can't tell a good place to paste from and a bad place to do so from it becomes a problem. For example Darth's driver is well made and covers pretty much all things needed while many other pastes are not, but most people don't know how to learn from Darth's driver because, well, it is a driver and people can't figure out how to port it to usermode.

User provided .res file is nice but users providing fake imports by themselves? That does not sound particularly wise, how do you expect users to scrap APIs that are 1) compatible with all windows version and 2) heuristically correct and ordered correctly when generating code?

If your customers does something wrong or misunderstand something playing along with it is not really a positive thing to do, but that might just be me.

It does actually, I have yet to encounter a file my crypter cannot properly handle. The issue with the HVNC bot, before you ask, was a problem from the bot developer, you can confirm this by asking shado himself. And this has little to do with my crypter, I simply pointed out several simple things regarding yours, mine could be dogshit for all I care and my points would remain correct.
i used ur crypter with # and netwire lol

JustNothing - January 21, 2019, 5:17 am

Nice to see FuckAv back.

Maybe invest in some other thread design.
Remember the design is not equal to the quality.

GLWS

mehtevas - January 21, 2019, 6:34 am

(Jan 21, 2019 06:17 AM)Adderall. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Nice to see FuckAv back.

Maybe invest in some other thread design.
Remember the design is not equal to the quality.

GLWS

thanks for your comment,
thread will be updated this is only temporary

Fulya Donmez - January 21, 2019, 8:22 am

So this those not work with # rat?

mehtevas - January 21, 2019, 9:01 am

(Jan 21, 2019 09:22 AM)Fulya Donmez Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So this those not work with # rat?
as of right now correct.

exploit.exe - January 21, 2019, 9:58 pm

Hey few questions

1. Are you working on making it compatible with all native files?
2. Are the stubs private? (1 user per stub) and whats the minimum FUD time?

mehtevas - January 21, 2019, 11:48 pm

(Jan 21, 2019 10:58 PM)Nanite Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hey few questions

1. Are you working on making it compatible with all native files?
2. Are the stubs private? (1 user per stub) and whats the minimum FUD time?
 - 1) yes of course.
 - 2) stub fud size depends on what you do
we have a strong usg making sure every crypt is unique

AnMiCo - January 22, 2019, 8:17 am

posting here cause looks interesting

Alles auf Rot - January 22, 2019, 11:32 am

Very nice thread you have running here. Will definetly check it out in the future. GLWS

travisci - January 22, 2019, 11:44 am

Will you be offering your customers of FuckAV v1 a reimbursement for the time the crypter went down?

mehtevras - January 22, 2019, 12:23 pm

(Jan 22, 2019 12:44 PM)travisci Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Will you be offering your customers of FuckAV v1 a reimbursement for the time the crypter went down?
contact me with ur orderid from fuckav v1.

Fulya Donmez - January 22, 2019, 1:18 pm

But does it work with Netwire rat?

mehtevras - January 22, 2019, 1:19 pm

(Jan 22, 2019 02:18 PM)Fulya Donmez Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) But does it work with Netwire rat?
yes ?

aerohacker - January 23, 2019, 10:57 am

There's a Hidden Startup and Persistence feature?

Exodus - January 23, 2019, 11:02 am

(Jan 23, 2019 11:57 AM)aerohacker Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There's a Hidden Startup and Persistence feature?
Nope.

mehtevras - January 24, 2019, 1:27 am

loadpe has been updated.

if you had issues with builds hmu.

Exodus - January 24, 2019, 6:26 am

(Jan 21, 2019 09:22 AM)Fulya Donmez Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So this those not work with # rat?
It does now, just tested it.

Alles auf Rot - January 24, 2019, 11:11 am

Will i get my membership until 2021 back? O.o

Fulya Donmez - January 24, 2019, 11:19 am

(Jan 24, 2019 07:26 AM)Exodu\$ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It does now, just tested it.
The coder said it does not !!

Exodus - January 24, 2019, 11:24 am

(Jan 24, 2019 12:19 PM)Fulya Donmez Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The coder said it does not !!
When?

mehtevras - January 24, 2019, 11:42 am

(Jan 24, 2019 12:11 PM)Alles auf Rot Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Will i get my membership until 2021 back? O.o
tell me your old name so i remember you.

(Jan 24, 2019 12:19 PM)Fulya Donmez Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The coder said it does not !!
updated it.

it works now with #.

bawsyfell - January 24, 2019, 12:39 pm

one of my favorite crypters..HQ stuffs

Fulya Donmez - January 26, 2019, 2:55 am

(Jan 21, 2019 10:01 AM)mehtevras Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) as of right now correct.

@Exodus here

(Jan 24, 2019 12:42 PM)mehtevs Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) tell me your old name so i remember you.

updated it.

it works now with #.

Oh its now working with #?

Exodus - January 26, 2019, 3:29 am

(Jan 26, 2019 03:55 AM)Fulya Donmez Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Oh its now working with #?

Quote:It does now, just tested it.

Fulya Donmez - January 27, 2019, 2:26 am

Noted: though you told me it works before

DataTron.Network - January 27, 2019, 9:21 am

Does this work with web monitor?

mehtevs - January 27, 2019, 10:16 am

(Jan 27, 2019 10:21 AM)sasuke22789 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Does this work with web monitor?
yes

DataTron.Network - January 27, 2019, 11:42 am

Just bought a month for \$35. Scan results show 10/22

spyralscanner/result.php?id=0CqbtObgL8

I'll update this when it's FUD. Thanks..

This is my experience. I'll give it a 0/10.

I bought this crypter. A month for \$35. I asked the owner if it works with WebMonitor. He said yes. I tested the crypter with web monitor and its giving me errors. The panel loads the bot, but it gives errors. This is not what I wanted. I'm expecting a crypter that is compatible with what I asked.

gyazo/a22c10ce3ab6a43cfbbdda4f4bf1b0a6.png

gyazo/acbd799f59f9515274b2364dd1e3c511.png

Bottom line is that he said he would refund me if the crypter isn't compatible with WebMonitor. Giving errors means non-compatible. He never refunded me, he said he would. I have proof.

Transcript with seller(SexyCatGirls)

pastebin/D1zsr6U9

Transcript with coder(Slay3r)

pastebin/mYcp8ZGP

DataTron.Network - January 27, 2019, 12:34 pm

(Jan 27, 2019 01:32 PM)mehtevs Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) as you say urself, it loaded.

it connected to ur panel over the crypted bin. (the crypted bin worked)

the error what came (what might even be a "fake message box") is a issue from web monitor and has nothing todo with the crypter itself.

I asked you if I could teamviewer you to PROVE to you that I wasn't using a messagebox, and you said "bruh its weekedn im outta business"

DataTron.Network - January 27, 2019, 12:35 pm

(Jan 27, 2019 01:34 PM)mehtevs Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) you did not ask me that.Ok well I'm asking you now? Get on teamviewer so I can prove to you I'm not using a messagebox and refund me like you said you would.

DataTron.Network - January 27, 2019, 12:40 pm

(Jan 27, 2019 01:36 PM)mehtevs Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) as i said, the crypter works just fine.

its an issue with webmonitor,
you should contact webmonitor staff.It's not an issue with web monitor because web monitor works fine without your crypter. How would it be an issue with web monitor? Not sure I understand can you elaborate?

SUI-3183372 - January 27, 2019, 12:45 pm

(Jan 27, 2019 01:36 PM)mehtevs Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) as i said, the crypter works just fine.

its an issue with webmonitor,
you should contact webmonitor staff.
Real classy replies. Doubt you last long.

(Jan 27, 2019 01:40 PM)sasuke22789 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's not an issue with web monitor because web monitor works fine without your crypter. How would it be an issue with web monitor? Not sure I understand can you elaborate?

Does WebMonitor even have a message box feature? If they do, it's not listed on their sales thread/site.

DataTron.Network - January 27, 2019, 1:01 pm

(Jan 27, 2019 01:45 PM)Previously Known As.... Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Real classy replies. Doubt you last long.

Does WebMonitor even have a message box feature? If they do, it's not listed on their sales thread/site.I received a refund. This is resolved. WebMonitor is not compatible with FuckAv.

travisci - January 27, 2019, 1:25 pm

(Jan 27, 2019 02:01 PM)sasuke22789 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I received a refund. This is resolved. WebMonitor is not compatible with FuckAv.

Are you even allowed to crypt webmonitor? I thought their TOS prohibited that

DataTron.Network - January 27, 2019, 1:31 pm

(Jan 27, 2019 02:25 PM)travisci Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Are you even allowed to crypt webmonitor? I thought their TOS prohibited thatThey just say that to protect themselves. How would they ever know ?

mrbuttlicker - January 27, 2019, 8:32 pm

does it work with Njrat?

There was an error posting your reply: The message is too short. Please enter a message longer than 25 characters.

[warn]

mehtevs - January 27, 2019, 9:04 pm

(Jan 27, 2019 09:32 PM)mrbuttlicker Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) does it work with Njrat?

There was an error posting your reply: The message is too short. Please enter a message longer than 25 characters.

no

[warn]

Lipton - January 28, 2019, 10:35 am

Great tool always been happy using V1, and hope to keep using you for crypting related things!

BIG VOUCHER!

CrypterNoob - January 28, 2019, 10:40 am

Is this compatible with ORION KEYLOGGER?

mehtevs - January 28, 2019, 11:53 am

(Jan 28, 2019 11:40 AM)CrypterNoob Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Is this compatible with ORION KEYLOGGER?
no sorry. orion is .net

Fud_bot - January 29, 2019, 12:25 pm

will this crypter work with # Rat?

Asorock - January 29, 2019, 1:15 pm

Please i need help, is this crypter compatible with Azorult 3.3 ?

mehtevs - January 29, 2019, 1:19 pm

(Jan 29, 2019 01:25 PM)Fud_bot Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) will this crypter work with # Rat?

(Jan 29, 2019 02:15 PM)Asorock Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Please i need help, is this crypter compatible with Azorult 3.3 ?

yes it will work but currently working on updates

simpleme201 - January 29, 2019, 6:28 pm

does it work with Expert rat?

default412 - February 2, 2019, 6:14 am

This crypter is shit! Absolute shit and I paid for a month... What garbage! its a waste of time!
I bought it and I have tried it. My advise is don't buy it!

Exodus - February 2, 2019, 6:45 am

(Feb 2, 2019 07:14 AM)default412 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This crypter is shit! Absolute shit and I paid for a month... What garbage! its a waste of time!

I bought it and I have tried it. My advise is don't buy it!

What exactly do you think is "shit" about it?

default412 - February 2, 2019, 7:31 am

(Feb 2, 2019 07:45 AM)Exodu\$ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What exactly do you think is "shit" about it?

If this is a crypter, then its a very shitty crypter. Its bad at being a crypter. It's not FUD. I paid for a month and I have tested it!

Exodus - February 2, 2019, 8:39 am

(Feb 2, 2019 08:31 AM)default412 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If this is a crypter, then its a very shitty crypter. Its bad at being a crypter. It's not FUD. I paid for a month and I have tested it!

Worked flawlessly for me. Have you tied contacting their support?

default412 - February 2, 2019, 10:24 am

(Feb 2, 2019 09:39 AM)Exodu\$ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Worked flawlessly for me. Have you tried contacting their support?

Well, it didn't for me.

DataTron.Network - February 2, 2019, 6:23 pm

This stub is 11/22. Please FUD this asap!!

i.gyazo/5f646fbc4dfe1b44dc09b7ad2f788fb4.png

Exodus - February 3, 2019, 7:11 am

(Feb 2, 2019 07:23 PM)sasuke22789 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This stub is 11/22. Please FUD this asap!!

i.gyazo/5f646fbc4dfe1b44dc09b7ad2f788fb4.png

Read up on how to fix gen detections or contact their support.

The stub isn't 11/22, I get this result:

<https://scanmybin.net/result/412716e3227cf846162afd418eedcd0d427dc8a56243506ddcefb2462c3252a2>

All it took was a HQ icon and assembly information.

DataTron.Network - February 3, 2019, 11:45 am

(Feb 3, 2019 08:11 AM)Exodu\$ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Read up on how to fix gen detections or contact their support.

The stub isn't 11/22, I get this result:

<https://scanmybin.net/result/412716e3227cf846162afd418eedcd0d427dc8a56243506ddcefb2462c3252a2>

All it took was a HQ icon and assembly information.No I put a icon and changed assembly it went up 1 detection.

<https://scanmybin/result/062d0ff0abfed98409a8e3b34eab3f6722ecfa3f25802f7621107bda65df25f7>

Bunch of scammers

Exodus - February 3, 2019, 11:49 am

(Feb 3, 2019 12:45 PM)sasuke22789 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No I put a icon and changed assembly it went up 1 detection.

<https://scanmybin/result/062d0ff0abfed98409a8e3b34eab3f6722ecfa3f25802f7621107bda65df25f7>

Bunch of scammers

There are still gen detections you dumb twat.

DataTron.Network - February 3, 2019, 12:04 pm

(Feb 3, 2019 12:49 PM)Exodu\$ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There are still gen detections you dumb twat.

Exactly. That's my point. There are still detections.

Exodus - February 3, 2019, 12:30 pm

(Feb 3, 2019 01:04 PM)sasuke22789 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Exactly. That's my point. There are still detections.

Gen detections are NOT the crypters fault.

DataTron.Network - February 3, 2019, 12:54 pm

(Feb 3, 2019 01:30 PM)Exodu\$ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Gen detections are NOT the crypters fault.Okay whatever man. I bought Static Crypter and it's fully fud. This is garbage.

Exodus - February 3, 2019, 12:58 pm

(Feb 3, 2019 01:54 PM)sasuke22789 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Okay whatever man. I bought Static Crypter and

it's fully fud. This is garbage.

It's not really fair to compare something that was re-fudded 2 hours ago to something that wasn't.

DataTron.Network - February 3, 2019, 1:59 pm

(Feb 3, 2019 01:58 PM)Exodu\$ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's not really fair to compare something that was re-fudded 2 hours ago to something that wasn't. Oh okay well start fudging.

Exodus - February 3, 2019, 2:00 pm

(Feb 3, 2019 02:59 PM)sasuke22789 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Oh okay well start fudging. That ain't up to me.

DataTron.Network - February 3, 2019, 2:01 pm

(Feb 3, 2019 03:00 PM)Exodu\$ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That ain't up to me. Ok man hit up your dev.

Exodus - February 3, 2019, 2:02 pm

(Feb 3, 2019 03:01 PM)sasuke22789 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Ok man hit up your dev. Just did.

DataTron.Network - February 3, 2019, 2:04 pm

(Feb 3, 2019 03:02 PM)Exodu\$ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Just did. Thanks. Also tell him to make the USG more unique and it will stay FUD longer.

Friedrich Nietzsche - February 3, 2019, 3:35 pm

(Feb 3, 2019 01:30 PM)Exodu\$ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Gen detections are NOT the crypters fault. I am aware that you are a shill for Mehtevas but at least try to shill well. Generic detections are indeed the crypter's fault. Do you think that Bitdefender's engine somehow can't be clean by a proper crypter developer? Do you think they make magical detections that are somehow "not the crypters fault" even though the crypted output is all they see? Bitdefender doesn't even emulate as far as I know, and their heuristic engine would take a few hours of work to defeat at most if you are competent, but after looking at an output from this crypter I can clearly say that such is not the case.

(Feb 3, 2019 03:04 PM)sasuke22789 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thanks. Also tell him to make the USG more unique and it will stay FUD longer.

The problem isn't that it is not unique but that the developer has no idea how heuristic engines work. Their output is ridiculous and simply heuristically incorrect, resulting in such detections.