

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Review of "Unlimited Money Printing Machine Guide"](#)

DOG - May 10, 2019, 1:55 pm

[Review of "Unlimited Money Printing Machine Guide"](#)

Initial Reading: Prior to reading this method, I was a bit unsure as it is a money making method and we all know the stigma surrounding them on this forum. With that being said, the initial viewing of the document was a bit underwhelming – the first page is just a wall of text regarding some rules and information that the seller wants to tell the buyer. Eventually, though, the seller then presents the introductory steps to the method and begins to layout the things that they should expect when conducting this method and when beginning it. The method consists of 14 pages, 13 of which are for the method itself. The majority of these pages also have photos for guides for the purchaser to follow along with.

Price: This method is available for sale for \$20. At first, I was a bit skeptical of the price because I thought the majority of money making books are complete and utter bullshit. Honestly, though, I see the justification behind the pricing for this method; buyers can make this money back with very minimal work. It is important to note that the cashing out portion of this method does take a decent amount of time and members do need to wait a little bit; I also am unsure as to how reputable the websites used are – with some research, I have yet to find any problematic reviews or comments.

The Method: The meat and potatoes portion of the review; this method is interesting to say the least. Prior to reading the document sent, I did not and would not have thought of this at all; I am relatively involved in the scene being used, too. The seller presents thirteen pages of steps and photos for members to follow – according to the member, if followed perfectly, buyers will garner quite a bit of profit in a 15 to 19 day span. I want it to be clear; I HAVE NOT TESTED THIS METHOD MYSELF. While I haven't tested it, though, I would like to note that everything seems pretty viable and it is a reasonable assumption that the method would be effective in working. The member states in the first page of the document that he will only be selling this method to 100 people; whether this is true or not, I'm not sure. With regards to the formatting and layout of the method, it could be prettier and could definitely be presented to the reader in a way that is much easier to follow. When it comes to barebones method, though, I could see some money being made, I just question if it is worth it with the amount of time it takes to cash out and recognize profit. With all of this being said, I give this method a 3 out of 5 stars.

Celibate - May 10, 2019, 2:29 pm

Nice layed out review DOG. Question, how much money would i expect to make by the time I can withdrawl?

DOG - May 10, 2019, 2:45 pm

(May 10, 2019 02:29 PM)Celibate Wrote: [CITE](#) Nice layed out review DOG. Question, how much money would i expect to make by the time I can withdrawl?

Here's the thing: I don't know. I don't know fully if the website within it is viable and all. With that being said, if it is, I believe the seller showed a withdrawal of around \$190 after investing \$100 in a few weeks? Again, I could be wrong. I'd direct your questions to the seller.

5H4R4F03 - May 11, 2019, 9:35 am

Is this legit, just asking

SUI-3183372 - May 11, 2019, 9:45 am

1. Don't you think you should have at least verified the method works, before saying you think it might work? I'm really tired of these "well I'm no expert, and I didn't actually try the method, but vouch!" styled reviews. You're going to cause someone to get scammed eventually, and for what reason, you like to see yourself speak? I don't get why you posted this.

2.

James Burnham - May 11, 2019, 9:49 am

Can you do a google search first to see if it is public or not? You may never heard it before, but sometimes that doesn't mean the method isn't public.

DOG - May 11, 2019, 1:37 pm

(May 11, 2019 09:45 AM)BV1 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 1. Don't you think you should have at least verified the method works, before saying you think it might work? I'm really tired of these "well I'm no expert, and I didn't actually try the method, but vouch!" styled reviews. You're going to cause someone to get scammed eventually, and for what reason, you like to see yourself speak? I don't get why you posted this.

2.

1. I didn't and don't vouch for any of the products. I review them; don't be ignorant and put words that weren't said. I did look up the method and didn't find anything on it; although, that doesn't confirm it isn't public. Again, I am reviewing and sharing my opinions. If you don't like that, you're more than welcome to not read the threads — better yet, make yourself useful for the community yourself. Don't be arrogant and bash people for trying to help the community; make yourself useful.

(May 11, 2019 09:49 AM)Burnham Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Can you do a google search first to see if it is public or not? You may never heard it before, but sometimes that doesn't mean the method isn't public. I also put a blatant disclaimer stating that I haven't tested the method. That, in itself, is a disclaimer and warning to purchase at your own risk with the recognition that, while confident it'll work, I am not 100% certain of it. Must I put protective measures of a disclaimer saying that I don't vouch for these methods and to purchase at your own risk? It's a shame that common sense needs to be plastered to satisfy you.

James Burnham - May 11, 2019, 2:06 pm

Yes, I read the disclaimer. But can you also do a google search to make sure the document you reviewed is not unoriginal/stolen/public article or whatever? This is something that a lot of reviewers overlook. You may get a document that looks good, but it ends up being a copy paste from bhw or a blog. My question wasn't about whether you tried the method or not. It was about whether the method is public or not (based on google results).

SUI-3183372 - May 11, 2019, 2:39 pm

(May 11, 2019 01:37 PM)DOG Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Again, I am reviewing
No you're not. A review constitutes that you've at the very least tried the product.

You're simply verifying a grouping of English words exist. You don't know if the steps in the ebook are correct. You haven't verified the content. You have no clue if the product even works. All you've told us is, "yep, I see words, I think all the words are here, maybe they make sense, maybe they don't, but it looks good."

flavoral - May 11, 2019, 2:48 pm

I don't get it, how are you saying you're reviewing the product when you haven't tried it?

123 - May 11, 2019, 2:52 pm

(May 11, 2019 02:39 PM)BV1 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No you're not. A review constitutes that you've at the very least tried the product.

You're simply verifying a grouping of English words exist. You don't know if the steps in the ebook are correct. You haven't verified the content. You have no clue if the product even works. All you've told us is, "yep, I see words, I think all the words are here, maybe they make sense, maybe they don't, but it looks good."

Although DOG is a great guy for doing such work. But I have to agree with whatever you said. Any method reviewed must be tested by the reviewer or he must not post the review at all.

The viability of a method can only be verified if checked first hand.

DOG if you want to run this review service of yours (which I know is free) , you must try the methods first and then post a review.

I'm not trying to downgrade you here. It's just my opinion. I might be wrong.

Pet_Wussy - May 11, 2019, 5:36 pm

(May 11, 2019 02:39 PM)BV1 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No you're not. A review constitutes that you've at the very least tried the product.

You're simply verifying a grouping of English words exist. You don't know if the steps in the ebook are correct. You haven't verified the content. You have no clue if the product even works. All you've told us is, "yep, I see words, I think all the words are here, maybe they make sense, maybe they don't, but it looks good." I like you. 100% agree.

Just sayin.

inb4negativererepfromallofopsmates

#Skyline - May 11, 2019, 6:31 pm

Why do a review if you ain't done the method.

Get off the drugs.

HQCheckers - May 11, 2019, 6:38 pm

I mean as it's an ebook.. technically the review is on the quality of the ebook not the quality of the method..

I agree with everyone, maybe he should try it first, but atleast he was straight up honest and made it pretty clear he was reviewing the ebook and not the actual practical method itself...

James Burnham - May 11, 2019, 6:51 pm

(May 11, 2019 06:38 PM)AtrocityX Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I mean as it's an ebook.. technically the review is on the quality of the ebook not the quality of the method..

I agree with everyone, maybe he should try it first, but atleast he was straight up honest and made it pretty clear he was reviewing the ebook and not the actual practical method itself...

The community needs savage reviewers. The type of guys that can talk the harsh truth. Soft-spoken reviews are not good. Think about it, you get a review copy of a mediocre ebook, but since you talked a bit with the seller you feel like it would be very rude to give a fully honest review so instead of saying "I tried the method but I got tons of obstacles that the seller does not know how to bypass" you are saying "Maybe this method has potential, I did not try it yet". And although as a

reviewer you got a free copy and you don't feel angry for losing any money, you may make others lose their money. And how that helps the HF community as a whole? Few people making money and the rest are struggling wasting their time reading some ebook from a self-proclaimed entrepreneur, CEO of an one-man unlicensed company (basically a meme).

Dorrance - May 12, 2019, 12:02 am

(May 11, 2019 06:51 PM)Burnham Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The community needs savage reviewers. The type of guys that can talk the harsh truth. Soft-spoken reviews are not good. Think about it, you get a review copy of a mediocre ebook, but since you talked a bit with the seller you feel like it would be very rude to give a fully honest review so instead of saying "I tried the method but I got tons of obstacles that the seller does not know how to bypass" you are saying "Maybe this method has potential, I did not try it yet". And although as a reviewer you got a free copy and you don't feel angry for losing any money, you may make others lose their money. And how that helps the HF community as a whole? Few people making money and the rest are struggling wasting their time reading some ebook from a self-proclaimed entrepreneur, CEO of an one-man unlicensed company (basically a meme).

I have to agree with you on this, most users are soft spoken. I am tired of going into the marketplace to see Some "guide/method easy make \$100 a day" w/ a weak thread design or layout. Then you have users after paying needing support or needing help or getting finessed on some method that takes 60+ days to see return.

xr 1.4 - May 12, 2019, 12:15 am

(May 12, 2019 12:02 AM)Dorrance Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I have to agree with you on this, most users are soft spoken. I am tired of going into the marketplace to see Some "guide/method easy make \$100 a day" w/ a weak thread design or layout. Then you have users after paying needing support or needing help or getting finessed on some method that takes 60+ days to see return.

Facts. I reached out to someone in regards to an ebook I was thinking of buying. They left reviews that made me consider purchasing it. When I reached out via PM they told me the complete opposite that the ebook was terrible and not a way to make money at all but they did not want to "sales trash" their post.

Dorrance - May 12, 2019, 12:20 am

^Agree, then you have users who don't post about it nor want to not get banned or so from sales trashing

BTC - May 12, 2019, 3:41 am

(May 11, 2019 02:48 PM)flavoral Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't get it, how are you saying you're reviewing the product when you haven't tried it?

These are my thoughts exactly! I do not see a point in reviewing an ebook, if not to test and see the validity.

Just reviewing the ebook itself is not sufficient review in my opinion. Seems like just a waste of time if you don't test the method. Because there is far too many [unknown factors](#).

This is why I would much rather read a review from somebody such as flavoral. His reviews are the best I seen thus far.

(May 11, 2019 06:51 PM)Burnham Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The community needs savage reviewers. The type of guys that can talk the harsh truth. Soft-spoken reviews are not good.

That's exactly why I am taking all reviews with grain of salt. I prefer reading people like Flavoral's reviews, somebody who actually tests the product / service before wasting time writing such a lengthy review.

Honesty, validity are both virtues that must be honored when writing such reviews. Otherwise it leads to user's being scammed / misled in to purchasing stuff from people who are ignorant of the product / service.

HQCheckers - May 12, 2019, 4:22 am

(May 11, 2019 06:51 PM)Burnham Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The community needs savage reviewers. The type of guys that can talk the harsh truth. Soft-spoken reviews are not good. Think about it, you get a review copy of a mediocre ebook, but since you talked a bit with the seller you feel like it would be very rude to give a fully honest review so instead of saying "I tried the method but I got tons of obstacles that the seller does not know how to bypass" you are saying "Maybe this method has potential, I did not try it yet". And although as a reviewer you got a free copy and you don't feel angry for losing any money, you may make others lose their money. And how that helps the HF community as a whole? Few people making money and the rest are struggling wasting their time reading some ebook from a self-proclaimed entrepreneur, CEO of an one-man unlicensed company (basically a meme).

You know, can't even argue with that buddy you're completely right tbh.. problem is it will never happen as the sellers will never give their ebooks out to the savage reviewers :/ I just advise my friends to stay away from ebooks, I think it's something every long term hf member would have learnt at some point, you'll lose more money paying for the ebook than you'll earn from having it.

I won't call the guy out, but he had several ebooks here claiming to earn like \$600/day, I worked out how much a day that would be from all his "methods", thousands per day.. then why u selling an ebook then buddy..

Electrode - May 22, 2019, 3:24 pm

(May 11, 2019 06:51 PM)Burnham Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The community needs savage reviewers. The type of guys that can talk the harsh truth. Soft-spoken reviews are not good. Think about it, you get a review copy of a mediocre ebook, but since you talked a bit with the seller you feel like it would be very rude to give a fully honest review so instead of saying "I tried the method but I got tons of obstacles that the seller does not know how to bypass" you are saying "Maybe this method has potential, I did not try it yet". And although as a reviewer you got a free copy and you don't feel angry for losing any money, you may make others lose their money. And how that helps the HF community as a whole? Few people making money and the rest are struggling wasting their time reading some ebook from a self-proclaimed entrepreneur, CEO of an one-man unlicensed company (basically a meme).

What you are proposing is impossible just by the nature of the marketplace system.

A member makes a contract for a review copy. If he leaves a review saying "this method is useless avoid like the plague" type he will get a negative rating and lose credibility. Unfortunately if he is honest he will get tons of negative feedback from haters and no one will give him the review rights anymore.

I think the reviews are pointless anyways. Discounted price for first few customers always works the best for honest reviews.

Zexi - May 22, 2019, 3:39 pm

(May 22, 2019 03:24 PM)Electrode Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What you are proposing is impossible just by the nature of the marketplace system.

A member makes a contract for a review copy. If he leaves a review saying "this method is useless avoid like the plague" type he will get a negative rating and lose credibility. Unfortunately if he is honest he will get tons of negative feedback from haters and no one will give him the review rights anymore.

I think the reviews are pointless anyways. Discounted price for first few customers always works the best for honest reviews.

The only way for reviewers to be harsh and truthful is if the sellers couldn't leave B ratings. I would gladly review any of the eBooks if i wouldn't be getting any negative b ratings for just posting the truth.

We already suggested that the B ratings for Vouch Copies should be removed so the reviews could be more truthful and not biased though.

O.T Nice review, i would never buy the ebook though.

SecretAchiever101 - May 27, 2019, 4:56 am

(May 11, 2019 06:51 PM)Burnham Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The community needs savage reviewers. The type of guys that can talk the harsh truth. Soft-spoken reviews are not good. Think about it, you get a review copy of a mediocre ebook, but since you talked a bit with the seller you feel like it would be very rude to give a fully honest review so instead of saying "I tried the method but I got tons of obstacles that the seller does not know how to bypass" you are saying "Maybe this method has potential, I did not try it yet". And although as a reviewer you got a free copy and you don't feel angry for losing any money, you may make others lose their money. And how that helps the HF community as a whole? Few people making money and the rest are struggling wasting their time reading some ebook from a self-proclaimed entrepreneur, CEO of an one-man unlicensed company (basically a meme).

I heavily agree with you on that one. Indeed B-rating for vouch copies should be erased so the reviewer is not worried about getting a negative B-rating afterwards.

While we need to have "savage" and straightforward reviewers in the community,the community itself should benefit the reviewer in order to do so. Not the one giving away the vouch copy.