

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Appraisers: Putting Users at Risk..... Again](#)

SUI-3183372 - June 24, 2019, 9:42 am

Remember a month or two ago when the Appraisers put out [this thread here](#). Hopefully you guys remember the thread.... the one that made it clear that the Appraisers put no thought or regard into what they review, it doesn't even matter if they even understand the topic, simply that they will blindly review your product for you. In this case, the reviewer blindly gave ABOVE perfect scores despite not understanding the method, and the method being 100% copy and pasted. After being called out, the OP modified their review to be sub-perfect, but still far too high...

That thread was quickly followed by [this thread](#), another display of The Appraisers having NOT THE SLIGHTEST CLUE AS TO WHAT THEY'RE REVIEWING, simply, they just like to hear themselves speak. In this case, the reviewer spends the vast majority of the time talking about formatting and making statements irrelevant to the review. In the 16 "paragraphs" that make up this review, you may be able to say 1 of them is actually about the method(s), and even that's a stretch, the rest cover formatting, discord groups, etc.

So, to put it lightly, Appraisers did two dumb things in the span of two days. After that though, nothing, didn't hear a thing from them until today. Maybe it's because they were only doing good, and good stuff doesn't stir up drama like bad does, or maybe they've just been dormant for a while, but alas, Appraisers are back in the hot seat.

If you look at the two above threads (mainly Wolf's as the majority of the critical replies to Caligio's were deleted by moderators), you'll see that other users other than myself were upset and bothered by the Appraisers approach to reviews and their complete lack of regard for user safety. We warned them that their carelessness and lack of understanding were going to lead to users getting scammed, and that's EXACTLY what happened.

Quick vouches for a Bitcoin double spending service, not understanding how it works or the source of the funds that he is receiving:

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5982110&pid=58702140#pid58702140> (Pic) All Quick does here is vouch that money is SOMEHOW coming into his wallet, he doesn't know how, could be fraud, could be a scam (it was), could be any number of ways, but all he cares about is the fact that money is somehow entering his wallet. This is good enough to give the product his endorsement.

Turns out, the OP of that thread was simply just SENDING Quick BTC to his wallet, to make it appear as if Quick wasq actually doing something. However, Quick's lack of knowing wtf is going on on a product that he decided to review combined with the fact that the product that Quick reviewed is nothing more than scam, has led to others being scammed:

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5982679&pid=58702605>

I won't even get into how easily this could have been a team effort by Quick and the scammer, as I don't think Quick is in a position that he needs to be scamming, but idk the dude, make your own judgements.

Good work Appraisers. Give yourselves a pat on the back.

Nas - June 24, 2019, 9:50 am

Yeah, that's what happens when there is a group centered around verifying authenticity on marketplace threads.

It's hard to vet products and have the same vetting procedure on a wide range of products while still being accurate.

Kinda feel bad for Druze.

int - June 24, 2019, 9:53 am

I don't think Quick intentionally supported the scammer (Parabellum), but I think he could have put more effort. Should have been more obvious to Quick that the money was appearing in an odd way.

Fruitcake - June 24, 2019, 9:54 am

As a consumer of various products on this side. I personally think this group is bullshit, getting free shit by pasting the Appraiser things onto a genuine thread and product leaving a biased review based on their free collection of the said product/service.

tweety - June 24, 2019, 9:54 am

always entertaining to see the shit you post here lmao

its pretty scummy to do that, most new users look at the appraisers group as something thats official, pretty disappointing

Divined - June 24, 2019, 9:57 am

It's always entertaining to see your threads about my group.

I never received any BTC from this man, Quick was reviewing this dude's double spending method which was shady and was working on editing it to let everyone know it's fraud.

You could've known all this by msging me, instead you want to make all these threads to stir drama, truly disappointing.

Quick admitted that he should've given the BTC method to someone else who knew a bit more which is true, he learned from his mistake and hopefully it won't happen again in the future.

If you have any future feedback about my group or my members PM me instead please, thanks.

SUI-3183372 - June 24, 2019, 9:57 am

(Jun 24, 2019 09:50 AM)Nas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yeah, that's what happens when there is a group centered around verifying authenticity on marketplace threads.

It's hard to vet products and have the same vetting procedure on a wide range of products while still being accurate.

Kinda feel bad for Druze.

That why the last time their leadership reached out to me in private I strongly recommended to them that they only review products that they have a coherent understanding of. Their reviews and appraisals should mean something to the people who read them. Right now, based off of their history, I can with a pretty high degree of certainty say the following things when I see a review by the Appraisers

The Appraiser hasn't actually tried the method

If they have tried the method, they don't understand the method

The final review/score is either paid for or pulled out of thin air

To be honest, with their track record SO FAR, I think buying an Appraiser's endorsed product actually increases your chances of being scammed or disappointed.

(Jun 24, 2019 09:57 AM)Divined Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's always entertaining to see your threads about my group.

I never received any BTC from this man, Quick was reviewing this dude's double spending method which was shady and was working on editing it to let everyone know it's fraud.

You could've known all this by msging me, instead you want to make all these threads to stir drama, truly disappointing.

This is my mistake, I thought Quick was you with a name change. Editing the thread.

OP edited.

Divined - June 24, 2019, 10:00 am

(Jun 24, 2019 09:57 AM)BV1 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That why the last time their leadership reached out to me in private I strongly recommended to them that they only review products that they have a coherent understanding of. Their reviews and appraisals should mean something to the people who read them. Right now, based off of their history, I can with a pretty high degree of certainty say the following things when I see a review by the Appraisers

The Appraiser hasn't actually tried the method

If they have tried the method, they don't understand the method

The final review/score is either paid for or pulled out of thin air

To be honest, with their track record SO FAR, I think buying an Appraiser's endorsed product actually increases your chances of being scammed or disappointed.

This is my mistake, I thought Quick was you with a name change. Editing the thread.

OP edited.

We literally have a few dozen reviews, yes we have a couple or a few bad ones but we're learning from our mistakes and moving on. If you could put feedback in a more nice manner rather than try to stir the pot then we can all make this a better place, but you're literally always out around the corner trying to "expose" us.

Lavish Living - June 24, 2019, 10:02 am

(Jun 24, 2019 09:57 AM)Divined Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's always entertaining to see your threads about my group.

I never received any BTC from this man, Quick was reviewing this dude's double spending method which was shady and was working on editing it to let everyone know it's fraud.

You could've known all this by msging me, instead you want to make all these threads to stir drama, truly disappointing.

Quick admitted that he should've given the BTC method to someone else who knew a bit more which is true, he learned from his mistake and hopefully it won't happen again in the future.

If you have any future feedback about my group or my members PM me instead please, thanks.

Kick that kid from the group. He pretty much got paid \$107 to help scam \$1.7k by using Appraisers good name for a fake review.

DOG - June 24, 2019, 10:02 am

(Jun 24, 2019 09:57 AM)BV1 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That why the last time their leadership reached out to me in private I strongly recommended to them that they only review products that they have a coherent understanding of. Their reviews and appraisals should mean something to the people who read

them. Right now, based off of their history, I can with a pretty high degree of certainty say the following things when I see a review by the Appraisers

The Appraiser hasn't actually tried the method

If they have tried the method, they don't understand the method

The final review/score is either paid for or pulled out of thin air

To be honest, with their track record SO FAR, I think buying an Appraiser's endorsed product actually increases your chances of being scammed or disappointed.

This is my mistake, I thought Quick was you with a name change. Editing the thread.

OP edited.

Lol. With our track record?

Out of dozens and dozens review we probably did one or two mistakes, like everyone. Even staff makes mistakes.

But it's fine, if you want drama then get it. We don't care and we will continue our services for the good of the marketplace.

Peace out.

Nas - June 24, 2019, 10:02 am

(Jun 24, 2019 09:57 AM)Divined Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's always entertaining to see your threads about my group.

I never received any BTC from this man, Quick was reviewing this dude's double spending method which was shady and was working on editing it to let everyone know it's fraud.

You could've known all this by msging me, instead you want to make all these threads to stir drama, truly disappointing.

Quick admitted that he should've given the BTC method to someone else who knew a bit more which is true, he learned from his mistake and hopefully it won't happen again in the future.

If you have any future feedback about my group or my members PM me instead please, thanks.

IMO he should have edited the review with a warning so members can see it ASAP instead of waiting for him to polish up the review.

He deserves a kick not a slap on his wrist.

SUI-3183372 - June 24, 2019, 10:03 am

(Jun 24, 2019 09:57 AM)Divined Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Quick was reviewing this dude's double spending method which was shady and was working on editing it to let everyone know it's fraud.

Quick blindly made a review. The review still persists to this very moment. It's unfortunate that Quick made his realizations too late, however he made his bed, he has to sleep in it now. Maybe a bit of research into the product you're reviewing is something you should encourage your members to do before posting. Seems like their main goal is to rush to publication and deal with the facts and the backlash from disregarding the facts later.

I don't reach out to you privately because this is a much more effective way to hold your group accountable. Maybe we won't be friends after this is all over, but quite frankly I don't think either of us care. I just want to see a not shit group giving reviews so people stop getting scammed. You're in

a position to be this "not shit group" but you continuously allow your members to sell you short and tarnish your image.

Divined - June 24, 2019, 10:04 am

(Jun 24, 2019 10:02 AM)Nas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) IMO he should have edited the review with a warning so members can see it ASAP instead of waiting for him to polish up the review.

Yes we are trying to work the fastest we can man, but Quick has been going through some shit I can't really say here and his actions are a bit slower than usual.

People need to be patient and reach out to us first before trying to "expose" us.

(Jun 24, 2019 10:03 AM)BV1 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Quick blindly made a review. The review still persists to this very moment. It's unfortunate that Quick made his realizations too late, however he made his bed, he has to sleep in it now. Maybe a bit of research into the product you're reviewing is something you should encourage your members to do before posting. Seems like their main goal is to rush to publication and deal with the facts and the backlash from disregarding the facts later.

I don't reach out to you privately because this is a much more effective way to hold your group accountable. Maybe we won't be friends after this is all over, but quite frankly I don't think either of us care. I just want to see a not shit group giving reviews so people stop getting scammed. You're in a position to be this "not shit group" but you continuously allow your members to sell you short and tarnish your image.

There's no bed and nothing to sleep in, the review he made still shows that you can profit from it even if it is double spending, he just needs to let people know that it's blackhat and disallowed.

Regardless we hold no official status and you are not forced to read our reviews or follow our word but I will tell you that we 100% always try our best with our reviews and we learn from our mistakes. Your post simply shows that you have the "name and shame" mentality rather than the "help you improve" mentality. Read any of Caligio's or 01's reviews, we've exposed people for posting public methods before and we also commend people on their unique and brand new methods. Sometimes there's cracks that bad reviews slip through, not on purpose. We're not vigilantes, we're merely trying to do as much as we can to make this a better place.

Lavish Living - June 24, 2019, 10:08 am

(Jun 24, 2019 10:04 AM)Divined Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There's no bed and nothing to sleep in, the review he made still shows that you can profit from it even if it is double spending, he just needs to let people know that it's blackhat and disallowed.

He posted on the deal dispute that he most likely received the "profit" directly from the seller. Ie he was manipulated and essentially paid by the seller to post a positive review. Zzzzz

Quick - June 24, 2019, 10:08 am

I'm not related to that scam, I provided further details about this in the dispute thread. I saw that a user already purchased and technically vouched for him so when I had that profit transaction it didn't seem sketchy to me or anything which led me to post my review. I cannot be an expert at all fields and BTC isn't my thing. Looking at things now I wish I didn't take up that review offer. I present my deepest excuses to the victims and am willing to present my resignation from the appraisers if it's deemed necessary as I see that I tarnished the group's reputation by getting tricked into this crisis. And you are right I value my principles and reputation above anything else, I will never scam for any amount as the time I spent here and knowledge I acquired and the potential future knowledge are worth way more than this.

123 - June 24, 2019, 10:09 am

Since you have made this thread. I would like to add three things so members of Appraisers see this.

1. The people recruited by Appraisers must have a history of reviewing products and letting group owner know and probably link the post where they reviewed a particular product. This way they can see, a knowledge a member possess.

2. Not every member should be allowed to ask for review copy for any method. It's evident, not everyone possess knowledge in every field. Members of Appraisers must prove their expertise in their field and then recruited to review products from that field.

3. A lot of moderation is required to handle a group. Before posting a review onsite, it must be posted in the group. This will most likely help reviewing a product in a detailed manner. Members having expertise in that field will probably come out with valid points skipped by a reviewer.

Although, Quick vouched for a method even though he didn't knew how it worked, it's very unprofessional and should not have happened. I hope this will help Divined moderate this group in a better way.

Divined - June 24, 2019, 10:10 am

(Jun 24, 2019 10:08 AM)Lavish Living Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) He posted on the deal dispute that he most likely received the "profit" directly from the seller. Ie he was manipulated and essentially paid by the seller to post a positive review. Zzzz

Yes if you read further the main OP behind the scam actually manipulated the entire trio while getting the review which made it seem like it was a working method at the time. I admit Quick fucked up but he's going to learn from his mistake and not repeat it.

SUI-3183372 - June 24, 2019, 10:10 am

(Jun 24, 2019 10:04 AM)Divined Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yes we are trying to work the fastest we can man, but Quick has been going through some shit I can't really say here and his actions are a bit slower than usual.

People need to be patient and reach out to us first before trying to "expose" us.

There's no bed and nothing to sleep in, the review he made still shows that you can profit from it even if it is double spending, he just needs to let people know that it's blackhat and disallowed.

Regardless we hold no official status and you are not forced to read our reviews or follow our word but I will tell you that we 100% always try our best with our reviews and we learn from our mistakes. Your post simply shows that you have the "name and shame" mentality rather than the "help you improve" mentality.

I don't think you understand what happened. Quick's reply paints a different picture at least...

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5982679&pid=58703100#pid58703100> (Pic)

It wasn't fraud or double spending. Quick was just being fed BTC from the scammer himself to make it look like he was profiting. Quick wasn't double spending, Parabellum was just sending him BTC directly. However, since Quick didn't understand this, he thought he was simply double spending and performing the method properly. So, by his lack of understanding, he failed to see that he was being set up, and that as a result, he would then himself set up numerous other HF members.

Lavish Living - June 24, 2019, 10:11 am

(Jun 24, 2019 10:10 AM)Divined Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yes if you read further the main OP behind the scam actually manipulated the entire trio while getting the review which made it seem like it was a working method at the time. I admit Quick fucked up but he's going to learn from his mistake and not repeat

it.
There's enough fish in the sea to just replace the kid for his stupidity

?

Nas - June 24, 2019, 10:13 am

Quick never did the method himself which I feel should be the point of the review if you're reviewing THAT product.

Instead of seeing BTC sent to your wallet and be like "yup it works!"

Manks - June 24, 2019, 10:13 am

People that don't understand the product, and don't follow the steps shouldn't be making reviews. It's obvious, but people like getting the free stuff, therefore they appraise or vouch for the product; find it worthless, but don't wanna be mean and say "it works, its unique"
it's gonna keep happening unless members are labeled as reviewers or some shit.

Divined - June 24, 2019, 10:13 am

(Jun 24, 2019 10:09 AM)123 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Since you have made this thread. I would like to add three things so members of Appraisers see this.

1. The people recruited by Appraisers must have a history of reviewing products and letting group owner know and probably link the post where they reviewed a particular product. This way they can see, a knowledge a member possess.

2. Not every member should be allowed to ask for review copy for any method. It's evident, not everyone possess knowledge in every field. Members of Appraisers must prove their expertise in their field and then recruited to review products from that field.

3. A lot of moderation is required to handle a group. Before posting a review onsite, it must be posted in the group. This will most likely help reviewing a product in a detailed manner. Members having expertise in that field will probably come out with valid points skipped by a reviewer.

Although, Quick vouched for a method even though he didn't knew how it worked, it's very unprofessional and should not have happened. I hope this will help Divined moderate this group in a better way.

Okay, this is actually quality feedback.

Appraisers is literally a 6 man group, I lead it and then there's 5 appraisers.

Caligio, 01001, DOG, Quick and Spark. I recruited DOG and Caligio and 01 purely off their past and Spark due to his leadership knowledge from other groups he's leading as well as the sector which I discussed with him in private. We try to keep the highest quality in the group and I am trying to expand and get more people in more areas with extensive knowledge, I've turned out dozens on top of dozens of people that I do not seek fit and we even discuss applicants before they join, check their track record and give them guidelines when they join which explain to each new user that joins Appraisers (which happens once a month, if that since we try to keep a small circle) every time they join.

A mistake happened and I hope it won't happen again.

SIM-888979 - June 24, 2019, 10:21 am

The fact that he can't even show a transaction link for the image of payment proof shows that it is either that the payment proof is fake and he was in on the scam, or that the payment proof was provided by the scammer and he would receive something in return. There's no reason why he would've posted payment proof otherwise then, don't you think?

Pronouncer - June 24, 2019, 10:23 am

I have seen appraisers vouch on money making ebooks with 2014 methods where the site doesn't even exist. They need actual marketplace users to write reviews not high rep members

SUI-3183372 - June 24, 2019, 10:23 am

(Jun 24, 2019 10:08 AM)Quick Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm not related to that scam, I provided further details about this in the dispute thread. I saw that a user already purchased and technically vouched for him so when I had that profit transaction it didn't seem sketchy to me or anything which led me to post my review. I cannot be an expert at all fields and BTC isn't my thing. Looking at things now I wish I didn't take up that review offer. I present my deepest excuses to the victims and am willing to present my resignation from the appraisers if it's deemed necessary as I see that I tarnished the group's reputation by getting tricked into this crisis. And you are right I value my principles and reputation above anything else, I will never scam for any amount as the time I spent here and knowledge I acquired and the potential future knowledge are worth way more than this.

I do not think you're a bad person at all, and I've gone over some of your past threads and you seem genuine, so it's unfortunate that we find ourselves here. Just as someone who's tried to help out with scamming, and The Appraisers being in a position where what they say actually means something, I think it's important that the group is held accountable for what they say. When you guys make mistakes, it leads to people losing money, so it's imperative that you guys don't make mistakes, that you do shit right from the beginning. No rushing, no cutting corners, no making assumptions, understand everything or don't get involved, etc. I look forward to your group when this is realized.

Dorrance - June 24, 2019, 10:25 am

Dam some drama, I don't care, but I love it.

Pronouncer - June 24, 2019, 10:27 am

I should be an appraiser I've seen nearly every method, every copy + pasted ? look at all the alerts I have made for people stealing work.

duplex - June 24, 2019, 10:44 am

"If we are using numbers/ratings we end up suggesting one product over another which makes us look bias in a way. Lipssealed "

Sorry, what? Isn't that the WHOLE point of reviewing products, to show the buyer which products actually work and are the best bang for buck.

Dorrance - June 24, 2019, 10:48 am

(Jun 24, 2019 10:27 AM)Pronouncer Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I should be an appraiser I've seen nearly every method, every copy + pasted ? look at all the alerts I have made for people stealing work.

Shit send me all the methods I'm broke, I need to make some bank ?

Manks - June 24, 2019, 10:48 am

(Jun 24, 2019 10:44 AM)duplex Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) "If we are using numbers/ratings we end up suggesting one product over another which makes us look bias in a way. Lipssealed "

Sorry, what? Isn't that the WHOLE point of reviewing products, to show the buyer which products actually work and are the best bang for buck.

Sounds like they should avoid using numbers; make it less quantitative reviewing and more towards qualitative.

It should always be the buyer's job to understand what they are buying/

SIM-1729678 - June 24, 2019, 10:49 am

So, what about we just ditch this usergroup and continue with our lives?

duplex - June 24, 2019, 10:52 am

(Jun 24, 2019 10:48 AM)Manks Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Sounds like they should avoid using numbers; make it less quantitative reviewing and more towards qualitative.

It should always be the buyer's job to understand what they are buying/
Not really, buyer's have no idea what an e-book contains until they buy it.

The idea of a review is to get a genuine understanding of if the book is worth it & if it actually works.

Divined - June 24, 2019, 10:55 am

(Jun 24, 2019 10:52 AM)duplex Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Not really, buyer's have no idea what an e-book contains until they buy it.

The idea of a review is to get a genuine understanding of if the book is worth it & if it actually works. Which is what we're trying to do, that's why we stopped even using ratings in 1/10 and so on. We're working on our usergroup at the moment and we're still trying new things every day and trying to deal with mistakes like this in the best way possible.

I'm sorry this happened but I can assure you that Quick was genuine in the mistake he made and we'll take steps from making sure that this doesn't happen again.

Also Pronouncer you know better than to just post on here, you know you can just DM me.

Pronouncer - June 24, 2019, 11:02 am

(Jun 24, 2019 10:55 AM)Divined Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Which is what we're trying to do, that's why we stopped even using ratings in 1/10 and so on. We're working on our usergroup at the moment and we're still trying new things every day and trying to deal with mistakes like this in the best way possible.

I'm sorry this happened but I can assure you that Quick was genuine in the mistake he made and we'll take steps from making sure that this doesn't happen again.

Also Pronouncer you know better than to just post on here, you know you can just DM me.

i did bud :) check offsite

ShowDown - June 24, 2019, 11:02 am

(Jun 24, 2019 10:23 AM)Pronouncer Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I have seen appraisers vouch on money making ebooks with 2014 methods where the site doesn't even exist. They need actual marketplace users to write reviews not high rep members
ya be careful. your in his group so being honest is forbidden.

Pronouncer - June 24, 2019, 11:03 am

(Jun 24, 2019 11:02 AM)ShowDown Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) ya be careful. your in his group so being honest is forbidden.

not entirely true.

Divined - June 24, 2019, 11:03 am

(Jun 24, 2019 11:02 AM)ShowDown Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) ya be careful. your in his group so being honest is forbidden.

My own users can speak against me, there's freedom in all my groups, always been that way.

Pronouncer DM me again.

Limitado - June 24, 2019, 11:04 am

Lol, and people ask why I don't like given Appraisers my method for reviews.

This is like the second thread I've read on them giving inaccurate reviews.

Pronouncer - June 24, 2019, 11:06 am

(Jun 24, 2019 11:03 AM)Divined Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) My own users can speak against me, there's freedom in all my groups, always been that way.

Pronouncer DM me again.

Done, btw wtf is substance painter

ShowDown - June 24, 2019, 11:07 am

(Jun 24, 2019 11:03 AM)Divined Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) My own users can speak against me, there's freedom in all my groups, always been that way.

Pronouncer DM me again.

ok just curious why the user in question is not kicked from group.. or did he tell you "it was an honest mistake too"

mistakes happen all the time but sometimes users gotta pay the price as says alot about you if you still trust the user after this to go do "real and legit" reviews.

int - June 24, 2019, 11:16 am

(Jun 24, 2019 10:27 AM)Pronouncer Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I should be an appraiser I've seen nearly every method, every copy + pasted ? look at all the alerts I have made for people stealing work.

if you ask to be an appraiser, then you will never get it

!

Divined - June 24, 2019, 11:33 am

(Jun 24, 2019 11:07 AM)ShowDown Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) ok just curious why the user in question is not kicked from group.. or did he tell you "it was an honest mistake too"

mistakes happen all the time but sometimes users gotta pay the price as says alot about you if you still trust the user after this to go do "real and legit" reviews.

You're right, after further thought that I put into this the user has been removed.

Pronouncer has been invited to Appraisers due to being an original Appraisers from v1.

Let's hope we don't run into the same mistakes.

duplex - June 24, 2019, 11:34 am

(Jun 24, 2019 11:16 AM)int Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) if you ask to be an appraiser, then you will never get it

!

He just asked and was invited, guess ur wrong ;)

(Jun 24, 2019 11:33 AM)Divined Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You're right, after further thought that I put into this the user has been removed.

Pronouncer has been invited to Appraisers due to being an original Appraisers from v1.

Let's hope we don't run into the same mistakes.

The fact that you removed said user is promising, shows that you're not just a group of close people who are protecting each other from dodgy affairs.

Not bad.

Dotexe - June 24, 2019, 11:38 am

Damn BV1 and his exposure threads, i love em

Eagle 95 - June 24, 2019, 12:34 pm

It's really unfortunate that this has happened. That guy scammed 3 others guys + basically tricked the (now ex member) of appraisers.

flavoral - June 24, 2019, 12:37 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 09:42 AM)BV1 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) After that though, nothing, didn't hear a thing from them until today. Maybe it's because they were only doing good, and good stuff doesn't stir up drama like bad does, or maybe they've just been dormant for a while, but alas, Appraisers are back in the hot seat.

Nah actually I would say it's cuz they stopped posting dedicated threads about their "reviews" in the Marketplace Discussion section and just posted in the sales thread. You can't call them much on there as it will just be sales trashing on OP's and you will most likely get a warning.

(Jun 24, 2019 10:00 AM)Divined Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) We literally have a few dozen reviews, yes we have a couple or a few bad ones but we're learning from our mistakes and moving on. If you could put feedback in a more nice manner rather than try to stir the pot then we can all make this a better place, but you're literally always out around the corner trying to "expose" us.

Well for a whole dedicated group claiming to be trying to be the Elite reviewers, I would expect that reviews go through a revision before being posted.

(Jun 24, 2019 10:13 AM)Divined Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I recruited DOG and Caligio and 01 purely off their past

Does that past include when one of these 3 people wrote a "review" without even trying the product? <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5968726>

And when was called out on it, he proceeds to say that his review is just stating his opinion WITHOUT trying if it's even working.

(Jun 24, 2019 10:44 AM)duplex Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) "If we are using numbers/ratings we end up suggesting one product over another which makes us look bias in a way. Lipssealed "

Sorry, what? Isn't that the WHOLE point of reviewing products, to show the buyer which products actually work and are the best bang for buck.

A number/rating system isn't required to give a good review. A product today could be 4/5, tomorrow another product shows up which is x10 better than that one, you can't have both of them as 4/5 and you can't keep track of all reviews to edit them.

(Jun 24, 2019 11:06 AM)Pronouncer Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Done, btw wtf is substance painter Don't fuck it up. You know i will be on your ass calling you out if you post some BS ?

Zexi - June 24, 2019, 12:40 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 12:37 PM)flavoral Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) -snip-

Why the fuck would they go to appraisers when they can actually get a honest review from flavoral who isn't shy to call out a trash method? Smh

duplex - June 24, 2019, 12:41 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 12:37 PM)flavoral Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Nah actually I would say it's cuz they stopped posting dedicated threads about their "reviews" in the Marketplace Discussion section and just posted in the sales thread. You can't call them much on there as it will just be sales trashing on OP's and you will most likely get a warning.

Well for a whole dedicated group claiming to be trying to be the Elite reviewers, I would expect that

reviews go through a revision before being posted.

Does that past include when one of these 3 people wrote a "review" without even trying the product? <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5968726>

And when was called out on it, he proceeds to say that his review is just stating his opinion WITHOUT trying if it's even working.

A number/rating system isn't required to give a good review. A product today could be 4/5, tomorrow another product shows up which is x10 better than that one, you can't have both of them as 4/5 and you can't keep track of all reviews to edit them.

Don't fuck it up. You know i will be on your ass calling you out if you post some BS ?
I wasn't talking about the number system, couldn't care less, I was more emphasizing the numbers being "biased" based on the product, which makes 0 sense.

Pronouncer - June 24, 2019, 12:41 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 12:37 PM)flavoral Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Nah actually I would say it's cuz they stopped posting dedicated threads about their "reviews" in the Marketplace Discussion section and just posted in the sales thread. You can't call them much on there as it will just be sales trashing on OP's and you will most likely get a warning.

Well for a whole dedicated group claiming to be trying to be the Elite reviewers, I would expect that reviews go through a revision before being posted.

Does that past include when one of these 3 people wrote a "review" without even trying the product? <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5968726>

And when was called out on it, he proceeds to say that his review is just stating his opinion WITHOUT trying if it's even working.

A number/rating system isn't required to give a good review. A product today could be 4/5, tomorrow another product shows up which is x10 better than that one, you can't have both of them as 4/5 and you can't keep track of all reviews to edit them.

Don't fuck it up. You know i will be on your ass calling you out if you post some BS ?
Bro you know me, i always call out BS before anyone.

flavoral - June 24, 2019, 12:44 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 12:41 PM)duplex Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I wasn't talking about the number system, couldn't care less, I was more emphasizing the numbers being "biased" based on the product, which makes

0 sense.

Yes they could be biased. What factor decide that a product is 6 or 7 out of 10? A 7 for me could a 3 for someone who has more background on that scene.

Pronouncer - June 24, 2019, 12:53 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 12:44 PM)flavoral Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yes they could be biased. What factor decide that a product is 6 or 7 out of 10? A 7 for me could a 3 for someone who has more background on that scene.

The aim i believe is to give a review based on a buyers perspective. EG if i review an instagram ebook based for beginners, i will have to review it from a beginners perspective.

PARABELLUM - June 24, 2019, 1:17 pm

I con confirm Quick isnt my friend

And also i'm innocent

Ayane - June 24, 2019, 1:23 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 01:17 PM)PARABELLUM Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I con confirm Quick isnt my friend

And also i'm innocent

This just takes the piss

PARABELLUM - June 24, 2019, 1:35 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 01:23 PM)Ayane Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This just takes the piss

No joke man, he's just a victim

i didn't even pay him the 10% of sales we agreed about

and didn't even give him any method neither 207\$ he got no transaction ID, ask him.

he barely have 15\$ in his localbitcoin wallet

leave him alone so he can sell pictures and designs for a few buck please

I was really going to share with him though, i honor my promises when dealing with people like me but that bastard, he left me without a vouch for a whole day, and when he came back i had already made a sell, and yet he wanted 10% of that first sell like it's a part of the deal
what a greedy incompetent bastard

James Burnham - June 24, 2019, 1:38 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 01:35 PM)PARABELLUM Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No joke man, he's just a victim

i didn't even pay him the 10% of sales we agreed about

and didn't even give him any method neither 207\$ he got no transaction ID, ask him.

he barely have 15\$ in his localbitcoin wallet

leave him alone so he can sell pictures and designs for a few buck please

I was really going to share with him though, i honor my promises when dealing with people like me but that bastard, he left me without a vouch for a whole day, and when he came back i had already

made a sell, and yet he wanted 10% of that first sell like it's a part of the deal
what a greedy incompetent bastard

Any proof he did a deal with you for 10%?

Divined - June 24, 2019, 1:39 pm

First of, stop personally attacking Quick (who was removed from Appraisers).

Right to sum up and reply to everything, we're not perfect.

We're trying our best with every review and moving forward from today we are going to put some internal procedures in place to ensure mistakes like this don't happen again.

SIM-2273342 - June 24, 2019, 1:39 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 01:35 PM)PARABELLUM Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No joke man, he's just a victim
i didn't even pay him the 10% of sales we agreed about
and didn't even give him any method neither 207\$ he got no transaction ID, ask him.
he barely have 15\$ in his localbitcoin wallet

leave him alone so he can sell pictures and designs for a few buck please

I was really going to share with him though, i honor my promises when dealing with people like me
but that bastard, he left me without a vouch for a whole day, and when he came back i had already
made a sell, and yet he wanted 10% of that first sell like it's a part of the deal
what a greedy incompetent bastard

So you paid him to leave a false review? Is that right?

(Jun 24, 2019 01:38 PM)Burnham Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Any proof he did a deal with you for 10%?
I'm 99% sure that he is just being a meme.

PARABELLUM - June 24, 2019, 1:40 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 01:38 PM)Burnham Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Any proof he did a deal with you for 10%?
we speak a different language but i can highlight some french words

Divined - June 24, 2019, 1:42 pm

This man manipulated the trio, Quick, the other guy that got scammed and so on and leaded to the bad review which we have since then apologized for and removed Quick for doing that mistake.

Yes, we try to aid users before making their purchase but we're not trying to force our opinions on them.

Quick was manipulated by the person selling the method and so was the guy who got scammed by him, Grey.

This lead to this whole fuckup which I am extremely and very deeply sorry for.

We are also taking additional procedures to make sure that this does not happen again.

I'm going to stop replying to this thread now.

PARABELLUM - June 24, 2019, 1:46 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 01:42 PM)Divined Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This man manipulated the trio, Quick, the other guy that got scammed and so on and leaded to the bad review which we have since then apologized for and removed Quick for doing that mistake.

Yes, we try to aid users before making their purchase but we're not trying to force our opinions on them.

Quick was manipulated by the person selling the method and so was the guy who got scammed by

him, Grey.

This lead to this whole fuckup which I am extremely and very deeply sorry for.

We are also taking additional procedures to make sure that this does not happen again.

I'm going to stop replying to this thread now.

what are you saying here ? i didn't manipulate him, i just didn't pay him cause he got greedy
ask him for that damn transaction id he claims to have made profit from

Divined - June 24, 2019, 1:48 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 01:46 PM)PARABELLUM Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) what are you saying here ? i didn't manipulate him, i just didn't pay him cause he got greedy
ask him for that damn transaction id he claims to have made profit from

Yeah, okay buddy.

I'm done entertaining you for the night, you scammed 3 people.

You make money off of scamming others. You fooled Quick and lead us into all this unnecessary drama, go crack open a cold one and go to bed.

I hope an admin sees your disputes and just closes you.

Thornzzz - June 24, 2019, 1:49 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 01:42 PM)Divined Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This man manipulated the trio, Quick, the other guy that got scammed and so on and led to the bad review which we have since then apologized for and removed Quick for doing that mistake.

Yes, we try to aid users before making their purchase but we're not trying to force our opinions on them.

Quick was manipulated by the person selling the method and so was the guy who got scammed by him, Grey.

This lead to this whole fuckup which I am extremely and very deeply sorry for.

We are also taking additional procedures to make sure that this does not happen again.

I'm going to stop replying to this thread now.

I feel like the Appraisers should work in two men teams, each coming up with their own personal reviews for the product then they get together and they discuss it. This would prevent cases like this, it would add more to the overall review and up the quality of it. Then after that their review should be approved by a leader.

flavoral - June 24, 2019, 1:50 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 12:53 PM)Pronouncer Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The aim i believe is to give a review based on a buyers perspective. EG if i review an instagram ebook based for beginners, i will have to review it from a beginners perspective.

If the seller clearly says that it's a Beginners ebook (which mostly never happens) then yes would review it from the POV of how effective it for a newbie.

However, for example, this ebook (<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5930135>) The seller didn't say it's a beginner targeted ebook, so I have to go at it as someone with huge background on IG and not a beginner.

Divined - June 24, 2019, 1:51 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 01:49 PM)Thornzzz Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I feel like the Appraisers should work in two men teams, each coming up with their own personal reviews for the product then they get together and they discuss it. This would prevent cases like this, it would add more to the overall review and up the quality of it. Then after that their review should be approved by a leader.

Thank you, that is actually useful feedback. As it is, users usually post their review and we all make grammar corrections as well as make sure the method is legit, when the reviewer feels more certain

about the method they post without us reviewing the method. From now on, moving forward all reviews will be 100% checked by at least me and another person before being posted.

flavoral - June 24, 2019, 1:52 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 01:40 PM)PARABELLUM Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) we speak a different language but i can highlight some french words

Post the logs in french. A lot of people speak french and including myself.

PARABELLUM - June 24, 2019, 1:53 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 01:52 PM)flavoral Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Post the logs in french. A lot of people speak french and including myself.

Ask, don't give me orders kid.

4158996621556 - June 24, 2019, 1:56 pm

this is crazy

not even a "scam ebook"

the parabellium guy FIRST gets some1 to pay for the method

then logs in to teamviewer

then empties their btc wallet

and now he's here shitposting with the risitas guy at the end of each post

bro go away from this site already

i'm sure everyone learned a lesson here

hopefully this doesn't happen in the future. it was a \$2k scam but could've been a lot worse..

Thornzzz - June 24, 2019, 1:57 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 01:51 PM)Divined Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thank you, that is actually useful feedback. As it is, users usually post their review and we all make grammar corrections as well as make sure the method is legit, when the reviewer feels more certain about the method they post without us reviewing the method. From now on, moving forward all reviews will be 100% checked by at least me and another person before being posted.

That's good. I still think working in teams is the best option. To do so, you're going to have to widen your group's numbers, I think it's going to be tricky because you never know who is friends with who, but also maybe expanding your posts to detail that you're not liable and that products and people can change. This could already be done, I'm just referencing Caligio's post [here](#).

Something like

Quote:Appraisers isn't responsible for any scamming, or issues you have with the products. You should always contact the OP rather than us, we simply received a copy of the product to review and we found that it had no issues at the time. Products and people can always change and we cannot help that.

This would be a good addition to all posts in the future.

Divined - June 24, 2019, 1:58 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 01:57 PM)Thornzzz Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That's good. I still think working in teams is the best option. To do so, you're going to have to widen your group's numbers, I think it's going to be tricky because you never know who is friends with who, but also maybe expanding your posts to detail that you're not liable and that products and people can change. This could already be done, I'm just referencing Caligio's post [here](#).

Something like

This would be a good addition to all posts in the future.

Yes, thank you. We will begin to add that, we just assumed it was a given which we shouldn't've.

Thank you Thornz.

PARABELLUM - June 24, 2019, 1:59 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 01:56 PM)Doge Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) this is crazy
not even a "scam ebook"
the parabellium guy FIRST gets some1 to pay for the method
then logins to teamviewer
then empties their btc wallet
and now he's here shitposting with the risitas guy at the end of each post
bro go away from this site already
i'm sure everyone learned a lesson here
hopefully this doesn't happen in the future. it was a \$2k scam but could've been a lot worse..
for sure i'm gonna leave the forum, but just gotta tell you how fucked up this place is first even
without me

flavoral - June 24, 2019, 1:59 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 01:53 PM)PARABELLUM Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Ask, don't give me orders kid.
Cute, still waiting for the logs.

Thornzzz - June 24, 2019, 2:00 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 01:58 PM)Divined Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yes, thank you. We will begin to add that, we just assumed it was a given which we shouldnt've.

Thank you Thornz.

No problem. You guys just have to remember that the main people who are going to be looking at your reviews are newer members who see the group graphics and the actual post and will instinctively take that as "100% won't be scammed" type of thing.

Regardless, best of luck with this. Hope to see more to come of the group rather than things like this.

BlackShadow - June 24, 2019, 2:00 pm

Appraisers proved several times they are true unprofessionals. If you see an appraisers vouch
-RUNNNNN

4158996621556 - June 24, 2019, 2:02 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 02:00 PM)BlackShadow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Appraisers proved several times they are true unprofessionals. If you see an appraisers vouch -RUNNNNN
wtf why is this guy back someone ban him again

PARABELLUM - June 24, 2019, 2:02 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 02:00 PM)BlackShadow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Appraisers proved several times they are true unprofessionals. If you see an appraisers vouch -RUNNNNN
Are you the real blackshadow ? did you get rid of your gambling problems mate ?

BlackShadow - June 24, 2019, 2:03 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 02:02 PM)PARABELLUM Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Are you the real blackshadow ? did you get rid of your gambling problems mate ?
I was sent on the earth to show everyone how to beat Bustabit system :)

int - June 24, 2019, 2:03 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 02:00 PM)BlackShadow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Appraisers proved several times they are true unprofessionals. If you see an appraisers vouch -RUNNNNN7 disputes sold separately
(Jun 24, 2019 02:02 PM)PARABELLUM Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) good boy
inb4 closed and IP publicized

BlackShadow - June 24, 2019, 2:04 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 02:03 PM)int Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 7 disputes sold separately
inb4 closed and IP publicized
Hey int how are you ?
Still browsing the forums asking for a vouch copy you broke cunt :D

int - June 24, 2019, 2:05 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 02:04 PM)BlackShadow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hey int how are you ?
Still browsing the forums asking for a vouch copy you broke cunt :D
you are so mad :D
imagine scamming to make a living ? ?

PARABELLUM - June 24, 2019, 2:05 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 02:03 PM)BlackShadow Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I was sent on the earth to show everyone how to beat Bustabit system :)
i hope you will achieve that someday mate i followed all your scams back then, what a fucking legend !

SIM-888979 - June 24, 2019, 5:38 pm

I don't think the scammer is lying about Quick working together (posting positive review for 10%) as the scammer has no reason to lie, plus Quick can't fathom any sort of proof that he was sent money for the picture he posted, because he didn't receive it and faked the proof, but logs would be nice for sure.

What a shit show.

Compulsive - June 24, 2019, 6:00 pm

This thread is amazing. Shit posting, blackshadow's dumbass is back. I love it

lol - June 24, 2019, 6:14 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 10:08 AM)Quick Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm not related to that scam, I provided further details about this in the dispute thread. I saw that a user already purchased and technically vouched for him so when I had that profit transaction it didn't seem sketchy to me or anything which led me to post my review. I cannot be an expert at all fields and BTC isn't my thing. Looking at things now I wish I didn't take up that review offer. I present my deepest excuses to the victims and am willing to present my resignation from the appraisers if it's deemed necessary as I see that I tarnished the group's reputation by getting tricked into this crisis. And you are right I value my principles and reputation above anything else, I will never scam for any amount as the time I spent here and knowledge I acquired and the potential future knowledge are worth way more than this.
Then why accept his vouch copy if BTC isn't your thing? The fuck????????????????????

PARABELLUM - June 24, 2019, 6:18 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 05:38 PM)Lotus Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't think the scammer is lying about Quick working together (posting positive review for 10%) as the scammer has no reason to lie, plus Quick can't fathom any sort of proof that he was sent money for the picture he posted, because he didn't receive it and faked the proof, but logs would be nice for sure.

What a shit show.

That proof was fake, inspect element in his localbitcoins ? you can't ask him for a proof since he's playing dead ?

int - June 24, 2019, 6:18 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 05:38 PM)Lotus Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't think the scammer is lying about Quick working

together (posting positive review for 10%) as the scammer has no reason to lie, plus Quick can't fathom any sort of proof that he was sent money for the picture he posted, because he didn't receive it and faked the proof, but logs would be nice for sure.

What a shit show.

logs and proof would be nice, but i think the scammer is trolling

PARABELLUM - June 24, 2019, 6:20 pm

(Jun 24, 2019 06:14 PM)lol Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Then why accept his vouch copy if BTC isn't your thing? The fuck????????????????????

Because we we're friends back then, i knew him from 2012 and contacted him again this year he then told me he's from appraisers in HF so i told him let's make a scam

Dorrance - June 24, 2019, 6:25 pm

They do anything for the clout, I will just keep watching n reading the threads and await for staff to intervene. I think people involved in the scam reports or alerts should be banned.

PARABELLUM - June 24, 2019, 6:26 pm

Not to mention the topic of the scam is not allowed on HF since it's fraud he still vouched though

that's the message he got from a staff since we were trying to do all this directly from his account

He told me to put that on my thread for later so he could fake a vouch

Pyro - June 25, 2019, 9:39 am

(Jun 24, 2019 11:38 AM)Dotexe Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Damn BV1 and his exposure threads, i love em Same goes here, i like the drama involved in his threads ?

Mr. Robot - June 25, 2019, 12:38 pm

Like only 6 people i'd trust doing reviews.

Who Soup

Riggs

Hello Kitty/Exodia

That's half

Dorrance - June 25, 2019, 1:18 pm

(Jun 25, 2019 12:38 PM)Mr. Robot Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Like only 6 people i'd trust doing reviews.

Who Soup

Riggs

Hello Kitty/Exodia

That's half

Make sure to add my name to the list g

Mr. Robot - June 25, 2019, 1:20 pm

(Jun 25, 2019 01:18 PM)Dorrance Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Make sure to add my name to the list g Lmao you wish.

I'm not saying who is trusted and who isn't trusted - just who I personally would trust due to what they have to lose/information known publicly about them + what they know about the scene as far as

methods and being able to read and understand things correctly.

Pronouncer - June 25, 2019, 1:20 pm

(Jun 25, 2019 01:20 PM)Mr. Robot Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Lmao you wish.

I'm not saying who is trusted and who isn't trusted - just who I personally would trust due to what they have to lose/information known publicly about them.

Where the fuck is my name

Mr. Robot - June 25, 2019, 1:23 pm

(Jun 25, 2019 01:20 PM)Pronouncer Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Where the fuck is my name

You and literally everyone else in those groups - no matter what you said in a review I wouldn't buy it. Every single one of you together could write a review individually about how it's the single most amazing thing to hit HF ever, completely legit / legal and all that shit - I still wouldn't buy it.

If Exodia/Hello Kitty - Riggs - Who Soup however wrote a single review or a one line comment - it would increase my likelihood of buying it.

Dorrance - June 25, 2019, 1:24 pm

(Jun 25, 2019 01:20 PM)Mr. Robot Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Lmao you wish.

I'm not saying who is trusted and who isn't trusted - just who I personally would trust due to what they have to lose/information known publicly about them + what they know about the scene as far as methods and being able to read and understand things correctly.

That's tuff I be lowkey, I try to keep it like that.

Mr. Robot - June 25, 2019, 1:26 pm

(Jun 25, 2019 01:24 PM)Dorrance Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That's tuff I be lowkey, I try to keep it like that.

You definitely aren't lowkey lmao

grady - June 25, 2019, 1:35 pm

(Jun 25, 2019 01:26 PM)Mr. Robot Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You definitely aren't lowkey lmao

its just ppl fishing for clout

ignore

(Jun 25, 2019 01:27 PM)forbidden Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is why groups on HF are a joke nowadays.

They are not only ran by greedy, money hungry adult children, but they are just a playground for people to feel powerful, fake reviews, gang rep, and unnecessary drama/control.

None of them are even interesting anymore. This is why I don't give two shits about joining some group of losers with 0 skill at anything lol.

I know for a fact that groups at least in the past have asked me and others to give reviews/rep. Even when I ran my own off-site doxing group, the members would always try to abuse the group relationship by asking for rep, free stuff, reviews, etc.

Dawn is p sick tho

int - June 25, 2019, 1:45 pm

(Jun 25, 2019 01:35 PM)?Infamy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) its just ppl fishing for clout

ignore

Dawn is p sick tho
what is dawn even about?

grady - June 25, 2019, 1:47 pm

(Jun 25, 2019 01:45 PM)int Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) what is dawn even about?
we're p much just a family now
there's some SRPP stuff in our SF but not super active on site

I bought it and made a group with the fundamental that i wasn't in it for the money
no buy-ins & it'll never be sold
since then gave it to night fury for him to run and ya
that's what it's developed into

123 - June 25, 2019, 1:52 pm

(Jun 25, 2019 01:45 PM)int Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) what is dawn even about?
The discussion in the group is mostly about Science, Politics and some day to day topics which we see online.

I'm in this group and getting involved in these discussions keeps me updated about happenings around the world.

luke - June 25, 2019, 1:55 pm

(Jun 25, 2019 12:38 PM)Mr. Robot Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Like only 6 people i'd trust doing reviews.
Who Soup
Riggs
Hello Kitty/Exodia

That's half
This. Riggs and Who Soup are two people I genuinely look up to and admire on HF.

flavoral - June 25, 2019, 1:58 pm

(Jun 25, 2019 12:38 PM)Mr. Robot Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Like only 6 people i'd trust doing reviews.
Who Soup
Riggs
Hello Kitty/Exodia

That's half
I would agree about Who Soup, idk much about the 2 others so i can't say much about them.

On a serious note, I would love to know your opinion on my reviews. Shit? biased? whatever.

Mr. Robot - June 25, 2019, 2:06 pm

(Jun 25, 2019 01:58 PM)flavoral Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I would agree about Who Soup, idk much about the 2 others so i can't say much about them.

On a serious note, I would love to know your opinion on my reviews. Shit? biased? whatever.
Every review is not even a review, it's just a random opinion. (not just for you, for all the users in that group)

flavoral - June 25, 2019, 2:26 pm

(Jun 25, 2019 02:06 PM)Mr. Robot Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Every review is not even a review, it's just a random opinion. (not just for you, for all the users in that group)(I'm not in the group nor represent any group, just wanna point this out)

I personally think that a review should have both a part of personal opinions on the subject/product and a part where you showcase the product from a Neutral POV.

EG: An IG bot claiming to be for Pros and have everything. I showcase all features of the bot, then based on background knowledge in that scene, i say if that bot is actually good for Pros or not, and if it has all features or not. Also if the price is worth the features in the bot.

SUI-3183372 - June 25, 2019, 6:17 pm

I really only have 2 concerns when it comes to product reviewers/review groups.

1. I don't really care who reviews shit. I just want whatever group that offers reviews or acts in some official reviewer capacity to be held accountable for what they put out. No more of this "well I don't really know much about this field, but here's my review anyways" bullshit. If you're putting out a review, understand what you're reviewing. If you'd rather simply verify the authenticity of the product, something like "I can verify the product exists, it appears to contain what the OP promises, etc" then that's fine, but make it clear that's all you're doing.

2. I need to feel like I can trust the reviewers are taking their job seriously. That means I trust they care more about the integrity of their reviews than they do about making friends / appeasing people / protecting their popularity. I need to be able to feel like the review I'm reading is the genuine opinion of the reviewer, not the reviewer ensuring their buddies are all happy.

Currently I don't feel like the review group we have really satisfies either 1 or 2 at this point in time.

SIM-3323508 - June 25, 2019, 8:43 pm

I will say that majority of the appraisers are just leachers who want to get methods for free. I have been spammed on multiple occasions to review my products / services and I ignore them for that reason.

Evil - June 25, 2019, 10:33 pm

Personally think that the appraisers have too much power for a self-moderated group. Mistakes happen no matter how hard these users try and when they make a mistake, people WILL lose money. These type of callout threads are nice, but realistically, the idea of a reviewer group on hackforums as a whole is going to be prone to bad outcomes based solely off of the nature of the people who use this site. Let's hope Omni sees that and forces a rebrand.

SUI-3183372 - June 26, 2019, 12:18 am

(Jun 25, 2019 10:33 PM)Evil Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Personally think that the appraisers have too much power for a self-moderated group. Mistakes happen no matter how hard these users try and when they make a mistake, people WILL lose money. These type of callout threads are nice, but realistically, the idea of a reviewer group on hackforums as a whole is going to be prone to bad outcomes based solely off of the nature of the people who use this site. Let's hope Omni sees that and forces a rebrand.

Omni wanted to bring back a Reviewer group to HF and mentioned that he knew it had to be ran under the right leadership. I hope he chooses someone who isn't afraid to piss people off and doesn't allow shit like what I posted in the OP to fly.

Dorrance - June 26, 2019, 12:26 am

(Jun 26, 2019 12:18 AM)BV1 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Omni wanted to bring back a Reviewer group to HF and mentioned that he knew it had to be ran under the right leadership. I hope he chooses someone who isn't afraid to piss people off and doesn't allow shit like what I posted in the OP to fly.

Reviewers comeback? Lead by you?

SUI-3183372 - June 26, 2019, 12:42 am

(Jun 26, 2019 12:26 AM)Dorrance Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Reviewers comeback? Lead by you?
I don't have the desire for it.

Pronouncer - June 26, 2019, 5:03 pm

(Jun 25, 2019 06:17 PM)BV1 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I really only have 2 concerns when it comes to product reviewers/review groups.

1. I don't really care who reviews shit. I just want whatever group that offers reviews or acts in some official reviewer capacity to be held accountable for what they put out. No more of this "well I don't really know much about this field, but here's my review anyways" bullshit. If you're putting out a review, understand what you're reviewing. If you'd rather simply verify the authenticity of the product, something like "I can verify the product exists, it appears to contain what the OP promises, etc" then that's fine, but make it clear that's all you're doing.

2. I need to feel like I can trust the reviewers are taking their job seriously. That means I trust they care more about the integrity of their reviews than they do about making friends / appeasing people / protecting their popularity. I need to be able to feel like the review I'm reading is the genuine opinion of the reviewer, not the reviewer ensuring their buddies are all happy.

Currently I don't feel like the review group we have really satisfies either 1 or 2 at this point in time. Im tryna find some stuff to do a honest review on and hopefully change the stigma. One review at a time. Its just hard to find stuff at times, and its hard to get permitted to write reviews

int - June 26, 2019, 6:17 pm

(Jun 26, 2019 12:42 AM)BV1 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't have the desire for it.
that is a power move reply, proving you are the best candidate for the job

BretOkamoto - July 31, 2019, 4:41 pm

get this back to top since got this stupid threads asking why users dont wanna use lying mm

CPA Exposé - August 1, 2019, 2:34 am

(Jun 26, 2019 05:03 PM)Pronouncer Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Im tryna find some stuff to do a honest review on and hopefully change the stigma. One review at a time. Its just hard to find stuff at times, and its hard to get permitted to write reviews

Considering most products are probably trash, no one wants to give it to an "honest" reviewer lol

Pawnhub - August 1, 2019, 6:13 am

Yeah I cannot stand any review unless it's a actual customer who spent money and time with the services they bought other than that the review means jack shit.

jasonleto - August 1, 2019, 7:00 am

Most vouch copies / reviews are just the user saying

Method looks good it should work.

Why beg for a vouch copy when you not going to try it???

SIM-4086413 - August 1, 2019, 7:16 am

(Jun 24, 2019 09:54 AM)tweety Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) always entertaining to see the shit you post here lmao

its pretty scummy to do that, most new users look at the appraisers group as something thats official, pretty disappointing

To be fair it is an Official HF Usergroup.

Omni letting them present themselves as a Product appraisers usergroup by using an Official HF Usergroup is kinda like saying Go ahead you guys are official HF appraisers now.

So yeah it's logic that new members think that people in the Official HF Usergroup called The Appraisers, are official appraisers.

tweety - August 1, 2019, 7:58 am

(Aug 1, 2019 07:16 AM)Delphine Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) To be fair it is an Official HF Usergroup.

Omni letting them present themselves as a Product appraisers usergroup by using an Official HF Usergroup is kinda like saying Go ahead you guys are official HF appraisers now.

So yeah it's logic that new members think that people in the Official HF Usergroup called The Appraisers, are official appraisers.

no they are not an official group. omni doesn't affiliate or represent any groups other than the staff/writer related ones. they arent official.

SUI-3183372 - August 1, 2019, 8:06 am

(Aug 1, 2019 07:58 AM)tweety Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) no they are not an official group. omni doesn't affiliate or represent any groups other than the staff/writer related ones. they arent official.

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5964003&pid=58603411#pid58603411>

tweety - August 1, 2019, 8:09 am

(Aug 1, 2019 08:06 AM)BV1 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5964003&pid=58603411#pid58603411>

well shit

thats something i wouldnt have expected. i dont think im allowed to post my opinion in fear of a being closed.

?

SIM-4086413 - August 1, 2019, 8:10 am

(Aug 1, 2019 07:58 AM)tweety Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) no they are not an official group. omni doesn't affiliate or represent any groups other than the staff/writer related ones. they arent official.

Uhh it is for fact an official HF usergroup.

It's an Usergroup implemented like any other usergroup (eg. Leet & Uber) therefore making it an Official HF Usergroup, not sure if you understand how forum development works but probably not, however they are literally implemented usergroups, implemented by Omniscient therefore them being Official HF Usergroups because they do have their own group data, subforums, userbar, text color etc.. like any other usergroup has (eg. Leet & Uber), the backend remains the same wether the name is Leet or Appraisers, it's all the same shit coding and implementation wise.

You probably missed my point there before.

Also this: <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?ti...id58603411>

tweety - August 1, 2019, 8:15 am

(Aug 1, 2019 08:10 AM)Delphine Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) ..

what the living fuck are you talking about? is something wrong with your brain?

SIM-4086413 - August 1, 2019, 8:16 am

(Aug 1, 2019 08:15 AM)tweety Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) what the living fugg are you talking about? is something wrong with your brain?

Classic response of a low intelligent individual who's stuck and doesn't know how to reply properly... ugh...

Now i'm guessing there will be a negative popularity rating given since that's the only power of control you got in life and you are going to do your best to come up with something better?

SIM-1729678 - August 1, 2019, 8:21 am

(Aug 1, 2019 08:10 AM)Delphine Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Uhh it is for fact an official HF usergroup.

It's an Usergroup implemented like any other usergroup (eg. Leet & Uber) theremore making it an Official HF Usergroup, not sure if you understand how forum development works but probably not, however they are literally implemented usergroups, implemented by Omniscient therefore them being Official HF Usergroups because they do have their own group data, subforums, userbar, text color etc.. like any other usergroup has (eg. Leet & Uber), the backend remains the same wether the name is Leet or Appraisers, it's all the same shit coding and implementation wise.

You probably missed my point there before.

Also this: <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?ti...id58603411>

Like the user above said, what are you on about?

I get what you are saying here, so don't even dare insult me. But what people here mean by "Official usergroup" is a usergroup that is added by Omniscient and is there to stay, no sales of that usergroup and the OWNER is Omniscient. Appraisers IS an official usergroup, but not in the way that you are thinking.

tweety - August 1, 2019, 8:23 am

(Aug 1, 2019 08:16 AM)Delphine Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Classic response of a low intelligent individual who's stuck and doesn't know how to reply properly... ugh...

Now i'm guessing there will be a negative popularity rating given since that's the only power of control you got in life and you are going to do your best to come up with something better?

what in lords name is wrong with you lol? do you have some sort of vendetta against me, posting silly replies, trying to make me look stupid? :l

SIM-4086413 - August 1, 2019, 8:24 am

(Aug 1, 2019 08:21 AM)ScreemS Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Like the user above said, what are you on about?

I get what you are saying here, so don't even dare insult me. But what people here mean by "Official usergroup" is a usergroup that is added by Omniscient and is there to stay, no sales of that usergroup and the OWNER is Omniscient. Appraisers IS an official usergroup, but not in the way that you are thinking.

That is true indeed, usually these groups are not announced as Official Usergroups but backend wise it's all the same shit and it's easily confused by an official HF usergroup when you are a new member in fact.

Backend wise those groups are all the same, front end and interception wise they clearly are not but you don't know that when you are new and you just joined.

However in this single case the Appraisers are somewhat an official HF group as you mentioned already due to the announcement of Omniscient himself.

I guess i should dummy down what i'm trying to say next time, at least you understood what i meant, i'm sure others have aswell.

(Aug 1, 2019 08:23 AM)tweety Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Do you have some sort of vendetta against me, posting silly replies, trying to make me look stupid? :l

Not at all, you started to insult me so i insulted you it's easy as that lol i recommend to read up what went wrong there.

SIM-1729678 - August 1, 2019, 8:29 am

(Aug 1, 2019 08:24 AM)Delphine Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That is true indeed, usually these groups are not announced as Official Usergroups but backend wise it's all the same shit and it's easily confused by an official HF usergroup when you are a new member in fact.

Backend wise those groups are all the same, front end and interception wise they clearly are not but you don't know that when you are new and you just joined.

However in this single case the Appraisers are somewhat an official HF group as you mentioned already due to the announcement of Omniscient himself.

I guess i should dummy down what i'm trying to say next time, at least you understood what i meant, i'm sure others have aswell.

Not at all, you started to insult me so i insulted you it's easy as that lol i recommend to read up what went wrong there.

Anyway, as long as I know, Appraisers is yet to be made an OFFICIAL OFFICIAL usergroup.

SUI-3183372 - August 1, 2019, 9:29 am

(Aug 1, 2019 08:29 AM)ScreemS Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Anyway, as long as I know, Appraisers is yet to be made an OFFICIAL OFFICIAL usergroup.

I don't think it matters much what their title is. Divined bought a group, offered to fill the reviewers-void that Omni eventually wanted to fill, and Omni agreed. They have his endorsement, but just like we've seen in the past, it doesn't matter if a group is official or not. If the group isn't living up to it's expected standard or it's causing issues, it'll get canned. Best for us to just sit back and let Appraisers do their thing at this point. They should have a bit of a review process in place so BS isn't getting posted anymore, so hopefully we see an improvement in review quality and thus an improvement in opinion of the group. If not, I'm sure plenty of you guys are ready to jump on the next mistake.

Pronouncer - August 1, 2019, 9:35 am

(Aug 1, 2019 02:34 AM)Crumbs Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Considering most products are probably trash, no one wants to give it to an "honest" reviewer lol

Yeah I've noticed.

Lawler - August 3, 2019, 7:16 pm

interesting topic. will come back and read later

funtela - August 5, 2019, 2:39 pm

If reviews were actually honest, 90% of them would be negative. As 90% of eBooks and methods on here don't work properly.

kawaii - August 5, 2019, 4:50 pm

I think that regardless of vouches, reviews or even reviews from an 'appraisers' group - you should always do your own research into the product you are purchasing, ask any questions via PM to the seller before even considering it.

I have never been scammed on this forum, nor have I ever purchased something and not been

100% satisfied with the resulting product because I ALWAYS did my own research on both the seller and product instead of being blinded by mindless vouches.

SUI-3183372 - August 10, 2019, 10:47 am

Lmfao:

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5993885&pid=58822166#pid58822166>

(Jun 25, 2019 01:20 PM)Pronouncer Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Quoting you to highlight youDo something!

4158996621556 - August 10, 2019, 10:53 am

James Burnham - August 10, 2019, 10:59 am

(Aug 10, 2019 10:53 AM)HackingDoge Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)

? ? ?

Greatest meme I 've seen all day.

BTC - August 10, 2019, 11:14 am

This can all be solved pretty easily.

Leaders of Appraisers could make it so that there are certain members in the group that are either the leaders or appointed users to approve each review before posting publicly. Members could post them in the subforum in which case an appointed user/leader, they could go and read it over then give an approval to them to post it publicly.

This would benefit everybody. These mistakes some users have made and been called out for, some of which are big mistakes that caused users to get scammed quite a bit..hopefully would diminish greatly and/or never happen. If it were to happen again, it would fall back on the users appointed to be in place of approving the review in question

TheInferno - August 10, 2019, 11:42 am

So you advice us not to give vouches to members of that group?

int - August 10, 2019, 3:54 pm

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5993885&pid=58822166#pid58822166>

appraisers back on that OPP shit.

Pronouncer - August 10, 2019, 8:29 pm

(Aug 10, 2019 10:47 AM)BV1 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Lmfao:

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5993885&pid=58822166#pid58822166>

Do something!

Looking into it. No promises but I'll see what's up