

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Netrich23 has Disputed a Contract with Swifter \(4212032 \)](#)

Netrich23 - May 27, 2020, 9:48 pm

Contract Link: <https://hackforums.net/contracts.php?action=view&cid=177248>

Disputed Member: <https://hackforums.net/member.php?action=profile&uid=4212032>

I bought a shipping label method, but he sold me a public one. When it didn't work I asked him to make one to prove it still works, he said no and said it's not his job to make one, so I asked him to make me a label with fake info but when later when I said that he only delivered one working method when he advertised 2 working methods in his thread (<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=6058919>) and in his FAQ, he stated that he has backup methods in place if the current methods were to get patched that he would update the ebook but when I brought it up. He acted like he didn't know what I was talking about and edited the thread to remove his warranty and says that he "doesn't have any backups as of right now."

BEFORE:

Q. Can this be patched?

A. Since this is basically an exploit you are using this can always be patched. But we have some backups in place if these do ever in fact get patched to update on the ebook on our lifetime update policy.(IF METHODS GET PATCHED THEN IT IS PATCHED UNTIL WE FIND A NEW WAY) (NO REFUNDS)

AFTER: Q. Can this be patched?

A. Since this is basically an exploit you are using this can always be patched. (IF METHODS GET PATCHED THEN IT IS PATCHED UNTIL WE FIND A NEW WAY) (NO REFUNDS)

He lied about having backup methods in place, I don't recommend you buy his ebook. It's a waste of money.

RushEm - May 27, 2020, 11:14 pm

Definitely not a public method and two separate methods were included. You claim I'm lying when I've delivered the course successfully and just because you "knew of the method" doesn't make it public. Just a classic situation where a user wants to get a purchased product for FREE. Ill just have this here for the rest of whatever you said:

Netrich23 - May 28, 2020, 12:02 am

(May 27, 2020 11:14 PM)Swifter Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Definitely not a public method and two separate methods were included. You claim I'm lying when I've delivered the course successfully and just because you "knew of the method" doesn't make it public. Just a classic situation where a user wants to get a purchased product for FREE. Ill just have this here for the rest of whatever you said:

You delivered a course where 1 method works but you advertised 2 working methods, and you said you have backups in your post but when I asked you about it, you said you don't have any backups. You keep saying the say thing without addressing the fact that you lied on your post.

Rezy - May 28, 2020, 12:10 am

He updated the post 26 hours ago, if you had a screenshot of the old thread you could be refunded but like most sellers he's a scum.

For anyone reading this; Swifter said there was backups if the exploit gets patched then after purchases he tells OP there is no backups.

That is a lie Swifter, even if you did edit it, he bought it before you changed it. OP stick to you word and don't settle for anything less than a full refund.

Netrich23 - May 28, 2020, 1:24 am

(May 28, 2020 12:10 AM)Rezy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) He updated the post 26 hours ago, if you had a screenshot of the old thread you could be refunded but like most sellers he's a scum.

For anyone reading this; Swifter said there was backups if the exploit gets patched then after purchases he tells OP there is no backups.

That is a lie Swifter, even if you did edit it, he bought it before you changed it. OP stick to you word and don't settle for anything less than a full refund.

I have a gif of the old thread, I just didn't post it because my email was visible in it. But I don't think he's ever going to refund me, I just made this so other are aware and don't buy the method because it's a scam.

Rezy - May 28, 2020, 1:42 am

PM me the gif, I will open a community alert about his lies.

Bald - May 28, 2020, 11:06 am

Why every seller always closed there threads when someone open dispute on them?

packofmidgets - May 28, 2020, 1:54 pm

(May 27, 2020 11:14 PM)Swifter Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Definitely not a public method and two separate methods were included. You claim I'm lying when I've delivered the course successfully and just because you "knew of the method" doesn't make it public. Just a classic situation where a user wants to get a purchased product for FREE. Ill just have this here for the rest of whatever you said:

yeah I remember Deep showing me your chat log. why dont you show where you deleted what was on the thread at the time of his purchase? You said something along the lines of "we have backups in case methods get patched" And then you deleted it when he called you out on it. Also the method he is referring to that is public can be found on competing sites for free so yes that is considered PUBLIC. You want to rip off someone for \$70 and he is actually a good guy. I love how you claim methods work etc.. then you close the thread down all together after he starts with this. you should be banned for selling public methods in the first place.

RushEm - May 28, 2020, 3:18 pm

(May 28, 2020 11:06 AM)Bald Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why every seller always closed there threads when someone open dispute on them?

It's been closed since the beginning of sales. It only gets opened for a bump using the HF system.

(May 28, 2020 01:54 PM)packofmidgets Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) yeah I remember Deep showing me your chat log. why dont you show where you deleted what was on the thread at the time of his purchase? You said something along the lines of "we have backups in case methods get patched" And then you deleted it when he called you out on it. Also the method he is referring to that is public can be

found on competing sites for free so yes that is considered PUBLIC. You want to rip off someone for \$70 and he is actually a good guy. I love how you claim methods work etc.. then you close the thread down all together after he starts with this. you should be banned for selling public methods in the first place.

Thread has always been closed since the starting of sales. My course was written and is not leaked or shared on other platforms. It was shared directly to him through google drive and the methods were discovered by me personally finding them when using the platforms listed in the course. Also, the methods were used many months on Shopify for personal use before the start of sales and have worked every time. No where on the thread did I state I'm selling a "PRIVATE NEVER BEFORE SEEN UNDISCOVERED NO ONE KNOWS ABOUT IT EXCEPT ME METHOD" but this is not a "COPIED/PUBLIC course" from some other platform as you're claiming. The user has paid for the course and I've delivered the course successfully to him after the payment, there are two methods in the course as promised and user hasn't even tried the second method.

-- An update was sent to the user as apart of the LIFETIME UPDATES offered in his purchase. User has not responded.

As said before if more methods are found other than what is listed in the course it will be provided as an update to the users purchase.

Also per conversation the user and I will continue communication through HF ONLY.

TrueFalseKing - May 28, 2020, 3:24 pm

(May 28, 2020 12:10 AM)Rezy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) He updated the post 26 hours ago, if you had a screenshot of the old thread you could be refunded but like most sellers he's a scum.

For anyone reading this; Swifter said there was backups if the exploit gets patched then after purchases he tells OP there is no backups.

That is a lie Swifter, even if you did edit it, he bought it before you changed it. OP stick to you word and don't settle for anything less than a full refund. I've dealt with Swifter before on another product and had an unpleasant experience. I don't believe the seller is an honest individual.

(May 28, 2020 01:42 AM)Rezy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) PM me the gif, I will open a community alert about his lies. Please do if you have the evidence! Members like him are cancer to the community.

Rezy - May 28, 2020, 4:15 pm

(May 28, 2020 03:24 PM)SIM-4103183 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I've dealt with Swifter before on another product and had an unpleasant experience. I don't believe the seller is an honest individual.

Please do if you have the evidence! Members like him are cancer to the community.
OP sent me evidence got a lot of work but will post it tomorrow :)

Let's clean up this marketplace a bit.

TrueFalseKing - May 28, 2020, 4:18 pm

(May 28, 2020 04:15 PM)Rezy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) OP sent me evidence got a lot of work but will post it tomorrow :)

Let's clean up this marketplace a bit. Thanks for this :) the seller suddenly ripped his gentleman mask off and insulted and negged me after stating my experience with him lol. At least, he's

exposed now.

Rezy - May 28, 2020, 5:02 pm

(May 28, 2020 04:18 PM)SIM-4103183 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thanks for this :) the seller suddenly ripped his gentleman mask off and insulted and negged me after stating my experience with him lol. At least, he's exposed now.

Haha gave you the rep back, he's a bit mad.

Will post when I wake up, pretty scummy to not lie on his thread.

Netrich23 - May 28, 2020, 5:47 pm

He sent me another method and is basically saying that this was discovered overnight by him and is "not a "COPIED/PUBLIC course" from some other platform as you're claiming." but I was able to find it online and the method is exactly the same and he magically found a new method overnight but he claims it's his own method because he didn't copy it and what he wrote is "completely different" from the public method because it was "tested by him" but the public method is exactly the same thing.

He is also very rude and childish. He made a rude comment and deleted it a few seconds later. He also said take the \$15 refund and close the dispute and we don't ever have to talk unless it's for ebook updates or I block you and we never speak.

I've talked to another knowledgeable label vendor after I found it online and he said that the new method Swifter is referring is not new and is very public he also said that the new method is clipped and that it will work for a few labels and after that they'll will get auto cancelled.

This still doesn't change the fact that he lied about having backups methods in place and the only reason I got a reply back with "a recently discovered method" was because I made a dispute.

Rezy - May 29, 2020, 1:39 am

I address his lies on this thread: <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=6082699>

Netrich23 - May 30, 2020, 2:49 pm

(May 29, 2020 01:39 AM)Rezy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I address his lies on this thread:

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=6082699>

Look at this - <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=6059348>

Rezy - May 31, 2020, 12:48 am

(May 30, 2020 02:49 PM)Netrich23 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Look at this -

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=6059348>

You know when you con someone out of \$80 and a whole lot of shady shit comes out lmao.