

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [HIGHLIMITS.NET - CSGO CHEAT - UNIQUE BUILD SYSTEM - APPROVAL BASED - 4 YEARS UD](#)

m8than - June 24, 2020, 2:56 pm

[/3i5mkp3\]](#)

[Highlimits.net CSGO Cheats](#)

- Version 1.6.7

Status Undetected since we opened (October 2016)

Quote:Security:

Unique Build System (Dynamic and static mutation)

Memory Mutation

Secure Clean-Up

Runtime String Encryption

Process Disguising

Anti Debug

Anti VM

Ring3 Bypasses

Other undisclosed methods

Quote:Anticheat Support:

Valve Anti Cheat 3 (VAC)

Matchmaking (MM)

Source Mod Anti Cheat (SMAC)

FACEIT Server Side Anticheat

CSPL AAC

Unity PacificES

ZENGAMING

PopFlash

Kigen's Anticheat (KAC)

Quote:Features:

Aimbot

Key

Bone

Aim Height Adjustment

Dynamic Distance based FOV

Smooth

Recoil Control System

Visible Check

Minimum Shots Fired RCS

Beep On Hit

Beep On Kill

Cooldown On Kill - Prevents aimbot from rapidly switching targets after kill.

Humanised

Triggerbot
Key
Delay
Afterburst
Cooldown

SoundESP
Key
Fov
Max Distance
Always On

GlowESP
ToggleKey
Health

BunnyHop
Key
Smac/Faceit

Miscellaneous
Silent Running
Multi Threaded
Panic Key

Advanced Config System
Weapon Configs for everything
Global Config
Weapon Groups
Quote:Supported OS:

Windows 10
Windows 8.1
Windows 8
Windows 7
Windows Vista
Quote:Price:
\$20 Per Month

Here's some actual unique build tests (unlike someone else at addednullbytesrus)

two builds one minute apart

Sig size of 16 bytes

Sig size of 12 bytes

Sig size of just 8 bytes

[/3i5mkp3\]Visit Our Website](#)

[contract=tid]Start Contract[/contract]

m8than - June 25, 2020, 3:09 pm

Eliminated more of the possible detection vectors. Builds are now 96% different according to sigbench.

lolfrctt - June 27, 2020, 3:41 pm

Just received a vouch copy of this and heres my thoughts- to sum it up, probably the best option for legit cheating at the moment if you're looking at detection alone, considering it's been UD for 4 years. It's got everything a top external can offer, it's very similiar to LegitEx- if not better considering it's been UD for 4 years.

I can't rly say much on the unique build system etc since I'm not too informed on it but its very interesting :3

m8than - June 28, 2020, 6:57 pm

(Jun 27, 2020 03:41 PM)lolfrctt Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Just received a vouch copy of this and heres my thoughts- to sum it up, probably the best option for legit cheating at the moment if you're looking at detection alone, considering it's been UD for 4 years. It's got everything a top external can offer, it's very similiar to LegitEx- if not better considering it's been UD for 4 years.

I can't rly say much on the unique build system etc since I'm not too informed on it but its very interesting :3

Thanks dude.

Made new security updates.

Updated memory mutation module, now moves many more objects around in memory dynamically.

Updated string encryption module, you won't find a single string in each build thats not encrypted.

litewaste819 - June 28, 2020, 7:00 pm

100% Vouch, haven't done business on here but have heard of them and seen reviews.

Buyers keep in mind, you can still get banned via Overwatch. No cheat is "overwatch" or "mm" proof, this is basically because someone watches your demo.

m8than - June 28, 2020, 7:31 pm

(Jun 28, 2020 07:00 PM)litewaste819 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 100% Vouch, haven't done business on here but have heard of them and seen reviews.

Buyers keep in mind, you can still get banned via Overwatch. No cheat is "overwatch" or "mm" proof, this is basically because someone watches your demo.

Thanks man, I appreciate the kind words.

m8than - July 5, 2020, 10:32 am

Added heap randomiser and moved most variables into the heap. Should make process dumps a lot harder to write a signature for.

PingersAndMash - July 7, 2020, 11:11 pm

Pingers63 is my username on you're website

m8than - July 8, 2020, 7:37 am

(Jul 7, 2020 11:11 PM)PingersAndMash Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Pingers63 is my username on you're website

Upgraded you. <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=6093297> anyone looking to try the cheat get a free sub here.

keepingitrealistic - July 8, 2020, 2:02 pm

Can I get a vouch - try the cheat?

Cheers!

m8than - July 8, 2020, 2:05 pm

(Jul 8, 2020 02:02 PM)Shendm Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Can I get a vouch - try the cheat?

Cheers!

no

m8than - July 9, 2020, 4:20 pm

Autobuilder now automatically moves every single variable in each build into a new randomised class (which is also dynamically moved around in memory)

ProGodly - July 27, 2020, 9:15 pm

I did some research on this guy.

They have not added one new in-game hack feature in at least 3+ years to this product.

Proof:

<http://web.archive.org/web/20170703021510/https://highlimits.net/>

The features list is exactly the same now as it was more than 3 years ago.

SS from today: <https://imgur.com/a/znF8BzC>

m8than, why would you not add any features in 3+ years? What is your excuse? Are you a paster?

m8than - July 27, 2020, 10:34 pm

(Jul 27, 2020 09:15 PM)ProGodly Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I did some research on this guy.

They have not added one new in-game hack feature in at least 3+ years to this product.

Proof:

<http://web.archive.org/web/20170703021510/https://highlimits.net/>

The features list is exactly the same now as it was more than 3 years ago.

SS from today: <https://imgur.com/a/znF8BzC>

m8than, why would you not add any features in 3+ years? What is your excuse? Are you a paster?

Theres no need for new features?

I've been working mainly on security because i find it interesting (also if I add small new features that specific people ask for I don't add them to the homepage)

Who are you? legitsense on his multi? Edit: nvm lol u go round dumpin on every cheat
But yeah i'll give you the rundown on build engine updates if you want lol

Nice research btw I'd have legit just said that myself if anyone asked

ProGodly - July 27, 2020, 11:17 pm

(Jul 27, 2020 10:34 PM)m8than Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Theres no need for new features?

I've been working mainly on security because i find it interesting (also if I add small new features that specific people ask for I don't add them to the homepage)

Who are you? legitsense on his multi? Edit: nvm lol u go round dumpin on every cheat
But yeah i'll give you the rundown on build engine updates if you want lol

Nice research btw I'd have legit just said that myself if anyone asked
I just don't like bad cheats or scams. I try to expose them for what they are. It seems like you might not be a scammer, but your cheat is just actually really bad and probably pasted.

There have been a lot of innovations in CS:GO hacks in the past 3 years, so I don't know how you can claim that your cheat "doesn't need more features" with your sad low amount of features.

If you say you add features, but don't add them on the homepage.....why didn't you add them here either? If you're advertising a cheat.....wouldn't you want to advertise all of the features to attract buyers? Your excuses and lies are weak.

You also claim you didn't add features because you were focusing on security, but your cheat can't bypass any good anti-cheats.....just the bad ones.

I was hoping for a better reply from you honestly, this is just sad.

m8than - July 27, 2020, 11:23 pm

(Jul 27, 2020 11:17 PM)ProGodly Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I just don't like bad cheats or scams. I try to expose them for what they are. It seems like you might not be a scammer, but your cheat is just actually really bad and probably pasted.

There have been a lot of innovations in CS:GO hacks in the past 3 years, so I don't know how you can claim that your cheat "doesn't need more features" with your sad low amount of features.

If you say you add features, but don't add them on the homepage.....why didn't you add them here either? If you're advertising a cheat.....wouldn't you want to advertise all of the features to attract buyers? Your excuses and lies are weak.

You also claim you didn't add features because you were focusing on security, but your cheat can't bypass any good anti-cheats.....just the bad ones.

I was hoping for a better reply from you honestly, this is just sad.

There mostly just small features like improvements to the aimbot. Stuff like that.

Idk what other features you'd need unless you're talking internal, which this cheat isn't. Feel free to hit me with some examples.

My security's main focus is vac (the build engine took me 3x as long as the cheat) and no it's not pasted lol I know how memory works. The cheat is the easy part. You can try to crack a build if you want.

Adding more features means more detection vectors for vac. I'm not really down to add useless features but if you can think of a useful one feel free to hmu.

ProGodly - July 27, 2020, 11:28 pm

(Jul 27, 2020 11:23 PM)m8than Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There mostly just small features like improvements to the aimbot. Stuff like that.

Idk what other features you'd need unless you're talking internal, which this cheat isn't. Feel free to hit me with some examples.

My security's main focus is vac (the build engine took me 3x as long as the cheat) and no it's not pasted lol I know how memory works. The cheat is the easy part.

Adding more features means more detection vectors for vac. I'm not really down to add useless features but if you can think of a useful one feel free to hmu.

Just look at all of the external cheats on unknowncheats with more features than yours, and much better features than yours.

Are you going to add any new features? I wonder if you are even capable.

Anyone would probably be better off using one of the free cs:go external cheats on unknowncheats that have also been vac undetected for years and years.

m8than - July 27, 2020, 11:38 pm

(Jul 27, 2020 11:28 PM)ProGodly Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Just look at all of the external cheats on unknowncheats with more features than yours, and much better features than yours.

Are you going to add any new features? I wonder if you are even capable.

Anyone would probably be better off using one of the free cs:go external cheats on unknowncheats that have also been vac undetected for years and years.

Just had a quick look at a couple other cheats and the only useful feature I can see is probably bone trigger. This isn't hard to implement lol

Idk why you're firing shots at me for 0 reason. Why add features when I don't need to? There is literally no reason to add these detection vectors to my cheat. Originally it was just soundesp aimbot and trigger but people asked for these extra features so I added them.

I've never really been in this for the money, it's just a cheat that got popular on release and has a small loyal community left so I never left the cheat alone. I care more about what my customers want and that's security. That's the main selling point of the cheat not a million useless features.

If you're talking about things like backtrack this cheat is external. Enough said.

You're ignoring my build engine, my pride and joy and it's killing me man ?

ProGodly - July 27, 2020, 11:41 pm

(Jul 27, 2020 11:38 PM)m8than Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Just had a quick look at a couple other cheats and the only useful feature I can see is probably bone trigger. This isn't hard to implement lol

Idk why you're firing shots at me for 0 reason. Why add features when I don't need to? There is literally no reason to add these detection vectors to my cheat. Originally it was just soundesp aimbot and trigger but people asked for these extra features so I added them.

I've never really been in this for the money, it's just a cheat that got popular on release and has a small loyal community left so I never left the cheat alone. I care more about what my customers want and that's security. That's the main selling point of the cheat not a million useless features.

If you're talking about things like backtrack this cheat is external. Enough said.
Dude, you just keep exposing yourself more and more...there are tons and tons of amazing features that won't add any detection vectors.

Backtrack is possible externally without any detection vectors...and there is source code public for years...

You are a paster that can't even paste features.

My guess is that someone made this for you, and you just update the offsets.

m8than - July 27, 2020, 11:43 pm

(Jul 27, 2020 11:41 PM)ProGodly Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Dude, you just keep exposing yourself more and more...there are tons and tons of amazing features that won't add any detection vectors.

Backtrack is possible externally without any detection vectors...and there is source code public for years...

You are a paster that can't even paste features.

My guess is that someone made this for you, and you just update the offsets.
You clearly don't know what a detection vector is?

Lol more code = more to detect. You do the math.

I actually work commercially with c++ lmao I can code you fucking dumbass.

I actually sent a binary of my cheat to imitator you can ask him what he found if you want...

ProGodly - July 27, 2020, 11:47 pm

(Jul 27, 2020 11:43 PM)m8than Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You clearly don't know what a detection vector is?

Lol more code = more to detect. You do the math.

I actually work commercially with c++ lmao I can code you fugging dumbass.

I actually sent a binary of my cheat to imitator you can ask him what he found if you want...
Wouldn't your "unique polymorphic build engine" (lol) protect the code of the new features, or is that just bullshit too? How do you explain all of the other cheats with more code that are undetected?

If you actually work commercially with C++, prove it and post your github / portfolio / resume with some proof it's you.

I would love to see what [imi-tat0r](#) says about your cheat and your replies to me.

m8than - July 27, 2020, 11:58 pm

(Jul 27, 2020 11:47 PM)ProGodly Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Wouldn't your "unique polymorphic build engine" (lol) protect the code of the new features, or is that just bullshit too? How do you explain all of the other

cheats with more code that are undetected?

If you actually work commercially with C++, prove it and post your github / portfolio / resume with some proof it's you.

I would love to see what imitator says about your cheat and your replies to me.

Yeah it's unique, yeah it's polymorphic and yeah it's a build engine (lol). But yeah you can never be 100% sure but every time I think of something new I've updated my build engine to remove that detection vector.

The build engine randomises quite a lot of shit and the cheat itself moves a lot of shit around in the heap idk what to tell you man.

I'm not gonna put my identity out there sorry and believe it or not the company I work for wouldn't like it if I publicly release their code? Idk if you've ever, you know worked in this industry before.

Tbh like I've said before I've not really been interested in cheats for a long time but the build engine is different. It is something I'm interested in. I'm probably gonna write a stand alone version of it soon to sell commercially.

This cheat has never really made much money since the first year but I've kept it running because I've still got some customers, I don't really care about the money (well paying job) and I've still got some interest in aspects of it.

If you think I'm a paster because I haven't had the motivation to add some major features for a couple years then go ahead lmao but I've been in the software engineering/development industry for years with a computer science degree also so think what you wanna think ig lmao

ProGodly - July 28, 2020, 12:04 am

(Jul 27, 2020 11:58 PM)m8than Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yeah it's unique, yeah it's polymorphic and yeah it's a build engine (lol). But yeah you can never be 100% sure but every time I think of something new I've updated my build engine to remove that detection vector.

The build engine randomises quite a lot of shit and the cheat itself moves a lot of shit around in the heap idk what to tell you man.

I'm not gonna put my identity out there sorry and believe it or not the company I work for wouldn't like it if I publicly release their code? Idk if you've ever, you know worked in this industry before.

Tbh like I've said before I've not really been interested in cheats for a long time but the build engine is different. It is something I'm interested in. I'm probably gonna write a stand alone version of it soon to sell commercially.

This cheat has never really made much money since the first year but I've kept it running because I've still got some customers, I don't really care about the money (well paying job) and I've still got some interest in aspects of it.

If you think I'm a paster because I haven't had the motivation to add some features for a couple years then go ahead lmao but I've been in the software engineering/development industry for years with a computer science degree also so think what you wanna think ig lmao

m8than - July 28, 2020, 12:05 am

(Jul 28, 2020 12:04 AM)ProGodly Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)

You're an idiot. There's always a risk. I've made my build engine to minimise that as much as possible.

ProGodly - July 28, 2020, 12:11 am

(Jul 28, 2020 12:05 AM)m8than Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You're an idiot. There's always a risk. I've made my build engine to minimise that as much as possible.

You're actually the idiot here, and you keep proving it with your own mouth.

There isn't always a risk, it depends on many different factors. For example, if someone was using a hardware hack that VAC doesn't even try to detect, they would be completely fine. Another way is to reverse engineer VAC and create a software solution that completely disables it, this has been done numerous times in the past. There are also many more methods to eliminate any risk whatsoever.

You spelled minimize wrong.

How did your shady skin gambling site go? Seems like it died pretty fast.

CSGO Gambling - CSCoinFlip.com - HighLimits.net

<https://highlimits.net/forum/showthread.php?tid=431&action=nextnewest>

8 jul. 2017 - I decided to start my own gambling site because I was tired of going onto sites being rigged/scams today I released my CSGO gambling site, ...

m8than - July 28, 2020, 12:30 am

(Jul 28, 2020 12:11 AM)ProGodly Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You're actually the idiot here, and you keep proving it with your own mouth.

There isn't always a risk, it depends on many different factors. For example, if someone was using a hardware hack that VAC doesn't even try to detect, they would be completely fine. Another way is to reverse engineer VAC and create a software solution that completely disables it, this has been done numerous times in the past. There are also many more methods to eliminate any risk whatsoever.

You spelled minimize wrong.

How did your shady skin gambling site go? Seems like it died pretty fast.

CSGO Gambling - CSCoinFlip.com - HighLimits.net

<https://highlimits.net/forum/showthread.php?tid=431&action=nextnewest>

8 jul. 2017 - I decided to start my own gambling site because I was tired of going onto sites being rigged/scams today I released my CSGO gambling site, ...

Okay and if vac adds a module with idk heuristic scanning which now allows it to detect something it just couldn't detect before?

This clearly isn't a hardware hack now is it and idk what kinda hardware hack you're talking about but it'll still need to read memory via a driver or whatever else so there's still something to detect.

Completely disabling vac seems like a great idea! /s.

Yeah man that's definitely my skin gambling site lmao.

Not replying again you're literally grasping at straws.

Oh and I'm from the UK we spell it with an s lol

ProGodly - July 28, 2020, 12:38 am

(Jul 28, 2020 12:30 AM)m8than Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Okay and if vac adds a module with idk heuristic scanning which now allows it to detect something it just couldn't detect before?

This clearly isn't a hardware hack now is it and idk what kinda hardware hack you're talking about but it'll still need to read memory via a driver or whatever else so there's still something to detect.

Completely disabling vac seems like a great idea! /s.

Yeah man that's definitely my skin gambling site lmao.

Not replying again you're literally grasping at straws.

Oh and I'm from the UK we spell it with an s lol

You're saying a hardware hack NEEDS a SOFTWARE DRIVER??? You really know nothing man. I'm enjoying exposing you.

Why wouldn't disabling VAC, or emulating VAC with a software solution be viable? Can you explain?

You're the only one grasping here, except that you're grasping for my thick throbbing giant cock.

Notice how he completely ignores the fact that I pointed out he ran a shady skin gambling site that failed miserably.

m8than - July 28, 2020, 12:42 am

(Jul 28, 2020 12:38 AM)ProGodly Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You're saying a hardware hack NEEDS a SOFTWARE DRIVER??? You really know nothing man. I'm enjoying exposing you.

Why wouldn't disabling VAC, or emulating VAC with a software solution be viable? Can you explain?

You're the only one grasping here, except that you're grasping for my thick throbbing giant cock.

Notice how he completely ignores the fact that I pointed out he ran a shady skin gambling site that failed miserably.

How the fuck do you think a hardware hack gets access to memory?

You got some kinda ram proxy hack or something?

Yes it's a very good idea to go full blatantly retardedly obvious that you are messing with the system rather than to go with a more stealthy approach.

I didn't skip the cs gambling site I literally said I didn't run it but sure.

To reiterate with the hardware cheat thing every single piece of hardware interacts with your operating system with you guessed it! A driver.

Hardware hacks access memory through this driver. You don't know shit mr know it all lmao

ProGodly - July 28, 2020, 12:56 am

(Jul 28, 2020 12:42 AM)m8than Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How the fugg do you think a hardware hack gets access to memory?

You got some kinda ram proxy hack or something?

Yes it's a very good idea to go full blatantly retardedly obvious that you are messing with the system rather than to go with a more stealthy approach.

I didn't skip the cs gambling site I literally said I didn't run it but sure.

To reiterate with the hardware cheat thing every single piece of hardware interacts with your operating system with you guessed it! A driver.

Hardware hacks access memory through this driver. You don't know shit mr know it all lmao It's called direct memory access, look it up my student. You might learn something.....actually I'll just leave this here:

PCILeech Summary:

PCILeech uses PCIe hardware devices to read and write target system memory. This is achieved by using DMA over PCIe. No drivers are needed on the target system.

"ram proxy hack" Do you just make up stuff as you go?

All of the methods I talked about are more stealthy and safer than your product is.

Sorry about the skin gambling accusation, but can you prove that the site wasn't yours?

m8than - July 28, 2020, 1:03 am

(Jul 28, 2020 12:56 AM)ProGodly Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)

It's called direct memory access, look it up my student. You might learn something.....Actually I'll just leave this here:

PCILeech Summary:

PCILeech uses PCIe hardware devices to read and write target system memory. This is achieved by using DMA over PCIe. No drivers are needed on the target system.

"ram proxy hack" Do you just make up stuff as you go?

All of the methods I talked about are more stealthy and safer than your product is.

Sorry about the skin gambling accusation, but can you prove that the site wasn't yours?

Okay and tell me now where exactly you write code to perform DMA. Absolute retard lmao

Yes disabling vac altogether is definitely a stealthy method.

Idk how to prove to u I never started a csgo skin site some random dude posted on my forum lmao but if u look that guy has a bunch of posts and I don't think I would have called myself dabears tbh

Oh and about pcileech

<https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/windows/security/information-protection/kernel-dma-protection-for-t-hunderbolt>

ProGodly - July 28, 2020, 1:14 am

(Jul 28, 2020 01:03 AM)m8than Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Okay and tell me now where exactly you write code to

perform DMA. Absolute retard lmao

Yes disabling vac altogether is definitely a stealthy method.

Idk how to prove to u I never started a csgo skin site some random dude posted on my forum lmao but if u look that guy has a bunch of posts and I don't think I would have called myself dabears tbh The code exists on the PCIe hardware device, not the target computer of course. If you had bothered to read about these methods, you would know this. It's sad that you claim to have cared so much more about security, but have barely studied mainstream methods. You're proven to be the retard here.

I actually feel kinda sorry for you now that I've absolutely demolished and embarrassed you in front of everyone.

I had no way of knowing that post wasn't yours, since I would never want access to your forums. It just showed up on a google search of your website.

m8than - July 28, 2020, 1:18 am

(Jul 28, 2020 01:14 AM)ProGodly Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The code exists on the PCIe hardware device, not the target computer of course. If you had bothered to read about these methods, you would know this. It's sad that you claim to have cared so much more about security, but have barely studied mainstream methods. You're proven to be the retard here.

I actually feel kinda sorry for you now that I've absolutely demolished and embarrassed you in front of everyone.

I had no way of knowing that post wasn't yours, since I would never want access to your forums. It just showed up on a google search of your website.

You can't do that anymore read the link I posted stupid.

+ This isn't a hardware cheat idk why you even brought this shit up. Regardless you cant perform DMA without a compatible driver now.

ProGodly - July 28, 2020, 1:33 am

(Jul 28, 2020 01:18 AM)m8than Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You can't do that anymore read the link I posted stupid.

+ This isn't a hardware cheat idk why you even brought this shit up. Regardless you cant perform DMA without a compatible driver now.

You posted that link after I already posted by editing your post, which is obvious from my quote of you without any link at all.

That link only further proves you wrong and even more retarded, you obviously don't even understand what was being written.

Of the compatible hardware for DMA, only one of them needs a driver on windows (USB3380). The other 8 devices listed on the pcileech page don't need any driver whatsoever.

Are you saying that ufrisk, who made <https://github.com/ufrisk/pcileech> is wrong? He is much, much, much, much smarter than you.

m8than - July 28, 2020, 1:41 am

(Jul 28, 2020 01:33 AM)ProGodly Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You posted that link after I already posted by editing

your post, which is obvious from my quote of you without any link at all.

That link only further proves you wrong and even more retarded, you obviously don't even understand what was being written.

Of the compatible hardware for DMA, only one of them needs a driver on windows (USB3380). The other 8 devices listed on the pcileech page don't need any driver whatsoever.

Are you saying that ufrisk, who made <https://github.com/ufrisk/pcileech> is wrong? He is much, much, much, much smarter than you.

Sorry for not doing a load of research into making a hardware cheat for my \$20 a month customers with some software that contains a variety of exploits.

Anyway I'm pretty sure you're wrong on the DMA protection within windows. I can't see anywhere where it's even mentioned on pcileech at first glance.

None of this matters tho. This isnt a hardware cheat ?

Also according to hf I edited my post 4 mins before you posted

ProGodly - July 28, 2020, 1:46 am

(Jul 28, 2020 01:41 AM)m8than Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Sorry for not doing a load of research into making a hardware cheat for my \$20 a month customers with some software that contains a variety of exploits.

Anyway I'm pretty sure you're wrong on the DMA protection within windows. I can't see anywhere where it's even mentioned on pcileech at first glance.

None of this matters tho. This isnt a hardware cheat ?

You're the one who started arguing with me about what is possible with DMA, and you made yourself look bad.

You actually made yourself look bad in every reply you made to me in the eyes of people who actually understand computer security.

Your typical customer won't read any of these posts though, because if anyone did they would never buy it.

legitsenseuser - July 28, 2020, 5:09 am

didnt read this thread but ProGodly stfu, you accused us of stealing steam accounts man leave this man alone retard, you just bullshitting every cheatprovider you can findt with straight up lies, i dont even know what you accuse him for but i bet its a lie

ProGodly - July 28, 2020, 10:22 am

(Jul 28, 2020 05:09 AM)legitsenseuser Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) didnt read this thread but ProGodly stfu, you accused us of stealing steam accounts man leave this man alone retard, you just bullshitting every cheatprovider you can findt with straight up lies, i dont even know what you accuse him for but i bet its a lie

I don't think you don't have any ways to prove otherwise, maybe you could release the source code to legitsense and leaguesense? Oh wait, those are already public for years and anyone could use your cheat for free. Legitsense resells a free cheat to idiots. You reselling other's work is horrible.

legitsenseuser - July 28, 2020, 1:54 pm

(Jul 28, 2020 10:22 AM)ProGodly Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't think you don't have any ways to prove otherwise, maybe you could release the source code to legitsense and leaguesense? Oh wait, those are already public for years and anyone could use your cheat for free. Legitsense resells a free cheat to idiots. You reselling other's work is horrible.
the cheat im accused of selling's cfg: <https://pastebin.com/VKe6revZ>
legitsense cfg: <https://pastebin.com/7fUf4VfN>

and if you mean i should prove that i have not stolen your friends steam account/skins or whatever - how the fuck should i do that are you retarded?

think a little logicly, if i would implement a rat or trojan or whatever into the cheat it would get 100% noticed, no matter if i would just do it for a few hours to get 'some victims' as you said, it would get noticed and i'd had no chance to ever come back of this again, im longer in this business as you usually are years old, i know i did a bunch of shit but i never infected anyone with viruses and spreading viruses in this scene is like snitching in other scenes, you just dont do it or you won't ever come back.

can 'your friend' proof that i/we did that? you literally spread trashtalk under every cheatprovider and all of a sudden i should have stolen your friends skins - proof pls?

ProGodly - July 28, 2020, 3:25 pm

You proud of changing some text in a config file? A monkey can do that and it isn't any proof.

With your poor history of scamming, I would say the burden of proof is on you to prove you don't scam people or RAT them. I don't think you will ever be able to prove this though.

(Jul 28, 2020 01:54 PM)legitsenseuser Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) the cheat im accused of selling's cfg:
<https://pastebin.com/VKe6revZ>
legitsense cfg: <https://pastebin.com/7fUf4VfN>

and if you mean i should prove that i have not stolen your friends steam account/skins or whatever - how the fugg should i do that are you retarded?

think a little logicly, if i would implement a rat or trojan or whatever into the cheat it would get 100% noticed, no matter if i would just do it for a few hours to get 'some victims' as you said, it would get noticed and i'd had no chance to ever come back of this again, im longer in this business as you usually are years old, i know i did a bunch of shit but i never infected anyone with viruses and spreading viruses in this scene is like snitching in other scenes, you just dont do it or you won't ever come back.

can 'your friend' proof that i/we did that? you literally spread trashtalk under every cheatprovider and all of a sudden i should have stolen your friends skins - proof pls?

Menalix - July 28, 2020, 4:49 pm

(Jul 28, 2020 03:25 PM)ProGodly Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You proud of changing some text in a config file? A monkey can do that and it isn't any proof.

With your poor history of scamming, I would say the burden of proof is on you to prove you don't scam people or RAT them. I don't think you will ever be able to prove this though.

He doesn't directly scam or RAT anyone, accusing people of such things is not allowed here on hackforums.

He sells a product which uses false information for marketing it, especially the security features and his own abilities. Which is quite common on the scene to be honest. He just doesn't give a fck about

being busted in it and likes to trash talk anyone who brings it up.

m8than - July 28, 2020, 4:54 pm

(Jul 28, 2020 03:25 PM)ProGodly Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You proud of changing some text in a config file? A monkey can do that and it isn't any proof.

With your poor history of scamming, I would say the burden of proof is on you to prove you don't scam people or RAT them. I don't think you will ever be able to prove this though.

Burden of proof is on you to prove him guilty? Since when is it guilty before innocent you jackass

ProGodly - July 28, 2020, 5:41 pm

(Jul 28, 2020 04:54 PM)m8than Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Burden of proof is on you to prove him guilty? Since when is it guilty before innocent you jackass

For a normal person of course I would agree with you, but this is legit sense we are talking about here

(Jul 28, 2020 04:49 PM)Menalix Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) He doesn't directly scam or RAT anyone, accusing people of such things is not allowed here on hackforums.

He sells a product which uses false information for marketing it, especially the security features and his own abilities. Which is quite common on the scene to be honest. He just doesn't give a fck about being busted in it and likes to trash talk anyone who brings it up.

I didn't directly accuse him, I just suggested a person of his character could possibly do such a thing. My friend lost his accounts after a few weeks, so it could have been from something else.

Menalix - July 28, 2020, 6:38 pm

(Jul 28, 2020 05:41 PM)ProGodly Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I didn't directly accuse him, I just suggested a person of his character could possibly do such a thing. My friend lost his accounts after a few weeks, so it could have been from something else.

From my experience in this scene, it's most often the more experienced devs who do stuff like this. Because they know they often can hide it, and get away with it, without consequences. Back in the days when Valve actively bought cheats, it was a common practice to launch backdoors on them, which could very well be misused.

Like for example Royal Hack who managed to steal some of Valve's forensics tools.

Legit sense is reversed weekly, so I really think he prolly is the one who the least dare to.

I've actually never seen copy-pasters do it, they are usually in the scene for some quick money. When they get detected they are gone though. Sad Valve isn't active anymore, so we could get some cleaning done, but then again, there is so many nowadays, that new ones have a hard time getting attention.

Biggest problem with cheat providers in general though, is they are too scared to leave company information public, because they for some reason think creating cheats is illegal, which is not true. But that gives customers no guarantee of legal safety if they are misused, like 90% of all cheat companies are like this.

The only ones I know of with public information is Hexui, Chods and Aimware of providers with csgo cheats, but there is around 80-150 providers in the west nowadays....

imi-tat0r - July 30, 2020, 5:35 am

(Jul 28, 2020 06:38 PM)Menalix Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) From my experience in this scene, it's most often the more experienced devs who do stuff like this. Because they know they often can hide it, and get away with it, without consequences. Back in the days when Valve actively bought cheats, it was a common practice to launch backdoors on them, which could very well be misused.

Like for example Royal Hack who managed to steal some of Valve's forensics tools.

Legitsense is reversed weekly, so I really think he prolly is the one who the least dare to. I've actually never seen copy-pasters do it, they are usually in the scene for some quick money. When they get detected they are gone though. Sad Valve isn't active anymore, so we could get some cleaning done, but then again, there is so many nowadays, that new ones have a hard time getting attention.

Biggest problem with cheat providers in general though, is they are too scared to leave company information public, because they for some reason think creating cheats is illegal, which is not true. But that gives customers no guarantee of legal safety if they are misused, like 90% of all cheat companies are like this.

The only ones I know of with public information is Hexui, Chods and Aimware of providers with csgo cheats, but there is around 80-150 providers in the west nowadays....

Well in Germany this is actually considered "unfair competition". Due to the fact that a cheat operates in their Game, you will be considered as a competitor. As a competitor it is not allowed to have a user break your competitors ToS due to how you or your product operates.

That's how Blizzard sued Bossland and that's how EpicGames sued EngineOwning. Valve tolerates this but in theory they could sue any (german) cheatprovider due to this.

Menalix - July 30, 2020, 6:14 am

(Jul 30, 2020 05:35 AM)imi-tat0r Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Well in Germany this is actually considered "unfair competition". Due to the fact that a cheat operates in their Game, you will be considered as a competitor. As a competitor it is not allowed to have a user break your competitors ToS due to how you or your product operates.

That's how Blizzard sued Bossland and that's how EpicGames sued EngineOwning. Valve tolerates this but in theory they could sue any (german) cheatprovider due to this.

That sounds really wierd, that it would be considered competetition when it's a given the end-user has to buy the product from blizzard in order to use bossland's product. I actually used to be quite close with some of the devs from Bossland way back. From how I understood it was more related to that it was fully automated and the player didn't even have to play, and the economically negatives that it had to blizzard, but ye they might prolly not even have known much themself about the lawsuit I guess.

There is definitely differences across countries, but I was in the belief countries in the EU were much the same.

imi-tat0r - July 30, 2020, 6:24 am

(Jul 30, 2020 06:14 AM)Menalix Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That sounds really wierd, that it would be considered competetition when it's a given the end-user has to buy the product from blizzard in order to use bossland's product. I actually used to be quite close with some of the devs from Bossland way back. From how I understood it was more related to that it was fully automated and the player didn't even have to play, and the economically negatives that it had to blizzard, but ye they might prolly not even have known much themself about the lawsuit I guess.

There is definitely differences across countries, but I was in the belief countries in the EU were much the same.

gameslaw.org Wrote:Anti-competitive practice

In its 2014 ruling, the Higher Regional Court of Hamburg also held that the sale of bots constitutes an unfair, targeted impediment to the gaming operator, and thus illegal under unfair commercial practices laws. The decision was confirmed on this point as well in the 2017 Federal Court of Justice ruling. According to the Federal Court of Justice, it was a sufficient unfair influence on the game to tempt players to use the automation software, which is contrary to the purpose of the game.

<https://gameslaw.org/bots-and-buddies-the-blizzard-v-bossland-saga-in-german-courts/>

Menalix - July 30, 2020, 6:34 am

(Jul 30, 2020 06:24 AM)imi-tat0r Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)

<https://gameslaw.org/bots-and-buddies-the-blizzard-v-bossland-saga-in-german-courts/>

The article also mentions a lot about how it was ruled as an anti-competitive practice, due to the bots being fully automated and not needing any interaction, destroying the social engagement in the game, which is why they classify it as "automation software".

I really don't think they would rule an aim-assist the same way, most fps cheats is rather assistance features and not automation.

Isn't the full case public somewhere?

L0RE - July 30, 2020, 1:17 pm

(Jul 28, 2020 06:38 PM)Menalix Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) From my experience in this scene, it's most often the more experienced devs who do stuff like this. Because they know they often can hide it, and get away with it, without consequences. Back in the days when Valve actively bought cheats, it was a common practice to launch backdoors on them, which could very well be misused.

Like for example Royal Hack who managed to steal some of Valve's forensics tools.

Legitsense is reversed weekly, so I really think he prolly is the one who the least dare to.

I've actually never seen copy-pasters do it, they are usually in the scene for some quick money. When they get detected they are gone though. Sad Valve isn't active anymore, so we could get some cleaning done, but then again, there is so many nowadays, that new ones have a hard time getting attention.

Biggest problem with cheat providers in general though, is they are too scared to leave company information public, because they for some reason think creating cheats is illegal, which is not true. But that gives customers no guarantee of legal safety if they are misused, like 90% of all cheat companies are like this.

The only ones I know of with public information is Hexui, Chods and Aimware of providers with csgo cheats, but there is around 80-150 providers in the west nowadays....

How did they even steal their forensic tools, wtf

m8than - July 30, 2020, 1:36 pm

(Jul 30, 2020 01:17 PM)L0RE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How did they even steal their forensic tools, wtf probably rat on the employees computer lol, they didnt use a vm or something

Menalix - July 31, 2020, 7:50 am

(Jul 30, 2020 01:17 PM)L0RE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How did they even steal their forensic tools, wtf

RAT prolly, VAC run the cheat in a VM, RH detect the VM, deploy RAT and steal whatever tools there is. But idk.

I just figured because I hacked the Valve user's password, got access to their work g-mail, and I then also tried logging into RH to see if they had banned him, then I saw the ban message: "Hi Valve, thanks for your forensics tools, bye bye"

Then 1-3 months later I figured some people close to RH, started having some VAC tools, which I guess was from that time.

Stanley - August 14, 2020, 9:15 am

I'm Stanley HF's helper bot. This OP (original poster) of this thread wants everyone to see this page and has elected to bump it to the top of the showthread listing. Since HF doesn't want a ton of LQP (Low Quality Posts) this is the best method of bumping your content. There is a small ?yte fee for each bump. Any OP can bump any of their threads on HF from the linked icon on the top of their first

post.

If you haven't already read the first post of this thread we encourage you to do so.

Thanks,
Stanley

xCyberbully6 - March 8, 2021, 3:50 am

can anyone confirm if this currently works on faceit? thnx.

m8than - March 8, 2021, 4:43 am

(Mar 8, 2021 04:50 AM)xCyberbully6 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) can anyone confirm if this currently works on faceit? thnx.

Not tested but I do not believe it will work with faceit client.

.BangsOnly - April 28, 2021, 2:11 pm

Is this still undetected? Looking to buy

m8than - April 28, 2021, 3:08 pm

(Apr 28, 2021 02:11 PM).BangsOnly Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Is this still undetected? Looking to buy

It is yeah, I don't really maintain it anymore but it is undetected and has been for 4-5 years now.

Wings of Redemption - April 30, 2021, 4:07 pm

i would for sure buy this if it had a camo changer

m8than - May 2, 2021, 8:03 pm

(Apr 30, 2021 04:07 PM)Wings of Redemption Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) i would for sure buy this if it had a camo changer

Being open and transparent, I've no interest in adding features to it.

I'll be updating for security and game updates only and that's just because my time and interests don't lie with reading and writing memory anymore.