

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Scottyj01 UID: 3389876 - Selling Public Methods](#)

El Chapo Guzman - July 15, 2020, 7:12 pm

This [USER](#) is selling already known methods.

This is his [THREAD](#). User gives Bad retaliation rating from him selling public methods.

Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

User also claims I flamed him on his thread, Well you should not sell public methods.

Here is proof method is public:

Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

He ignores first question and answers the second. Because this person knows the method...

EDIT:

I probably can't show much about this other forum this method is public on but here is a screenshot. (I will not discuss other forum... Don't ask.)

Spoiler ([Click to View](#)) IMAGE Redacted just in case not allowed. (Did not show competitive forum names at all). Date: May 24th, 2020

Spoiler ([Click to View](#)) Date: May 28th, 2020

The other forum user gives link to a pdf containing the same method of what this user is Selling and it's sad.

EDIT:

Forgot to mention OP thinks because I broke his little "TOS", I shouldn't be refunded. Everyone who bought should be refunded.

telly - July 15, 2020, 7:14 pm

are they the exact same pdf or is it rehashed

El Chapo Guzman - July 15, 2020, 7:16 pm

(Jul 15, 2020 07:14 PM)charon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) are they the exact same pdf or is it rehashed

Not the exact same pdf, but re written. With exact method.

telly - July 15, 2020, 7:17 pm

(Jul 15, 2020 07:16 PM)El Chapo Guzman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Not the exact same pdf, but re written. With exact method.

not really a surprise on HF but it's still a laughable thing to do

Scottyj01 - July 15, 2020, 7:21 pm

The guide you say I copied from is missing a few major components that my guide offers.

You note the date May 24th, you will see that the first payout I show is from April 2020, I've been using this method since around January 2020, I was also never aware of this post you are referring to because I do not use the websites you have taken this from.

In your flaming expedition, you broke 2 terms of service rules, you flamed the product that I am

selling and you leaked private messages, the first offense alone would make you ineligible for a refund, but I understood your frustration and confusion and gave you that refund otherwise. All I know, you could be taking advantage of everything I give you in my guide for free, but I gave you the benefit of the doubt. I wanted to be polite to you, because I respect you for challenging a product's integrity, but I don't see why you choose to be rude and uncivil about this.

See this, if a method is leaked on another forum (not saying that is a leak of my copy, because it isn't), does the seller then say, well time to close my thread. No, the service I provide is unique to the guide you've shown me and I offer a great service with helping out others with my guide to maximise their profits with this method. I do admit, I have seen one thread by another user on another website selling a similar method guide to this but he is selling it at almost double the price of mine and it was posted, maybe 3 weeks ago?

EDIT: The guide you gave me also would lead you to fail the method in question, it does not contain the components I mentioned and will result you in losing the investment money almost certainly, it will also not scale as highly as it would with my techniques I share.

I want to end this on good terms, I do not wish to have negative terms with another user on this forum. You have had your refund and I have discussed this with you on Discord. Thank you, I await your reply if you have any other comments.

El Chapo Guzman - July 15, 2020, 7:33 pm

(Jul 15, 2020 07:21 PM)Scottyj01 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) -snip-

"In your flaming expedition, you broke 2 terms of service rules, you flamed the product that I am selling and you leaked private messages, the first offense alone would make you ineligible for a refund, but I understood your frustration and confusion and gave you that refund otherwise."

I was pretty upset due to the fact that I seen the same method being shared and I come on HF and buy a method hoping it wasn't the same.

"All I know, you could be taking advantage of everything I give you in my guide for free, but I gave you the benefit of the doubt."

This is absolutely false, I've sent you the link to the other forum user's guide. I just bought the product how could I take advantage of anything? I notified you instantly when I have already seen the method... Others on your exact thread ask you questions you ignore.

"See this, if a method is leaked on another forum (not saying that is a leak of my copy, because it isn't), does the seller then say, well time to close my thread. No, the service I provide is unique to the guide you've shown me and I offer a great service with helping out others with my guide to maximise their profits with this method."

No, That's why I looked at the Date of the thread postings. You posted after this was public information. 4 days after.

"I want to end this on good terms, I do not wish to have negative terms with another user on this forum. You have had your refund and I have discussed this with you on Discord. Thank you, I await your reply if you have any other comments."

I don't know what to tell you, I bought a product thinking it was fully private. With that public information out like that, nothing much we can do but it will be saturated quickly.

EDIT:

"EDIT: The guide you gave me also would lead you to fail the method in question, it does not contain the components I mentioned and will result you in losing the investment money almost certainly, it will also not scale as highly as it would with my techniques I share."

Major components are the exact same, maybe you share little tips of your own. But the big picture is that this is public.

telly - July 15, 2020, 7:38 pm

(Jul 15, 2020 07:33 PM)El Chapo Guzman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) "In your flaming expedition, you broke 2 terms of service rules, you flamed the product that I am selling and you leaked private messages, the first offense alone would make you ineligible for a refund, but I understood your frustration and confusion and gave you that refund otherwise."

I was pretty upset due to the fact that I seen the same method being shared and I come on HF and buy a method hoping it wasn't the same.

"All I know, you could be taking advantage of everything I give you in my guide for free, but I gave you the benefit of the doubt."

This is absolutely false, I've sent you the link to the other forum user's guide. I just bought the product how could I take advantage of anything? I notified you instantly when I have already seen the method... Others on your exact thread ask you questions you ignore.

"See this, if a method is leaked on another forum (not saying that is a leak of my copy, because it isn't), does the seller then say, well time to close my thread. No, the service I provide is unique to the guide you've shown me and I offer a great service with helping out others with my guide to maximise their profits with this method."

No, That's why I looked at the Date of the thread postings. You posted after this was public information. 4 days after.

"I want to end this on good terms, I do not wish to have negative terms with another user on this forum. You have had your refund and I have discussed this with you on Discord. Thank you, I await your reply if you have any other comments."

I don't know what to tell you, I bought a product thinking it was fully private. With that public information out like that, nothing much we can do but it will be saturated quickly.

EDIT:

"EDIT: The guide you gave me also would lead you to fail the method in question, it does not contain the components I mentioned and will result you in losing the investment money almost certainly, it will also not scale as highly as it would with my techniques I share."

Major components are the exact same, maybe you share little tips of your own. But the big picture is that this is public.

"I don't know what to tell you, I bought a product thinking it was fully private. With that public information out like that, nothing much we can do but it will be saturated quickly."

its probably already saturated LMAO

Scottyj01 - July 15, 2020, 7:39 pm

(Jul 15, 2020 07:33 PM)El Chapo Guzman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) "In your flaming expedition, you broke 2 terms of service rules, you flamed the product that I am selling and you leaked private messages, the first offense alone would make you ineligible for a refund, but I understood your frustration and confusion and gave you that refund otherwise."

I was pretty upset due to the fact that I seen the same method being shared and I come on HF and buy a method hoping it wasn't the same. It is not the same as the guide you have sent me, similar but not the same, it is missing major components as I said, following that guide will lead you to not much success.

(Jul 15, 2020 07:33 PM)El Chapo Guzman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) "All I know, you could be taking advantage of everything I give you in my guide for free, but I gave you the benefit of the doubt."

This is absolutely false, I've sent you the link to the other forum user's guide. I just bought the product how could I take advantage of anything? I notified you instantly when I have already seen the method... Others on your exact thread ask you questions you ignore. You can download the method, redistribute or use it?

(Jul 15, 2020 07:33 PM)El Chapo Guzman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) "See this, if a method is leaked on another forum (not saying that is a leak of my copy, because it isn't), does the seller then say, well time to close my thread. No, the service I provide is unique to the guide you've shown me and I offer a great service with helping out others with my guide to maximise their profits with this method."

No, That's why I looked at the Date of the thread postings. You posted after this was public information. 4 days after. So, I got paid in April, then saw that post and 4 days later made a guide about the method I was already profiting from? I don't see the logic here, again I do not use the forum you are talking about either.

(Jul 15, 2020 07:33 PM)El Chapo Guzman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) "I want to end this on good terms, I do not wish to have negative terms with another user on this forum. You have had your refund and I have discussed this with you on Discord. Thank you, I await your reply if you have any other comments."

I don't know what to tell you, I bought a product thinking it was fully private. With that public information out like that, nothing much we can do but it will be saturated quickly. Method is not saturated, don't see it being anytime soon. I never declared the product as "fully private", the guide itself is private as it contains techniques and methods on how to not only do the method in question written by me, as well as containing support and mentorship from myself, but also maximise the profits gained from it.

(Jul 15, 2020 07:33 PM)El Chapo Guzman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Major components are the exact same, maybe you share little tips of your own. But the big picture is that this is public. Not true, that guide you sent is 2 pages long of a raw example of how to do that method, does not contain valuable resources and is used by the OP to sell their music. No where near the same.

telly - July 15, 2020, 7:42 pm

(Jul 15, 2020 07:39 PM)Scottyj01 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It is not the same as the guide you have sent me, similar but not the same, it is missing major components as I said, following that guide will lead you to not much success.

You can download the method, redistribute or use it?

So, I got paid in April, then saw that post and 4 days later made a guide about the method I was already profiting from? I don't see the logic here, again I do not use the forum you are talking about either.

Method is not saturated, don't see it being anytime soon. I never declared the product as "fully private", the guide itself is private as it contains techniques and methods on how to not only do the method in question written by me, as well as containing support and mentorship from myself, but also maximise the profits gained from it.

Not true, that guide you sent is 2 pages long of a raw example of how to do that method, does not contain valuable resources and is used by the OP to sell their music. No where near the same. would say to let me take a look at both but if its your paid method i don't want you giving out a random vc

El Chapo Guzman - July 15, 2020, 7:43 pm

(Jul 15, 2020 07:42 PM)charon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) would say to let me take a look at both but if its your paid method i don't want you giving out a random vc

Yeah, I would love to have a staff member look at this. If a staff can look at both guides, they would know the Method itself is the same. Of course he added little tips of his own in there. Just because you add your own tips, doesn't make it not the same.

telly - July 15, 2020, 7:44 pm

(Jul 15, 2020 07:43 PM)El Chapo Guzman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yeah, I would love to have a staff member look at this. If a staff can look at both guides, they would know the Method itself is the same. Of course he added little tips of his own in there. Just because you add your own tips, doesn't make it not the same.

definitely would recommend this

Scottyj01 - July 15, 2020, 7:49 pm

(Jul 15, 2020 07:43 PM)El Chapo Guzman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yeah, I would love to have a staff member look at this. If a staff can look at both guides, they would know the Method itself is the same. Of course he added little tips of his own in there. Just because you add your own tips, doesn't make it not the same.

To be honest, you can look at many of the monetization techniques here on HF, they will be what you are stating here, public information with a spout of a few tips in here, except mine isn't just a few tips, that guide REALLY will not work as well as you may think it would. My guide as it stands, needs to be updated with the few other components I have discovered and forgot to put in, but I have been giving people these techniques on private messages when asked.

Again, I have not violated any rules, you have violated rule(s), my guide is not public and I hope you enjoy your refund.

El Chapo Guzman - July 15, 2020, 7:51 pm

(Jul 15, 2020 07:49 PM)Scottyj01 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) To be honest, you can look at many of the monetization techniques here on HF, they will be what you are stating here, public information with a spout of a few tips in here, except mine isn't just a few tips, that guide REALLY will not work as well as you may think it would. My guide as it stands, needs to be updated with the few other components I have discovered and forgot to put in, but I have been giving people these techniques on private messages when asked.

Again, I have not violated any rules, you have violated rule(s), my guide is not public and I hope you enjoy your refund.

I have not violated any rules. You think I "Flamed" your thread, when all I did was expose how your

method is public.

Scottyj01 - July 15, 2020, 8:00 pm

(Jul 15, 2020 07:51 PM)El Chapo Guzman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I have not violated any rules. You think I "Flamed" your thread, when all I did was expose how your method is public.

You've given insufficient evidence to how my method is "public", by the way I see you deleted some of your replies, why may I ask? You have leaked private messages without my consent on a public forum on my thread. Broke terms of service agreements, that is not the only thing you did.

El Chapo Guzman - July 15, 2020, 8:06 pm

(Jul 15, 2020 08:00 PM)Scottyj01 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You've given insufficient evidence to how my method is "public", by the way I see you deleted some of your replies, why may I ask? You have leaked private messages without my consent on a public forum on my thread. Broke terms of service agreements, that is not the only thing you did.

Lmao, I broke consent of private messages? Its literally you saying Hello, and what I typed. You are so dramatic its cringe. You said 1 thing in the screenshot.

I deleted and resided with posting DWC on your thread linking this thread.

EDIT:

Also I can't explicitly prove its public unless I just drop your pdf and compare the public one.

Scottyj01 - July 15, 2020, 8:11 pm

(Jul 15, 2020 08:06 PM)El Chapo Guzman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Lmao, I broke consent of private messages? Its literally you saying Hello, and what I typed. You are so dramatic its cringe. You said 1 thing in the screenshot.

I deleted and resided with posting DWC on your thread linking this thread.

EDIT:

Also I can't explicitly prove its public unless I just drop your pdf and compare the public one.

My comment still remains, if you ever actually want to make it out with myself, let me know. I've gave you your refund, I haven't broke any rules and my guide is not public, this thread holds no validity as it stands. Thank you, have yourself a lovely day my friend.

El Chapo Guzman - July 15, 2020, 8:12 pm

(Jul 15, 2020 08:11 PM)Scottyj01 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) My comment still remains, if you ever actually want to make it out with myself, let me know. I've gave you your refund, I haven't broke any rules and my guide is not public, this thread holds no validity as it stands. Thank you, have yourself a lovely day my friend.

Did you not get what I said? Unless you want me to provide on the thread your guide and the public one I can. They will see that your method is public.

Scottyj01 - July 15, 2020, 8:14 pm

(Jul 15, 2020 08:12 PM)El Chapo Guzman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Did you not get what I said? Unless you want me to provide on the thread your guide and the public one I can. They will see that your method is public.

Refer back to my previous replies, I don't want to go back and forth stating the same thing.

Yin Yang - July 15, 2020, 8:27 pm

You already got refunded, move on...

There's so many guides/methods that are on other forums being resold on HF.

El Chapo Guzman - July 15, 2020, 8:55 pm

(Jul 15, 2020 08:27 PM)Yin Yang Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You already got refunded, move on...

There's so many guides/methods that are on other forums being resold on HF.

Coming from someone who is his friend. nice signature. Lol Just because I was aware this was public doesn't mean those who bought know.

Scottyj01 - July 15, 2020, 9:09 pm

(Jul 15, 2020 08:55 PM)El Chapo Guzman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Coming from someone who is his friend. nice signature.

I rented his signature, I do not have any other relations with that user:

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=6091634>

Prox - July 15, 2020, 9:48 pm

Half the stuff here or any other forum is saturated or rehashed stuff found on other sites. There are a few good threads but who knows which are actually unsaturated and original.

You kind of take that risk when you buy something from someone online.

THE RULER - July 15, 2020, 10:10 pm

Admittedly selling public methods, this CA is valid.

Scottyj01 - July 15, 2020, 10:27 pm

(Jul 15, 2020 10:10 PM)StickyBLICKY Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Admittedly selling public methods, this CA is valid.

When did I say I was selling public methods? The method I sell is not public.

THE RULER - July 15, 2020, 10:51 pm

(Jul 15, 2020 10:27 PM)Scottyj01 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) When did I say I was selling public methods? The method I sell is not public.

You can rephrase what you said however you want. Don't give a fuck if you include additional tips to your shite ebook in PM after purchase. This method is public, you admit that it's elsewhere, no one cares about your tips or how well you rewrote the original. Do you have earnings prior to when that thread was specifically posted? Do you think that matters? You used a method you obtained by some means (clearly not discovered by you) to earn a small amount of money and then decided to repackage it later on as some private/unsaturated method when it clearly isn't from the proof provided, and has been posted publicly 2 months prior to your thread.

"but I would like to spread my knowledge of a method that seems to not have set foot in this forum before"

You literally ripped this shit and reworded it from another forum, then browsed the ebook section (dogshit section btw) of HF and noticed it wasn't present, just to repost and peddle this garbage.

Typical ebook seller, repackaging public information, rebranding it as something else "private/unsaturated/never before seen on HF!!"

Acts very professional but in all reality is anything but, just a ripper.

Scottyj01 - July 15, 2020, 11:05 pm

(Jul 15, 2020 10:51 PM)StickyBLICKY Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You can rephrase what you said however you want. Don't give a fuck if you include additional tips to your shite ebook in PM after purchase. I don't

really care what you think, you clearly don't understand much about this.

(Jul 15, 2020 10:51 PM)StickyBLICKY Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This method is public, you admit that it's elsewhere, no one cares about your tips or how well you rewrote the original.If a method on here is leaked, does that mean it's public? Once again, the guide referred to by OP is nowhere near as efficient as mine. That guide won't even work.

(Jul 15, 2020 10:51 PM)StickyBLICKY Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Do you have earnings prior to when that thread was specifically posted? Do you think that matters?
I do, it does.

(Jul 15, 2020 10:51 PM)StickyBLICKY Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You used a method you obtained by some means (clearly not discovered by you) to earn a small amount of money and then decided to repackage it later on as some private/unsaturated method when it clearly isn't from the proof provided, and has been posted publicly 2 months prior to your thread.The proof provided once again, is insufficient. 2 months?

(Jul 15, 2020 10:51 PM)StickyBLICKY Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You literally ripped this shit and reworded it from another forum, then browsed the ebook section (dogshit section btw) of HF and noticed it wasn't present, just to repost and peddle this garbage.I have not been on another forum that exchanges guides like this for years, I did not "reword" this method, I discovered, then utilized this method and found efficient routes of maximizing the profits gained by this method.

(Jul 15, 2020 10:51 PM)StickyBLICKY Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Typical ebook seller, repackaging public information, rebranding it as something else "private/unsaturated/never before seen on HF!!"Not public information.

(Jul 15, 2020 10:51 PM)StickyBLICKY Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Acts very professional but in all reality is anything but, just a ripper.You are very professional yourself my friend :)

Method - July 15, 2020, 11:22 pm

(Jul 15, 2020 11:05 PM)Scottyj01 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I have not been on another forum that exchanges guides like this for years, I did not "reword" this method, I discovered, then utilized this method and found efficient routes of maximizing the profits gained by this method.

Not public information.

bit confused, you say you have proof you made earnings before the date of the public method (saying you weren't aware of the public post) but then you say you discovered it and changed it up a bit to make it better. Just seems like a ridiculous coincidence. And yes, it is public information whether you ripped it or not, it's still public information. If I rip an essay and change up a paragraph I can't submit it as my own.

but anyway hf public methods are sold all over hf, this isn't anything new.

Scottyj01 - July 15, 2020, 11:26 pm

(Jul 15, 2020 11:22 PM)Ski Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) bit confused, you say you have proof you made earnings before the date of the public method (saying you weren't aware of the public post) but then you say you discovered it and changed it up a bit to make it better. Just seems like a ridiculous coincidence. And yes, it is public information whether you ripped it or not, it's still public information. If I rip an essay and change up a paragraph I can't submit it as my own.

but anyway hf public methods are sold all over hf, this isn't anything new.I've been using this

method since around January, discovered around then. The public information of this method won't work, at least from what I've seen of these public guides.

telly - July 15, 2020, 11:33 pm

(Jul 15, 2020 11:26 PM)Scottyj01 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I've been using this method since around January, discovered around then. The public information of this method won't work, at least from what I've seen of these public guides.

so we just have to take your word that you've been using it since Jan

keepingitrealistic - July 16, 2020, 6:04 am

Wow... the method is clearly public, the exact same method. A total scam for \$50!

Scottyj01, please refund on the same source that I've paid.

Cheers!

Succorio - July 16, 2020, 6:15 am

Wait, so is that part where the public method isn't working anymore, but Scottyj01's spin on that method does, true or not?

El Chapo Guzman - July 16, 2020, 11:40 am

(Jul 16, 2020 06:15 AM)Succorio Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Wait, so is that part where the public method isn't working anymore, but Scottyj01's spin on that method does, true or not?

Scotty has no spin on anything. The main method is public, anyone with a brain and make their own tips around it.

keepingitrealistic - July 16, 2020, 12:59 pm

It is literally the same method just written from another angle, which is still the same. I really thought Scotty was decent, judging from the convos I had with him. The only salvage is refunding and apologizing for getting \$50 for something public.

Artisan - July 16, 2020, 1:20 pm

(Jul 16, 2020 11:40 AM)El Chapo Guzman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Scotty has no spin on anything. The main method is public, anyone with a brain and make their own tips around it.

I suggest you refrain from further escalation of this issue mate. Drawing these things out can result in you getting some form of punishment too. I also suggest removing that screenshot from the other place as it violates the "advertising competing site" rule. If this is public then staff will know without you showing us that as they didnt get to these ranks without knowing any less than you or me.

Hope this issue gets resolved soon.

Cheers

Edit: I reported already in the hopes of bringing this to someones attention who can decide for us.

El Chapo Guzman - July 16, 2020, 1:43 pm

(Jul 16, 2020 01:20 PM)Artisan Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I suggest you refrain from further escalation of this issue mate. Drawing these things out can result in you getting some form of punishment too. You opened a CA and if the defendant fails to prove you wrong then thats on him and staff can deal with it. People have received punitive measures and account closures in the past for trying to sell public methods. This is precisely why people selling shitty ebooks came up with the "mentorship" spinoff. I will end this by saying, please report this thread/any of yours or scotty's posts, select Marketplace Violation or Other and write something like: "OP is selling public methods. Please check this thread

and make a decision. Thank you". I also suggest removing that screenshot from the other place as it violates the "advertising competing site" rule. If this is public then staff will know without you showing us that as they didn't get to these ranks without knowing any less than you or me.

I can assure you that the staff here are very dedicated people and strict about any violation of rules. Even if they don't get involved in deal disputes, they don't shy away from deeming punishment on those that violate any rules, no matter how minor they may seem. Hope this issue gets resolved soon.

Cheers

Edit: I reported already in the hopes of bringing this to someone's attention who can decide for us. I've removed just in case, but it didn't show any forum names at all. Showing a forum post blurring things out except key details should be allowed.. but ok.
Thanks for your reply, I have reported his thread.

El Chapo Guzman - July 16, 2020, 2:29 pm

(Jul 16, 2020 02:08 PM)spiral Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Staff aren't gonna do anything if someone is selling a public method. How do you expect them to realistically staff that?

I agree, I made the community alert kind of knowing staff won't get involved, but to alert the community not to buy his method. If staff wants to chime in and handle it, that is completely on them. Till that happens, This is just an alert as of right now.

Scottyj01 - July 16, 2020, 2:53 pm

(Jul 16, 2020 11:40 AM)El Chapo Guzman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Scotty has no spin on anything. The main method is public, anyone with a brain and make their own tips around it.

You clearly have not read the guide, my method is not public, and the fact you say I don't have a spin on anything is untrue and shows you lack the better judgement of reading the detail in the guide.

Artisan - July 16, 2020, 3:02 pm

(Jul 16, 2020 02:08 PM)spiral Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Staff aren't gonna do anything if someone is selling a public method. How do you expect them to realistically staff that?

My mistake then. Edited just in case.

D4rkNet-X - July 16, 2020, 3:16 pm

From a neutral perspective..

I'm not a friend of him, just a buyer (the platinum package). After finding out that it was posted on another forum, regardless of he being aware of it or not (idc), I learned something new and if it works, it works.

He does help me out with questions so, my 2 cents, if I would have known a spotify method I would not trust a HF seller at all but then again, I didn't know about it and people say it actually does work so for the ones who didn't know about the method and now start asking a refund - that's a lame action. Is it lame to sell a known method - yes, but like I said, if it works - it works.

At least OP got a full refund, I can name a handful of sellers who provoke and then refuse a refund since things get out of hand. This one is an actual working guide (as far as I hear).