

Forum: [Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [SUPPORTING SCAMMING - What do you think?](#)

rSM- - November 7, 2020, 4:28 pm

So after seeing a few people with already open disputes still posting in the marketplace and having their sales threads/contracts open, does this not support more scamming until that user is eventually banned? Would it make more sense that if a dispute was open against a seller, their entire marketplace privileges should be revoked until the dispute is resolved, that way they can't potentially scam other members? Many times I see users with 5-10 open disputes still pull in customers to scam until something is eventually done, but that is also 10+ people that could have been avoided if certain privileges were revoked until the dispute is deemed closed. Just a thought and probably said before, but what are y'all's opinions on it? No debates or bs pls, just interested in seeing different ideas from the community on how scamming can be slowed as it is still such a rampant thing on HF. Everything done so far has most definitely helped to combat scamming, such as the b-rating and whatnot but should there be more? and if so, what?

Spoiler ([Click to View](#)) titles also misleading but idc i want opinions

do?pey - November 7, 2020, 4:42 pm

Why don't you work on making a better reputation for yourself instead of busting Staff ass on why scamming is "supported" on HF.

They have taken the best pre-cautions possible.

Stork - November 7, 2020, 4:44 pm

Revoking marketplace privileges because of a dispute would likely be abused by member and cost sellers time and money/lost sales.

rSM- - November 7, 2020, 4:44 pm

(Nov 7, 2020 05:42 PM)SimpCity Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why don't you work on making a better reputation for yourself instead of busting Staff ass on why scamming is "supported" on HF.

They have taken the best pre-cautions possible.

Funny, because I made this thread directly because of you. Knew you'd show up and say something sooner or later. I didn't say scamming is being supported by staff one bit. If you actually took one minute to read the thread instead of the title, you'd understand. Obviously you can't read though, so just stick to scamming.

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=6120011>

do?pey - November 7, 2020, 4:47 pm

(Nov 7, 2020 05:44 PM)rSM- Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Obviously you can't read though, so just stick to scamming.

(Nov 7, 2020 05:42 PM)SimpCity Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why don't you work on making a better reputation for yourself instead of busting Staff ass on why scamming is "supported" on HF.

They have taken the best pre-cautions possible.

Ironic.

rSM- - November 7, 2020, 4:52 pm

(Nov 7, 2020 05:47 PM)SimpCity Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Ironic.

Ironic because you said they've taken the best precautions possible when theres still more that can be done to fight it? Many other large forums have a very low scamming rate due to the nature of how they handle the marketplace. If you were to read, you'd see that I very clearly said that the b-rating and whatnot has most definitely helped with scamming, but theres always more they can do. Its just a matter of what could actually help stop more of it and how it would go about affecting the marketplace and what has been built so far in terms of contracts and such. Okay then, what do you think could help combat the scamming that is still a massive issue on HF?

L0RE - November 7, 2020, 4:56 pm

(Nov 7, 2020 05:47 PM)SimpCity Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Ironic.

Their reputation is low because they wanted it that way. They held a contest and asked for people to drop negative reps. Quite odd but yeah

rSM- - November 7, 2020, 4:57 pm

(Nov 7, 2020 05:47 PM)Snyke Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=6119426>

In my experience, HF does not support scammers if appropriate proof is given.

Action was taken swiftly in this case and it invoked other members to come forth with proof.

Staff do seem to do a very good job at closing scammers when there is proof provided, but I've also seen the complete opposite, where a thread has been opened with copious amounts of proof and its just sat there for days with the scammer being untouched. I know they're trying their hardest and have done a wonderful job so far. I don't quite know the size of the team but for what I think is a relatively small team, everyone works hard to make the forum a great place.

(Nov 7, 2020 05:56 PM)L0RE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Their reputation is low because they wanted it that way. They held a contest and asked for people to drop negative reps. Quite odd but yeah

I don't think I know you but thanks :)

Did the byte giveaway for neg reps to prove that rep don't mean shit as long as that b-rating dont got a red on it.

legalmaster2000 - November 7, 2020, 5:08 pm

(Nov 7, 2020 05:57 PM)rSM- Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Staff do seem to do a very good job at closing scammers when there is proof provided, but I've also seen the complete opposite, where a thread has been opened with copious amounts of proof and its just sat there for days with the scammer being untouched. I know they're trying their hardest and have done a wonderful job so far. I don't quite know the size of the team but for what I think is a relatively small team, everyone works hard to make the forum a great place.

I don't think I know you but thanks :)

Did the byte giveaway for neg reps to prove that rep don't mean shit as long as that b-rating dont got a red on it.

I agree with you they need to do more. I think if instead of freezing or stopping the scammer. They should have it that if you plan to do business with the person if there's a dispute that should pop up for everyone willing to engage with the seller or buyer.

I think showing currently opened disputes and also account creation date up front that states you seen it. I think it should be part of the contract. That you known done business even though you know that the account is newly created and there's neg rep and open disputes.

I don't think stopping the person automatically would be the answer but like if 5 or 10 disputes pop up then there should be a freeze on doing any business in the market place.

(Nov 7, 2020 05:57 PM)rSM- Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Staff do seem to do a very good job at closing scammers when there is proof provided, but I've also seen the complete opposite, where a thread has been opened with copious amounts of proof and its just sat there for days with the scammer being untouched. I know they're trying their hardest and have done a wonderful job so far. I don't quite know the size of the team but for what I think is a relatively small team, everyone works hard to make the forum a great place.

I don't think I know you but thanks :)

Did the byte giveaway for neg reps to prove that rep don't mean shit as long as that b-rating dont got a red on it.

However, why do you want to do business on hackfourms? like what's good about the market place why do people risk dealing with other people that might scam them ?

rSM- - November 7, 2020, 5:16 pm

(Nov 7, 2020 06:08 PM)legalmaster2000 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I agree with you they need to do more. I think if instead of freezing or stopping the scammer. They should have it that if you plan to do business with the person if there's a dispute that should pop up for everyone willing to engage with the seller or buyer.

I think showing currently opened disputes and also account creation date up front that states you seen it. I think it should be part of the contract. That you known done business even though you know that the account is newly created and there's neg rep and open disputes.

I don't think stopping the person automatically would be the answer but like if 5 or 10 disputes pop up then there should be a freeze on doing any business in the market place.

However, why do you want to do business on hackfourms? like what's good about the market place why do people risk dealing with other people that might scam them ?

Great idea. A lot of buyers seem to miss that sellers have open disputes and negative b-ratings on them somehow, and this would completely mitigate that as it could be like a checkable box that says "I still agree to do business with this member". As for the marketplace, a lot of it is saturated garbage that usually are scams like the tools and ebooks, but sometimes you find that one off thing or whatnot that you may like and decide to purchase. I've mainly purchased pizza hut accounts and whatnot on here as I don't have a need for anything else, but something like Rane's twitch adbot and whatnot I was taking a look at and decided to purchase because I knew that would actually work. Some don't find interest in the marketplace as they don't have a need to which I used to be until I took a look around and found some shit I wanted. Other than that, I don't really use it at all.

legalmaster2000 - November 7, 2020, 9:10 pm

(Nov 7, 2020 06:16 PM)rSM- Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Great idea. A lot of buyers seem to miss that sellers have open disputes and negative b-ratings on them somehow, and this would completely mitigate that as it could be like a checkable box that says "I still agree to do business with this member". As for the marketplace, a lot of it is saturated garbage that usually are scams like the tools and ebooks, but sometimes you find that one off thing or whatnot that you may like and decide to purchase. I've mainly purchased pizza hut accounts and whatnot on here as I don't have a need for anything else, but something like Rane's twitch adbot and whatnot I was taking a look at and decided to purchase

because I knew that would actually work. Some don't find interest in the marketplace as they don't have a need to which I used to be until I took a look around and found some shit I wanted. Other than that, I don't really use it at all.

I haven't used it but have looked but to me it looks like most are scams. There's ebooks make \$2,000 a week which is bs. there' ebooks how to make money and other things. There's then accounts but I feel the accounts might be stolen and they might be legit meaning they sell you a stolen account where you can login and use it but by doing so you might trip security systems in place that locks up the account. Which I personally think it's too much of a hassle I would rather pay full price then worry if the item is hot or not.

I just look there and there's no much. Why do people want bots?

ETH - November 8, 2020, 4:10 am

(Nov 7, 2020 05:42 PM)SimpCity Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why don't you work on making a better reputation for yourself instead of busting Staff ass on why scamming is "supported" on HF.

They have taken the best pre-cautions possible.

Better solve your dispute first please !

popZmoke - November 8, 2020, 4:22 am

(Nov 7, 2020 05:42 PM)SimpCity Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why don't you work on making a better reputation for yourself ironic.

Quote:I made the payment as we agreed, User blocked me over discord and cancelled the contract as well.

Since, User cancelled the contract I had no option to open the dispute that's why I immediately posted on his thread to aware other users about the exit scam.

Somehow he managed to reported my posts and that ended up with warnings on my account.

ScorpionX - November 11, 2020, 6:49 am

I support you in this. Members with open disputes should first have them resolved before they continue enjoying marketplace privileges.

Rezon ® - November 11, 2020, 7:24 am

Going to be hard to do this, a lot of wasted time from Admins, would need to be automated.

Eagle 95 - November 11, 2020, 8:38 am

(Nov 7, 2020 05:28 PM)rSM- Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So after seeing a few people with already open disputes still posting in the marketplace and having their sales threads/contracts open, does this not support more scamming until that user is eventually banned? Would it make more sense that if a dispute was open against a seller, their entire marketplace privileges should be revoked until the dispute is resolved, that way they can't potentially scam other members? Many times I see users with 5-10 open disputes still pull in customers to scam until something is eventually done, but that is also 10+ people that could have been avoided if certain privileges were revoked until the dispute is deemed closed. Just a thought and probably said before, but what are y'all's opinions on it? No debates or bs pls, just interested in seeing different ideas from the community on how scamming can be slowed as it is still such a rampant thing on HF. Everything done so far has most definitely helped to combat scamming, such as the b-rating and whatnot but should there be more? and if so, what?

Okay, I'll give you two scenarios. Number one, when someone gets a deal dispute on his account, we disallow his further trading until the dispute is resolved. If the dispute is not resolved within xy period, the disputed member gets closed. Number two is the current system with provided tools (trust scan, dd database, contracts, etc.).

Few problems with number one:

What stops competitors to make a well-hidden multi or ask a friend to open a dd against someone? The guy is blocked from further trading due to some asshole abusing.

We close the guy, he makes a new account and scams again. This time there's no way to know as you can see a totally clean account, maybe with even a good b-rating score, popularity, posts, a bit older, etc. There is NO way you can protect yourself from that scenario, not on HF, anywhere on the internet.

With what we do now, If you leave the alleged or proven scammer, anyone with a few brain cells can determine NOT to deal with him. You have a big red number on his profile and you can read the whole dispute. If you still want to deal with 'em, go ahead, you're a moron. Not much we can help with that.

You get a welcome PM warning you about the marketplace, it says all over the marketplace to be careful. There are plenty of guides out there, in [Help Docs](#) and as threads. We can't make people get familiarized with how things work around here if they don't want to.

(Nov 7, 2020 05:52 PM)rSM- Wrote: [CITE](#) Many other large forums have a very low scamming rate due to the nature of how they handle the marketplace.

So does HF, <https://hackforums.net/stats.php?action=market>

Total Contracts

232,901

Completed Contracts

98,728

Disputed Contracts

2,366

?!?!?

Jinu - November 11, 2020, 9:25 am

This is what you are trying to say, right? A member who has an open dispute shouldn't create another marketplace thread until the dispute gets resolved. This is a good idea. At the same time, the buyer also should aware before doing any deals.

ncbund - November 13, 2020, 1:52 pm

who tf would support that?

Mark Ronson - November 18, 2020, 5:58 am

Some disputes are undeserved, open by trolls or even people who wanted to scam themselves so yeah that would not be a good policy

siddy357 - November 18, 2020, 6:16 am

Admins are doing more than they can but asking a vendor/thread with 1 dd is not acceptable as trolls or competitors can make advantage of it.

We already has a trust scan so no need to worry about it but limiting user to open new threads if he has few dd in the span of a week can be considered.

Xerotic - November 18, 2020, 8:07 am

How about we start with you? All that red on your profile... danger! Nobody do any deals with OP.