

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Can anyone Identify this hash with salt?](#)

Wolf. - February 4, 2021, 5:43 pm

Hey, So I know about hashes and salts, but Im really no expert in it, and I've never come across this format of salt before, Could anyone help me identify it so I can crack it?

Hash:1643be51468c872be2d127500c5d01

Salt:46NOVWBFVK1ZQ749U4A0A7NDVCQTPR

Any help is appreciated

Yoda_warrior - February 5, 2021, 10:18 am

(Feb 4, 2021 06:43 PM)Wolf. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hey, So I know about hashes and salts, but Im really no expert in it, and I've never come across this format of salt before, Could anyone help me identify it so I can crack it?

Hash:1643be51468c872be2d127500c5d01

Salt:46NOVWBFVK1ZQ749U4A0A7NDVCQTPR

Any help is appreciated

Looks like a BTC address without salt, no idea otherwise :'(

Wolf. - February 5, 2021, 10:26 am

(Feb 5, 2021 11:18 AM)Yoda_warrior Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Looks like a BTC address without salt, no idea otherwise :'(

A part of me thinks that the salt itself is hashed, But i'm not sure if that's possible, but it defo can't be a BTC addy, It's a weird one for sure

Yoda_warrior - February 5, 2021, 10:35 am

(Feb 5, 2021 11:26 AM)Wolf. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) A part of me thinks that the salt itself is hashed, But i'm not sure if that's possible, but it defo can't be a BTC addy, It's a weird one for sure

Prob a custom hashing method :/ Just checked the salt, it ain't known

Wolf. - February 5, 2021, 10:58 am

(Feb 5, 2021 11:35 AM)Yoda_warrior Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Prob a custom hashing method :/ Just checked the salt, it ain't known

Appreciate the help, I'm gonna dig a bit more to see if I can find any reference to the way its being hashed!

Yoda_warrior - February 5, 2021, 11:12 am

(Feb 5, 2021 11:58 AM)Wolf. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Appreciate the help, I'm gonna dig a bit more to see if I can find any reference to the way its being hashed!

Np! Tell me when you find it, i'm interested :D

Pernat1y - February 5, 2021, 11:43 am

\$ hashid 1643be51468c872be2d127500c5d01

Analyzing '1643be51468c872be2d127500c5d01'
[+] Juniper Netscreen/SSG(ScreenOS)

Wolf. - February 5, 2021, 12:25 pm

(Feb 5, 2021 12:43 PM)Pernat1y Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) \$ hashid 1643be51468c872be2d127500c5d01
Analyzing '1643be51468c872be2d127500c5d01'
[+] Juniper Netscreen/SSG(ScreenOS)

Oh, very interesting, I've never even heard about that hashing algorithm before ! Ill check it out, thanks for the help! Mind if I asked what tool you used to identify this?

Pernat1y - February 5, 2021, 12:48 pm

(Feb 5, 2021 01:25 PM)Wolf. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Oh, very interesting, I've never even heard about that hashing algorithm before ! Ill check it out, thanks for the help! Mind if I asked what tool you used to identify this?

<https://pypi.org/project/hashID/>

SIM-3187190 - February 5, 2021, 12:55 pm

(Feb 5, 2021 01:25 PM)Wolf. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Oh, very interesting, I've never even heard about that hashing algorithm before ! Ill check it out, thanks for the help! Mind if I asked what tool you used to identify this?

My first thoughts were Juniper or a Cisco type 7 hash, but i think they've already been mentioned. This one is rather puzzling, I had my cracking rig setup earlier and was going to get cracking a few hashes.

Going to keep up to date with this thread as I'm curious.

EDIT: only the Juniper one was mentioned - I'm starting to think this is a custom hashing algorithm if the hash isn't related to any Cisco/Juniper gear

satimon - February 5, 2021, 9:29 pm

(Feb 5, 2021 11:18 AM)Yoda_warrior Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Looks like a BTC address without salt, no idea otherwise :'(

This is a truncated hash (should be 32 characters long) with salt.

Stop writing about hashes that you don't know anything about and learn more !

(Feb 5, 2021 12:43 PM)Pernat1y Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) \$ hashid 1643be51468c872be2d127500c5d01
Analyzing '1643be51468c872be2d127500c5d01'
[+] Juniper Netscreen/SSG(ScreenOS)

This is an example hash Juniper Netscreen/SSG(ScreenOS) which requires a username, not salt

nNxKL2rOEkbBc9BFLsVGG6OtOUO/8n:user

Stop writing about hashes that you don't know anything about and learn more !

SIM-3187190 - February 6, 2021, 12:42 am

(Feb 5, 2021 10:29 PM)satimon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is a truncated hash (should be 32 characters long) with salt.

Stop writing about hashes that you don't know anything about and learn more !

This is an example hash Juniper Netscreen/SSG(ScreenOS) which requires a username, not salt

nNxKL2rOEkbBc9BFLsVGG6OtOUO/8n:user

Stop writing about hashes that you don't know anything about and learn more !
How are you going to come in here so confidently and attempt to rip apart other users for "not knowing anything"? I guarantee they know more than you buddy, have some respect.

Looking at your post history, I can tell you really like to start fights and think you know everything
huh

satimon - February 6, 2021, 1:06 am

(Feb 6, 2021 01:42 AM)orbit._ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How are you going to come in here so confidently and attempt to rip apart other users for "not knowing anything"? I guarantee they know more than you buddy, have some respect.

Looking at your post history, I can tell you really like to start fights and think you know everything
huh

I don't know everything but I only publish content-related posts, not junk posts.
You didn't even know what a juniper hash looks like until I showed it, so don't post junk posts because it's a shame

(Feb 5, 2021 01:55 PM)orbit._ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) My first thoughts were Juniper or a Cisco type 7 hash, but i think they've already been mentioned. This one is rather puzzling, I had my cracking rig setup earlier and was going to get cracking a few hashes.

Going to keep up to date with this thread as I'm curious.

EDIT: only the Juniper one was mentioned - I'm starting to think this is a custom hashing algorithm if the hash isn't related to any Cisco/Juniper gear

There is no such Cisco type 7 hash and other Cisco hashes are not even similar to the hash from the first post

Stop writing about hashes that you don't know anything about and learn more !

This is a truncated hash (should be 32 characters long) with salt.

SIM-3187190 - February 6, 2021, 1:37 am

(Feb 6, 2021 02:06 AM)satimon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't know everything but I only publish content-related posts, not junk posts.

You didn't even know what a juniper hash looks like until I showed it, so don't post junk posts because it's a shame

There is no such Cisco type 7 hash and other Cisco hashes are not even similar to the hash from the first post

Stop writing about hashes that you don't know anything about and learn more !

This is a truncated hash (should be 32 characters long) with salt.

Dude, I literally mentioned Juniper before you? And a 2 second search <https://duckduckgo.com/?q=cisco+type+7+hash> shows that it is a thing.

satimon - February 6, 2021, 1:49 am

(Feb 6, 2021 02:37 AM)orbit._ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Dude, I literally mentioned Juniper before you? And a 2 second search <https://duckduckgo.com/?q=cisco+type+7+hash> shows that it is a thing.

Read with understanding:

"A type 7 password is not actually encrypted at all it is simply encoded."

Cisco hashes don't have salt, don't have username (except Cisco-ASA MD5) and look different than hash from first post.

I repeat no Hashes Cisco type 7, proof example hashes link:

https://hashcat.net/wiki/doku.php?id=example_hashes

Stop writing about hashes that you don't know anything about and learn more !

SIM-3187190 - February 6, 2021, 1:54 am

(Feb 6, 2021 02:49 AM)satimon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Read with understanding:

"A type 7 password is not actually encrypted at all it is simply encoded."

Cisco hashes don't have salt, don't have username (except Cisco-ASA MD5) and look different than hash from first post.

I repeat no Hashes Cisco type 7, proof example hashes link:

https://hashcat.net/wiki/doku.php?id=example_hashes

Stop writing about hashes that you don't know anything about and learn more !

Of course hashcat won't have a cisco type 7, it's ancient and very broken, but without knowing the source of the hash - who's to say? I then later said in my edit that it seems like a custom hashing algorithm, if you were to actually read. If you really don't have anything valuable to say, other than "it's meant to be longer" then please just stop. You go in other posts posting hashes that have already been cracked, without taking a second to read the thread at hand. It's extremely unhelpful and unnecessary.

satimon - February 6, 2021, 2:06 am

(Feb 6, 2021 02:54 AM)orbit._ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Of course hashcat won't have a cisco type 7, it's ancient and very broken, but without knowing the source of the hash - who's to say? I then later said in my edit that it seems like a custom hashing algorithm, if you were to actually read. If you really don't have anything valuable to say, other than "it's meant to be longer" then please just stop. You go in other posts posting hashes that have already been cracked, without taking a second to read the thread at hand. It's extremely unhelpful and unnecessary.

Hashcat does not support hash Cisco type 7 because there is no Cisco type 7 hashes (there is only encryption for Cisco type 7) & any other Cisco hash is not even similar to the hash from the first post and does not use a salt (except Cisco-ASA MD5) or username.

You still exposing your ignorance and lack of knowledge...

SIM-3187190 - February 6, 2021, 2:13 am

(Feb 6, 2021 03:06 AM)satimon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hashcat does not support hash Cisco type 7 because there is no Cisco type 7 hashes (there is only encryption for Cisco type 7) & any other Cisco hash is not even similar to the hash from the first post and does not use a salt (except Cisco-ASA MD5) or username.

You still exposing your ignorance and lack of knowledge...

It's not even encryption, it can be decoded, not decrypted. Leave me the fuck alone and stop hating on other users just because you have a superiority complex.

Yoda_warrior - February 6, 2021, 2:15 am

(Feb 6, 2021 01:42 AM)orbit._ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How are you going to come in here so confidently and attempt to rip apart other users for "not knowing anything"? I guarantee they know more than you buddy, have some respect.

Looking at your post history, I can tell you really like to start fights and think you know everything huh

Don't mind that idiot bro, he just keeps trash posting ? Ignore him

SIM-3187190 - February 6, 2021, 2:16 am

(Feb 6, 2021 03:15 AM)Yoda_warrior Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Don't mind that idiot bro, he just keeps trash posting ? Ignore him

I think I'm going to in future, lesson learnt ?

Yoda_warrior - February 6, 2021, 2:17 am

(Feb 6, 2021 03:16 AM)orbit._ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think I'm going to in future, lesson learnt ?

Haha he told me the same shit, report his posts + ignore and done ? He's annoying as f ?

Yoda_warrior - February 6, 2021, 9:20 am

(Feb 6, 2021 03:18 AM)satimon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm glad you confirmed that there is no Cisco type 7 hashes, but it's a pity it took so long...

I just pointed out your mistakes, it's not hate

Before writing something, check it and don't mislead others

You are a fraudster looking to access someone else's wallet, anyone can read it:
link

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=6135844>

LOL keep saying ****, if you don't understand it ain't my problem ???

satimon - February 6, 2021, 10:16 am

(Feb 6, 2021 10:20 AM)Yoda_warrior Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) LOL keep saying ****, if you don't understand it ain't my problem ???

You have the problem because you can't steal someone else's money from wallet

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=6135844>

This is not your wallet and it is not your hash and you are not the only one looking for that password and someone else offers 1000 \$ for it, so stop lying !

proof link , post #6971

<https://antichat.com/threads/355101/page-349>

SIM-3187190 - February 6, 2021, 11:04 am

(Feb 6, 2021 11:16 AM)satimon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You have the problem because you can't steal someone else's money from wallet

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=6135844>

This is not your wallet and it is not your hash and you are not the only one looking for that password and someone else offers 1000 \$ for it, so stop lying !

proof link , post #6971

<https://antichat.com/threads/355101/page-349>

Nowhere in that Russian thread does anyone mention the amount of information that yoda knows about the key (from my quick look through)? As someone who can actually read a little bit of Russian myself, you need to stop. Nobody cares, and it's none of your damn business to go looking through not only someone's post history to try and dig something up, but also searching online to try and find any ties?

EDIT: And, who's to say that wasn't their btc to begin with? Stop accusing them of stuff when you clearly don't know their story, or their reasoning behind wanting the key.

Obviously I know yoda can defend themselves, but seriously dude you're irritating so many people.

satimon - February 6, 2021, 2:06 pm

(Feb 6, 2021 12:04 PM)orbit._ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Nowhere in that Russian thread does anyone mention the amount of information that yoda knows about the key (from my quick look through)? As someone who can actually read a little bit of Russian myself, you need to stop. Nobody cares, and it's none of your damn business to go looking through not only someone's post history to try and dig something up, but also searching online to try and find any ties?

EDIT: And, who's to say that wasn't their btc to begin with? Stop accusing them of stuff when you clearly don't know their story, or their reasoning behind wanting the key.

Obviously I know yoda can defend themselves, but seriously dude you're irritating so many people. Other details are shown in this link: different Turkish language (dima is Russian), different password length and different 1000\$ prize

They also look for this password on several forums , so stop supporting the user Yoda_warrior because nobody here is an idiot (anyone can use google translator)

Yoda_warrior - February 7, 2021, 4:24 am

(Feb 6, 2021 03:06 PM)satimon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Other details are shown in this link: different Turkish language (dima is Russian), different password length and different 1000\$ prize

They also look for this password on several forums , so stop supporting the user Yoda_warrior because nobody here is an idiot (anyone can use google translator)

#1 : This wallet has been sold by its owner because he lost the password, so what i'm doing is perfectly legal

#2 : Don't talk when you don't know the context

#3 : As I bought the wallet.dat file, i'm now the owner of it.

SIM-3187190 - February 7, 2021, 6:32 am

(Feb 7, 2021 05:43 AM)satimon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This hash is on many forums !

YOU ARE NOT THE OWNER OF THIS WALLET, DO YOU THINK THAT EVERYONE HERE ARE IDIOTS ?

Summarizing, you've paid for a password-protected wallet that is posted on several forums and expect someone to give you a password for it for:

"Will most likely provide a pretty good bounty if cracked." - while others offer 1000 \$ for a password.

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=6135844>

proof link , post #6971

<https://antichat.com/threads/355101/page-349>

What else will you come up with new ?

We know the damn russian forum you found it on - again, nobody cares. Yoda explained themselves, which they didn't have to do, yet you still won't shut the fugg up. This is harassment.

satimon - February 7, 2021, 6:39 am

(Feb 7, 2021 07:32 AM)orbit._ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) We know the damn russian forum you found it on - again, nobody cares. Yoda explained themselves, which they didn't have to do, yet you still won't shut the fugg up. This is harassment.

I don't need explanations from Yoda_warrior, because he is just lying, these are the facts - the wallet does not belong to him

Yoda_warrior - February 7, 2021, 7:21 am

(Feb 7, 2021 07:39 AM)satimon Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't need explanations from Yoda_warrior, because he is just lying, these are the facts - the wallet does not belong to him
You keep saying this WITHOUT proof, so just get outta here

satimon - February 7, 2021, 7:33 am

(Feb 7, 2021 08:21 AM)Yoda_warrior Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You keep saying this WITHOUT proof, so just get outta here
I don't need to prove anything because I've already summed you up:

Summarizing, you've "paid" for a password-protected wallet that is posted on several forums and expect someone to give you a password for it for:

"Will most likely provide a pretty good bounty if cracked." - while others offer 1000 \$ for a password.

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=6135844>

proof link , post #6971

<https://antichat.com/threads/355101/page-349>

This is not your wallet, but you can "buy" another password-protected wallet, stop lying because it's embarrassing and everyone laughs at such a businessman

Wolf. - February 7, 2021, 8:51 am

I'm closing this thread because it's gotten way out of hand.

I'm do want to say that although I see your point Satimon, and yes it does seem a bit suspicious of Yoda, It is none of our concern, he came in here to provide assistance on my question and maybe he was wrong, maybe not, You can't get this angry if you don't share the same opinion with someone nor should you DOX them to find dirt to publicly out them, If you really have a problem with this user I encourage you to resolve it privately and not on my thread rendering it useless and forcing me to close it because you can't accept that someone doesn't share the same opinion as you.

He was giving me advice, I'm not going to follow it blindly and try and start cracking junipier hashes or whatever, But it does give me a lead to do some research into it and come up with my own solution, As it stands I don't believe it is that, but i'm very thankful for his advice.

Even if someone isn't right, if your going to go about the forum correcting everyone mistakes you will be hated. No-one likes a know-it-all that feels the need to bring other people down because they made a mistake. The mature thing to do would be to post something along the lines of

"I don't think it's that, this is what I think"

and not highlight

"Stop writing about hashes that you don't know anything about and learn more !"

This is not acceptable behavior on a forum and very childish. Mature up buddy. You don't know everything.

I do apologies for not letting you defend yourself, But This argument has gone on long enough and needs to stop somewhere.