

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Auto comment on new sales threads whilst having open disputes.](#)

x_x - July 31, 2021, 6:14 am

Would be nice to have Stanley be first post on any new marketplace thread if buyer (buyer's bay) or seller has open disputes. example; " [x_x](#) has 3 open disputes[link to disputes] be careful dealing with users that have open disputes"

Forensic - July 31, 2021, 6:18 am

The problem is that any big sellers will have a couple of deal disputes and they could be about minor issues.

I mean places like ebay have algorithms that detect unusual activity so somebody suddenly on a scamming spree gets detected but that's probably way too difficult implement here.

x_x - July 31, 2021, 6:19 am

(Jul 31, 2021 06:16 AM)James Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This could be easily abused by people opening invalid disputes.

Easily solved by buyer/seller asking staff via pm to remove it if their deal disputes were false, this would only be on new threads not already existing threads if you have an open dispute then make a new thread stanley will post.

(Jul 31, 2021 06:18 AM)Forensic Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The problem is that any big sellers will have a couple of deal disputes and they could be about minor issues.

I mean places like ebay have algorithms that detect unusual activity so somebody suddenly on a scamming spree gets detected but that's probably way too difficult implement here.

If the disputes are at all valid then it should be made aware to any newcomer.

Nachfolger - July 31, 2021, 6:23 am

Hack Forums gives you the tools to check members credibility via B-Rating and open disputes on profiles. If a member doesn't have enough brain power to check those two factors before buying a product/service, what makes you think a post from [Stanley](#) will change anything?

(Jul 31, 2021 06:19 AM)x_x Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Easily solved by buyer/seller asking staff via pm to remove it if their deal disputes were false [...]Staff don't get involved in deals, this would require wasting their time evaluating deal disputes.

x_x - July 31, 2021, 6:24 am

(Jul 31, 2021 06:21 AM)James Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I would recommend reading the [\[url=//hackforums.net/misc.php?action=help\]Help Docs\[/url\]](#) - "Do not contact Staff or Admins. You are warned repeatedly that deals are at your own risk. HF will not get involved."

How would you feel if someone opened an invalid dispute on you and, because staff do not get involved with deal disputes, it stayed there forever, and every time you wanted to sell something, all people saw was a post warning against dealing with you?

Invalid deal disputes get removed, I know the rules James and know you can't ask for staff to help with deal disputes but if the message from Stanley is caused by invalid deal disputes then it'll also be removed.

(Jul 31, 2021 06:23 AM)Nachfolger Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hack Forums gives you the tools to check members credibility via B-Rating and open disputes on profiles. If a member doesn't have enough brain power to check those two factors before buying a product/service, what makes you think a post from [Stanley](#) will change anything?

Staff don't get involved in deals, this would require wasting their time evaluating deal disputes. No new person knows shit about HF contracts or open disputes since it's not a thing on other forums they've been on. Staff already remove invalid deal disputes, what's 5s extra to delete a post?

x_x - July 31, 2021, 6:33 am

(Jul 31, 2021 06:28 AM)James Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) <https://hackforums.net/misc.php?action=help&hid=44>

As I said, I would recommend reading the [\[url=https://hackforums.net/misc.php?action=help\]](https://hackforums.net/misc.php?action=help)Help Docs [\[url\]](#).

You are not the person who decides what happens with each dispute - they are not going to be removed simply because someone claims it is invalid, and staff are not going to police the deal dispute section to ensure there are no invalid disputes. Not every form of abusing the deal dispute system is as simple as "how do I send a payment" as the dispute reason.

If it is not valid it'll get removed, if it is valid then it should be easier for new people to see.

x_x - July 31, 2021, 6:37 am

(Jul 31, 2021 06:34 AM)James Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I would recommend reading my previous post again. "Staff won't police deal disputes" so what? I'm not suggesting they should, I'm simply asking that it is made crystal clear a buyer/seller has open disputes if they make a new marketplace thread.

xCrue - July 31, 2021, 6:48 am

(Jul 31, 2021 06:33 AM)x_x Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If it is not valid it'll get removed who is going to remove it?

Forensic - July 31, 2021, 6:52 am

(Jul 31, 2021 06:37 AM)x_x Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) "Staff won't police deal disputes" so what? I'm not suggesting they should, I'm simply asking that it is made crystal clear a buyer/seller has open disputes if they make a new marketplace thread.

AT the end of the day if you're going to send money to somebody you should check their profile out and see their deal disputes if they have any for yourself. If people are too lazy or just don't do that then really it's on them to an extent.

x_x - July 31, 2021, 8:53 am

(Jul 31, 2021 06:52 AM)Forensic Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) AT the end of the day if you're going to send money to somebody you should check their profile out and see their deal disputes if they have any for yourself. If people are too lazy or just don't do that then really it's on them to an extent.

That's common sense to you and me but no new people, contracts is unique to HF (i think, never have seen it used on other forums) so how would a new person know that if they check the profile they can see disputes? for new comers they look at the left and see a lot of green numbers then check the posts on the thread, to them they have no clue open disputes is a thing. You can't fault people for not knowing something.

RedEyed - July 31, 2021, 8:56 am

I think this would be a good idea, we would need some kind of decision maker who looks at disputes and settles them almost like ebay.

Then the staff member decides who gets the bad dispute rep.

Net - July 31, 2021, 9:01 am

(Jul 31, 2021 08:53 AM)x_x Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That's common sense to you and me but no new people, contracts is unique to HF (i think, never have seen it used on other forums) so how would a new person know that if they check the profile they can see disputes? for new comers they look at the left and see a lot of green numbers then check the posts on the thread, to them they have no clue open disputes is a thing. You can't fault people for not knowing something.

I get where you're coming from, but lets be honest if you come to this forum with the sole purpose to buy stuff / services you need to do your homework on how the whole system works and who to deal with.

That said, I also see it being abused with people opening up invalid DD and even if it gets removed for being invalid (not saying this would always be the case, could see some DD never get removed) the duration of the the very thing you're suggesting would damage the legitimate service provider by scaring away potential clients.

x_x - July 31, 2021, 9:06 am

(Jul 31, 2021 08:56 AM)RedEyed Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think this would be a good idea, we would need some kind of decision maker who looks at disputes and settles them almost like ebay.

Then the staff member decides who gets the bad dispute rep.
staff don't ever handle disputes, nothing needs to change from now regarding the contract system. Just have Stanley post on new sales threads when the user has an open dispute.

(Jul 31, 2021 09:01 AM)Net Ninja Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I get where you're coming from, but lets be honest if you come to this forum with the sole purpose to buy stuff / services you need to do your homework on how the whole system works and who to deal with.

That said, I also see it being abused with people opening up invalid DD and even if it gets removed for being invalid (not saying this would always be the case, could see some DD never get removed) the duration of the the very thing you're suggesting would damage the legitimate service provider by scaring away potential clients.

The system they have zero awarness of? This would only affect brand new threads opened whilst having disputes, Stanley would not post on existing threads, only one's that he would be 1st post on. If it makes people more hesitant, then GOOD, that is literally most of the reason deal disputes exists in the first place! people should be made fully aware of when the OP has open disputes, this would resolve scammers just making new threads with hope of scamming people because the thread would not have any bad posts.

x_x - July 31, 2021, 9:50 am

(Jul 31, 2021 09:37 AM)Incog Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Staff members involving themselves? read the [\[url=//hackforums.net/misc.php?action=help\]](http://hackforums.net/misc.php?action=help)Help Docs[/url]

You can easily check the profile, doesn't take long and it's an easy way to spot whether they have deals disputed.

Easy. I'm aware we shouldn't over estimate hf members intelligence, but it's 1 click and literally couldn't be any easier. imo not needed to be added.

Edit:

They get pmed a link and notified to read the [\[url=//hackforums.net/misc.php?action=help\]](http://hackforums.net/misc.php?action=help)Help Docs[/url], which also include safe marketplace trading and buying, I remember that from when I joined. They have awareness, it's their choice to ignore it though.

Again, they have no clue it exists, they probably don't read the rules if they're there to buy stuff since they won't be posting. It shouldn't be "oh well should of read [Help Docs](#)" that's not going to get them their money back and the scammer ends up taking more money. It is so fucking easy to toe the line between breaking the rules and just being a shitty businessman, you could have 20 open disputes and still scam new people easily everyday. Why would you object to Stanley giving fair warning to people? it feels like I'm one of few who want to prevent people getting scammed and HF market being more of a shithole than it is already.

xCrue - July 31, 2021, 9:54 am

(Jul 31, 2021 09:50 AM)x_x Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) they probably don't read the rules if they're there to buy stuff since they won't be posting.

In that case they are dumb and it's their fault.

Also you still didn't answer my question. If someone has fake dispute against them, how is it fair there is a warning under their thread? U say it will be removed...who removes it? From what I've read, staff won't get involved...so who can remove it?

Xerotic - July 31, 2021, 9:55 am

(Jul 31, 2021 06:33 AM)x_x Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If it is not valid it'll get removed, if it is valid then it should be easier for new people to see.

Who is deciding what dispute is valid? You're spouting out nonsense.

If members can't look at the bold red numbers for disputes/ratings on profiles/posts they shouldn't be dealing on the Internet. It's not a safe place for them and they should disconnect their service immediately.

x_x - July 31, 2021, 11:49 am

(Jul 31, 2021 09:54 AM)xCrue Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) In that case they are dumb and it's their fault.

Also you still didn't answer my question. If someone has fake dispute against them, how is it fair there is a warning under their thread? U say it will be removed...who removes it? From what I've read, staff won't get involved...so who can remove it?

Staff remove false disputes on occasion.

xCrue - July 31, 2021, 11:50 am

(Jul 31, 2021 11:49 AM)x_x Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Staff remove false disputes on occasion.
how come everyone here including staff say they do not get involved then?

x_x - July 31, 2021, 11:51 am

(Jul 31, 2021 09:55 AM)Xerotic Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Who is deciding what dispute is valid? You're spouting out nonsense.

If members can't look at the bold red numbers for disputes/ratings on profiles/posts they shouldn't be dealing on the Internet. It's not a safe place for them and they should disconnect their service immediately.

The "bold red number" is not open disputes, there's a huge difference between open disputes and

negative B-rating.

(Jul 31, 2021 11:50 AM)xCrue Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) how come everyone here including staff say they do not get involved then?

They don't, but they have removed fake disputes.

Xerotic - July 31, 2021, 12:08 pm

(Jul 31, 2021 11:51 AM)x_x Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The "bold red number" is not open disputes, there's a huge difference between open disputes and negative B-rating.

They don't, but they have removed fake disputes.

I said "disputes/ratings". Ratings are on posts and profiles. Disputes are on profiles.

What you want as an automated way for members to be harassed. Your logic is horrible. You think someone is more likely to see a post by Stanley in a 500 post thread than on their profile.

xCrue - July 31, 2021, 12:11 pm

(Jul 31, 2021 12:08 PM)Xerotic Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You think someone is more likely to see a post by Stanley in a 500 post thread than on their profile.

Good point, I imagine most the time people just skip Stanley's posts when they are reading replies

x_x - July 31, 2021, 12:17 pm

(Jul 31, 2021 12:08 PM)Xerotic Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I said "disputes/ratings". Ratings are on posts and profiles. Disputes are on profiles.

What you want as an automated way for members to be harassed. Your logic is horrible. You think someone is more likely to see a post by Stanley in a 500 post thread than on their profile.

Why would they check their profile? as far as a new person knows then the user info on a post is all that is there since, again for the third time, contract as far as I know is unique to HF. So to a new user there isn't any extra info not displayed on the post.

(Jul 31, 2021 12:08 PM)Xerotic Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I said "disputes/ratings". Ratings are on posts and profiles. Disputes are on profiles.

What you want as an automated way for members to be harassed. Your logic is horrible. You think someone is more likely to see a post by Stanley in a 500 post thread than on their profile.

If it is literally the first post they see then yes...have you even read what I have suggested? I suggested Stanley is the first post on sales threads that are made by someone with open disputes.

Xerotic - July 31, 2021, 12:42 pm

(Jul 31, 2021 12:17 PM)x_x Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why would they check their profile? as far as a new person knows then the user info on a post is all that is there since, again for the third time, contract as far as I know is unique to HF. So to a new user there isn't any extra info not displayed on the post.

If it is literally the first post they see then yes...have you even read what I have suggested? I suggested Stanley is the first post on sales threads that are made by someone with open disputes.

If members can't be arsed to click a profile why would they read any replies? We aren't here to baby people or harass sellers.

x_x - July 31, 2021, 12:45 pm

(Jul 31, 2021 12:42 PM)Xerotic Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If members can't be arsed to click a profile why would they read any replies? We aren't here to baby people or harass sellers.

I'm just sick of people being scammed on HF, it is daily. If Stanley won't warn people then I will, simple solution.

webdev77 - July 31, 2021, 12:54 pm

(Jul 31, 2021 12:45 PM)x_x Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm just sick of people being scammed on HF, it is daily. If Stanley won't warn people then I will, simple solution.

Realize it is impossible to fix the type of stupid that commonly gets scammed.

Be mindful that it is still harassment of another user if you post disparaging comments about them without significant ON SITE evidence. I.e. if you post a discord screenshot you are probably just gonna get warned or worse for being stupid.

Xerotic - July 31, 2021, 1:06 pm

(Jul 31, 2021 12:45 PM)x_x Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm just sick of people being scammed on HF, it is daily. If Stanley won't warn people then I will, simple solution.

No you won't. If you harass members consistently you will have your account closed. Easier to just PM an admin to get it done the quick way if that's your goal.

x_x - July 31, 2021, 1:11 pm

(Jul 31, 2021 01:06 PM)Xerotic Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No you won't. If you harass members consistently you will have your account closed. Easier to just PM an admin to get it done the quick way if that's your goal.

It is not sales trashing without evidence, it's not breaking the rules if they have 10 open disputes and I post "This user has 10 deal disputes" I would be stating a fact.

webdev77 - July 31, 2021, 1:14 pm

(Jul 31, 2021 01:11 PM)x_x Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It is not sales trashing without evidence, it's not breaking the rules if they have 10 open disputes and I post "This user has 10 deal disputes" I would be stating a fact.

It is intentional harassment though. If a user can't follow instructions in the [Help Docs](#), that's their problem.

x_x - July 31, 2021, 1:16 pm

(Jul 31, 2021 01:14 PM)webdev77 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It is intentional harassment though. If a user can't follow instructions in the [\[url=//hackforums.net/misc.php?action=help\]Help Docs\[/url\]](http://hackforums.net/misc.php?action=help), that's their problem.

Reciting an integer is harassing? so because they don't like something I am harassing them?

webdev77 - July 31, 2021, 1:18 pm

(Jul 31, 2021 01:16 PM)x_x Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Reciting an integer is harassing? so because they don't like something I am harassing them?

Best you stop here.

Charger - July 31, 2021, 1:35 pm

(Jul 31, 2021 01:16 PM)x_x Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Reciting an integer is harassing? so because they don't like something I am harassing them?

You're speed running your account closure with an admin and a staff member.

Shut the fuck up or simply pm Omni to close your account.

Xerotic - July 31, 2021, 1:37 pm

(Jul 31, 2021 01:16 PM)x_x Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Reciting an integer is harassing? so because they don't like something I am harassing them?

What you are describing is harassment. I suggest you don't. This thread no longer has any useful discussion and Omni can add on any comments he feels necessary.

Omniscient - July 31, 2021, 1:41 pm

I have nothing to add that existing Staff and Admins haven't said.