

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [4 killed in shooting at Tulsa medical building; shooter dead](#)

The Pact - June 2, 2022, 9:10 am

Police responded to the call about three minutes after dispatchers received desperate calls for help and made contact with the gunman roughly five minutes later.

Police Captain Richard Meulenberg mentioned that multiple people were wounded and the medical complex was a "catastrophic scene".

In contrast the length of time it took police officers in Uvalde, Texas, to engage the gunman at Robb Elementary School has become a key focus of that investigation.

<https://nz.news.yahoo.com/three-killed-us-hospital-shooting-234405263.html>

Drpcode - June 3, 2022, 1:34 pm

Something needs to be done about gun laws in us.

webdev77 - June 3, 2022, 1:42 pm

(Jun 3, 2022 01:34 PM)Drpcode Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Something needs to be done about gun laws in us. It's already illegal to kill people what exactly do you think banning guns would even do when there are hundreds of millions of them in circulation?

Migos - June 3, 2022, 2:02 pm

(Jun 3, 2022 01:42 PM)webdev77 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's already illegal to kill people what exactly do you think banning guns would even do when there are hundreds of millions of them in circulation?

Thank god you are educated on guns brother. So many people thinking taking the guns away from normal people is gonna keep them out of the hands of people who just dont give a shit. lol

The Pact - June 4, 2022, 2:02 am

(Jun 3, 2022 01:42 PM)webdev77 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's already illegal to kill people what exactly do you think banning guns would even do when there are hundreds of millions of them in circulation?

That poster didn't say "ban guns" they said "something needs to be done about gun laws in the USA. I personally feel like things are too out of hand for a few more laws here and there to do a lot of good. Some countries have had buy back programs for guns considered excessively high powered, but I really don't know enough about guns to know what is even valid along those lines, so I won't comment much on that except to say I don't think Biden is proposing coming after everyone's guns? Just certain types of guns? Other people can explain this more to me if they want that's just an impression I had. I haven't lived in the USA since I was 8 years old so not there to know what all is going on with Biden talking about this.

webdev77 - June 4, 2022, 9:49 am

(Jun 4, 2022 02:02 AM)The Pact Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That poster didn't say "ban guns" they said "something needs to be done about gun laws in the USA. I personally feel like things are too out of hand for a few more laws here and there to do a lot of good. Some countries have had buy back programs for guns considered excessively high powered, but I really don't know enough about guns to know what is even valid along those lines, so I won't comment much on that except to say I don't think Biden is proposing coming after everyone's guns? Just certain types of guns? Other people can explain this more to me if they want that's just an impression I had. I haven't lived in the USA since I was 8 years old so not there to know what all is going on with Biden talking about this.

Banning some guns is still banning guns. I don't see how what you are saying isn't a ban. Any restriction that prevents certain people from acquiring guns is a ban on guns, just for some specific group. The reality is there are in excess of 400 million guns in the US. It is logistically completely impossible to round them up even if citizens were willing to give them up, which they are not.

The reality is there is quite literally nothing that law makers can do to reduce gun violence aside from addressing the underlying issues. Any law that focuses on guns is a failure from the start. There are thousands of gun laws on the books already. Which one of those has done anything to help?

Drpcode - June 4, 2022, 11:01 am

(Jun 3, 2022 01:42 PM)webdev77 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's already illegal to kill people what exactly do you think banning guns would even do when there are hundreds of millions of them in circulation?

Banning will be a step in right direction. Confiscation can as well commence. With we could achieve our goal. Not doing anything is wrong

Gary - June 4, 2022, 11:22 am

(Jun 4, 2022 09:49 AM)webdev77 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Banning some guns is still banning guns. I don't see how what you are saying isn't a ban. Any restriction that prevents certain people from acquiring guns is a ban on guns, just for some specific group. The reality is there are in excess of 400 million guns in the US. It is logistically completely impossible to round them up even if citizens were willing to give them up, which they are not.

The reality is there is quite literally nothing that law makers can do to reduce gun violence aside from addressing the underlying issues. Any law that focuses on guns is a failure from the start. There are thousands of gun laws on the books already. Which one of those has done anything to help?

This is true, it won't be like Australia. However in the smaller cities in the US some township police departments have gun buyback programs. Obviously this would only work for those who no longer want their guns.

I do believe that there should be some sort of harsher penalty for those who have their weapons stolen from them that are used in crimes where there is serious bodily harm/death. I personally know of a few friends who don't even secure their firearms properly which makes them an easy target for theft. I wonder what's the number for how many guns are stolen but not reported and end up being used in a crime. Granted most of the recent shootings involved LEGALLY purchased firearms, but that's just my opinion.

DashPHP - June 4, 2022, 4:37 pm

I think 18 year olds should not be allowed to obtain a licence and buy a gun.

Although it won't be completely preventive, the extra steps needed may deter them from getting one.

SIM-3257834 - June 4, 2022, 5:04 pm

(Jun 4, 2022 04:37 PM)DashPHP Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think 18 year olds should not be allowed to obtain a licence and buy a gun.

Although it won't be completely preventive, the extra steps needed may deter them from getting one.

Stock alone is a deterrent, I've got two on pre order. 1 common basically default gun that every store carries and another I would call "exotic". Exotic in the sense of being high-end and unnecessary but not excessive in terms of caliber, it's basically just a 9mm.

The Pact - June 4, 2022, 6:19 pm

(Jun 4, 2022 04:37 PM)DashPHP Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think 18 year olds should not be allowed to obtain a licence and buy a gun.

Although it won't be completely preventive, the extra steps needed may deter them from getting one.

95.7% of mass shooters are male. Just make it illegal for men to buy guns. ?

I kid. I kid. Don't shoot me.

<https://rockinst.org/gun-violence/mass-shooting-factsheet/>

?nxy - June 6, 2022, 7:28 pm

This is terrible news. Fuck your guns.

Heisenberg - June 18, 2022, 5:26 pm

So if we banned guns already, this wouldn't have happened?

The Pact - June 18, 2022, 6:23 pm

(Jun 18, 2022 05:26 PM)Heisenberg Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So if we banned guns already, this wouldn't have happened?

We shouldn't comment on this news at all because of how much you love guns?

Heisenberg - June 19, 2022, 2:09 pm

(Jun 18, 2022 06:23 PM)The Pact Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) We shouldn't comment on this news at all because of how much you love guns?

Didn't say that

io Whitehat - June 19, 2022, 3:27 pm

Bruh this has got to end bruhhh

Doitonce - June 21, 2022, 5:39 pm

I hope it doesn't get too late before we do the needful.

The Pact - June 21, 2022, 6:52 pm

(Jun 19, 2022 03:27 PM)io Whitehat Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Bruh this has got to end bruhhh

It's more than one a day in the USA now on the road to two. But not quite two a day as yet. That is with "mass shooting" defined as shooter wounding four or more people on one day in one place.

(Jun 21, 2022 05:39 PM)Doitonce Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I hope it doesn't get too late before we do the needful.

What is the "needful"?

Lindros - June 22, 2022, 3:03 pm

(Jun 21, 2022 06:52 PM)The Pact Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's more than one a day in the USA now on the road to two. But not quite two a day as yet. That is with "mass shooting" defined as shooter wounding four or more people on one day in one place.

What is the "needful"?

That's why i'm going to start concealed carrying anywhere I am legally allowed to. I will not be a victim.

Fucking pathetic honestly. The fat, lazy slobs of society, they refuse to protect themselves and demand that someone else does it for them. They don't deserve freedom or security really, because

they refuse the responsibility of maintaining it for themselves.

You will NOT take away my right to defend myself.

The Pact - June 22, 2022, 7:24 pm

(Jun 22, 2022 03:03 PM)Lindros Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That's why i'm going to start concealed carrying anywhere I am legally allowed to. I will not be a victim.

Fucking pathetic honestly. The fat, lazy slobs of society, they refuse to protect themselves and demand that someone else does it for them. They don't deserve freedom or security really, because they refuse the responsibility of maintaining it for themselves.

You will NOT take away my right to defend myself.

Is there any real attempt to take that away? All I know about is talks of background checks and such. Remember I don't live in the USA any more so I don't really know what all is going on. I see music videos end with words like "change gun laws now!" But what does that even mean?

Lindros - June 22, 2022, 8:05 pm

(Jun 22, 2022 07:24 PM)The Pact Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Is there any real attempt to take that away? All I know about is talks of background checks and such. Remember I don't live in the USA any more so I don't really know what all is going on. I see music videos end with words like "change gun laws now!" But what does that even mean?

They want "red flag" laws that are vague enough for the government to arbitrarily disarm people/prevent people from purchasing.

I live in a sanctuary 2A state.

The Pact - June 23, 2022, 3:32 am

(Jun 22, 2022 08:05 PM)Lindros Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) They want "red flag" laws that are vague enough for the government to arbitrarily disarm people/prevent people from purchasing.

I live in a sanctuary 2A state.

Googling these "red flag laws" they could raise ethical questions about discriminating on the basis of mental health status. And it also seems families, friends, teachers, doctors, nurses petitioning the courts, and waiting for the response would take too long to stop a mass murder anyway. ?

The Pact - June 23, 2022, 9:06 pm

(Jun 23, 2022 05:24 PM)Focus Broken Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) "You will NOT take away my right to defend myself!!! Unless the government writes a law, then I won't carry anymore I guess ?"

LOL! Focus Broken is an anarchist by the way. Sad that Brooks Brown's book back in 2002 was called No Easy Answers and this still true when the problem is so much more serious for the USA.

Lindros - June 23, 2022, 11:37 pm

(Jun 23, 2022 05:24 PM)Focus Broken Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) "You will NOT take away my right to defend myself!!! Unless the government writes a law, then I won't carry anymore I guess ?"

Well, at the very least, I wouldn't admit to carrying.

I mean, good point on the surface. In reality, the only measure we have is to fight the government and its policies through the legal means we have.

Only when those measures are off the table completely and when the inevitable government dysfunction increases to the point of sufficient limits will any other action even be debatable. I am sympathetic to revolutionary arguments. I just don't think they're realistic at this point in time.

The Kulaks stood absolutely zero chance against the professional revolutionaries who had practically total control over the Red Army. We are in a similar situation today with our modern day Federal government. There is no way to resist them in any unlawful manner that is not completely suicidal. Comply and prepare, my brother. What else can you do? Please, enlighten me.

Don't know why you would make any argument contrary to that, particularly on a public forum...

The Pact - June 24, 2022, 4:10 am

(Jun 24, 2022 02:01 AM)Focus Broken Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Fucking pathetic honestly. The fat, lazy slob of society, they refuse to protect themselves and demand that someone else does it for them. They don't deserve freedom or security really, because they refuse the responsibility of maintaining it for themselves.

Through what? What are you proposing? Brute force? And do you know what lack of freedom and lack of security are?

Lindros - June 24, 2022, 9:41 am

(Jun 24, 2022 06:57 AM)Focus Broken Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think you are missing my objective. I'm prompting [Lindros](#) to argue against himself:

I don't see your point? I live in a state that protects my gun right and right to self defense. So I'm fine where I live currently. Like I said, I live in a 2A sanctuary state.

The pathetic people i'm referencing are the ones who argue for gun control, so they have "more security". It's their own responsibility to protect themselves. The police are just an auxillary measure.

I will say I would never willingly surrender my firearms under any circumstances.

The Pact - June 24, 2022, 3:30 pm

(Jun 24, 2022 09:41 AM)Lindros Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't see your point? I live in a state that protects my gun right and right to self defense. So I'm fine where I live currently. Like I said, I live in a 2A sanctuary state.

The pathetic people i'm referencing are the ones who argue for gun control, so they have "more security". It's their own responsibility to protect themselves. The police are just an auxillary measure.

I will say I would never willingly surrender my firearms under any circumstances.

LOL yeah police in every country apparently love to say "you have to understand there is very little we can do." Which means they're not going to bother doing anything. I don't know that I'd call it auxiliary. They aren't providing additional help when they're providing no help.

(Jun 24, 2022 06:57 AM)Focus Broken Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think you are missing my objective. I'm prompting [Lindros](#) to argue against himself:

Ah that makes more sense. So my question should have been for Lindros. But living in a 2a Sanctuary state makes him not fat or lazy? lol

urcomped - June 25, 2022, 1:01 pm

This is very sad. I still cant believe that this horror happened

Dracula Era - November 3, 2022, 3:20 pm

Rip to the shooter my man .

And prayers to the ones who were injured.