

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Trump Raided](#)

Omniscient - August 8, 2022, 7:53 pm

You following this?

Trump's place in Florida Mar-a-Lao, got raided by the FBI looking for classified documents.

<https://www.reuters.com/world/us/trump-says-fbi-agents-raided-his-florida-home-2022-08-08/>

The FBI are now an arm of the Democrat Party. They are not investigating Epstein. They wasted time on Russia Gate (now proven 100% false). Yet they continue to go after Conservatives.

Omniscient - August 8, 2022, 8:30 pm

This is bad imho. The Extreme Right is very pissed off. Imagine what happens if they arrest Trump on some bullshit. It might be the trigger for a full-scale revolution.

Nas - August 9, 2022, 2:41 am

(Aug 8, 2022 08:30 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is bad imho. The Extreme Right is very pissed off. Imagine what happens if they arrest Trump on some bullshit. It might be the trigger for a full-scale revolution.

For an ex US President to be raided by the FBI with an approved warrant from a judge means they have a lot of evidence for something he did already. They wouldn't take the risk of the heat if they did not.

Not everything is about extreme right or extreme left. No one is above the law in this country and nor is the former President. That's what separates us from 3rd world countries or countries ruled by kings and queens. Let justice do it's job.

Gary - August 9, 2022, 2:53 am

I was surprised to see this as well. I wonder what they're actually looking for vs what they claim they're looking for.

I also read an article last night as well regarding how careless his staff and him have been leaving documents in rooms and stuff.

If he does get arrested, this will definitely cause some serious issues within the US.

BiNBo - August 9, 2022, 5:15 am

(Aug 9, 2022 02:41 AM)Nas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) For an ex US President to be raided by the FBI with an approved warrant from a judge means they have a lot of evidence for something he did already. They wouldn't take the risk of the heat if they did not.

This is pretty much where I'm at with it. No federal judge would just issue this type of warrant on a former president without really strong evidence and reasoning. Also they issued it likely aware that it would be executed right when trump is spending his summer away from that residence.

SIM-3343347 - August 9, 2022, 6:57 am

Doesn't ex presidents have personal security service, init there's conflict between secret service and FBI?

gringos are crazy fam

Zher0 - August 9, 2022, 7:41 am

(Aug 9, 2022 06:57 AM)DOX Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Doesn't ex presidents have personal security service, init there's conflict between secret service and FBI?

gringos are crazy fam

The next Call of Duty. FBI vs secret service.

But really, this whole situation is messed up...

MavenGradle - August 9, 2022, 7:49 am

Just goes to show that it doesn't matter to the FBI who or what you are, they can and will use anything and/or everything against you that they can.

Anyway, a RAID is generally speaking a way to get evidence and perhaps something will come from this, perhaps not. Either way it's kind of difficult to speculate on the what and why since none of us are working for the FBI and if we are, we definitely wouldn't be sharing this information to the public at the moment!

Biggy - August 9, 2022, 8:57 am

Interested to see the outcome. Part of me really wanted to see his campaign for office again but this is certainly going to hurt him in the public eye no matter what the outcome IMO.

Curious on what stirred all of this up. Maybe we'll never know.

Goku - August 9, 2022, 9:54 am

Interested in the outcome. I hope that this won't rile up a civil war. The last thing the world needs.

Itachi Uchiha - August 9, 2022, 11:16 am

Law and Order for thee not for me - Republican Party

Happeh - August 9, 2022, 11:47 am

(Aug 9, 2022 11:16 AM)Itachi Uchiha Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Law and Order for thee not for me - Republican Party

Wasn't it huge when it turned out Hilary was using a private server for her emails on the run-up for her bid for presidency?

Omniscient - August 9, 2022, 11:49 am

Quote:For an ex US President to be raided by the FBI with an approved warrant from a judge means they have a lot of evidence for something he did already. They wouldn't take the risk of the heat if they did not.

Oh that's total bullshit. The raid is intended to make you believe that nonsense. What has come out of 2 impeachments? NOTHING. What came out of the 3 year investigation by the NY Attorney General? Nothing. What's going to come out of the J6 hearings? Nothing.

This is theatre and weaponizing the Justice Department to create the perception that Trump must be a criminal. It's also very costly for him personally because of lawyers as well as very distracting.

If anyone really thinks that Trump is a criminal warranting this much investigation, you are basically playing right into their hands. You've fallen for the propaganda. You probably believe Trump is a

racist too.

Quote: No federal judge would just issue this type of warrant on a former president without really strong evidence and reasoning.

Holy shit. A Federal Judge signed off on the wire taps at Trump tower too over some bullshit accusation of Russian Collusion which has now been proven not only completely untrue but came from a his political opponent Hillary Clinton and guided by Obama. They spied on the Trump campaign through this during a Presidential election. They did it legally with a warrant from a Judge. You gotta be shitting me that you think a Federal Judge can't be biased or bought or just a favor for a friend. Realize that it was Obama who appointed Judges and if Hillary won she could place a Judge onto the Supreme Court.

Wow. Some of you just amaze me. But I guess the whole point of propaganda is to find weak minds to infiltrate and convince them that lies are true and the truth is a lie. Some of you are ignorant to what's going on.

Itachi Uchiha - August 9, 2022, 12:41 pm

(Aug 9, 2022 11:47 AM)Happeh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Wasn't it huge when it turned out Hilary was using a private server for her emails on the run-up for her bid for presidency?

This is true, I was the email server.

Mr.Cat - August 9, 2022, 2:33 pm

Wow this is crazy they want to put him either in prison or kill him it seems. He is a BIG threat to them

Nas - August 9, 2022, 4:23 pm

(Aug 9, 2022 11:49 AM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The raid is intended to make you believe that nonsenseThe amount of justification they had to have and the amount of people who have had to sign off on this makes me believe that's not the case. Especially that it was also signed off by a Trump appointed FBI director and Trump appointed Judge.

(Aug 9, 2022 11:49 AM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What has come out of 2 impeachments? NOTHING. What came out of the 3 year investigation by the NY Attorney General? Nothing. What's going to come out of the J6 hearings? Nothing.

This one is my favorite, let's think about how many Trump associates who had charges against them. Steve Bannon, Roger Stone, Flynn, Paun Manafort, Cohen.. should I continue? and FYI Trump would of been on this list too if he wasn't elected.

(Aug 9, 2022 11:49 AM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If anyone really thinks that Trump is a criminal warranting this much investigation, you are basically playing right into their hands. You've fallen for the propaganda. You probably believe Trump is a racist too.

The Feds had probable cause that Trump is keeping highly classified documents still and did not provide them everything. Which would be the 2nd time he did that. So I say.. raid his house!

(Aug 9, 2022 11:49 AM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Wow. Some of you just amaze me. But I guess the whole point of propaganda is to find weak minds to infiltrate and convince them that lies are true and the truth is a lie. Some of you are ignorant to what's going on.

I'm sorry but I believe you are the one who is fallen for the propaganda. Law is law and that's what separates us from 3rd world countries. If we let anyone get above the law then it makes us no different. You wanna say that the government is trying to play reverse reverse phycology when that's not the case.

Trump is good at propaganda which makes me not surprised there are hard core believers such as yourself. He's the one who teamed up with Cambridge Analytica and basically started the modern psychological warfare which we are seeing today. Oh well.

(Aug 9, 2022 12:01 PM)Focus Broken Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Excellent post.

One bizarre outcome of this situation is to see a shred of self awareness from Cuomo on the subject though. He's openly admitting the optics are bad instead of doubling down on the "guilty until proven innocent" tactic:

That's not what he is saying at all, he's saying that the DOJ should have all their T's crossed and I dotted or this will feed into Republican's propagandic agenda. No idea where you are deriving your statement from?

debug - August 9, 2022, 7:11 pm

I wanted to reply but @Nas has basically said everything I was feeling.

Deformed - August 9, 2022, 7:46 pm

I hope to read about these events in my grandchildren's history books after all of the truth is eventually discovered, whatever it may be. There is a lot of fuckery going on in our country - and it has been for some time. 2016 woke everyone up to the media and government corruption, and they are STILL trying to witch hunt Trump. Not to sound like a complete tin foil, but I still don't fully believe that Joe Biden received the most votes in US history.

We'll find out one day.

Truth - August 9, 2022, 7:55 pm

They even deployed a bunch of IRS agents to conservatives' locations. IMO if you are not anon, you should be careful as these people are sick

<https://twitter.com/JoForLiberty/status/1556695828416307202>

Sad this is the way they try to silence free speech. If Trump can get hit, how will the average conservative feel

NavTech - August 9, 2022, 9:39 pm

(Aug 9, 2022 04:23 PM)Nas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm sorry but I believe you are the one who is fallen for the propaganda. Law is law and that's what separates us from 3rd world countries. If we let anyone get above the law then it makes us no different. You wanna say that the government is trying to play reverse reverse psychology when that's not the case.

The fact that you so firmly believe that no one in this country(or anywhere else) is above the law is absolutely mind boggling. You're either incredibly naive or willfully ignorant.

Meanwhile Hillary is making a mockery selling merch based on her illegal email server that she deleted 30K+ emails from, after being subpoenaed.

Vibe - August 9, 2022, 9:44 pm

(Aug 8, 2022 08:30 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is bad imho. The Extreme Right is very pissed off. Imagine what happens if they arrest Trump on some bullshit. It might be the trigger for a full-scale revolution.

The extreme right has been pissed off since they lost the civil war, what else is new?

GenericSkid - August 9, 2022, 9:52 pm

(Aug 9, 2022 05:15 AM)BiNBo Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No federal judge would just issue this type of warrant on a former president without really strong evidence and reasoning.

You mean this judge? [Bruce E. Reinhart](#)

Lets see... where have we heard this name before? Oh thats right.

-

Ke\$h - August 10, 2022, 2:57 am

Its government corruption at play here, that judge is in the pockets of the left

Factor8™ - August 10, 2022, 5:07 am

How come Hillary Clinton was never subpoenaed or never raided? What about the Hunter Biden Laptop fuck?

The list of evil perversions of justice is too long for this missive.

<https://www.marcopolousa.org/bidenlaptopreport/>

Fresku - August 10, 2022, 5:33 am

The master criminals at work...

I still wonder how the mass dont see what is going on.

Omniscient - August 10, 2022, 10:45 am

Quote:Meanwhile Hillary is making a mockery selling merch based on her illegal email server that she deleted 30K+ emails from, after being subpoenaed.

Hillary was never raided by the FBI even though we know for a fact she broke the law and hid emails. She also completely mishandled classified documents too. She wasn't even President. But she got a pass imho because she is a powerful Democrat that was running for Presidency and the Clintons have very very very powerful friends in Washington. Guess who doesn't have any friends in power? That's right...Trump.

This is not a case of being "above the law", this is a case of being "threat to power".

Quote:You mean this judge? Bruce E. Reinhart

Lets see... where have we heard this name before? Oh thats right.

YUP! No shock to me. A link to Epstein material. Let's take a guess who has the list of clients and potentially other damaging blackmail material? Could it be the FBI, CCP, or the Democrats? Maybe they are basically all the same now as agents of the NWO. Yeah, I'm sure you think that's extreme but the one guy who is leading the fight against the NWO is under constant attack by MSM, FBI, and Democrats. It should be a warning sign.

Again, these same accusations of corruption and wrong-doing towards Trump have NEVER amounted to anything. The same people that 3 years ago said Trump had to be impeached because he was a Russian Agent are now saying the same crap that just because there is an investigation he must be guilty, else why investigate? You gotta be getting tired of being proven wrong again and again and again. How many times over the past 5 years do you have to repeat the same bullshit before you get wise to the tactic? I mean for real? Do you really think "Oh this time they got him" and ignore the fact the entire Russia-Gate was a hoax by the Clinton campaign. That's

100% FACT too. It's under no dispute by anyone.

Quote:How come Hillary Clinton was never subpoenaed or never raided?

I think she was subpoenaed multiple times to testify in front of hearings. She testified about Benghazi. I recall the testimony but nothing ever came of it. She has never answered about her email server though.

But it's looking bad for any remote possibility of her being held to account.

<https://www.judicialwatch.org/scotus-hillary-email-server/>

Quote:The master criminals at work...

I still wonder how the mass don't see what is going on.

Simply. They watch CNN or MSNBC. Most of these news outlets greatly push a narrative that Trump is a corrupt, racist, nationalist, nazi, criminal. They continue repeating their narrative, not because it is true, but because if they say it enough, it becomes "the truth".

Nas Wrote:This one is my favorite, let's think about how many Trump associates who had charges against them. Steve Bannon, Roger Stone, Flynn, Paul Manafort, Cohen.. should I continue?

Do you understand the nature of the charges against each of those people? None of them would have ever been arrested or charged or even investigated if they hadn't associated and supported Trump.

If the Justice Department wants you to be arrested, they will investigate you, and find a crime. And if they don't, then they'll push you until you commit one like they did with Bannon.

Quote:The Feds had probable cause that Trump is keeping highly classified documents still and did not provide them everything.

Where do you get the "highly classified" part? Seems like rhetoric to me. Basically from what I am reading. When you leave the office, you aren't supposed to take anything with you. But basically every President has including Obama and Bill Clinton.

<https://news.yahoo.com/trump-allies-uproar-fbi-agents-191318911.html>

"Department's probe into Mr Trump's possession of documents that were required to be returned to the National Archives and Records Administration when he left office in January 2021."

So basically it seems like they are using this "raid" to documents back in the archives and also to make it appear like Trump is a criminal. You don't see that?

[Nas](#) I am willing to bet you \$100 right now that he will not be charged or arrested because of this nonsense raid. You willing to put your money on it?

NavTech - August 10, 2022, 1:25 pm

(Aug 10, 2022 10:45 AM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hillary was never raided by the FBI even though we know for a fact she broke the law and hid emails. She also completely mishandled classified documents too. She wasn't even President. But she got a pass imho because she is a powerful Democrat that was running for Presidency and the Clintons have very very very powerful friends in Washington. Guess who doesn't have any friends in power? That's right...Trump.

This is not a case of being "above the law", this is a case of being "threat to power".

Oh absolutely this is a case of being a "threat to power". Hillary should 100% be sitting in a prison cell for knowingly mishandling classified material and then lying about it. And her being a powerful democrat is the only reason she wasn't prosecuted and put in prison or otherwise appropriately punished. So, when you do have powerful connections on the correct political side and money you are effectively above the law.

If you don't march to the beat of their drum, you're gonna get ran over.

Omniscient - August 10, 2022, 2:19 pm

Also a comment on Cuomo. Now that he's not a CNN shill and paid to talk shit, he's probably being more honest about the situation in his criticism of asking that the Left justify the actions. Which so far they have not. And I don't expect they ever will.

DoJ = SILENT <https://www.justice.gov/news>

FBI = SILENT <https://www.fbi.gov/news/press-releases/press-releases>

Cuomo has it right to expect more information on the raid of a Presidents home.

debug - August 10, 2022, 4:06 pm

(Aug 10, 2022 02:19 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Also a comment on Cuomo. Now that he's not a CNN shill and paid to talk shit, he's probably being more honest about the situation in his criticism of asking that the Left justify the actions. Which so far they have not. And I don't expect they ever will.

DoJ = SILENT <https://www.justice.gov/news>

FBI = SILENT <https://www.fbi.gov/news/press-releases/press-releases>

Cuomo has it right to expect more information on the raid of a Presidents home.

A raid of this nature indicates substantial evidence of a suspected crime committed. Therefore, there is an ongoing investigation. Any press release at this time would not make sense, as they are still processing and researching the collected evidence.

If anything comes of the raid and further investigation, you can be assured there will be a press release. I'm not quite sure what you are suggesting.

Omniscient - August 10, 2022, 7:49 pm

Quote:A raid of this nature indicates substantial evidence of a suspected crime committed.

Oh, is that so? Then explain the TWO impeachments that were nonsense. What "substantial evidence" was there for them? Do you mean the made-up dossier by the Clinton campaign?

Happeh - August 11, 2022, 4:24 pm

I mean, what's the narrative if Trump decides to block the release of the warrant?

Donald Trump: Mar-a-Lago search warrant could be unsealed

<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-us-canada-62512360>

Omniscient - August 11, 2022, 7:15 pm

(Aug 11, 2022 04:24 PM)Happeh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I mean, what's the narrative if Trump decides to block the release of the warrant?

Donald Trump: Mar-a-Lago search warrant could be unsealed
<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-us-canada-62512360>

I think Trump is pretty good at just allowing controversy. Such as never releasing his taxes even though they eventually got into the hands of prosecutors who so far haven't said a word about it. He likes the attention. He got huge donations the past few days. He's a marketing genius. If he releases the warrant it might end the discussion. We also don't know if his lawyers simply advise against it.

The Pact - August 12, 2022, 3:17 am

(Aug 10, 2022 02:00 PM)Heisenberg Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is a victory for Trump, it goes to show how weak the left is, so weak, they have to raid the FORMER presidents estate without reason. I thought it was the FBI who raided the former president's estate.

SIM-3323508 - August 12, 2022, 4:17 am

(Aug 12, 2022 03:17 AM)The Pact Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I thought it was the FBI who raided the former president's estate.

The FBI is controlled by the left right now ser.

Lets see if this warrant gets unsealed I'd like to know what they left is snooping for.

The Pact - August 12, 2022, 4:28 am

(Aug 12, 2022 04:17 AM)MMLF Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The FBI is controlled by the left right now ser.

Lets see if this warrant gets unsealed I'd like to know what they left is snooping for.

Cops are traditionally conservative individuals. James Comey was a registered Republican for much of his life. Black Lives Matter has made the cops so much fonder of "the left" I'm sure.

But aside from that the police should not be biased on the job whatever their personal political opinions. I don't believe that the USA has become some corrupt third world country with corruption on every level and in every department I think that's just a lot of online propaganda and clickbait.

Happeh - August 12, 2022, 5:24 am

(Aug 11, 2022 07:15 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think Trump is pretty good at just allowing controversy. Such as never releasing his taxes even though they eventually got into the hands of prosecutors who so far haven't said a word about it. He likes the attention. He got huge donations the past few days. He's a marketing genius. If he releases the warrant it might end the discussion. We also don't know if his lawyers simply advise against it.

I feel like you're being far too nice on some of that, but that's my bias. The whole [tax thing](#) was just full of slimey language and hypocrisies. I'll release my taxes when you release your birth certificate...

Anyway, early reports saying that the documents the FBI was searching for "are documents relating to nuclear weapons were among the items FBI agents were looking for at Mar-a-Lago" which is worrying he decided to take them home and keep them for a year and a half. I'll hold any judgement, supposedly he won't contest the release of the warrant so we'll know more soon (maybe)!

<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-us-canada-62512360>

Good summary from the link above:

Omniscient - August 12, 2022, 7:12 am

Quote:documents relating to nuclear weapons

To me the whole nuclear documents thing is the strangest part of this all. You can get instructions online on how to build a nuclear device. Because of satellites and other tech we know where all the silos are too. Not many secrets left when it comes to nukes. I am sure both the Russians and Chinese know almost everything about our nuclear program. I'd be more concerned if it was info about the hypersonic missiles.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hypersonic_weapon

And [Happeh](#) I just don't see how anyone can not see the pattern of harassment against Trump from all angles. Eventually the National Archives is suppose to get all material but some Presidents seem to leave and hold onto them for a while.

The entire episode is bazaar and just more attacks on Trump through MSM and the Justice Department. If it's not endless hearings it is endless legal action. None of which has proven him guilty of anything. No President has ever been as persecuted as Trump. But I assume you think it's warranted and that someone like you believe he must be a criminal because of these investigations.

Meanwhile, Hillary Clinton gets a full pass on her private email server as Secretary of State.

The Pact - August 12, 2022, 7:31 am

Well, with how slow the wheels of justice are turning in the USA these days, maybe they'll put him on trial for something in 2027.

Goku - August 12, 2022, 7:37 am

(Aug 12, 2022 07:12 AM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Meanwhile, Hillary Clinton gets a full pass on her private email server as Secretary of State.

And everyone is ignoring Hunter Biden's laptop...

Happeh - August 12, 2022, 7:40 am

(Aug 12, 2022 07:12 AM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) To me the whole nuclear documents thing is the strangest part of this all. You can get instructions online on how to build a nuclear device. Because of satellites and other tech we know where all the silos are too. Not many secrets left when it comes to nukes. I am sure both the Russians and Chinese know almost everything about our nuclear program. I'd be more concerned if it was info about the hypersonic missiles.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hypersonic_weapon

I'm sure there's a lot of secrets involving nukes any government doesn't want us to see. How many there, how many are ready at one time, nuclear threats from others. The reported leak never mentioned if it was US based nukes or foreign either, so its very interesting. But again, I'm holding, its worrying but if all it is is a document saying "yeah we have nukes" then its whatever.

(Aug 12, 2022 07:12 AM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) And [Happeh](#) I just don't see how anyone can not see the pattern of harassment against Trump from all angles. Eventually the National Archives is suppose to get all material but some Presidents seem to leave and hold onto them for a while.

The entire episode is bazaar and just more attacks on Trump through MSM and the Justice Department. If it's not endless hearings it is endless legal action. None of which has proven him guilty of anything. No President has ever been as persecuted as Trump. But I assume you think it's warranted and that someone like you believe he must be a criminal because of these investigations.

You'd be surprised because of our forays in the past, but I don't think he's automatically guilty. I would like the same scrutiny for all parties, regardless of presidency or political leanings if they were also shipping classified documents off to their homes. This isn't because it's Trump, not for me anyway. As previously mentioned, I'm going to wait and see what happens because so far in other investigations related to his presidency he's passed.

(Aug 12, 2022 07:12 AM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Meanwhile, Hillary Clinton gets a full pass on her private email server as Secretary of State.

Wasn't this heavily investigated? I'm sure I remember reading some people even think she lost the election because it was investigated again, but both times she was found innocent and wasn't handling classified information, even if it was naughty what she did.

Omniscient - August 12, 2022, 7:59 am

Quote:I would like the same scrutiny for all parties, regardless of presidency or political leanings if they were also shipping classified documents off to their homes. This isn't because it's Trump, not for me anyway.

But it's not "all parties" now is it? It's just Trump because Hillary got a pass and as mentioned by [Goku](#) it seems the FBI is ignoring Hunter Biden. He should have been raided, arrested, put on trial, and convicted by now. The laptop came out about 2 years ago. It's not even new.

Meanwhile basically everyone surrounding Trump gets 24/7 legal harassment.

So while you say it's not about Trump and you want equal justice, if that was true then I'd expect you to express more anger of the unfair treatment. But I think you're fooling yourself. Most of us would probably be okay with going after all the politicians, but instead they are going only after Conservatives and absolutely anyone connected in the slightest way to Trump.

Quote:Wasn't this heavily investigated? I'm sure I remember reading some people even think she lost the election because it was investigated again, but both times she was found innocent and wasn't handling classified information, even if it was naughty what she did.

It was a joke. Because of her position of power they basically whitewashed it and made it go away. No raid, no charges, no arrests, no convictions, no smearing by MSM.

Trust me if Trump did the exact same thing, he'd be arrested. They are dying, just dying, to get him on something. They believe if they can convict him of something they can stop him from running in 2024. That's political persecution. You should not be using the Justice Department to take out political opponents. That's something dictators do.

I think I'd respect you more if you just stated you hated Trump and wanted him gone versus you want fairness and he must have done something wrong. Faking that you have no bias but then having bias, just means you're delusional to reality.

Happeh - August 12, 2022, 8:10 am

(Aug 12, 2022 07:59 AM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) But it's not "all parties" now is it? It's just Trump

because Hillary got a pass and as mentioned by [Goku](#) it seems the FBI is ignoring Hunter Biden. He should have been raided, arrested, put on trial, and convicted by now. The laptop came out about 2 years ago. It's not even new.

Meanwhile basically everyone surrounding Trump gets 24/7 legal harassment.

So while you say it's not about Trump and you want equal justice, if that was true then I'd expect you to express more anger of the unfair treatment. But I think you're fooling yourself. Most of us would probably be okay with going after all the politicians, but instead they are going only after Conservatives and absolutely anyone connected in the slightest way to Trump.

It was a joke. Because of her position of power they basically whitewashed it and made it go away. No raid, no charges, no arrests, no convictions, no smearing by MSM.

Trust me if Trump did the exact same thing, he'd be arrested. They are dying, just dying, to get him on something. They believe if they can convict him of something they can stop him from running in 2024. That's political persecution. You should not be using the Justice Department to take out political opponents. That's something dictators do.

I'm happy with all of this, no one should be above the law. The whataboutism is in full effect though, its a discussion on Trump and his raid, but the conversation always seems to be pushed to "what about Hillary?" "what about Biden's kid?".

(Aug 12, 2022 07:59 AM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think I'd respect you more if you just stated you hated Trump and wanted him gone versus you want fairness and he must have done something wrong. Faking that you have no bias but then having bias, just means you're delusional to reality.

I have never said I never hated Trump, dude steals from his own charity, lies and cheats, full of hypocrisies. All I said was so far is he hasn't been guilty and I'll hold off until evidence seems to be on the table. I also never faked I didn't have a bias:

(Aug 12, 2022 05:24 AM)Happeh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I feel like you're being far too nice on some of that, but that's my bias.

Omniscient - August 12, 2022, 8:12 am

btw this highlights the extent of the FBI's attack on everyone even remotely close to Trump.

<https://www.thedailybeast.com/all-of-the-trumpworld-figures-whove-been-arrested-indicted-or-jailed>

Each of those making headlines as "Trump Associated Arrested", "Trump Associate on Trial", and "Trump Associated Pleads Guilty". You can see how many of them are simply for "lying" which is super easy to get someone on. You basically question them for hours multiples times and if you ever contradict yourself then you lied to the FBI and that's a charge. One that is easily proven with a recording. Even if the lie itself is benign like answering the question "what did you eat for breakfast on the 5th of July", if you say pancakes one day and eggs on another, you're convicted.

I dare you to look up the "lie" that each of them were convicted of and judge for yourself if it's substantial information. Because I've noticed that you can never find out the actual lie told online. Why is that?

Stone is the only one I can find because he had testimony to Congress that didn't match his records.

Happeh - August 12, 2022, 10:15 am

Nice summary from a legal standpoint.

Warrant released:

<https://eu.usatoday.com/story/news/politics/2022/08/12/search-warrant-trump-maralago-released/10306846002/>

Yes, they were classified. Naughty boy.

BBC News' breakdown:

Bluffer - August 12, 2022, 3:42 pm

Right will argue that Trump committed no crime, Left will argue he's guilty of many.

The question is what if he really is guilty of a crime? What if it were not a conspiracy against him from the globalists to stop him from becoming POTUS again? What are the standards of proof that would ere Republicans to believe he's guilty of espionage or something?

fzza - August 12, 2022, 5:50 pm

(Aug 9, 2022 11:49 AM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Oh that's total bullshit. The raid is intended to make you believe that nonsense. What has come out of 2 impeachments? NOTHING. What came out of the 3 year investigation by the NY Attorney General? Nothing. What's going to come out of the J6 hearings? Nothing.

What came out of this raid? Lots of classified documents.

Itachi Uchiha - August 12, 2022, 6:16 pm

(Aug 12, 2022 03:42 PM)Bluffer Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Right will argue that Trump committed no crime, Left will argue he's guilty of many.

The question is what if he really is guilty of a crime? What if it were not a conspiracy against him from the globalists to stop him from becoming POTUS again? What are the standards of proof that would ere Republicans to believe he's guilty of espionage or something?

No level of proof can change crazy.

If Trump came out said admitted to everything the left has accused him of, the right(Omni's newest post) would talk all about how he's courageous for owning up to his mistake. Then they'd vote for him 100x over.

Heisenberg - August 12, 2022, 11:10 pm

(Aug 12, 2022 03:17 AM)The Pact Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I thought it was the FBI who raided the former president's estate.

Why did the FBI raid the former president? Why didn't they raid the clintons? Seems like the career criminals on the left are favored by the FBI.

The Pact - August 13, 2022, 11:30 am

(Aug 12, 2022 07:12 AM) Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The whole nuclear documents thing is the strangest part of this. Did Trump take important and classified documents out of the White House just for the purpose of trolling Joe Biden?

Blazin - August 13, 2022, 2:07 pm

Trump is literally under a super microscope. He can't sneeze without someone trying to throw shade at him. Makes me sick when you think of everyone else who gets away with it like Biden/Hilary etc.

flyingduck - August 13, 2022, 2:42 pm

(Aug 12, 2022 08:10 AM)Happeh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm happy with all of this, no one should be above the law. The whataboutism is in full effect though, its a discussion on Trump and his raid, but the conversation always seems to be pushed to "what about Hillary?" "what about Biden's kid?".

I have never said I never hated Trump, dude steals from his own charity, lies and cheats, full of hypocrisies. All I said was so far is he hasn't been guilty and I'll hold off until evidence seems to be on the table. I also never faked I didn't have a bias:

in regards of whataboutism:

its easy to use fighting terms. no one can't deny how hard trump got canceled, smear campaigns, etc.

but everything the left does is "forgotten"

<https://dailynewsbreak.org/updated-clinton-kill-list-the-list-of-people-who-mysteriously-died-after-bein-g-associates-with-the-clintons/>

Happeh - August 13, 2022, 3:47 pm

(Aug 13, 2022 02:42 PM)flyingduck Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) in regards of whataboutism:

its easy to use fighting terms. no one can't deny how hard trump got canceled, smear campaigns, etc.

but everything the left does is "forgotten"

<https://dailynewsbreak.org/updated-clinton-kill-list-the-list-of-people-who-mysteriously-died-after-bein-g-associates-with-the-clintons/>

Missed the entire point of what you were quoting.

The Pact - August 14, 2022, 12:44 am

(Aug 9, 2022 09:54 AM)Goku Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Interested in the outcome. I hope that this won't rile up a civil war. The last thing the world needs.

It won't and you know why? Because they aren't going to arrest him for anything until 2026 or 2027, when he will be arrested for.....something or other. With all the cases they got going I guess one will stick eventually, but it won't be fast. Will all the Trump cultists and Trump fans still get up in arms by 2027?

As for people who don't want him to run... well I guess they're just hoping for the shadow of all these court cases to cause harm to Trump's campaign. But those people are going to hate DeSantis even more and DeSantis won't bring out all the hoards to vote against him like Trump triggered the Trump haters to do in 2020. So if DeSantis runs without Trump in the picture the GOP is more likely to win anyway I think.

NoodleBot™ - August 15, 2022, 3:51 pm

(Aug 8, 2022 08:30 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is bad imho. The Extreme Right is very pissed off. Imagine what happens if they arrest Trump on some bullshit. It might be the trigger for a full-scale revolution.

Oh no, not you too...

TimeshiftR - August 16, 2022, 5:30 pm

Their greatest fear is Trump running again and winning in 2024. That's all this is about.

Xamarin - August 17, 2022, 10:52 pm

(Aug 12, 2022 06:16 PM)Itachi Uchiha Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No level of proof can change crazy.

If Trump came out said admitted to everything the left has accused him of, the right(Omni's newest post) would talk all about how he's courageous for owning up to his mistake. Then they'd vote for him 100x over.

I wish I could QoL you more than once. Shit's crazy.

Desert - August 18, 2022, 7:27 am

They waste so many resources bothering Trump for non sense this has been going on forever when Trump was on office the liberals did nothing but try to make him look bad that is all the liberals did people don't seem to realize Trump was the best president we ever had Trump had the lowest employment rate in US history ever. It's funny these Liberals waste so many resources on trying to find dirt on Trump but the Liberals were sure fast to drop everything with Hillary Clinton & Joe Biden son.. Isn't it ironic? Oh an also how the Liberals were so fast to drop the epiesten case? you don't really hear about that anymore? United States is being taking over by a bunch of rainbow colored hair freaks who don't want to work an want free shit an wanna remove our constitution, our constitution was written to protect THE PEOPLE an these morons are so fast to wanna remove our most important right our 2nd amendment that is what keeps us equal you can sit here an cry about gun rights if you want but i know one thing though what did Hitler do to the Jews? Hitler took there guns away labeled them made the Jewish look like horrible people if you ask me i think the same thing is happening now

The Pact - August 18, 2022, 4:59 pm

Impeachments are toothless bullshit in general because they are based on who controls the House and Senate rather than on truth and justice. But those protections aren't there for Trump anymore.

However it's my understanding that none of this will prevent Trump from running in 2024. These various investigations into Trump will just go on forever and we won't hear the conclusions until 2026. They won't stop him running IF he wants to run. And I think he probably does. Maybe I'm wrong and they'll speed it up. But the USA has court cases running right now for major crimes that were committed four years ago. It's really fucked up.

Factor8™ - August 19, 2022, 4:11 am

The FBI dun goofed by forgetting this. They can't target Trump for having classified documents, because the president can declassify anything.

Happeh - August 19, 2022, 9:28 am

(Aug 19, 2022 04:11 AM)Factor8™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The FBI dun goofed by forgetting this. They can't target Trump for having classified documents, because the president can declassify anything. No, he can't.

An example of documents he can't are nuclear related...

Quote:So how does classification work?

There are three main categories of classified material - confidential, secret and top secret. These

are given depending on the extent to which officials deem the public release of the material would damage national security.

When materials are classified, they are marked as such and only certain people - those who have passed the relevant level of security vetting - should be able to view them. There are also rules dictating how classified information is transported and stored.

Some senior officials do have the power to declassify documents. The president, too, has the authority to do this, but would usually delegate the task to those who have direct responsibility for the material.

It's worth noting that documents related to nuclear weapons cannot be declassified by the president, as they fall under a different law, according to a security specialist who spoke to the Washington Post.

As the BBC's US partner CBS reports, the president cannot declassify documents by simply saying so. A written memo would usually be drafted and signed by the president, before a consultation process takes place with the relevant agencies.

Once a final decision is made, the old classification level would be crossed out and the document marked as "declassified on x date".

It is unclear whether Mr Trump followed the typical process with the documents recovered from Mar-a-Lago.

Does it matter if the documents were declassified?

In a legal sense, probably not.

That's because prosecutors are investigating three potential crimes. These are:

- Willful retention of national defence information
- Obstruction of federal investigation
- Concealment or removal of government records

Crucially, none of the three criminal laws in question actually depend on whether or not the files were declassified.

This means it is uncertain whether Mr Trump's argument about declassification would hold up in court or even be considered relevant by a judge.

There are also elements of this story beyond declassification that could prove relevant. There are laws designed to prevent national security documents from being mishandled, for example, while the Presidential Records Act dictates that presidents risk committing a civil offence if official records are not preserved.

<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-us-canada-62528709>

Omniscient - August 19, 2022, 1:01 pm

(Aug 19, 2022 09:28 AM)Happeh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No, he can't.

An example of documents he can't are nuclear related...
Yes, he can. From 2017 Politifact itself.

<https://www.politifact.com/factchecks/2017/may/16/james-risch/does-president-have-ability-declassify-anything-an/>

Quote: Experts agreed that the president, as commander in chief, is ultimately responsible for classification and declassification. When people lower in the chain of command handle classification and declassification duties — which is usually how it's done — it's because they have been delegated to do so by the president directly, or by an appointee chosen by the president.

Realistically before you make an assumption. Why aren't doing the research? Exactly who has classification powers above the President who is the Commander In Chief?

Here is the Supreme Court....

Quote: "The President, after all, is the 'Commander in Chief of the Army and Navy of the United States'" according to Article II of the Constitution, the court's majority wrote. "His authority to classify and control access to information bearing on national security ... flows primarily from this constitutional investment of power in the President, and exists quite apart from any explicit congressional grant."

Omniscient - August 19, 2022, 1:09 pm

Just to add this is from that BBC article...

Quote: Some senior officials do have the power to declassify documents. The president, too, has the authority to do this, but would usually delegate the task to those who have direct responsibility for the material.

It's worth noting that documents related to nuclear weapons cannot be declassified by the president, as they fall under a different law, according to a security specialist who spoke to the Washington Post.

Exactly which law supersedes the Presidents authority? Why don't they ever quote the source material? Supreme Court is the law of the land and it's absolutely clear the President has the authority.

<https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-10/chapter-X/part-1045>

Fucking mumbo-jumbo and I can't find where it says the President doesn't have his authority. It wouldn't win in a court case anyways because Supreme Court has made it clear who the Commander In Chief really is.

There is nothing confusing or unclear about it.

Happeh - August 19, 2022, 3:17 pm

(Aug 19, 2022 01:01 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yes, he can. From 2017 Politifact itself.

<https://www.politifact.com/factchecks/2017/may/16/james-risch/does-president-have-ability-declassify-anything-an/>

Realistically before you make an assumption. Why aren't doing the research? Exactly who has classification powers above the President who is the Commander In Chief?

Here is the Supreme Court....

According to this lawyer and his lawyer guest, yes there are exceptions to things he can't declassify. I did do some basic research.

<https://youtu.be/ekefMUICOGGo?t=1046>

Omniscient - August 19, 2022, 3:34 pm

(Aug 19, 2022 03:17 PM)Happeh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)

According to this lawyer and his lawyer guest, yes there are exceptions to things he can't declassify. I did do some basic research.

<https://youtu.be/ekefMUICOGGo?t=1046>

Good video. +1 on that share. What worries me most is that this is being used as another political attack on Trump by political opponents. Is there potential violations, absolutely. But if he wasn't Trump, and instead a Clinton or Obama, you think he'd face these types of intrusions and threats?

Trump spent an entire campaign on "Lock Her Up" as a message. When he got into office...did he weaponize the Justice Department and do it? No, he did not. Maybe he should have and I suspect if he gets elected, he's gonna clean house. They fear that the most. That a Trump win in 2024 will mean an end to their power completely.

I'm still willing to be \$100 that Trump is never arrested or charged with any crimes related to this raid. That it's all a dog and pony show meant to make Trump appear to be a criminal.

Desert - August 19, 2022, 5:22 pm

(Aug 18, 2022 04:59 PM)The Pact Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Impeachments are toothless bullshit in general because they are based on who controls the House and Senate rather than on truth and justice. But those protections aren't there for Trump anymore.

However it's my understanding that none of this will prevent Trump from running in 2024. These various investigations into Trump will just go on forever and we won't hear the conclusions until 2026. They won't stop him running IF he wants to run. And I think he probably does. Maybe I'm wrong and they'll speed it up. But the USA has court cases running right now for major crimes that were committed four years ago. It's really fucked up.

We need Trump that's when we actually had an economy & could actually pay normal prices for the everyday things we did to survive.. lol these liberals literally trashed our economy we are in a recession now go thank a liberal ? btw i could care less you neg rep me over what i say if you need to cry go find some tissues

J3reach - August 20, 2022, 1:57 am

(Aug 19, 2022 03:34 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Good video. +1 on that share. What worries me most is that this is being used as another political attack on Trump by political opponents. Is there potential violations, absolutely. But if he wasn't Trump, and instead a Clinton or Obama, you think he'd face these types of intrusions and threats?

Trump spent an entire campaign on "Lock Her Up" as a message. When he got into office...did he weaponize the Justice Department and do it? No, he did not. Maybe he should have and I suspect

if he gets elected, he's gonna clean house. They fear that the most. That a Trump win in 2024 will mean an end to their power completely.

I'm still willing to be \$100 that Trump is never arrested or charged with any crimes related to this raid. That it's all a dog and pony show meant to make Trump appear to be a criminal.

Now, I agree with the fact that Trump is presented to be a criminal. The leftists and the average person will not like Trump and to the point where they would go to extremes in order to discredit him. You can't discredit everything he did while in presidency. He helped the economy tenfold and made other countries think they can't walk over us anymore. But the one thing that I can understand is how his words reflect on us as a country. I think if he was a little more proper spoken and not so "doggish" I feel as if his general reception from the public would be more uniform.

Vibe - August 20, 2022, 2:05 am

(Aug 19, 2022 01:01 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yes, he can. From 2017 Politifact itself.

<https://www.politifact.com/factchecks/2017/may/16/james-risch/does-president-have-ability-declassify-anything-an/>

Realistically before you make an assumption. Why aren't doing the research? Exactly who has classification powers above the President who is the Commander In Chief?

Here is the Supreme Court....

Did I miss where he declassify them before he took them

The Pact - August 20, 2022, 5:00 am

(Aug 19, 2022 05:22 PM)Desert Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) We need Trump that's when we actually had an economy & could actually pay normal prices for the everyday things we did to survive.. lol these liberals literally trashed our economy we are in a recession now go thank a liberal ? btw i could care less you neg rep me over what i say if you need to cry go find some tissues

I mean.....before Biden was elected didn't we all know that there was going to be a recession due to Covid lockdowns and other pandemic issues?

Rahul007rhp - August 20, 2022, 7:42 am

Whatever the case but i seriously miss Trump things could have been interesting if he was in power

1. The tale in Afghanistan
2. The China Taiwan issue
3. The Ukraine Russian issue

IMO.

Omniscient - August 20, 2022, 7:46 am

(Aug 20, 2022 07:42 AM)Rahul007rhp Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Whatever the case but i seriously miss Trump things could have been interesting if he was in power

1. The tale in Afghanistan
2. The China Taiwan issue
3. The Ukraine Russian issue

IMO.

Russia would not have invaded Ukraine under Trump. That's my strong opinion. Trump would not have screwed up the exit of Afghanistan so that soldiers died for nothing. I think though that Trump with relations to Taiwan, he would have been very tough about it.

<https://www.brookings.edu/on-the-record/the-trump-administrations-policies-toward-taiwan/>

Interestingly enough Biden means Ukraine gets invaded but Trump might have forced China to invade Taiwan. Who knows if an alternate timeline exists where Trump has us in the exact situation anyways?

Rahul007rhp - August 20, 2022, 8:46 am

(Aug 20, 2022 07:46 AM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Russia would not have invaded Ukraine under Trump. That's my strong opinion. Trump would not have screwed up the exit of Afghanistan so that soldiers died for nothing. I think though that Trump with relations to Taiwan, he would have been very tough about it.

<https://www.brookings.edu/on-the-record/the-trump-administrations-policies-toward-taiwan/>

Interestingly enough Biden means Ukraine gets invaded but Trump might have forced China to invade Taiwan. Who knows if an alternate timeline exists where Trump has us in the exact situation anyways?

Im basically from India and news channel here are high on conspiracy theories of their own

They telecasted that it might be their (USA) plan that they pushed Ukrain into war with Russia , recently after Nancy visit raising concerns with Taiwan & Chinese. So they could Sanction Chinese if something happens & strongly align themselves with Quad countries and dominate Indo-Pacific.

Basically crushing dreams of China to be the number 1.

Dennis - August 20, 2022, 9:30 am

(Aug 20, 2022 07:46 AM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Russia would not have invaded Ukraine under Trump. That's my strong opinion. Trump would not have screwed up the exit of Afghanistan so that soldiers died for nothing. I think though that Trump with relations to Taiwan, he would have been very tough about it.

<https://www.brookings.edu/on-the-record/the-trump-administrations-policies-toward-taiwan/>

Interestingly enough Biden means Ukraine gets invaded but Trump might have forced China to invade Taiwan. Who knows if an alternate timeline exists where Trump has us in the exact situation anyways?

While I fully agree that Biden made it "easier" for Russia to invade Ukraine, I really wonder what makes you think that Trump could have done anything, that would have stopped Russia from attacking? Infact its known by now, that the war has been planned for at least 2years+. Also Trump is being hyped on Russian state TV. If that doesnt make you question things...

The world watched when Russia annexed parts of Georgia. The world watched when Russia annexed Crimea. This is the first time in years where Russia didnt just get a free pass to do whatever they want.

Omniscient - August 20, 2022, 10:45 am

(Aug 20, 2022 09:30 AM)Dennis Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) While I fully agree that Biden made it "easier" for Russia to invade Ukraine, I really wonder what makes you think that Trump could have done anything, that would have stopped Russia from attacking? Infact its known by now, that the war has been planned for at least 2years+. Also Trump is being hyped on Russian state TV. If that doesnt make you question things...

The world watched when Russia annexed parts of Georgia. The world watched when Russia annexed Crimea. This is the first time in years where Russia didn't just get a free pass to do whatever they want.

The whole invasion is predicated on the fact that Democrats want Ukraine in NATO. Trump would have made a deal or at least a real threat Putin would have taken more seriously. And yes, it's pure speculation and I could be 100% wrong, or 100% right.

Desert - August 20, 2022, 10:49 am

(Aug 20, 2022 05:00 AM)The Pact Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I mean.....before Biden was elected didn't we all know that there was going to be a recession due to Covid lockdowns and other pandemic issues? Even with the lockdowns gas was still low with trump as our president in my area we were paying \$2.35 a gallon and the lockdown has nothing to do with the price of gas it's with Joe Biden shutting down the pipe line to Canada.. which caused it to sky rocket.....

The Pact - August 21, 2022, 4:52 am

(Aug 19, 2022 04:11 AM)Factor8™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The FBI dun goofed by forgetting this. They can't target Trump for having classified documents, because the president can declassify anything. They didn't forget lol. The FBI are saying there is no evidence he declassified them.

"Merely proclaiming a document, or group of documents declassified and doing nothing more would not suffice," "Bradley Moss, a Washington, D.C.-based lawyer who works on national security cases, told PolitiFact.

Follow-through is required.

"He had to identify the specific documents he was declassifying, he needed to memorialize the order in writing for bureaucratic and historical purposes, and he needed to have staff physically modify the classification markings on the documents themselves," Moss said. "

But others say it is all uncharted territory. I doubt there will be an arrest any time soon.

<https://www.politifact.com/article/2022/aug/11/could-trump-argue-declassified-documents/>

Omniscient - August 22, 2022, 4:15 pm

(Aug 21, 2022 04:52 AM)The Pact Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) They didn't forget lol. The FBI are saying there is no evidence he declassified them.

"Merely proclaiming a document, or group of documents declassified and doing nothing more would not suffice," "Bradley Moss, a Washington, D.C.-based lawyer who works on national security cases, told PolitiFact.

Follow-through is required.

"He had to identify the specific documents he was declassifying, he needed to memorialize the order in writing for bureaucratic and historical purposes, and he needed to have staff physically modify the classification markings on the documents themselves," Moss said. "

But others say it is all uncharted territory. I doubt there will be an arrest any time soon.

<https://www.politifact.com/article/2022/aug/11/could-trump-argue-declassified-documents/>

There will never be an arrest from this. Because no Jury would convict on this flimsy evidence and even an arrest along with charges would give Trump a reason to sue everyone involved into oblivion. He's already suing for the search, and he's gonna win too.

SIM-3878067 - August 24, 2022, 2:26 pm

(Aug 9, 2022 02:41 AM)Nas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) For an ex US President to be raided by the FBI with an approved warrant from a judge means they have a lot of evidence for something he did already. They wouldn't take the risk of the heat if they did not.

Not everything is about extreme right or extreme left. No one is above the law in this country and nor is the former President. That's what separates us from 3rd world countries or countries ruled by kings and queens. Let justice do it's job.

Agreed.

Omniscient - August 24, 2022, 2:34 pm

(Aug 24, 2022 02:26 PM)RUixP Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Agreed.

So you're gonna ignore that time when they spied on the Trump campaign with a legal warrant based on fake information provided by the Clinton campaign?

"A Judge signed it, must be legit". Is that basically it?

Wonder if you feel that way about ever Judge signature or just the ones you agree with?

Nas - August 24, 2022, 2:43 pm

(Aug 24, 2022 02:34 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So you're gonna ignore that time when they spied on the Trump campaign with a legal warrant based on fake information provided by the Clinton campaign?

"A Judge signed it, must be legit". Is that basically it?

Wonder if you feel that way about ever Judge signature or just the ones you agree with?

Did Obama and Biden spy on the Trump campaign?

CLAIM

Trump said, "Well, look, the Obama campaign spied on our campaign, and they've been caught, all right?" He added, "It's probably treason. It's a horrible thing they did. ... They used the intelligence agencies of our country to spy on my campaign, and they have been caught."

CONCLUSION

This is comprehensively wrong. There is no evidence that Obama or Biden personally directed the FBI to surveil people in the Trump campaign

EVIDENCE

Term-limited President Barack Obama did not run a "campaign" in 2016, when the FBI investigated the Trump campaign's relationship with Russia; nothing related to the Russia investigation comes remotely close to meeting the Constitution's definition of treason.

Also, FBI Director Christopher Wray, who was appointed by Trump, has said he would not use the word "spying" to describe what he called "surveillance activity." But that is more subjective.

REF: https://www.cnn.com/factsfirst/politics/factcheck_95a7597b-6d2f-42f3-b1b8-e0320193f60a

Where is the evidence that a judge signed a warrant to spy on Trump campaign based on fake information provided by the Clinton campaign?

Omniscient - August 24, 2022, 3:00 pm

(Aug 24, 2022 02:43 PM)Nas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Where is the evidence that a judge signed a warrant to spy on Trump campaign based on fake information provided by the Clinton campaign? First, never mentioned Obama.

And...

From CNN itself.

<https://www.cnn.com/2021/11/18/politics/steele-dossier-reckoning/index.html>

Quote:Mother Jones first revealed the existence of the dossier a few days before the 2016 election, and said the memos were part of an "opposition research project" underwritten by Democrats. Nearly a year passed before the full truth came out about the financing: The money flowed from Hillary Clinton's presidential campaign to law firm Perkins Coie

and...

Quote:But the dossier did play a major role in the investigation into Page, the lower-level Trump foreign policy adviser, and helped the FBI secure a warrant to wiretap him after he left the campaign in September 2016

That bogus dossier was used to convince Judges to sign warrants.

Since I have now proven my point. Does this change your mind at all? Or you still think Trump must have done something illegal to get raided?

Also from a more Conservative news outlet that really blasts it...

<https://nypost.com/2021/11/05/inside-the-clinton-dossier-and-the-con-behind-the-russiagate-scandal/>

Nas - August 24, 2022, 3:28 pm

(Aug 24, 2022 03:00 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) First, never mentioned Obama.

And...

From CNN itself.

<https://www.cnn.com/2021/11/18/politics/steele-dossier-reckoning/index.html>

and...

That bogus dossier was used to convince Judges to sign warrants.

Since I have now proven my point. Does this change your mind at all? Or you still think Trump must have done something illegal to get raided?

Also from a more Conservative news outlet that really blasts it...

https://nypost.com/2021/11/05/inside-the-clinton-dossier-and-the-con-behind-the-russiagate-scandal

/

Ohh, you are talking about this story...

1) They had probable cause

Quote: Looking at the big picture, Steele was right that Russia used "trusted agents of influence" to target Trump's inner circle. And he was correct to suspect there were secret contacts between Trump aides and Russian officials, even though Trump denied any Russian ties

A warrant does not need to be 100% concrete proof, they just need probable cause for a Judge to sign a warrant and they did.

And to be honest for what he was being accused of in the Trump–Russia dossier, I don't blame the Judge for signing the warrant. Better to be safe than sorry.

2) I believe if a Judge signs a warrant with probably cause then yes of course they should get investigated. Investigations happen on a daily basis to a lot of people around the country from all different types of classes. Does that mean that every single person that has gotten investigated a criminal? No. But due diligence must be met.

3) For Trump's recent raid, from what I have read and gathered so far that is publically known and not from Trump's Social Media tweets, I still do believe it was justified and I'm eager to see what the investigation results in.

4) OPINION WISE: I don't hate Trump, I actually enjoyed most of his time in office. He laid it out flat and did not care what anyone thought about him, which was a much needed change of the standard politicians. This all put aside, I do believe he is sketchy and it's highly likely he abused his privilege's and powers for pure selfish reasons which is one of the down sides of what I enjoyed about him originally. Does it mean he was the only public official to abuse their privilege's for selfish reasons? No, but at least they had the decency to do it in the shadow. When it's done in the light, it makes our country look weak and leaves a bad taste in people's mouth over the world.

SIM-3878067 - August 24, 2022, 3:57 pm

(Aug 24, 2022 02:34 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So you're gonna ignore that time when they spied on the Trump campaign with a legal warrant based on fake information provided by the Clinton campaign?

"A Judge signed it, must be legit". Is that basically it?

Wonder if you feel that way about ever Judge signature or just the ones you agree with?

From what I've seen so far the judge seems to have a good reason. A quick search brings up: the judge noted the "intense public and historical interest in an unprecedented search of a former President's residence,"

"Some documents related to the search warrant have already been released, showing that a number of classified documents had been found at Mar-a-Lago."

Until we see the search warrant affidavit and get more information we can only speculate. It doesn't make much sense for a judge of his status to throw his career and reputation away for no good reason. I'll be following up closely on this.

<https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/donald-trump/judge-indicates-may-not-unseal-much-trump-mar-lago-search-affidavit-rcna44190>

<https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/donald-trump/trump-mar-lago-search-warrant-property-receipt-show-agents-found-trove-rcna42793>

Happeh - August 25, 2022, 8:07 am

(Aug 19, 2022 03:34 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Good video. +1 on that share. What worries me most is that this is being used as another political attack on Trump by political opponents. Is there potential violations, absolutely. But if he wasn't Trump, and instead a Clinton or Obama, you think he'd face these types of intrusions and threats?

Trump spent an entire campaign on "Lock Her Up" as a message. When he got into office...did he weaponize the Justice Department and do it? No, he did not. Maybe he should have and I suspect if he gets elected, he's gonna clean house. They fear that the most. That a Trump win in 2024 will mean an end to their power completely.

I mean you answered your own question; Clinton did face scrutiny over her emails, even going as far as blaming the [FBI for her loss of the election](#). She was leading in most polls up until the FBI called her careless. So she's not exactly a fan of them either. Regardless the suggestion is they're weaponising the DOJ to go after Trump seems fabricated. Biden claims he had 0 heads-up about the raid.

Feels like right now there's a lot of back-breaking to point at other people while screaming it's unfair on Trump, rather than talking about what Trump did: take home highly classified documents and inappropriately store them.

(Aug 19, 2022 03:34 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm still willing to be \$100 that Trump is never arrested or charged with any crimes related to this raid. That it's all a dog and pony show meant to make Trump appear to be a criminal.

I also doubt he'll be charged. Like I previously said, I'll wait for the system to do its thing and see what happens. Sometimes I do wonder though, the man seems to employ or be friendly with a lot of criminals. The same way a mob-boss might have fall guys around him...

Happeh - August 26, 2022, 3:59 pm

38 pages justifying the raid. Lots of stuff. Still slightly classified to protect witnesses.

<https://fm.cnbc.com/applications/cnbc.com/resources/editorialfiles/2022/08/26/govuscourtsflsd6178541021.pdf>

The Pact - August 26, 2022, 11:14 pm

Why did he take the documents with him? That's what isn't being discussed.

Factor8™ - August 27, 2022, 1:15 am

<https://www.zerohedge.com/political/trump-search-warrant-affidavit-has-been-released>

The irony...

<https://thefederalist.com/2022/08/26/confirmed-fbi-colluded-with-big-tech-to-prevent-voters-from-learning-about-hunter-bidens-laptop/>

Happeh - August 27, 2022, 3:59 am

(Aug 27, 2022 01:15 AM)Factor8™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)

<https://thefederalist.com/2022/08/26/confirmed-fbi-colluded-with-big-tech-to-prevent-voters-from-learning-about-hunter-bidens-laptop/>

Do your own research... or just watch the clip linked to the story.

In the Joe Rogan clip:

Joe: "Did they [FBI] specifically say you need to be on guard about that [Hunter Biden's Laptop] story?"

Mark: "No... I don't remember if it was that specifically."

Also, funny because I keep wanting this to be about Trump but again...

(Aug 25, 2022 08:07 AM)Happeh Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Feels like right now there's a lot of back-breaking to point at other people while screaming it's unfair on Trump, rather than talking about what Trump did: take home highly classified documents and inappropriately store them.

Good video again by Legal Eagle. Wealth of information from him:

Omniscient - August 27, 2022, 2:59 pm

[Happeh](#)

<https://int.nyt.com/data/documenttools/mar-a-lago-affidavit-pdf/5cf823c5850b5e30/full.pdf>

That's the redacted Search Warrant.

From the document itself.

Quote:PresidentialActions Involving Classified Documents Are Not Subject To Criminal Sanction.

Any attempt to impose criminal liability on a President or former President that involves his actions with respect to documents marked classified would implicate grave constitutional separation- of powers issues. Beyond that , the primary criminal statute that governs the unauthorized removal and retention of classified documents or material does not apply to the President.

And my favorite...

So all that blustering by that lawyer in the video, that's bullshit. Get 5 lawyers in a room to decide what the color blue is and you get a rainbow minus lawyer fees.

It just seems like they raided Trump to secure some documents they believed weren't secure. Trump himself once again, in the clear. My guess is they'll charge someone that moved the boxes this way they can say another Trump associate arrested and convicted for mishandling of classified material. Another witch hunt in other words.

The Pact - August 28, 2022, 4:37 am

MSM claims that this scandal is more dangerous for Trump.

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2022/aug/27/trump-georgia-investigation-grand-jury-election>

Omniscient - August 28, 2022, 10:26 am

(Aug 28, 2022 04:37 AM)The Pact Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) MSM claims that this scandal is more dangerous for Trump.

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2022/aug/27/trump-georgia-investigation-grand-jury-election>

More of the same. Headlines, legal actions that lead to nothing, and blatant attempts to stop his power with the people. It's not gonna work. We are wise to their tactics, at least those of us who are paying attention closely. Trump represents something to many of his fans. He's a guy willing to stand up to their tyranny and say "fuck you". All their attempts to take him down only enrage us. It tells us very clearly who the enemy is and the lengths they will go to maintain their own corrupt power over us.

What do you think America's Founding Father's went through from King George and in England when they were simply trying to free the people.

This is leading to revolution. Even if it doesn't happen in this decade, it's coming unless we stop these maniacs soon (with elections). The build up for The Revolution took many years to lead up to violence.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/American_Revolution#1651%E2%80%931763:_Early_seeds

One of my favorite facts about the Revolution is the infamous Boston Tea Party. We revolted against a tax on tea that King George imposed on America. We were outraged. Guess how much that tax was? It was 3%.

I know people think Trump must be a criminal for them to go after him like this. They are going after him because he absolutely 100% represents America and 0% Globalist. They fear his rhetoric. They fear their population of controlled slaves wakes up.

I suggest to everyone you start reading everything you can that's not MSM. I also read MSM just to compare stories.

NPR a couple days ago had a full articles for example of LoTT and the Children's Hospital issues over surgeries for minors. I've been following the whole thing since day one and about a week later NPR has a completely biased news article. MSM are liars and manipulators. It's there if you choose to look. Open your eyes. Waken your mind. Don't be a pawn in their game anymore. Think for yourself.

Omniscient - August 29, 2022, 12:04 pm

I guess my post was a check-mate because those who spent the last week talking crap have suddenly gone silent.

Their only argument was that Trump had done something illegal by removing classified documents and storing them improperly. When in-fact he did not and even the actual Search Warrant backs up Trump's claims.

My guess is the FBI once again was under the direction of the Left. This gives new meaning to the phrase "Trumped up charges". Zero crime committed here. NONE. Trump could have taken those documents to the beach and handed them out if he chose to do so.

The only issue might simply be for archival storage purposes. None of which is justified to raid Mar-A-Lago and create smearing headlines. They knew what they were doing the entire time. Just look at the responses in social media and here on HF. That Trump has to be a criminal. What fucking nonsense and you all for it every single time though it's been years of this bullshit.

When a Sheriff in a small town arrests a guy 6 times and every time those charges are dropped, that's not because he's a criminal. It's because the Sheriff is harassing the person out of personal vendetta. How can you not see that? And basically if you agree with the Sheriff, then you also have

a personal problem with Trump. Because your own bias and dislike of Trump you believe he's a criminal. Not because in-fact he is or has done anything worthy of such scrutiny.

Fuck every single one of you that called Trump a criminal over this and now sit silent when the facts are right there.

Happeh - August 30, 2022, 5:38 pm

(Aug 27, 2022 02:59 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) [Happeh](#)

<https://int.nyt.com/data/documenttools/mar-a-lago-affidavit-pdf/5cf823c5850b5e30/full.pdf>

That's the redacted Search Warrant.

From the document itself.

And my favorite...

[snip]

So all that blustering by that lawyer in the video, that's bullshit. Get 5 lawyers in a room to decide what the color blue is and you get a rainbow minus lawyer fees.

It just seems like they raided Trump to secure some documents they believed weren't secure. Trump himself once again, in the clear. My guess is they'll charge someone that moved the boxes this way they can say another Trump associate arrested and convicted for mishandling of classified material. Another witch hunt in other words.

We'll see, no real reply. Lawyer backs up his lawyer talk with his lawyer buddy with real case examples. But obviously if that's what the document says, it's what it says. For now this will sit and we'll see.

Makes me cringe at how much empathy Trump manages to elicit from certain folks, though.

(Aug 29, 2022 12:04 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Fuck every single one of you that called Trump a criminal over this and now sit silent when the facts are right there.

Phew, good thing I never called him a criminal.

langis - August 31, 2022, 9:58 am

This is actually really shocking?? Wtf!