

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Deal Dispute Policy](#)

Omniscient - October 13, 2022, 2:25 pm

Effective today, October 13th, 2022, I will be personally reviewing all NEW Deal Disputes. Scammers will get banned, invalid Disputes marked as so, and deals which are questionable I'll try to help resolve. In some instances I will give the party time to refund or provide service/product as part of the HF Contract.

This is the moment that for 15 years I have avoided but realistically the Contract system was created with this day in-mind.

Does this make HF Marketplace safer against scams? I don't believe so. I'm almost positive that a lot of accounts will be wrongfully closed and that false accusations will be persistent. But sometimes you gotta give your audience what they want.

I will be making the appropriate adjustments in the [Help Docs](#) and stickies.

Please note that you still need an HF Contract to open a Deal Dispute. I will only be reviewing official Disputes. That data in the Contract such as blockchain addresses for payment and terms are going to be vital in my decision making.

I will attempt to be quick about reviewing Deal Disputes but please do not send me a contact asking to look at your Dispute. There could be a 2-3 day waiting period for any new Dispute to be reviewed.

Thanks you.

Bullup - October 13, 2022, 2:28 pm

Honestly, you becoming a part of the contract system, makes the system pretty much perfect.

It's something that people have always wanted.

I'm surprised that you are gonna dedicate all that time though, as it will cost a lot of time for sure.

Honestly, this is going to be really good for the site.

Blazin - October 13, 2022, 2:28 pm

This is awesome news, and will make new members feel much more safe here without a doubt.

Herion - October 13, 2022, 2:31 pm

Oh damn, that's great. I am sure it'll make the marketplace a better place.

Omniscient - October 13, 2022, 2:34 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 02:28 PM)Bullup Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Honestly, you becoming a part of the contract system, makes the system pretty much perfect.

It's something that people have always wanted.

I'm surprised that you are gonna dedicate all that time though, as it will cost a lot of time for sure.

Honestly, this is going to be really good for the site.

Technically I don't think this will take up a great deal of time. It's literally one open dispute per day on average. Which is very good. A lot of disputes do get resolved by members. The system works as-is but I'm tired of the appearance I'm not doing enough. Perception does matter. If this action makes people feel better, I suppose why not. I'm actually okay with being an asshole and closing a load of accounts. I already do that anyways. I just closed 10 upgraded accounts for example. No fucks given.

Time will tell if this has any real impact on making HF "safer" or more accessible to people. Noobs are still going to get scammed off-site. Veteran members wishing to do an exit-scam are still going to do those too. But I suppose quicker action means less people get scammed, that's the hope.

webdev77 - October 13, 2022, 2:41 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 02:39 PM)Nintendo 64 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If anything this will just enforce members to always deal on site. I know I have a few clients that I message off site but I guess that'll have to change don't want to risk my account for a false accusations and it's better to have a paper trail through Convo/PMs. I'm not against this at all but it will change my way of doing deals to cover my ass.

Everyone should be dealing on site only anyways. ?

Omniscient - October 13, 2022, 2:41 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 02:39 PM)Nintendo 64 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If anything this will just enforce members to always deal on site. I know I have a few clients that I message off site but I guess that'll have to change don't want to risk my account for a false accusations and it's better to have a paper trail through Convo/PMs. I'm not against this at all but it will change my way of doing deals to cover my ass.

That's an automatic closed account for dealing without HF Contracts. So yes, members will want to cover their asses even harder with on-site evidence.

mickeh - October 13, 2022, 2:42 pm

i bet theres loads of disputes to go through too

Skorp - October 13, 2022, 2:45 pm

Just got off work to this! Never thought this would be something I would read honestly after all the years of the famous canned message about Staff doesn't get involved in Deal Disputes, but

' Wrote:It's something that people have always wanted.
This.

Hopefully scammers will think twice on scamming members, now they will be risking their account (which takes time to build up) after your investigation. Hope this works out (which I do believe it will). Now all those people saying you don't get involved in DD can f#%* off haha! :D

Smexy - October 13, 2022, 2:45 pm

I feel sorry for the amount of disputes you are gonna have to review :(

Sys-3200 - October 13, 2022, 2:47 pm

Good to hear, I spend much time lurking on Deal Disputes and I've happened to read stuff like "he won't get banned, staff doesn't get involved in disputes" and so on... (even though major/recidive scammers get closed and that's already a big point). And also many disputes stay stalled and left for days, weeks, months without a proper solution (while the alleged scammer keeps doing their shady stuff)

Your direct action and presence in DDs will surely give a proper "justice" feeling to both the parts involved according to their position and to the whole community.

Needless to say, good luck!

Edge - October 13, 2022, 2:48 pm

Maybe you could add an option after you submit a deal dispute to escalate. For example if the accused doesn't respond within 24 hours, the accuser has the option to escalate and you can look into it at that point. This would avoid having to view EVERY dispute that could be resolved between both parties on their own anyway.

I'm glad you're stepping in to oversee this. Should get rid of a lot of blatant scammers. This is great news.

Bullup - October 13, 2022, 2:48 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 02:34 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Technically I don't think this will take up a great deal of time. It's literally one open dispute per day on average. Which is very good. A lot of disputes do get resolved by members. The system works as-is but I'm tired of the appearance I'm not doing enough. Perception does matter. If this action makes people feel better, I suppose why not. I'm actually okay with being an asshole and closing a load of accounts. I already do that anyways. I just closed 10 upgraded accounts for example. No fucks given.

Time will tell if this has any real impact on making HF "safer" or more accessible to people. Noobs are still going to get scammed off-site. Veteran members wishing to do an exit-scam are still going to do those too. But I suppose quicker action means less people get scammed, that's the hope.

The system does work as is because as you say, majority of the disputes get resolved between users luckily, especially comparing to other forums where it's just drama until someone gets banned or the thread dies out.

1 dispute a day won't be that time consuming indeed, i thought it was more, which is indeed very good, and you being part of all of this now is also quiet good in terms of locating exit scammers, where you being part of the contract system will shine the most i think, as you'll be able to catch them much sooner, most likely before they actually can perform their exit scam properly.

In terms of noobs being scammed off-site, well yeah, not much more can be done about that i suppose and that won't ever change either most likely.

Omniscient - October 13, 2022, 2:50 pm

Quote:Hopefully scammers will think twice on scamming members

I laughed out loud at this. You been here long enough. You know their persistence. You also know they open more accounts. This might help some but, and probably push good sellers up, but scammers don't think twice about scamming. A closed account to them means nothing. If anything, they'll do more to build up an account to get a larger scam if they only get one shot before the account is burned. Yes, I'm a skeptic. But we'll see in the next few months how this goes. My very serious guess is that it's just perception that changes and not the amount of actual scams which in past 60 days has been 54 open Disputes out of 1833 Completed Contracts. That's about 3% which is where HF Contracts have always been at for Dispute numbers.

Zeus - October 13, 2022, 2:52 pm

This is a great idea to try and get some resolved hopefully i can get the one with proxies.tv resolved if that's possible.

Omniscient - October 13, 2022, 2:54 pm

Quote:Your direct action and presence in DDs will surely give a proper "justice" feeling to both the parts involved according to their position and to the whole community.

I won't consider my actions as "justice" in the least bit. It will be arbitration at best. Me quickly looking over things and making a decision that will almost certainly make one party unhappy. Justice is when you can make someone aggrieved whole again. I will have no ability to have funds returned.

Quote:Maybe you could add an option after you submit a deal dispute to escalate.
An actual Deal Dispute is an escalation. WTF.

Komodo - October 13, 2022, 2:56 pm

Glad you're taking members concerns and making a change based off of them. Awesome idea as always Omni.

Prawnster - October 13, 2022, 3:01 pm

It's a shame that people have forced it to come to this; however, I can very much respect the decision and commitment on your part. Hope it doesn't eat too much into your time, thanks for the continued effort and development Omni <3

Exchanges - October 13, 2022, 3:05 pm

This is awesome! Glad to see these scammers get dealt with by the man himself. Also, I would recommend delegating some positions to precheck. I derug NFT projects and specialize in blockchain wallet analysis. I basically track scam groups on the Solana Blockchain. I'm sure it will be difficult to track individual HF members as they likely will have better opsec. I'm good at finding people, so if you would like some help in dealing with reports of scamming ban-evaders I would be more than willing to help. This initiative you're taking reminds me of what HF used to be.

Also, could you please take a look at my scam report? The guy's report is completely invalid and he only did it because he knew I was making a scam report on him
Thanks!

Omniscient - October 13, 2022, 3:16 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 03:05 PM)Hostility Wrote: [CITE](#) This is awesome! Glad to see these scammers get dealt with by the man himself. Also, I would recommend delegating some positions to precheck. I derug NFT projects and specialize in blockchain wallet analysis. I basically track scam groups on the Solana Blockchain. I'm sure it will be difficult to track individual HF members as they likely will have better opsec. I'm good at finding people, so if you would like some help in dealing with reports of scamming ban-evaders I would be more than willing to help. This initiative you're taking reminds me of what HF used to be.

Also, could you please take a look at my scam report? The guy's report is completely invalid and he only did it because he knew I was making a scam report on him
Thanks!

I closed the scammer account.

Gary - October 13, 2022, 3:19 pm

What made you change your mind about this? If you don't mind me asking. I know HF has always held the position of not getting involved. Its nice to see you try to mediate some results. Hopefully we can see less disputes in the future, but as you mentioned scammers will be persistent. More likely will see newer members being preyed on rather than older members.

Man, I just hate scammers.

Aeowulf - October 13, 2022, 3:26 pm

I can see how this will be great for the confidence and trust in the market. I just hope it doesn't become too much for you.

Finally closing some of the brazen scammers and dumb-dumbs that get through is definitely welcome though.

Skorp - October 13, 2022, 3:26 pm

Quote:I laughed out loud at this. You been here long enough. You know their persistence.A closed account to them means nothing. If anything, they'll do more to build up an account to get a larger scam if they only get one shot before the account is burned. Yes, I'm a skeptic. But we'll see in the next few months how this goes. My very serious guess is that it's just perception that changes and not the amount of actual scams which in past 60 days has been 54 open Disputes out of 1833 Completed Contracts. That's about 3% which is where HF Contracts have always been at for Dispute numbers.

Very true about their persistence. Well hopefully they will waste more of their time (life) building up a NEW account, than making a profit on here (if anything measurable). Sucks to live that life tbh, it is what it is.

Hopefully members will use extra caution on when dealing with newer accounts as I'm sure they will be an increase in ban evading, aswell as seeing what veteran members (get exposed) get banned now due to your new policy. Interesting.

gets popcorn

570RM - October 13, 2022, 3:30 pm

Just curious to ask - Can a dispute be opened if the deal never happened (But the contract was opened and accepted) or the fund never transacted? Is there any special case in this?

Omniscient - October 13, 2022, 3:35 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 03:19 PM)Gary Wrote: [CITE](#) What made you change your mind about this? If you don't mind me asking. I know HF has always held the position of not getting involved. Its nice to see you try to mediate some results. Hopefully we can see less disputes in the future, but as you mentioned scammers will be persistent. More likely will see newer members being preyed on rather than older members.

Man, I just hate scammers.
The best question in the thread.

First gonna say that in all honesty the Contract system was designed so that potentially Disputes could be manually reviewed. IMHO it's functioned very well without the need for manual review. It's not perfect but indeed a lot of Disputes are resolved and I do close a LOT of scammer accounts without ever having to review actual Deal Disputes.

I am aware that HF Marketplace does have a poor reputation. Or at least I assume it does anyways. I really haven't minded it all that much. Recently I feel like HF needs some shaking up. I do want to make HF better not just in technology but policy as well.

The thought of reviewing Deal Disputes does cross my mind from time to time. I'll even go into the forum section every now and then and take some action.

Today I had a member send me a PM. In that PM he used another site as an example of moderators that took action on scammers. This member felt that HF would be better if it was like this other site. Internally I was seething, nearly enraged. I almost closed their account just reading

these words. I reviewed their Deal Dispute, it was kind of bullshit so I marked the Dispute as Invalid and sent the member a PM with some sarcastic shit like "hope that makes you happy". Admittedly I was being an asshole and I was angered. But I didn't close the member, and they did respond saying that my action wasn't correct since in the end it was proven the person did scam. So now I go back to the thread, read some more and well, he was right. So I closed the scammers account and sent them another PM asking if they were happy yet.

The member replied with this...

Quote:Hehe, I'm not gonna lie and say "yes, I'm really happy" because of the 30+ days wait. Appreciate it :)

I wish and hope that you'll revisit the dispute rules in the future.

Now for the last time, Have a nice day :) and thanks for removing them. Maybe they'll contact me for a resolution now.

Take care.

Now keep in mind I'm still pissed off. This fucker has nerve. Apparently they want me to review other Deal Disputes. So guess what I do? Yeah, review the entire first page of threads and ban all the scammers.

The can of worms is now open. I'm basically a spider caught in his own web. Now I have to change HF policy and here we are.

Maybe it's not what you expected but it's true.

Omniscient - October 13, 2022, 3:38 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 03:30 PM)570RM Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Just curious to ask - Can a dispute be opened if the deal never happened (But the contract was opened and accepted) or the fund never transacted? Is there any special case in this?

Any accepted Contract can be Disputed. If the Funds were never sent, then that's a valid Dispute and the member risks being closed as a scammer. I don't see that as a "special case" at all. It's called a Contract system for a reason. You enter into the Contract, then don't live up to the terms, well then you've broken the Contract and face the consequences. Or am I missing something in your scenario?

Pyro - October 13, 2022, 3:39 pm

i just wanna praise your decision making since I've joined here. I've whitessed a lot of good things getting implemented. Forum has changed a lot and I really like the "shift" its been taking lately.

ScammedByHF - October 13, 2022, 3:39 pm

Just another worthless policy. As per usual you will apply only this with people you don't like... just like the other rules.

If you want to be legit you should start by closing your ex-staff who has stole a big amount right here <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=6146334>

From what I've seen HF is slowly dying from itself and you're trying to save the boat but I highly doubt you will have success. You are protecting too much scammers and what you are doing tonight is just a shitshow to increase HF activity.

570RM - October 13, 2022, 3:41 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 03:38 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Any accepted Contract can be Disputed. If the

Funds were never sent, then that's a valid Dispute and the member risks being closed as a scammer. I don't see that as a "special case" at all. It's called a Contract system for a reason. You enter into the Contract, then don't live up to the terms, well then you've broken the Contract and face the consequences. Or am I missing something in your scenario?

Like the contract started but neither fund was sent nor the service was delivered due to a medical condition. If this can be considered as a special case or this will not be a valid dispute.

Omniscient - October 13, 2022, 3:47 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 03:39 PM)ScammedByHF Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Just another worthless policy. As per usual you will apply only this with people you don't like... just like the other rules.

If you want to be legit you should start by closing your ex-staff who has stole a big amount right here <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=6146334>

From what I've seen HF is slowly dying from itself and you're trying to save the boat but I highly doubt you will have success. You are protecting too much scammers and what you are doing tonight is just a shitshow to increase HF activity.

Sure, HF is legit now because I closed an account that didn't even log in for the past 20 months. Also, that person was never on Staff far as I can remember. Thanks for playing.

(Oct 13, 2022 03:41 PM)570RM Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Like the contract started but neither fund was sent nor the service was delivered due to a medical condition. If this can be considered as a special case or this will not be a valid dispute.

Are you trying to be a cunt? Are you gonna ask me what happens if nukes fly and a Dispute was opened but the guy was dying of radiation poisoning? STFU!!!

SourceCode101 - October 13, 2022, 3:48 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 03:41 PM)570RM Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Like the contract started but neither fund was sent nor the service was delivered due to a medical condition. If this can be considered as a special case or this will not be a valid dispute.

If you have medical conditions dont open a contract. Or not take the money and after 5 minutes leave and go afk.

Otherwise let the buyer/seller know? I bealive?

Omniscient - October 13, 2022, 3:49 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 03:41 PM)570RM Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Like the contract started but neither fund was sent nor the service was delivered due to a medical condition. If this can be considered as a special case or this will not be a valid dispute.

You are now permanently banned from Contracts.

I suspect you're trying to scam and just wanting to figure out how you can do it. FUCK YOU PRICK!

SourceCode101 - October 13, 2022, 3:50 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 03:49 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You are now permanently banned from Contracts.

I suspect you're trying to scam and just wanting to figure out how you can do it. FUCK YOU PRICK!

[Bullup](#) Remember our conversation

Nachfolger - October 13, 2022, 3:51 pm

A bunch of goobers up in this thread. I would expect this behavior from [mention=2774521] but no

one else.

Omniscient - October 13, 2022, 3:52 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 03:50 PM)SourceCode101 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) [Bullup](#) Remember our conversation
No secret code in the thread. Share.

SourceCode101 - October 13, 2022, 3:54 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 03:52 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No secret code in the thread. Share.

<https://ibb.co/n1LZJwR>

<https://ibb.co/VVmhRtL>

Here

<https://ibb.co/ZgrJWSb>

Omniscient - October 13, 2022, 3:55 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 03:54 PM)SourceCode101 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) <https://ibb.co/n1LZJwR>

<https://ibb.co/VVmhRtL>

Here

<https://ibb.co/ZgrJWSb>

Yeah, he's an exit scammer. I smelled that shit with 10 second look at his account. Fuck'em.

Omniscient - October 13, 2022, 3:57 pm

I also want to note that this policy is likely going to force me to make changes and improvements to the Contract system itself. I know it has flaws and needs some work. I especially am not happy with how the MM system functions in it.

Bullup - October 13, 2022, 4:04 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 03:55 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yeah, he's an exit scammer. I smelled that shit with 10 second look at his account. Fuck'em.

I gave him the benefit of the doubt, he said he could duplicate a mybb theme that wasn't released yet, i agreed to paying him \$100 after delivery.

After the term when he would deliver was passed, he started making up excuses literally 4 days in a row he said i will deliver tomorrow. Suddenly he was in a car crash with details that didn't add up, but he would be back home tomorrow, again, the day after that he said 2 more days, 2 days after that he ignored me for a day or two and then said i'm still in the hospital my family will bring my laptop so that i can deliver the theme. Couple more days passed, no response, eventually i just said, you can keep the theme i don't need it no more.

The funny thing is that within that first day of creating the contract, he requested to be in my group and i was allowed to only pay \$50 for the delivery of the theme, and once he was in he started selling a method for relatively big cash ofcourse lol.

Eventually it just got too fishy and i kept a very close eye on him.

Now he is fishing here if he can open a dispute against me, that is what he's talking about when he was asking you those questions, the prick, i firmly believe that theme thing was just a way to get into my group for free, so that he would have a better looking profile so that he could exit scam with that method thread he opened.

EDIT: As a side note, while he was in the "hospital" members literally PMed me telling me that he was purchasing OF traffic services and growing his profile, also creating various other contracts lol..

(Oct 13, 2022 03:50 PM)SourceCode101 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) [Bullup](#) Remember our conversation
Ofcourse i do lol.

Beaks - October 13, 2022, 4:04 pm

Is there any plans to review scammers leaving bad feedback?

All of my "open disputes" and neutral / negative market rating is from either people who scammed me or new member trying to get in contact with me. Most of these users were banned and yet my "bad" rating is still here.

Omniscient - October 13, 2022, 4:16 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 04:04 PM)Beaks Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Is there any plans to review scammers leaving bad feedback?

All of my "open disputes" and neutral / negative market rating is from either people who scammed me or new member trying to get in contact with me. Most of these users were banned and yet my "bad" rating is still here.

Can of fucking worms. I must be in bitch-mode today.

Bullup - October 13, 2022, 4:20 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 04:04 PM)Beaks Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Is there any plans to review scammers leaving bad feedback?

All of my "open disputes" and neutral / negative market rating is from either people who scammed me or new member trying to get in contact with me. Most of these users were banned and yet my "bad" rating is still here.

He said "Effective today, October 13th, 2022, I will be personally reviewing all NEW Deal Disputes"

SIM-2502833 - October 13, 2022, 4:22 pm

What about when you try to buy a netflix account, then it starts a contract, but then you decide you don't want the account and decide not to pay the shopify-like website, can that contract be disputed even if no goods were exchanged? Had a contract start on me on accident when I was still deciding whether I wanted to buy something or not.

Omniscient - October 13, 2022, 4:24 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 04:22 PM)MrMcShroom Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What about when you try to buy a netflix account, then it starts a contract, but then you decide you don't want the account and decide not to pay the shopify-like website, can that contract be disputed even if no goods were exchanged? Had a contract start on me on accident when I was still deciding whether I wanted to buy something or not. You're fucked if they Dispute you for not paying. I would close your account.

Th3SiNz - October 13, 2022, 4:26 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 04:16 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Can of fucking worms. I must be in bitch-mode today.

I hope you had/have your whiskey cubes ready to go for the night, I always keep mine on standby.

Big fan of you implementing this change and I believe it takes the overall perception of the wild west marketplace with scammers being allowed to scam with X amount of open deal disputes, which always makes me think if you do business with someone with 5 open deal disputes and get scammed you kind of deserve it....shitty thing to say, but guess what, if you didn't do your due diligence, then it's on you but at least the scumbags can be removed now.

It'll be very nice change for HackForums, since I adore this place I like seeing beneficial changes.

One suggestion, if a deal dispute is marked invalid, can that particular thread be junked?

Again, as with you, it comes down to perception with the above suggestion, sometimes people will see even a thread opened ALTHOUGH resolved or marked invalid, it will give them a reason to not do business with that particular person. Again just my opinion, if that is what they think over a thread I personally wouldn't do business with them anyway.

Lefty - October 13, 2022, 4:26 pm

This will bring much needed confidence for both parties, I wish you the best of luck.
This also could get quite frustrating I'd imagine.

Don Cezar - October 13, 2022, 4:28 pm

Is the policy of marking disputes as invalid once a participant is closed (due to scamming or whatever else) still available for disputes wrongfully opened before the policy went into effect? If so, I'd have this lovely thing to show <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=6211679>

Omniscient - October 13, 2022, 4:29 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 04:26 PM)Th3SiNz Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) One suggestion, if a deal dispute is marked invalid, can that particular thread be junked?

Again, as with you, it comes down to perception with the above suggestion, sometimes people will see even a thread opened ALTHOUGH resolved or marked invalid, it will give them a reason to not do business with that particular person. Again just my opinion, if that is what they think over a thread I personally wouldn't do business with them anyway.

Not a fan of this because in a Dispute thread there could be valuable information the might actually help link that member to a new account they are scamming on. Things such as payment addresses or off-site contact names.

Omniscient - October 13, 2022, 4:31 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 04:28 PM)goldieczr Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Is the policy of marking disputes as invalid once a participant is closed (due to scamming or whatever else) still available for disputes wrongfully opened before the policy went into effect? If so, I'd have this lovely thing to show <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=6211679>
Dealt with.

Bullup - October 13, 2022, 4:34 pm

Looking at this thread omni will be busier responding to questions then actually handling disputes.

Give the man a break.

Th3SiNz - October 13, 2022, 4:35 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 04:29 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Not a fan of this because in a Dispute thread there could be valuable information the might actually help link that member to a new account they are scamming on. Things such as payment addresses or off-site contact names.
That makes perfect sense. I just figured because of any information that could potentially leak the method but that could always be removed by staff

webdev77 - October 13, 2022, 4:35 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 04:35 PM)Th3SiNz Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That makes perfect sense. I just figured because of any information that could potentially leak the method but that could always be removed by staff
Or you could just not leak stuff. Not that hard.

Omniscient - October 13, 2022, 4:36 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 04:35 PM)Th3SiNz Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That makes perfect sense. I just figured because of any information that could potentially leak the method but that could always be removed by staff

Something like that almost always get the Dispute marked invalid, junked, and the member closed. But it's not policy to junk invalidated Disputes. Plenty of reason to keep them listed.

popZmoke - October 13, 2022, 4:52 pm

I mean people get tricked very easy, for example if a new users come and he sees someone with a dispute he'll tell him like "its not a real dispute but a fake one because blabla". I am happy scammers get banned, they shouldnt exist.

Omniscient - October 13, 2022, 5:14 pm

It was pointed out to me that this Dispute: <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=6146334>

That Hooper was the MM and that it was Kill Joy he was talking about. KJ also was never on Staff but he also now closed.

<https://hackforums.net/member.php?action=profile&uid=659087>

SourceCode101 - October 13, 2022, 5:25 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 05:14 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It was pointed out to me that this Dispute: <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=6146334>

That Hooper was the MM and that it was Kill Joy he was talking about. KJ also was never on Staff but he also now closed.

<https://hackforums.net/member.php?action=profile&uid=659087>

Oh ! Fast question lets say i open a contract with someone and of course i had wrote inside " no leaks " but for some reasons the guy open a dispute and provide my method via photos (discord) or a link from mega- discord to download my method BUT without hide the links , that member will take a ban? If he leaks rhe method and i had wrote in my contract?

Omniscient - October 13, 2022, 5:27 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 05:25 PM)SourceCode101 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Oh ! Fast question lets say i open a contract with someone and of course i had wrote inside " no leaks " but for some reasons the guy open a dispute and provide my method via photos (discord) or a link from mega- discord to download my method BUT without hide the links , that member will take a ban? If he leaks rhe method and i had wrote in my contract?

Anyone leaking on HF gets closed. That's always been so.

SourceCode101 - October 13, 2022, 5:27 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 05:27 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Anyone leaking on HF gets closed. That's always been so.

Ok thank you very much!

Omniscient - October 13, 2022, 5:28 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 05:20 PM)xadamxk Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Holy shit. No one is safe from the force that is Judge Omni.

More like executioner.

SUI-3183372 - October 13, 2022, 5:45 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 05:28 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) More like executioner. Somewhere, JD has raised a glass.

Gary - October 13, 2022, 5:50 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 05:45 PM)BV1 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Somewhere, JD has raised a glass.

NGL, I was about to say something about the Gavel of Dredd

mickeh - October 13, 2022, 5:51 pm

[Omniscient](#) has gone on a mission today, i actually like seeing staff be involved

Biggy - October 13, 2022, 5:59 pm

Damn, never expected this change. Glad it happened but sucks you have to put more shit on your plate. Maybe one day you'll be able to assign DD's to a staff member/admin, if that's even in the cards for you to consider.

webdev77 - October 13, 2022, 6:07 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 05:59 PM)Biggy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Damn, never expected this change. Glad it happened but sucks you have to put more shit on your plate. Maybe one day you'll be able to assign DD's to a staff member/admin, if that's even in the cards for you to consider.

While I understand your reasoning, this really should be left to Omniscient. It leaves zero room for questions on decisions.

Zher0 - October 13, 2022, 6:16 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 02:25 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Effective today, October 13th, 2022, I will be personally reviewing all NEW Deal Disputes. Scammers will get banned, invalid Disputes marked as so, and deals which are questionable I'll try to help resolve. In some instances I will give the party time to refund or provide service/product as part of the HF Contract.

This is the moment that for 15 years I have avoided but realistically the Contract system was created with this day in-mind.

Does this make HF Marketplace safer against scams? I don't believe so. I'm almost positive that a lot of accounts will be wrongfully closed and that false accusations will be persistent. But sometimes you gotta give your audience what they want.

I will be making the appropriate adjustments in the [\[url=//hackforums.net/misc.php?action=help\]](http://hackforums.net/misc.php?action=help)Help Docs[/url] and stickies.

Please note that you still need an HF Contract to open a Deal Dispute. I will only be reviewing official Disputes. That data in the Contract such as blockchain addresses for payment and terms are going to be vital in my decision making.

I will attempt to be quick about reviewing Deal Disputes but please do not send me a contact asking to look at your Dispute. There could be a 2-3 day waiting period for any new Dispute to be reviewed.

Thanks you.

Woah, this is will go down in HF history! I never though I'd see the day when HF gets involved in deals.

Biggy - October 13, 2022, 6:31 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 06:07 PM)webdev77 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) While I understand your reasoning, this really should be left to Omniscient. It leaves zero room for questions on decisions.

No doubt, just a lot of work on one man's plate. However, you're correct, it would leave little room for error with just him reviewing.

CPA Exposé - October 13, 2022, 6:45 pm

This will make especially the upgraded scum bags think twice before scamming. They've gotten

away with too much.

Dorrance - October 13, 2022, 7:33 pm

I love to see it, great announcement.

VGO - October 13, 2022, 7:43 pm

Very good decision <3 Thaaaank you so much.

It would be easier if HF have some investigators while the final decision is yours of Refund must be done OR a forever ban for scammers;

investigator will take the case, study it and give you results according to the provided info + Rules... <3

I know I'm a new user comparing to many here... But I'm a very huge supporter of this idea I love it so much <3 and Ready to help it anytime.

Charlie Sheen - October 13, 2022, 8:33 pm

A major positive from this is knowing that you're going to do whatever it takes to prevent them from coming back and scamming again. I've noticed lately you've really ramped up your detection on ban evaders. So, I do feel a bit safer in the MP now.

jcole - October 13, 2022, 8:35 pm

Really looking forward to your presence in the deal dispute section.

Omniscient - October 13, 2022, 8:38 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 08:33 PM)Charlie Sheen Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) A major positive from this is knowing that you're going to do whatever it takes to prevent them from coming back and scamming again. I've noticed lately you've really ramped up your detection on ban evaders. So, I do feel a bit safer in the MP now.

My guess is that something recently opened your eyes to the truth because in-fact I've been doing nearly the exact same thing for years and years.

Stepdad - October 13, 2022, 8:40 pm

Very positive move, excited to see the impact this will have.

Charlie Sheen - October 13, 2022, 8:42 pm

(Oct 13, 2022 08:38 PM)Admin Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) My guess is that something recently opened your eyes to the truth because in-fact I've been doing nearly the exact same thing for years and years.

I wasn't as active in the past, I used to just scroll the marketplace look to see if there was anything new and then move on with my day. Lately I've been a lot more active, and because of the Bk Randy thread you made, I have been trying to see if I could catch his next accounts. I watch the "introductions" section and then a lot of times lately I see the introductions and see they are already closed.

Eran - October 14, 2022, 1:03 am

Minimizing scamming risks, this is a big day for HF indeed.

DashPHP - October 14, 2022, 2:26 am

(Oct 14, 2022 01:03 AM)Eran Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Minimizing scamming risks, this is a big day for HF indeed.

Couldn't agree more. Excited for this sweep!

StarZ - October 14, 2022, 2:57 am

I think this is a great step in the right direction. Also, Not sure if it's been mentioned, But your bio box on your profile may need to be adjusted as well once this is in effect.

warpje - October 14, 2022, 3:05 am

There are some amazing updates and sure are going to do a lot for the market place!

Keep it up nice to see some big changes happening.

MOE - October 14, 2022, 9:25 am

A positive decision that will bring some safety to the marketplace. Full support & thanks from here.

Upgrader - October 14, 2022, 10:08 am

Absolutely amazing news, thank you for this!

Refusal - October 14, 2022, 10:22 am

I mean this sounds like a huge improvement and definitely gives buyers a piece of mind when conducting deals via the contract system.

I do feel like this could be overwhelming for you no? Dealing with HF duties as well as dealing with deal disputes of scammers?

Upgrader - October 14, 2022, 10:24 am

(Oct 14, 2022 10:22 AM)Refusal Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I mean this sounds like a huge improvement and definitely gives buyers a piece of mind when conducting deals via the contract system.

I do feel like this could be overwhelming for you no? Dealing with HF duties as well as dealing with deal disputes of scammers?

I think we should see another round of staff, it does seem a little overwhelming but at the same time updates were made to require upgrades for sales so.. Maybe he's taking on HF as a job now

Trilloquence - October 14, 2022, 10:54 am

Honestly this seems like a step in the correct direction, and I am behind you 100% in your decision to implement these changes.

Strong foundational rules are a key element to a thriving community, and also helps to secure new members; as you stated perception really is everything.

Wishing you the best of luck with your decisions in the disputes to come. We all know you will make them with HF best interests at heart as always.

Cheers,
~Trill

StuffSneak - October 14, 2022, 3:23 pm

This is a step in right direction. I am interested knowing how any disputes based on "Quality/Claims" of any product/service will be handled.

OxaByte - October 15, 2022, 12:20 am

damn this is killer move and it scared scammers on HF, i personally support this move and it will encourage members to buy more from HF marketplace.

Will-i-am - October 15, 2022, 12:23 am

Nice to see that the admin will be checking dispute and banning scammer off the site. Good to see this changes effective.

StuffSneak - October 15, 2022, 3:03 am

I think this has already had effect. I haven't seen any new disputes since this policy was made public.

Also, has the thread bumping not applicable in this section?

<https://prnt.sc/yQq0R0HSBT9D>

Or was it always the case and I was blind?

6ty-nine - October 15, 2022, 3:55 am

It will for sure save people from being played by X user that has a lot of b rating or pop, but new accounts/etc. will still not think twice before scam a newbie/user that accepts to deal without MM, lose their account means probably 0.

However, impersonating in an user that just got scammed, even if I won't get my money back, see that the user who scammed me got banned instead of be free around doing the same to users that doesn't pay attention to check his disputes/profile, equates to so much value brought to the forum.

Gary - October 15, 2022, 9:13 am

(Oct 15, 2022 03:03 AM)StuffSneak Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think this has already had effect. I haven't seen any new disputes since this policy was made public.

Also, has the thread bumping not applicable in this section?

<https://prnt.sc/yQq0R0HSBT9D>

Or was it always the case and I was blind?

Its not anymore. It's because people would bump old disputes.

StuffSneak - October 15, 2022, 9:15 am

(Oct 15, 2022 09:13 AM)Gary Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Its not anymore. It's because people would bump old disputes.

That makes sense. However, how would anyone keep track of old disputes then? As I can see Omni is only going to handle new disputes, correct?

Seeing lot of changes in HF in past couple of days. I can see the report posts also has been changed. Now we can type the reason to report as well.

Gary - October 15, 2022, 9:18 am

(Oct 15, 2022 09:15 AM)StuffSneak Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That makes sense. However, how would anyone keep track of old disputes then? As I can see Omni is only going to handle new disputes, correct?

Seeing lot of changes in HF in past couple of days. I can see the report posts also has been changed. Now we can type the reason to report as well.

Only for some report types, probably to make it easier when there was staff/mentor on exactly what was being broken. You could usually use the "Others" field to report a multi.

wyvn - October 17, 2022, 6:25 am

Finally now can you ban [B FOR BADASS](#) ?

Gary - October 17, 2022, 12:12 pm

(Oct 17, 2022 06:25 AM)wyvn Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Finally now can you ban [B FOR BADASS](#) ?
29 Open Disputes, most of them go unacknowledged as well lol.

Carl Elias - October 17, 2022, 4:58 pm

I never thought I would see the day HF takes more of a hand in deal disputes but I really like all these changes.

One thing that I thought of while reading some of the posts, is there no way to make a semi-automated system that could deter scams? Like if a member opens a report, and has the contract, both accounts get "limited access" like not able to post or communicate except for staff & those in the contract until the dispute is resolved (the thread with the dispute would be accessible)? Of course some measures would need to be placed like Vendors do not get limited access, but these are the ones that manually are reviewed maybe? Reg to Reg account contract disputes, both are limited. Then if the dispute has no resolution within 5 days or something, either close both, close one, or flag for a manual review and restore access?? This is just my automation-oriented mind thinking, I always have put in more work upfront to automate a simple task that would take half the time. My thinking is it will stop invalid disputes, unless the person wants to loose access, and maybe prevent multiple scams while one dispute is pending actions.

Aeowulf - October 17, 2022, 5:30 pm

(Oct 17, 2022 06:25 AM)wyvn Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Finally now can you ban [B FOR BADASS](#) ?

(Oct 17, 2022 12:12 PM)Gary Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 29 Open Disputes, most of them go unacknowledged as well lol.

I don't understand why people would still deal with him at that point... ?

Omniscient - October 17, 2022, 5:32 pm

(Oct 17, 2022 05:30 PM)Aeowulf Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't understand why people would still deal with him at that point... ?

It's 1% dispute percentage. Ever look on eBay for someone with 3000 positive ratings how many are negative? I bet I review the disputes and half are invalid.

Bear - October 17, 2022, 5:43 pm

Do you have a CRM type system in the back to maintain this data flow?

Omniscient - October 17, 2022, 5:45 pm

(Oct 17, 2022 05:43 PM)Bear Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Do you have a CRM type system in the back to maintain this data flow?

No. Just run stats on the db.

Aeowulf - October 17, 2022, 5:52 pm

(Oct 17, 2022 05:32 PM)Admin Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's 1% dispute percentage. Ever look on eBay for someone with 3000 positive ratings how many are negative? I bet I review the disputes and half are invalid.

Touché. I don't know why my brain didn't even process the 2k positive. I just saw the 29 red and was like NOPE. But facts are facts, you're right.

Omniscient - October 17, 2022, 5:54 pm

(Oct 17, 2022 05:52 PM)Aeowulf Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Touché. I don't know why my brain didn't even process the 2k positive. I just saw the 29 red and was like NOPE. But facts are facts, you're right.

That is why people still do business with him. He has the highest amount of contracts on hf.

wyvn - October 18, 2022, 12:53 am

(Oct 17, 2022 05:32 PM)Admin Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's 1% dispute percentage. Ever look on eBay for

someone with 3000 positive ratings how many are negative? I bet I review the disputes and half are invalid.

and what if all of these 2k+ positive reviews from when his product was actually working and he first made his sales threads back in 2017

do those reviews account for his quality on the site now? cuz if so that's bullshit, he's a low quality seller that doesn't support his customers even though he states he will.

check the recent disputes, like he doesn't even respond to them, at what point is too many disputes, too many disputes?

(Oct 17, 2022 05:54 PM)Admin Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That is why people still do business with him. He has the highest amount of contracts on hf.

or because literally nobody checks the sellers profile before contacting, which would show them their Open Disputes, and since usually the seller will just have an auto buy in the thread design or a direct contact link to their Telegram, that's the first thing the buyer ends up clicking on, rather than checking the sellers profile.

add "Open Disputes" underneath every users B-Rating, so people can see it when browsing the forum rather than having to go to the users profile

Zeus - October 20, 2022, 6:24 pm

[Proxies.tv](#) still ignoring to resolve our issue?

SIM-3970461 - October 20, 2022, 6:37 pm

I understand why you're doing this solo but why not have just one other person assisting you. I know you must be already bombarded with threads.

It's a good thing to see for the marketplace though, good idea.

Omniscient - October 20, 2022, 7:57 pm

(Oct 20, 2022 06:37 PM)SIM-3970461 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I understand why you're doing this solo but why not have just one other person assisting you. I know you must be already bombarded with threads.

It's a good thing to see for the marketplace though, good idea.

Have you even counted the threads this week since I started this?

webdev77 - October 21, 2022, 12:14 pm

(Oct 21, 2022 12:08 PM)-Scrub Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What happens on the off chance that a person is on holiday or on a vacation ban and someone opens a dispute on them? I noticed for the most part you're giving people 48 hours to respond what if they're unable to respond so to something like this? If they reach out after they're back and resolve the issue is it possible to have the account reinstated ?

Looks like they get closed. Simply don't deal if you don't intend to complete the deal.

Vibe - October 21, 2022, 12:19 pm

(Oct 21, 2022 12:16 PM)-Scrub Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There is cases where like I see people have account shops or something, what happens if they purchase the acc. Everything is fine, the person who runs the shop is away on vaca ban or holiday and then the acc no longer works.. the user who purchased couldn't contact them as they're not here atm. The seller comes back and their acc is now closed. Do you see what I'm getting at ?

Maybe I'm retarded and missing something but in my mind what I'm saying sounds like something that might happen

This definitely gonna be interesting. Is hackforums gonna do away with ebook sales or how are

those scam reports gonna work?

Lrrr - October 21, 2022, 1:50 pm

This marketplace might just become good again, absolutely fantastic that we have staff deal with disputes.

I know you avoided it, but it's inevitable to help a marketplace thrive IMO. Centralization is good...sometimes

StuffSneak - October 22, 2022, 1:12 am

(Oct 21, 2022 12:08 PM)-Scrub Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What happens on the off chance that a person is on holiday or on a vacation ban and someone opens a dispute on them? I noticed for the most part you're giving people 48 hours to respond what if they're unable to respond so to something like this? If they reach out after they're back and resolve the issue is it possible to have the account reinstated ?

Pretty sure Omni will consider Vacation bans before issuing 48 hours.

And if anyone is going to be away for weeks They surely should close the sales and then go away. Especially the accounts shops where instant sales are done.

Sephiroth - October 22, 2022, 3:09 am

(Oct 22, 2022 02:29 AM)coco. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm not entirely a multi, I was allowed to make a new account for a specific reason. I wasn't banned or anything
No, I wasn't talking about you.

I'm talking about people with temporarily accounts.

Don't waste your time with him, he'll get closed soon.

ItachiUchiha - October 22, 2022, 11:14 pm

(Oct 21, 2022 12:08 PM)-Scrub Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What happens on the off chance that a person is on holiday or on a vacation ban and someone opens a dispute on them? I noticed for the most part you're giving people 48 hours to respond what if they're unable to respond so to something like this? If they reach out after they're back and resolve the issue is it possible to have the account reinstated ?

Limited account is the solution here. The seller doesn't have full access to the forum when he returns and can read/write only in his dispute thread to solve things quickly.

Omniscient - October 22, 2022, 11:21 pm

If you go on a vacation ban and a Dispute opens up, I'll likely lift the vacation ban, send a contact, and provide 48 hours to respond or deal with it. Or maybe I'll just close the account as a scammer because realistically a lot of scammers do scams and vacation bans. It's another game-time decision that has to be made by me weighing multiple factors.

yeah101 - January 12, 2023, 12:18 am

Hello Admin, good day. Pls i need you to come to my help. I was ripped off by this member

<https://hackforums.net/member.php?action...id=4992255>

I am still just like newbie on HF, I had to borrow this money from someone to pay for the service not conversant with the creating a contract which was the mistake i made. This member i sent him 500\$ BTC last weekend and I informed him i will need what i paid him for on Tuesday this week, he did not deliver. I informed him I will report him to HF, immediately he read my message he deleted all our chats from telegram not knowing that i already screened shot all our chats and payment made to him.

Pls admin come to my rescue

jcole - January 12, 2023, 12:28 am

(Jan 12, 2023 01:18 AM)yeah101 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hello Admin, good day. Pls i need you to come to my help. I was ripped off by this member

<https://hackforums.net/member.php?action...id=4992255>

I am still just like newbie on HF, I had to borrow this money from someone to pay for the service not conversant with the creating a contract which was the mistake i made. This member i sent him 500\$ BTC last weekend and I informed him i will need what i paid him for on Tuesday this week, he did not deliver. I informed him I will report him to HF, immediately he read my message he deleted all our chats from telegram not knowing that i already screened shot all our chats and payment made to him.

Pls admin come to my rescue

Sorry man that happened to you, but in Admins original post he states "Please note that you still need an HF Contract to open a Deal Dispute. I will only be reviewing official Disputes.". Not sure if there are exceptions made or what, but you always need to use the HF contract system when purchasing, selling, or using someone's services on the forum.. It may not mean you get your money back, but at least others will be aware of the user.

yeah101 - January 12, 2023, 1:01 am

Can I open an HF contract to open a deal dispute despite the fact i did not open HF contract for this deal with the member?

(Jan 12, 2023 02:01 AM)yeah101 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Can I open an HF contract to open a deal dispute despite the fact i did not open HF contract for this deal with the member?

Below is the proof that @DaroccoRoco ripped me off:

<https://imgur.com/a/dPla5eR>

Below is the proof that @DaroccoRoco ripped me off:

<https://imgur.com/a/dPla5eR>

(Jan 12, 2023 01:28 AM)jcole Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Sorry man that happened to you, but in Admins original post he states "Please note that you still need an HF Contract to open a Deal Dispute. I will only be reviewing official Disputes.". Not sure if there are exceptions made or what, but you always need to use the HF contract system when purchasing, selling, or using someone's services on the forum.. It may not mean you get your money back, but at least others will be aware of the user.

Below is the proof that @DaroccoRoco ripped me off:

<https://imgur.com/a/dPla5eR>

LEGENDAVIE - January 12, 2023, 5:24 pm

Does this affect old deal disputes that affects rating? I've been rated -1 after I've been scammed by the respective user that is currently banned for scamming other people besides me.

StuffSneak - January 13, 2023, 10:31 am

(Jan 12, 2023 06:24 PM)LEGENDAVIE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Does this affect old deal disputes that affects rating? I've been rated -1 after I've been scammed by the respective user that is currently banned for scamming other people besides me.

Considering you are a vendor, you can ask Admin directly about this in convo. He might review them. I have seen him saying this before somewhere.

GayFox - May 6, 2023, 10:33 pm

will the dispute be considered if the deal was carried out in telegrams?

there are screenshots of correspondence and transaction id

Charlie Sheen - May 6, 2023, 10:36 pm

(May 6, 2023 10:33 PM)GayFox Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) will the dispute be considered if the deal was carried out in telegrams?

there are screenshots of correspondence and transaction id

Must have a HackForums contract. I recommend keeping all communication on HF.

Omniscient - May 8, 2023, 11:02 am

(May 6, 2023 10:33 PM)GayFox Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) will the dispute be considered if the deal was carried out in telegrams?

there are screenshots of correspondence and transaction id

Did you read the first post?

Quote:Please note that you still need an HF Contract to open a Deal Dispute.

So NO. We are not going to take action on HF accounts for deals you do off-site. That's just incredibly stupid.

THE RULER - May 8, 2023, 11:50 pm

(May 8, 2023 11:02 AM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Did you read the first post?

So NO. We are not going to take action on HF accounts for deals you do off-site. That's just incredibly stupid.

Omni, this policy is great, from someone who has taken an extensive break from the forum but was active in DD section, glad to see scammers actively getting closed/action taken. Thanks for your time.

tan1017 - June 10, 2023, 1:51 pm

I bought a method <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=6238473> from this thread, I received the method but the guide is not clear. I paid for \$240 for it. The problem is I didn't made a contract. Now AMG blocked me on discord and he now closed his sales thread.

I tried his method for 5 days, and clearly not working.

For \$240, he gave 2 main methods. 1 is not working, while the other 1 has only 3 pages in pdf. I just want a refund.:(

webdev77 - June 10, 2023, 2:00 pm

(Jun 10, 2023 01:51 PM)tan1017 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I bought a method

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=6238473> from this thread, I received the method but the guide is not clear. I paid for \$240 for it. The problem is I didn't made a contract. Now AMG blocked me on discord and he now closed his sales thread.

I tried his method for 5 days, and clearly not working.

For \$240, he gave 2 main methods. 1 is not working, while the other 1 has only 3 pages in pdf. I just want a refund..:(

Tough nuts you didn't read before making a mistake. Hope that's a lesson to do your research before throwing money away at random people on the internet that you don't know.

Quote:Please note that you still need an HF Contract to open a Deal Dispute. I will only be reviewing official Disputes.

tan1017 - June 10, 2023, 2:15 pm

(Jun 10, 2023 02:00 PM)webdev77 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Tough nuts you didn't read before making a mistake. Hope that's a lesson to do your research before throwing money away at random people on the internet that you don't know.

(Jun 10, 2023 02:03 PM)-Scrub Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I wish I could say I'm shocked to hear this although I'm not :(hopefully this is an eye opener to only use contracts here.

I really hope atleast he gets banned or something. I don't want desperate people like me bought his non working method.

Omniscient - June 10, 2023, 2:19 pm

(Jun 10, 2023 01:51 PM)tan1017 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I bought a method <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=6238473> from this thread, I received the method but the guide is not clear. I paid for \$240 for it. The problem is I didn't made a contract. Now AMG blocked me on discord and he now closed his sales thread.

I tried his method for 5 days, and clearly not working.

For \$240, he gave 2 main methods. 1 is not working, while the other 1 has only 3 pages in pdf. I just want a refund..:(

The account is closed. Way too many complaints and a review of his Contracts shows a habit of leaving them Incomplete.

tan1017 - June 10, 2023, 2:23 pm

(Jun 10, 2023 02:17 PM)-Scrub Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There are no grounds to stand on for a ban as there was not a contract/no deal done on site.

I now stand corrected ignore my response
What a hard lesson for me.

(Jun 10, 2023 02:19 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The account is closed. Way too many complaints and a review of his Contracts shows a habit of leaving them Incomplete.Thanks! Atleast no other desperate person will deal with him.

NayKuL - June 13, 2023, 8:44 am

I v been scamed from one of the members here from the site...
but i dont know why i can post a dispute....

NayKuL - June 13, 2023, 8:50 am

i v been scamed by the :

<https://hackforums.net/member.php?action=profile&uid=93143>

i talk with him only in pm's and he said we speak only here...

i have prof of him scamed me....

what can i do?

Ph4n70M Phr34K - June 13, 2023, 8:51 am

(Jun 13, 2023 08:50 AM)NayKuL Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) i v been scamed by the :
<https://hackforums.net/member.php?action=profile&uid=93143>
i talk with him only in pm's and he said we speak only here...
i have prof of him scamed me....
what can i do?
You can take it as a lesson, thats for sure.

He had 9 deal disputes prior to your transaction.

Biggy - June 13, 2023, 8:55 am

(Jun 13, 2023 08:50 AM)NayKuL Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) i v been scamed by the :
<https://hackforums.net/member.php?action=profile&uid=93143>
i talk with him only in pm's and he said we speak only here...
i have prof of him scamed me....
what can i do?

The original post of this thread states it requires an HF contract to post a dispute. It's also mentioned in the welcoming PM you receive as a new member.

HF contracts are always highly recommended. It's for your own good.

NayKuL - June 13, 2023, 8:56 am

(Jun 13, 2023 08:51 AM)Ph4n70M Phr34K Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You can take it as a lesson, thats for sure.

He had 9 deal disputes prior to your transaction.
pfff..... why they even exist here? and like a human bean.....

Kundra - July 23, 2023, 8:39 pm

That's nice to see that omni would help out with disputes. What is the time frame for the disputed user to respond?

Omniscient - July 24, 2023, 9:35 am

(Jul 23, 2023 08:39 PM)Kundra Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That's nice to see that omni would help out with disputes. What is the time frame for the disputed user to respond?
It's up to me. I decide based on factors like account age, existing B-Rating, Upgrade status, and misc. Average 2 days but sometimes I just see it's a scam and close the account immediately. Other times it's a reputable member that might get a week or so to deal with it.

On a case by case basis.

getav2ups - April 10, 2024, 4:43 am

Is better for all of us if this member will be gone from the forum.

Please check this out: <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=6268155>

Thank you.

getav2ups - May 6, 2024, 4:43 am

Is almost a month now.. no answer from him : <https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=6268155>

robot-2007 - June 19, 2024, 3:06 pm

vere gooooooooooooooooood [warn]

tacticalcatboy - July 25, 2024, 12:11 am

If I had a contract from 2021, the thing I bought I can no longer access anymore. Do I have a right to file a dispute for a old contract?

StuffSneak - July 25, 2024, 2:02 am

(Jul 25, 2024 12:11 AM)tacticalcatboy Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If I had a contract from 2021, the thing I bought I can no longer access anymore. Do I have a right to file a dispute for a old contract?

Depends really. If your contract specifies you have warranty for this much duration, Yes.

If your contract is vague & amount is not significant, then its just going to be a a waste of time.

Judging from your Business ratings, you only had 1 completed contract & the other party has not been on HF since almost a year. So I don't think it's going to be fruitful at all.

tacticalcatboy - July 25, 2024, 5:30 am

(Jul 25, 2024 02:02 AM)StuffSneak Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Depends really. If your contract specifies you have warranty for this much duration, Yes.

If your contract is vague & amount is not significant, then its just going to be a a waste of time.

Judging from your Business ratings, you only had 1 completed contract & the other party has not been on HF since almost a year. So I don't think it's going to be fruitful at all.

damn thanks for the reply, yea prob just be a waste of time unfortunately.

vvs1 - December 14, 2024, 10:20 am

Hey omni here's my pending dispute:

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=6285848>

It's been 12 days since our contract and he's just logged on a few hours ago and has been avoiding all contact

Would like to ask your review on this,

Thank you

Chocothunda - January 29, 2025, 12:32 pm

Omni it's been a decade since I've been very active here but very grateful to you and your team for adding this.

GokhanCarderSwats - July 21, 2026, 10:24 pm

i tried for open a scam report but i dont have permission why?

Charlie Sheen - July 21, 2026, 10:58 pm

(Jul 21, 2026 10:24 PM)GokhanCarderSwats Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) i tried for open a scam report but i dont have permission why?

No HF contract. HF policy is a contract must be used for all transactions.

TehFif - October 8, 2026, 3:27 pm

(Jul 21, 2026 10:58 PM)Charlie Sheen Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No HF contract. HF policy is a contract must be used for all transactions.

Once we close it, we can't open a dispute on it?

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=6311264&pid=63012321#pid63012321>

old man meme Back in my day, changing +rep to -rep after a marketplace interaction/post was considered a no-no.

DemonAsylum - October 8, 2026, 3:31 pm

(Oct 8, 2026 03:27 PM)TehFif Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Once we close it, we can't open a dispute on it? You mean a contract? Yes you can dispute it even after marking it as completed.

Omniscient - October 8, 2026, 3:31 pm

(Oct 8, 2026 03:27 PM)TehFif Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Once we close it, we can't open a dispute on it? A closed Dispute cannot be opened again. Is that what you are asking?

TehFif - October 8, 2026, 3:33 pm

(Oct 8, 2026 03:31 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) A closed Dispute cannot be opened again. Is that what you are asking?

No sir. I tried to make a dispute thread ([regarding this](#)) and it said I don't have perms. I just saw however it is done from within the contract itself, and not via forum 'create thread' function.

Omniscient - October 8, 2026, 3:35 pm

(Oct 8, 2026 03:33 PM)TehFif Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No sir. I tried to make a dispute thread ([regarding this](#)) and it said I don't have perms.

I just saw however it is done from within the contract itself, and not via forum 'create thread' function.

Correct. To open a Dispute, you can only do it from the HF Contract. That is stated on the first post of this thread.

DemonAsylum - October 8, 2026, 3:51 pm

(Oct 8, 2026 03:33 PM)TehFif Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No sir. I tried to make a dispute thread ([regarding this](#)) and it said I don't have perms.

I just saw however it is done from within the contract itself, and not via forum 'create thread' function.

Glad to know you understand how it works now and I hope you get that situation sorted out as well. Ideally it doesn't come to the point of having to dispute, but at least you did a contract and so have that option available to you. Best of luck.

MOE - October 8, 2026, 4:07 pm

(Oct 8, 2026 03:27 PM)TehFif Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Once we close it, we can't open a dispute on it?

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=6311264&pid=63012321#pid63012321>

old man meme Back in my day, changing +rep to -rep after a marketplace interaction/post was considered a no-no.

The fact that you are still trying to down talk on my name is so crazy.

I am going to post this here so people understand, I changed my +rep because this user cursed me in Arabic. Not "swearing or flaming", he literally did a "curse" (as in Arabic we have words for black magic nonsense), I don't care that he did it but the fact that he said it over \$2 was wild for me.

Also because he is only posting text and not screenshots, I can easily show that this user is tripping.

He had \$20 refund from \$35, got a \$55 product without paying difference, still was given a \$20 refund after received 2 products, so the original contract was fulfilled (if no service he would get refund minus time used), which he did + extra spotify service that is working for everyone but his friend who doesn't know how to use a vpn.

In the end, my opinion the user got his refund (which is what the contract stated has to happen), he got the service until it went down, and he got an extra spotify service that he claims he can't use. Screenshots from my side below...since he did all of this over \$2 not \$20 , which I offered to give but he declined and chose to rant / attack me. Always two sides to a story...and his side is trash.

But yeah it is not my fault his friend doesn't know how to use a vpn, that was for the second service that he chose, and that he also got for \$35 off. So to even still complain after receiving the original \$20 refund + 2 services and curse me was unnecessary. User was a customer of mine for 2+ years, but as soon as a service had an issue he switched up. You can see he was talking about the flawless service and that it was working in past great. But he renewed his 3rd year but the service dropped in July, I gave refunds to everyone. This user got his refund, he is just trying to bash because he didn't get \$2....which I offered for him before his words.

<https://prnt.sc/rbIHREKt0M8U>
<https://prnt.sc/sVKV0dA4q9XI>
<https://prnt.sc/heZOn1hGBFac>
<https://prnt.sc/x9aC3rgUp1Wp>
<https://prnt.sc/Gho81GuruAYu>

TehFif - October 8, 2026, 4:14 pm

(Oct 8, 2026 04:07 PM)MOE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The fact that you are still trying to down talk on my name is so crazy.

I am going to post this here so people understand, I changed my +rep because this user cursed me in Arabic. Not "swearing or flaming", he literally did a "curse" (as in Arabic we have words for black magic nonsense), I don't care that he did it but the fact that he said it over \$2 was wild for me.

Also because he is only posting text and not screenshots, I can easily show that this user is tripping.

He had \$20 refund from \$35, got a \$55 product without paying difference, still was given a \$20 refund after received 2 products, so the original contract was fulfilled (if no service he would get refund minus time used), which he did + extra spotify service that is working for everyone but his friend who doesn't know how to use a vpn.

In the end, my opinion the user got his refund (which is what the contract stated has to happen), he got the service until it went down, and he got an extra spotify service that he claims he can't use. Screenshots from my side below...since he did all of this over \$2 not \$20 , which I offered to give but he declined and chose to rant / attack me. Always two sides to a story...and his side is trash.

But yeah it is not my fault his friend doesn't know how to use a vpn, that was for the second service that he chose, and that he also got for \$35 off. So to even still complain after receiving the original \$20 refund + 2 services and curse me was unnecessary. User was a customer of mine for 2+ years, but as soon as a service had an issue he switched up. You can see he was talking about the flawless service and that it was working in past great. But he renewed his 3rd year but the service dropped in July, I gave refunds to everyone. This user got his refund, he is just trying to bash because he didn't get \$2....which I offered for him before his words.

<https://prnt.sc/rbIHREKt0M8U>
<https://prnt.sc/sVKV0dA4q9XI>
<https://prnt.sc/heZOn1hGBFac>
<https://prnt.sc/x9aC3rgUp1Wp>
<https://prnt.sc/Gho81GuruAYu>
<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=6333224>

You may continue here.