

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Bitcoin Hashrate drops 30% amid America's winter storm](#)

shreder - December 25, 2022, 6:52 am

Bitcoin hashrate drops over 30% aka loses 30% of it's security overnight.

(Jul 29, 2022 07:09 PM)Focus Broken Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) In order for Proof of Work to guarantee any security, no dishonest or censoring miner can control more than 51% of the hashrate. If we want Bitcoin to be resilient to government attack, Bitcoin miners should be able to survive something as extreme as an airstrike.

Meanwhile, Ethereum has maintained about 99.99% participation aka no drop in validators going offline for ANY reason. This is the difference between a network that is resilient to ANY attack and one that is vulnerable like Bitcoin which drops off 30% of its security because of some cold temperatures.

shreder - December 25, 2022, 7:07 am

(Dec 25, 2022 07:58 AM)Focus Broken Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yeah, it's called "variance":

The difference between Bitcoin and Ethereum is that Bitcoin is decentralized. You don't have to trust a third party not to steal or counterfeit coins.

It's actually called "fragility", a network that can't even survive the cold would be shut down by governments easily.

The difference between Bitcoin and Ethereum is that Ethereum is decentralized. You don't have to trust a third party not to attack the network with your own hash power, as you cannot solo mine profitably.

Have fun with your "variance" as the network loses over 30% of it's security overnight. One of these days it's "variance" will dip low enough for an attack.

Kaleb - December 25, 2022, 7:28 am

Why the would someone stop doing something that generates heat because of cold temperatures. Seems like it's more a power consumption and power grid problem than it is cold temperatures, might as well narrow your attack in on the actual cons.

Omniscient - December 25, 2022, 7:42 am

(Dec 25, 2022 08:23 AM)Yani Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't like any Proof-of-Work crypto's. They are so bad for the planet. Don't really care for this news because of that.

When you repeat something you don't understand you're a parrot. You can be a parrot or you can be a free thinker and do the research yourself.

Omniscient - December 25, 2022, 7:45 am

(Dec 25, 2022 08:28 AM)Kaleb Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why the would someone stop doing something that generates heat because of cold temperatures. Seems like it's more a power consumption and power grid problem than it is cold temperatures, might as well narrow your attack in on the actual cons.

Power grids are actually getting knocked offline. Also some places might request that miners pause during a storm to avoid overload. Just like hurricanes, winter storms can cause havoc. I've lived in places with immense winter storms. It can cripple a region for days.

shreder - December 25, 2022, 7:49 am

(Dec 25, 2022 08:28 AM)Kaleb Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why the would someone stop doing something that generates heat because of cold temperatures. Seems like it's more a power consumption and power grid problem than it is cold temperatures, might as well narrow your attack in on the actual cons.

That's even worse miners rely on government built and controlled power grid infrastructure.

I could careless about the environment. But if you cannot have a resilient network that does not rely on centralized third party built and controlled infrastructure get out of the p2p ecurrency sphere because you are obsolete and vulnerable to attacks.

My ETH validator running on consumer hardware in my living room has 99.99% uptime. Easily powered by solar at 15watts. No one mines for reason, YOU CANT.

shreder - December 25, 2022, 7:54 am

(Dec 25, 2022 08:50 AM)Yani Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I did. What's the counter-argument?

They don't like when you don't parrot mimic and repeat after them to their own narrative.

Miners went offline due to government built and controlled infrastructure could not support their security ineffective and vulnerable machines. They want you to believe that it's a good thing.

Omniscient - December 25, 2022, 7:56 am

(Dec 25, 2022 08:50 AM)Yani Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I did. What's the counter-argument?

Here is a clue. Green energy is EXPENSIVE. If you're not gonna even bother to try, I'll just consider you a parrot.

shreder - December 25, 2022, 8:00 am

Fact of the matter is that 30% of the Bitcoin network went offline because of a government built, regulated, and controlled infrastructure could not support their vulnerable and ineffectively network security machines.

Meanwhile Ethereum network is at 99.99% effectiveness with essentially no validators offline due to the inefficiencies of centralized third parties.

Self reliant, resilient and secure. THIS IS ETHEREUM DONT FUCK WITH THE KING.

shreder - December 25, 2022, 8:03 am

(Dec 25, 2022 09:01 AM)Yani Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) In PoS you'd need 51% of the coins though, instead of hashrate.

Ofcourse there will be power consumption with any crypto, but bitcoin is like a car running on coal. Great invention. But can be much better, just like cars evolved.

I'm not talking about using green energy. I would just like to see overall consumption of electricity decrease. Electricity is still produced on demand. And prices are going up and up regardless of

where it comes from.

66% not 51% which makes it even more secure. Not to mention the coins tie you to the network any attack will mean shooting yourself in the foot.

ASICs are not tied to any social consensus. Miners could easily attack BTC then go to BCH crowning that next shit PoW network King.

shreder - December 25, 2022, 8:12 am

All false information. No matter the lies you try to convince yourself to believe.

BTC lost 30% of its security overnight while Ethereum has thousands fold more security with 99.99% network online.

shreder - December 25, 2022, 8:30 am

(Dec 25, 2022 09:19 AM)Beau Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's so ridiculous how privileged we are.

This idea that "power consumption is bad" is such a bad take. Most of us live in a country where people put up holiday decorations that consume energy, and have PC owners with 1000 watts power supply for the sole purpose of playing video games with high end GPUs.

Energy sourcing vs energy consumption.

You are missing the point. Bitcoin heavily relies on a government regulated, built, and controlled electrical infrastructure. You can hook up your 1000 gigawatt warehouse to a \$50 solar panel like ETH validators can.

We can argue all day but fact of the matter is BTC -30% network security overnight while ETH 99.99% validators online.

Omniscient - December 25, 2022, 8:58 am

(Dec 25, 2022 09:01 AM)Yani Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm not talking about using green energy. I would just like to see overall consumption of electricity decrease. Electricity is still produced on demand. And prices are going up and up regardless of where it comes from.

So then stop using Air Conditioning which accounts for 10% of all global energy consumption. AC is actually not mandatory, it's simply a comfort thing. You could open the window and use a fan. I did it for most of my childhood and to be honest if it wasn't for my family, I probably still wouldn't bother with AC.

People bitch and moan about shit they don't even put into perspective or investigate. Bitcoin is the only real way that Green Energy is going to get paid for. Currently BTC is 1% of all global energy consumption but weight that 1% against that it could be the path to a global reserve currency that's under no government control and it's a huge win.

Anyone parroting about Bitcoin and the energy consumption being bad for the planet has simply read headlines and didn't bother to actually do any research at all into it.

Maybe start by watching a few of this guys videos about Bitcoin Energy Consumption.

Swip3r - December 25, 2022, 4:48 pm

I feel like the majority of arguments in this thread versus curtailment and Bitcoins energy use could be demolished by this single website with multiple different sources - <https://endthefud.org/>

Curtailment is necessary, and does a net positive for the grid.

Bitcoin miners take advantage of cheap excess power when its available on the grid, and when the grid is in peak demand, we power off.

We're not "creating" new energy because of Bitcoin miners (in general before someone links me a few coal power plants coming back to life, that's a whole separate issue regarding green energy not being viable, yet.)

we simply use excess energy that otherwise would not be used, and that usually means more grid stability AND cheaper power for some people.

When supply of energy is higher than demand, we take advantage.

When demand is higher than supply, we power down.. What is the issue?

shreder - December 25, 2022, 6:39 pm

(Dec 25, 2022 05:48 PM)Swip3r Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I feel like the majority of arguments in this thread versus curtailment and Bitcoins energy use could be demolished by this single website with multiple different sources - <https://endthefud.org/>

Curtailment is necessary, and does a net positive for the grid.

Bitcoin miners take advantage of cheap excess power when its available on the grid, and when the grid is in peak demand, we power off.

We're not "creating" new energy because of Bitcoin miners (in general before someone links me a few coal power plants coming back to life, that's a whole separate issue regarding green energy not being viable, yet.)

we simply use excess energy that otherwise would not be used, and that usually means more grid stability AND cheaper power for some people.

When supply of energy is higher than demand, we take advantage.

When demand is higher than supply, we power down.. What is the issue?

Because security should remain high. Imagine going from 250m TH/s to 150m TH/s because it's too "cold" or not in "demand".

ETH does not suffer this demand/supply flaw. ETH security always at an maximum, I have no reason to EVER power down my validator. This differentiates a secure and resilient network from a fragile network like Bitcoin.

Swip3r - December 25, 2022, 6:51 pm

(Dec 25, 2022 07:39 PM)shreder Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Because security should remain high. Imagine going from 250m TH/s to 150m TH/s because it's too "cold" or not in "demand".

ETH does not suffer this demand/supply flaw. ETH security always at an maximum, I have no reason to EVER power down my validator. This differentiates a secure and resilient network from a fragile network like Bitcoin.

Security is still high, they shut down for one night lol, and nothing happened.

Everyone mining Bitcoin is incentivized to keep the fundamentals in play, otherwise Bitcoin would fail and so would their investments into it.

I'm not going to sit here and repeat arguments, I simply don't care about ETH and have done just fine without it. But I wouldn't call a chain that hard-forked because of a smart contract "flaw" a secure and resilient network.

Every chain has its "flaws" right now, hence why this is an emerging market.

shreder - December 25, 2022, 7:08 pm

(Dec 25, 2022 07:51 PM)Swip3r Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Security is still high, they shut down for one night lol, and nothing happened.

Everyone mining Bitcoin is incentivized to keep the fundamentals in play, otherwise Bitcoin would fail and so would their investments into it.

I'm not going to sit here and repeat arguments, I simply don't care about ETH and have done just fine without it. But I wouldn't call a chain that hard-forked because of a smart contract "flaw" a secure and resilient network.

Every chain has its "flaws" right now, hence why this is an emerging market.

It didn't happen this time. Keep in mind at some point back when BCH forked it was 64% of the total hashrate of BTC.

2.9E BCH

4.5E BTC

It's very much a possibility for an attack when miners turn off their machines. No one can argue otherwise.

Social consensus to hardfork ETH is no different to why we did not continue using the chain that someone spawned in 800 Billion BTC that had Satoshi scrambling to get everyone to download his custom chain data where he removed the transactions of the exploited.

Swip3r you colocate your power hungry machines to some third party warehouse and then delegate your hash power to a centralized mining pool. I run my ETH validator solo and node on a 15w machine off my desk. We ain't the same.

Swip3r - December 25, 2022, 7:27 pm

(Dec 25, 2022 08:08 PM)shreder Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It didn't happen this time. Keep in mind at some point back when BCH forked it was 64% of the total hashrate of BTC.

2.9E BCH

4.5E BTC

It's very much a possibility for an attack when miners turn off their machines. No one can argue otherwise.

Social consensus to hardfork ETH is no different to why we did not continue using the chain that someone spawned in 800 Billion BTC that had Satoshi scrambling to get everyone to download his custom chain data where he removed the transactions of the exploited.

Swip3r you colocate your power hungry machines to some third party warehouse and then delegate your hash power to a centralized mining pool. I run my ETH validator solo and node on a 15w machine off my desk. We ain't the same.

It's hardly a possibility, nations across the world are mining bitcoin, everyone mining bitcoin is incentivized to keep the fundamentals of what Bitcoin is.

Otherwise it fails, simple.

Yes I co-locate my machines to multiple different states, another country, and soon to be another country in SA too, so?

I don't have the time to look after my ASICs, everything you're arguing against when it comes to ASICs is the reason why a 51% attack is nearly impossible.

Its the reason WHY you hard forked that is the issue, I want money nobody can mess with, don't care who you are or what you've done, your money should be yours.

You either go all the way with that mindset or don't, there is no in-between when it comes to decentralization of "money"

Its that simple for me, I don't care about anything else.

Everything I've mentioned is easily sourced right here - <https://endthefud.org/>

Not sure why you in particular are so bad faith when it comes to Bitcoin, I don't give a shit if ETH outperforms it or not only reason I'd ever run a node is to stack more Bitcoin with the proceeds.

shreder - December 25, 2022, 7:59 pm

(Dec 25, 2022 08:27 PM)Swip3r Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Its hardly a possibility, nations across the world are mining bitcoin, everyone mining bitcoin is incentivized to keep the fundamentals of what Bitcoin is. Otherwise it fails, simple.

Yes I co-locate my machines to multiple different states, another country, and soon to be another country in SA too, so?

I don't have the time to look after my ASICs, everything you're arguing against when it comes to ASICs is the reason why a 51% attack is nearly impossible.

Its the reason WHY you hard forked that is the issue, I want money nobody can mess with, don't care who you are or what you've done, your money should be yours.

You either go all the way with that mindset or don't, there is no in-between when it comes to decentralization of "money"

Its that simple for me, I don't care about anything else.

Everything I've mentioned is easily sourced right here - <https://endthefud.org/>

Not sure why you in particular are so bad faith when it comes to Bitcoin, I don't give a shit if ETH outperforms it or not only reason I'd ever run a node is to stack more Bitcoin with the proceeds.

They are incentivized but honestly the incentive is decreasing. You literally said they turn it off time to time. Leaving vulnerable timeframes.

Swip3r - December 25, 2022, 8:19 pm

(Dec 25, 2022 08:59 PM)shreder Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) They are incentivized but honestly the incentive is decreasing. You literally said they turn it off time to time. Leaving vulnerable timeframes.

They sign agreements with grid providers to turn off during peak demand to provide electricity for residential areas.. This is a standard practice to negotiate cheaper electricity rates, and doesn't happen everywhere, and will probably happen less in the future as we harness more energy more efficiently.

Curtailement can happen anytime, anyday, it actually happens quite often in some facilities across NA, still don't see what you're seeing when it comes to being vulnerable.

Incentivization isn't dying, its increasing.

Hashrate will continue to grow overtime, as it has since Bitcoins inception.

But anyways, I'm not here to argue, I don't see a better alternative to "fuck you" money other than Bitcoin.

My thoughts and opinion are always subject to change, but nothing that I've read or seen has swayed me away from BTC being superior at being real money, or at the very least a superior network for transactions to occur on.

shreder - December 25, 2022, 8:27 pm

(Dec 25, 2022 09:19 PM)Swip3r Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) They sign agreements with grid providers to turn off during peak demand to provide electricity for residential areas.. This is a standard practice to negotiate cheaper electricity rates, and doesn't happen everywhere, and will probably happen less in the future as we harness more energy more efficiently.

Curtailment can happen anytime, anyday, it actually happens quite often in some facilities across NA, still don't see what you're seeing when it comes to being vulnerable.
Incentivization isn't dying, its increasing.

Hashrate will continue to grow overtime, as it has since Bitcoins inception.

But anyways, I'm not here to argue, I don't see a better alternative to "fuck you" money other than Bitcoin.

My thoughts and opinion are always subject to change, but nothing that I've read or seen has swayed me away from BTC being superior at being real money, or at the very least a superior network for transactions to occur on.

When you sign an agreement with the state you already fucked up as a decentralized ecurrency. Not like it mattered they could shut you off anytime they wanted.

You having to use a centralized thirdparty to colocate "your" machines then delegate "your" hash power to centralized entities is proof of it. Take note of the quotations around Your. You don't own your machines compass does. You also don't own your hash power either.

You should try to ask compass mining for your machines see what happens ?

ETH is KING.

SIM-1169085 - December 25, 2022, 9:22 pm

Yo yo yo but hear me out.

Walked outside and breathed a nice deep breath of fresh air.

Is it just me or does the air quality just feel better after ETH has moved to POS and Bitcoin hash rate has dropped?

RsGoldKing - December 25, 2022, 10:12 pm

(Dec 25, 2022 08:42 AM)Admin Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) When you repeat something you don't understand you're a parrot. You can be a parrot or you can be a free thinker and do the research yourself. its like a vegan saying meat is murder. But doesnt understand the billions of critters killed by farmers so he can chew on hit tofu

RsGoldKing - December 26, 2022, 3:05 am

(Dec 26, 2022 12:11 AM)Beau Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Not at all.

When the premise is expanded, veganism defines murder as unnecessary death to sentient beings. Also, there is a huge variance between the resources required for livestock and plant-based proteins. So, veganism is also a pragmatic solution to reduce carbon footprint. If first world countries diets changed to be plant-based, it's a net positive because there is less suffering, death, and impact on society.

This nonsensical counterargument is much like "Bitcoin is centralized because energy is centralized by regulators and governments." The energy sector is more nuanced than what it is being framed as.

Doubt it, the part where you convince yourself to be superior than the planet. You can't make a foot print on a planet trillion times your volume. Planets go through cycles that last longer than your next 50 generations. You have an empty void in your soul so you fill that void by making yourself feel important either by anger or empathy. You're unimportant, useless and powerless and funny when you think you're otherwise.

Swip3r - December 26, 2022, 8:24 am

(Dec 25, 2022 09:27 PM)shreder Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) When you sign an agreement with the state you already fucked up as a decentralized currency. Not like it mattered they could shut you off anytime they wanted.

You having to use a centralized third party to colocate "your" machines then delegate "your" hash power to centralized entities is proof of it. Take note of the quotations around Your. You don't own your machines compass does. You also don't own your hash power either.

You should try to ask compass mining for your machines see what happens ?

ETH is KING.

"When you sign an agreement with the state you already fucked up as a decentralized currency. Not like it mattered they could shut you off anytime they wanted."

Mining is a global market, no idea what you mean by that, by that logic your nodes are all centralized to ISPs and datacenters AND hardware providers lol, it's a stupid argument, unless you're literally building your own node, on your own network, with your own hardware that you sourced yourself, it's a stupid argument.

"You should try to ask compass mining for your machines see what happens ?"

I have, I've gotten them shipped to other cheaper facilities? Do you want proof or something? I also know 3 people IRL that literally have their ASICs sitting in a warehouse ready to be shipped to South America after New Years.

There are plenty of hosting providers for ASICs, some own facilities, some are 3rd party middlemen.

I control what pool I point my hash too, I've switched pools over 3 times already with no issues, where's the centralization?

Once again, don't care about ETH, I'm here for hard money that is not censored nor controlled by a figurehead, if you want to keep these bad faith arguments going.. at least make them logical, everything you've said could be applied to ETH kek

Imagine, 30% of the network gone for a few hours and absolutely nothing happened.. Yep, that's security.

You used to be level-headed but this ETH maxi persona is retarded, it's an alpha version of a network at best that has more problems than solutions and even the founder acknowledges that.. But hey do you, couldn't care less about your investments, goodluck!

Edit - Yes Compass gave its customers shitty options to retire their miners to get out of their hosting contract when certain models became unprofitable, so? Customers signed a contract to host their

miners.. Do you expect a company to lose revenue because people can't make payments? This isn't a make-a-wish foundation Imfao welcome to the real world.

I'm not a compass shill, but that was probably the worst example you could've posted.

Edit #2 - Wow, its almost as if compass was giving people the opportunity to take possession of their own miners or something due to rate changes kek

Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

Why are you arguing things that have nothing to do with what I said, once again -

<https://endthefud.org/>

shreder - December 26, 2022, 8:41 am

(Dec 26, 2022 09:24 AM)Swip3r Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) "When you sign an agreement with the state you already fucked up as a decentralized ecurrency. Not like it mattered they could shut you off anytime they wanted."

Mining is a global market, no idea what you mean by that, by that logic your nodes are all centralized to ISPs and datacenters AND hardware providers lol, its a stupid argument, unless you're literally building your own node, on your own network, with your own hardware that you sourced yourself, its a stupid argument.

"You should try to ask compass mining for your machines see what happens ?"

I have, I've gotten them shipped to other cheaper facilities? Do you want proof or something? I also know 3 people irl that literally have their ASICs sitting in a warehouse ready to be shipped to South America after New Years.

There are plenty of hosting providers for ASICs, some own facilities, some are 3rd party middlemen.

I control what pool I point my hash too, I've switched pools over 3 times already with no issues, where's the centralization?

Once again, don't care about ETH, I'm here for hard money that is not censored nor controlled by a figurehead, if you want to keep these bad faith arguments going.. at least make them logical, everything you've said could be applied to ETH kek

Imagine, 30% of the network gone for a few hours and absolutely nothing happened.. Yep, that's security.

You used to be level-headed but this ETH maxi persona is retarded, its an alpha version of a network at best that has more problems than solutions and even the founder acknowledges that..

But hey do you, couldn't care less about your investments, goodluck!

Edit - Yes Compass gave its customers shitty options to retire their miners to get out of their hosting contract when certain models became unprofitable, so? Customers signed a contract to host their miners.. Do you expect a company to lose revenue because people can't make payments? This isn't a make-a-wish foundation Imfao welcome to the real world.

I'm not a compass shill, but that was probably the worst example you could've posted.

Edit #2 - Wow, its almost as if compass was giving people the opportunity to take possession of their own miners or something due to rate changes kek

Spoiler ([Click to View](#))

Why are you arguing things that have nothing to do with what I said, once again -

<https://endthefud.org/>

It's about the most resilience as possible. I source my own hardware for my validator and node.

This is something not possible for miners as you need to colocate and have a middleman touch and host your machines.

Secondly you have to use a pool because you cannot solo mine. If you had chosen to solo mine you would have literally nothing in rewards up til now. Meanwhile I've proposed multiple blocks on solo staking.

Bitcoin core is literally comprised of 3 entities and 3 centralized mining pools control more than 60% of the BTC network hash power.

No ETH tx has ever been censored and I have contributed to ETH development more than you ever have with BTC. Because BTC has had no development to begin with.

Does not change the fact that you can never mine at home. You never even own your machines.

Swip3r - December 26, 2022, 8:53 am

(Dec 26, 2022 09:41 AM)shreder Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's about the most resilience as possible. I source my own hardware for my validator and node. This is something not possible for miners as you need to colocate and have a middleman touch and host your machines.

Secondly you have to use a pool because you cannot solo mine. If you had chosen to solo mine you would have literally nothing in rewards up til now. Meanwhile I've proposed multiple blocks on solo staking.

Bitcoin core is literally comprised of 3 entities and 3 centralized mining pools control more than 60% of the BTC network hash power.

No ETH tx has ever been censored and I have contributed to ETH development more than you ever have with BTC. Because BTC has had no development to begin with.

66% OFAC compliance is resilience? We may have different definitions then.

You literally have blacklisted addresses on ETH network, huh?

Secondly, I use pool mining because Bitcoin is SO SECURE that I myself would be relying on luck to mine a block, so? Still mining, still stacking sats, still holding.

Why hasn't Bitcoin network been attacked successfully, explain that to me if 60% of the hash (that is not controlled by the pools, but by the miners pointing to said pools) is controlled by 3 entities?

No ETH tx has ever been censored? You literally hard forked the whole chain because of a smart contract being used exactly as it was programmed to be, you're blacklisting addresses that are known to be "fraud or bad actors" its a fundamental difference to free money, I don't understand how you don't understand this but ok.

Keep contributing to eth development, that's awesome, maybe someday it'll do something other than get clogged by yield farming, jpegs, and ponzi shitcoins ?

I'm doing just fine with what I got going on.. and ooh boy the tax benefits are fucking great.

Last post on this thread since you're like a broken record, ETH is not ultra sound money. Bitcoin is, and layer 2 solutions WHILE STILL EARLY, will accelerate that narrative, and its happening across the world already.

Goodluck my boy.

shreder - December 26, 2022, 9:00 am

(Dec 26, 2022 09:53 AM)Swip3r Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 66% OFAC compliance is resilience? We may have different definitions then.

You literally have blacklisted addresses on ETH network, huh?

Secondly, I use pool mining because Bitcoin is SO SECURE that I myself would be relying on luck to mine a block, so? Still mining, still stacking sats, still holding.

Why hasn't Bitcoin network been attacked successfully, explain that to me if 60% of the hash (that is not controlled by the pools, but by the miners pointing to said pools) is controlled by 3 entities?

No ETH tx has ever been censored? You literally hard forked the whole chain because of a smart contract being used exactly as it was programmed to be, you're blacklisting addresses that are known to be "fraud or bad actors" its a fundamental difference to free money, I don't understand how you don't understand this but ok.

Keep contributing to eth development, that's awesome, maybe someday it'll do something other than get clogged by yield farming, jpegs, and ponzi shitcoins ?

I'm doing just fine with what I got going on.. and ooh boy the tax benefits are fucking great.

Last post on this thread since you're like a broken record, ETH is not ultra sound money. Bitcoin is, and layer 2 solutions WHILE STILL EARLY, will accelerate that narrative, and its happening across the world already.

Goodluck my boy.

Not a single ETH TX has ever been censored. They pass literally 30 seconds later. Thanks to the crying haters development has already come that's why numbers have not increased.

BTC kids keep barking the DAO hack like a parrot. Yet forget they are not on a BTC Chain that doesn't have 184 Billion BTC that was spawned in by a hacker back in 2010. The hacker spawned in BTC without Satoshi's permission so he had to silence them. Satoshi abandoned BTC for ETH for a reason.

<https://bitcointalk.org/index.php?topic=827.0>

Keep "mining" on your imaginary miner you think you own that is managed by a third party. I have my machine on my desk contributing to the Ethereum network.

shreder - December 26, 2022, 9:49 am

(Dec 26, 2022 10:27 AM)Focus Broken Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm going to keep posting the cryptographic proof of the DAO smart contract that Vitalik censored with a hard fork every time you repeat this lie:

I'm going to keep posting the cryptographic proof of the 184 Billion BTC hack that Satoshi censored with a soft fork every time you repeat this lie:

Block 74638 - that created 184,467,440,737.09551616 Bitcoin, with two addresses receiving just over 92 billion Bitcoin each—92,233,720,36

Quote:{

"hash" : "0000000000790ab3f22ec756ad43b6ab569abf0bddeb97c67a6f7b1470a7ec1c",

```

"ver" : 1,
"prev_block" : "0000000000606865e679308edf079991764d88e8122ca9250aef5386962b6e84",
"mrkl_root" : "618eba14419e13c8d08d38c346da7cd1c7c66fd8831421056ae56d8d80b6ec5e",
"time" : 1281891957,
"bits" : 469794830,
"nonce" : 28192719,
"n_tx" : 2,
"tx" : [
  {
    "hash" : "012cd8f8910355da9dd214627a31acfeb61ac66e13560255bfd87d3e9c50e1ca",
    "ver" : 1,
    "vin_sz" : 1,
    "vout_sz" : 1,
    "lock_time" : 0,
    "in" : [
      {
        "prev_out" : {
          "hash" :
"0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000",
          "n" : 4294967295
        },
        "coinbase" : "040e80001c028f00"
      }
    ],
    "out" : [
      {
        "value" : 50.51000000,
        "scriptPubKey" :
"0x4F4BA55D1580F8C3A8A2C78E8B7963837C7EA2BD8654B9D96C51994E6FCF6E65E1CF9A8
44B044EEA125F26C26DBB1B207E4C3F2A098989DA9BA5BA455E830F7504 OP_CHECKSIG"
      }
    ]
  },
  {
    "hash" : "1d5e512a9723cbef373b970eb52f1e9598ad67e7408077a82fdac194b65333c9",
    "ver" : 1,
    "vin_sz" : 1,
    "vout_sz" : 2,
    "lock_time" : 0,
    "in" : [
      {
        "prev_out" : {
          "hash" :
"237fe8348fc77ace11049931058abb034c99698c7fe99b1cc022b1365a705d39",
          "n" : 0
        },
        "scriptSig" :
"0xA87C02384E1F184B79C6ACF070BEA45D5B6A4739DBFF776A5D8CE11B23532DD05A20029
387F6E4E77360692BB624EEC1664A21A42AA8FC16AEB9BD807A4698D0CA8CDB0021024530
0x965D33950A28B84C9C19AB64BAE9410875C537F0EB29D1D21A60DA7BAD2706FBADA7DF5
E84F645063715B7D0472ABB9EBFDE5CE7D9A74C7F207929EDAE975D6B04"
      }
    ],

```

```

    "out" : [
      {
        "value" : 92233720368.54277039,
        "scriptPubKey" : "OP_DUP OP_HASH160
0xB7A73EB128D7EA3D388DB12418302A1CBAD5E890 OP_EQUALVERIFY OP_CHECKSIG"
      },
      {
        "value" : 92233720368.54277039,
        "scriptPubKey" : "OP_DUP OP_HASH160
0x151275508C66F89DEC2C5F43B6F9CBE0B5C4722C OP_EQUALVERIFY OP_CHECKSIG"
      }
    ]
  },
  "mrkl_tree" : [
    "012cd8f8910355da9dd214627a31acfeb61ac66e13560255bfd87d3e9c50e1ca",
    "1d5e512a9723cbef373b970eb52f1e9598ad67e7408077a82fdac194b65333c9",
    "618eba14419e13c8d08d38c346da7cd1c7c66fd8831421056ae56d8d80b6ec5e"
  ]
}

```

shreder - December 26, 2022, 10:11 am

(Dec 26, 2022 11:04 AM)Focus Broken Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How does anything Satoshi or Bitcoin did justify you lying about Vitalik censoring a smart contract?

How does anything Vitalik or Ethereum didn't do justify you lying about Bitcoin censoring the entire chain after a hacker spawned in 184 Billion BTC?

shreder - December 26, 2022, 10:20 am

(Dec 26, 2022 11:14 AM)Focus Broken Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Lying about what? I've never even mentioned the hard fork that fixed the infinite money bug before.

So why isn't there 184 Billion BTC right now? Is it because Satoshi did not give permission to the hacker to spawn it in so he forced everyone to download his custom chain data where the hacker was censored?

shreder - December 26, 2022, 10:31 am

(Dec 26, 2022 11:23 AM)Focus Broken Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Feel free to mine the chain with the infinite coins on it, it's still there, operating under the same consensus rules as always. Ethereum Classic, the censorship free version of Ethereum, continued operating just fine without Vitalik's tampering.

Go ahead and mine the original BTC Chain before Satoshi tampered with it having our current censored version of BTC.

Oh wait no one even mines.

shreder - December 26, 2022, 10:39 am

(Dec 26, 2022 11:33 AM)Focus Broken Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You keep trying to talk about the inflation bug instead of addressing the fact you are lying to people about Ethereum not being censored by Vitalik: The hacker was censored by Satoshi who did not permission the hack.

I'm going to keep posting the cryptographic proof of the 184 Billion BTC hack that Satoshi censored with a soft fork every time you repeat this lie:

Block 74638 - that created 184,467,440,737.09551616 Bitcoin, with two addresses receiving just over 92 billion Bitcoin each—92,233,720,36

Quote:{

```
"hash" : "0000000000790ab3f22ec756ad43b6ab569abf0bddeb97c67a6f7b1470a7ec1c",
"ver" : 1,
"prev_block" : "0000000000606865e679308edf079991764d88e8122ca9250aef5386962b6e84",
"mrkl_root" : "618eba14419e13c8d08d38c346da7cd1c7c66fd8831421056ae56d8d80b6ec5e",
"time" : 1281891957,
"bits" : 469794830,
"nonce" : 28192719,
"n_tx" : 2,
"tx" : [
  {
    "hash" : "012cd8f8910355da9dd214627a31acfeb61ac66e13560255bfd87d3e9c50e1ca",
    "ver" : 1,
    "vin_sz" : 1,
    "vout_sz" : 1,
    "lock_time" : 0,
    "in" : [
      {
        "prev_out" : {
          "hash" :
"0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000",
          "n" : 4294967295
        },
        "coinbase" : "040e80001c028f00"
      }
    ],
    "out" : [
      {
        "value" : 50.51000000,
        "scriptPubKey" :
"0x4F4BA55D1580F8C3A8A2C78E8B7963837C7EA2BD8654B9D96C51994E6FCF6E65E1CF9A8
44B044EEA125F26C26DBB1B207E4C3F2A098989DA9BA5BA455E830F7504 OP_CHECKSIG"
      }
    ]
  },
  {
    "hash" : "1d5e512a9723cbef373b970eb52f1e9598ad67e7408077a82fdac194b65333c9",
    "ver" : 1,
    "vin_sz" : 1,
    "vout_sz" : 2,
    "lock_time" : 0,
    "in" : [
      {
        "prev_out" : {
          "hash" :
"237fe8348fc77ace11049931058abb034c99698c7fe99b1cc022b1365a705d39",
          "n" : 0
        },
        "scriptSig" :
"0xA87C02384E1F184B79C6ACF070BEA45D5B6A4739DBFF776A5D8CE11B23532DD05A20029"
```

```

387F6E4E77360692BB624EEC1664A21A42AA8FC16AEB9BD807A4698D0CA8CDB0021024530
0x965D33950A28B84C9C19AB64BAE9410875C537F0EB29D1D21A60DA7BAD2706FBADA7DF5
E84F645063715B7D0472ABB9EBFDE5CE7D9A74C7F207929EDAE975D6B04"
    }
  ],
  "out" : [
    {
      "value" : 92233720368.54277039,
      "scriptPubKey" : "OP_DUP OP_HASH160
0xB7A73EB128D7EA3D388DB12418302A1CBAD5E890 OP_EQUALVERIFY OP_CHECKSIG"
    },
    {
      "value" : 92233720368.54277039,
      "scriptPubKey" : "OP_DUP OP_HASH160
0x151275508C66F89DEC2C5F43B6F9CBE0B5C4722C OP_EQUALVERIFY OP_CHECKSIG"
    }
  ]
}
],
"mrkl_tree" : [
  "012cd8f8910355da9dd214627a31acfeb61ac66e13560255bfd87d3e9c50e1ca",
  "1d5e512a9723cbef373b970eb52f1e9598ad67e7408077a82fdac194b65333c9",
  "618eba14419e13c8d08d38c346da7cd1c7c66fd8831421056ae56d8d80b6ec5e"
]
}
}

```

XMR - December 26, 2022, 10:48 am

These FUD and shit slinging threads are like something out of a tabloid magazine in the checkout line.

(Dec 26, 2022 11:31 AM)shreder Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Go ahead and mine the original BTC Chain before Satoshi tampered with it having our current censored version of BTC.

Oh wait no one even mines.

The fact that no one mines that chain is proof that the entire bitcoin community is in agreement with what was done to address that bug. If anyone didn't agree with Satoshi's actions then that chain would still be mined. There was (and still is) nothing stopping people from mining on the chain with the hacked in bitcoin, just like there's nothing stopping people from mining ETC.

(Dec 26, 2022 11:39 AM)shreder Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The hacker was censored by Satoshi who did not permission the hack.

I'm going to keep posting the cryptographic proof of the 184 Billion BTC hack that Satoshi censored with a soft fork every time you repeat this lie:

Block 74638 - that created 184,467,440,737.09551616 Bitcoin, with two addresses receiving just over 92 billion Bitcoin each—92,233,720,36

See above. The community chose not to mine on that chain; they were never forced to switch to the current one that had that transaction reverted. Everyone could've told Satoshi to go stick it and kept mining on the original chain, but they didn't. The hacker was censored by the community at large, not Satoshi.

shreder - December 26, 2022, 11:18 am

(Dec 26, 2022 12:02 PM)Focus Broken Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why doesn't your post contain the words "Vitalik" or "Ethereum" at all? I'm going to repeat my last post since you failed to address the hard fork that Vitalik used to censor the DAO smart contract:

You also failed to explain why Satoshi was able to censor the hacker who spawned in 184 Billion BTC

Block 74638 - that created 184,467,440,737.09551616 Bitcoin, with two addresses receiving just over 92 billion Bitcoin each—92,233,720,36

Quote:{

```
"hash" : "0000000000790ab3f22ec756ad43b6ab569abf0bddeb97c67a6f7b1470a7ec1c",
"ver" : 1,
"prev_block" : "0000000000606865e679308edf079991764d88e8122ca9250aef5386962b6e84",
"mrkl_root" : "618eba14419e13c8d08d38c346da7cd1c7c66fd8831421056ae56d8d80b6ec5e",
"time" : 1281891957,
"bits" : 469794830,
"nonce" : 28192719,
"n_tx" : 2,
"tx" : [
  {
    "hash" : "012cd8f8910355da9dd214627a31acfeb61ac66e13560255bfd87d3e9c50e1ca",
    "ver" : 1,
    "vin_sz" : 1,
    "vout_sz" : 1,
    "lock_time" : 0,
    "in" : [
      {
        "prev_out" : {
          "hash" :
"0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000",
          "n" : 4294967295
        },
        "coinbase" : "040e80001c028f00"
      }
    ],
    "out" : [
      {
        "value" : 50.51000000,
        "scriptPubKey" :
"0x4F4BA55D1580F8C3A8A2C78E8B7963837C7EA2BD8654B9D96C51994E6FCF6E65E1CF9A8
44B044EEA125F26C26DBB1B207E4C3F2A098989DA9BA5BA455E830F7504 OP_CHECKSIG"
      }
    ]
  },
  {
    "hash" : "1d5e512a9723cbef373b970eb52f1e9598ad67e7408077a82fdac194b65333c9",
    "ver" : 1,
    "vin_sz" : 1,
    "vout_sz" : 2,
```

```

    "lock_time" : 0,
    "in" : [
      {
        "prev_out" : {
          "hash" :
"237fe8348fc77ace11049931058abb034c99698c7fe99b1cc022b1365a705d39",
          "n" : 0
        },
        "scriptSig" :
"0xA87C02384E1F184B79C6ACF070BEA45D5B6A4739DBFF776A5D8CE11B23532DD05A20029
387F6E4E77360692BB624EEC1664A21A42AA8FC16AEB9BD807A4698D0CA8CDB0021024530
0x965D33950A28B84C9C19AB64BAE9410875C537F0EB29D1D21A60DA7BAD2706FBADA7DF5
E84F645063715B7D0472ABB9EBFDE5CE7D9A74C7F207929EDAE975D6B04"
      }
    ],
    "out" : [
      {
        "value" : 92233720368.54277039,
        "scriptPubKey" : "OP_DUP OP_HASH160
0xB7A73EB128D7EA3D388DB12418302A1CBAD5E890 OP_EQUALVERIFY OP_CHECKSIG"
      },
      {
        "value" : 92233720368.54277039,
        "scriptPubKey" : "OP_DUP OP_HASH160
0x151275508C66F89DEC2C5F43B6F9CBE0B5C4722C OP_EQUALVERIFY OP_CHECKSIG"
      }
    ]
  },
  "mrkl_tree" : [
    "012cd8f8910355da9dd214627a31acfeb61ac66e13560255bfd87d3e9c50e1ca",
    "1d5e512a9723cbef373b970eb52f1e9598ad67e7408077a82fdac194b65333c9",
    "618eba14419e13c8d08d38c346da7cd1c7c66fd8831421056ae56d8d80b6ec5e"
  ]
}

```

Omniscient - December 26, 2022, 11:22 am

<https://cointelegraph.com/news/green-oasis-for-bitcoin-mining-norway-has-almost-1-of-global-btc-ha-sh-rate>

If you just search green energy and bitcoin you'll come up with a lot of positive articles. Bitcoin miners absolutely would prefer to use green energy not just because it's environmentally friendly but because it often makes them self-sufficient and your energy costs are fixed. Power companies tend to raise prices and you can also get cut off by events like this winter storm.

Quote:Energy consumption is a strength, not a flaw. Since Ethereum uses 99.9% less energy than Bitcoin, it has 1/1000 of the security of Bitcoin.

I think that's an oversimplification. Why can't both networks be reasonably secure but in different ways? Bitcoin vs Ethereum doesn't have to be a thing. Like arguing NFL over NBA. Two different sports, often same fans.

Quote:First world country citizens have few excuses on not being able to increase their plant-based protein intake.

I only need one excuse, it tastes like shit. My second excuse, I fucking love real meat. Nuff said on that.

And jeez, this thread is devolving the past 3 pages.

shreder - December 26, 2022, 11:25 am

(Dec 26, 2022 12:21 PM)Focus Broken Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) [XMR](#) explained that to you clearly already:

This was not the case when Vitalik censored the DAO, since the original uncensored chain worked perfectly fine.

I forked the DAO alongside the community. It was up the community to go to the chain just like how everyone continued to mine on Satoshi's censored chain.

If everyone wanted they would still be on ETC and the current ETH that I forked with the community wouldn't be used.

If you love original uncensored chains you would be mining on the 184Billion BTC uncensored chain. But you have done neither.

shreder - December 26, 2022, 11:29 am

(Dec 26, 2022 12:27 PM)Focus Broken Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why would you switch to a new fork that censored a smart contract instead of executing it?

Why would you switch to a new fork that censored a 184B BTC hack instead of continuing it?

shreder - December 26, 2022, 11:34 am

(Dec 26, 2022 12:30 PM)Focus Broken Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Because having a ledger with an infinite amount of money isn't useful. A ledger with a limited amount of money is useful.

A censorship free ledger is more useful than a censored ledger, which is why I am asking you to explain why you switched to a hard fork that censored the DAO smart contract.

So you admit that Satoshi censored over a spawning in of BTC for the fact that he did not permission the action. Therefore he inacted as censorship of the hacker.

shreder - December 26, 2022, 12:09 pm

(Dec 26, 2022 12:53 PM)Focus Broken Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)

There you go haha. Now back to the fact that BTC lost 30% of its security over government built, regulated, and controlled electrical grid. That is the fragility of BTC, a centralized currency.

shreder - December 26, 2022, 12:16 pm

(Dec 26, 2022 01:11 PM)Focus Broken Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What do you mean "there you go"? You still haven't told everyone why you would switch to a new fork that censored a smart contract instead of executing it:

Bitcoin hacker was censored by Satoshi himself. Bitcoin was centralized ever since.

XMR - December 26, 2022, 12:17 pm

(Dec 26, 2022 01:09 PM)shreder Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There you go haha. Now back to the fact that BTC lost 30% of its security over government built, regulated, and controlled electrical grid. That is the fragility of BTC, a centralized currency.

The internet is also built, regulated, and controlled by the government. By your own logic, ETH is just as "fragile" as BTC because the government can simply censor nodes' connections.

(Dec 26, 2022 01:16 PM)shreder Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Bitcoin hacker was censored by Satoshi himself.

Bitcoin was centralized ever since.

No, he was censored by the community at large. The community was left with the decision of whether to support the hacker's chain or Satoshi's - no one was forced into one or the other.

shreder - December 26, 2022, 12:21 pm

(Dec 26, 2022 01:17 PM)XMR Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The internet is also built, regulated, and controlled by the government. By your own logic, ETH is just as "fragile" as BTC because the government can simply censor nodes' connections.

No, he was censored by the community at large. The community was left with the decision of whether to support the hacker's chain or Satoshi's - no one was forced into one or the other. Which is it?

Broken said Yes.

You said No.

You can argue amongst yourself about that for me it's Yes like Broken.

(Dec 26, 2022 12:34 PM)shreder Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So you admit that Satoshi censored over a spawning in of BTC for the fact that he did not permission the action. Therefore he inacted as censorship of the hacker.

(Dec 26, 2022 12:53 PM)Focus Broken Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)

XMR - December 26, 2022, 12:24 pm

(Dec 26, 2022 01:21 PM)shreder Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Which is it?

Broken said Yes.

You said No.

You can argue amongst yourself about that for me it's Yes like Broken.

Satoshi provided the means to censor the hacker's transaction by creating the chain that had the transaction reverted. The community wasn't forced to mine on Satoshi's chain; they chose to do so of their own free will. I fail to see how that makes BTC "centralized" in any way.

shreder - December 27, 2022, 7:41 am

(Dec 26, 2022 01:24 PM)XMR Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Satoshi provided the means to censor the hacker's transaction by creating the chain that had the transaction reverted. The community wasn't forced to mine on Satoshi's chain; they chose to do so of their own free will. I fail to see how that makes BTC "centralized" in any way.

Ah so you would say that the switch over from ETC to ETH was voluntary as well?

XMR - December 27, 2022, 7:59 am

(Dec 27, 2022 08:41 AM)shreder Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Ah so you would say that the switch over from ETC to ETH was voluntary as well?

The miners' decision is voluntary because no one is forced to mine either coin. Both ETH and ETC are still mined. The same applies to all forks; no one is compelled to mine the original chain over the forked one and vice versa. Though I wouldn't say that reversing stolen coins is comparable to reversing an infinite money printing exploit.

shreder - December 27, 2022, 8:05 am

(Dec 27, 2022 08:59 AM)XMR Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The miners' decision is voluntary because no one is forced to mine either coin. Both ETH and ETC are still mined. The same applies to all forks; no one is compelled to mine the original chain over the forked one and vice versa. Though I wouldn't say

that reversing stolen coins is comparable to reversing an infinite money printing exploit.

Well if it was coded better you wouldn't have an infinite money printing exploit. It's up to the community to decide.

Anyways the topic was about how miners shutdown on a whim due to "cold" weather what a shit show.

shreder - December 27, 2022, 8:09 am

(Dec 27, 2022 09:09 AM)Focus Broken Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So why did you decide to switch to a new fork that censored a smart contract instead of executing it?

I'm going to keep posting the cryptographic proof of the 184 Billion BTC hack that Satoshi censored with a soft fork every time you repeat this lie:

Block 74638 - that created 184,467,440,737.09551616 Bitcoin, with two addresses receiving just over 92 billion Bitcoin each—92,233,720,36

Quote:{

```
"hash" : "0000000000790ab3f22ec756ad43b6ab569abf0bddeb97c67a6f7b1470a7ec1c",
"ver" : 1,
"prev_block" : "0000000000606865e679308edf079991764d88e8122ca9250aef5386962b6e84",
"mrkl_root" : "618eba14419e13c8d08d38c346da7cd1c7c66fd8831421056ae56d8d80b6ec5e",
"time" : 1281891957,
"bits" : 469794830,
"nonce" : 28192719,
"n_tx" : 2,
"tx" : [
  {
    "hash" : "012cd8f8910355da9dd214627a31acfeb61ac66e13560255bfd87d3e9c50e1ca",
    "ver" : 1,
    "vin_sz" : 1,
    "vout_sz" : 1,
    "lock_time" : 0,
    "in" : [
      {
        "prev_out" : {
          "hash" :
"0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000",
          "n" : 4294967295
        },
        "coinbase" : "040e80001c028f00"
      }
    ],
    "out" : [
      {
        "value" : 50.51000000,
        "scriptPubKey" :
"0x4F4BA55D1580F8C3A8A2C78E8B7963837C7EA2BD8654B9D96C51994E6FCF6E65E1CF9A8
44B044EEA125F26C26DBB1B207E4C3F2A098989DA9BA5BA455E830F7504 OP_CHECKSIG"
      }
    ]
  },
  {
```

```

    "hash" : "1d5e512a9723cbef373b970eb52f1e9598ad67e7408077a82fdac194b65333c9",
    "ver" : 1,
    "vin_sz" : 1,
    "vout_sz" : 2,
    "lock_time" : 0,
    "in" : [
      {
        "prev_out" : {
          "hash" :
"237fe8348fc77ace11049931058abb034c99698c7fe99b1cc022b1365a705d39",
          "n" : 0
        },
        "scriptSig" :
"0xA87C02384E1F184B79C6ACF070BEA45D5B6A4739DBFF776A5D8CE11B23532DD05A20029
387F6E4E77360692BB624EEC1664A21A42AA8FC16AEB9BD807A4698D0CA8CDB0021024530
0x965D33950A28B84C9C19AB64BAE9410875C537F0EB29D1D21A60DA7BAD2706FBADA7DF5
E84F645063715B7D0472ABB9EBFDE5CE7D9A74C7F207929EDAE975D6B04"
      }
    ],
    "out" : [
      {
        "value" : 92233720368.54277039,
        "scriptPubKey" : "OP_DUP OP_HASH160
0xB7A73EB128D7EA3D388DB12418302A1CBAD5E890 OP_EQUALVERIFY OP_CHECKSIG"
      },
      {
        "value" : 92233720368.54277039,
        "scriptPubKey" : "OP_DUP OP_HASH160
0x151275508C66F89DEC2C5F43B6F9CBE0B5C4722C OP_EQUALVERIFY OP_CHECKSIG"
      }
    ]
  },
  "mrkl_tree" : [
    "012cd8f8910355da9dd214627a31acfeb61ac66e13560255bfd87d3e9c50e1ca",
    "1d5e512a9723cbef373b970eb52f1e9598ad67e7408077a82fdac194b65333c9",
    "618eba14419e13c8d08d38c346da7cd1c7c66fd8831421056ae56d8d80b6ec5e"
  ]
}

```

shreder - December 27, 2022, 8:13 am

(Dec 27, 2022 09:10 AM)Focus Broken Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What lie?

I guess you didn't lie huh Satoshi the owner of Bitcoin did end up censoring the hacker on his centralized chain.

shreder - December 27, 2022, 8:18 am

(Dec 27, 2022 09:15 AM)Focus Broken Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yeah, so why would you lie about Ethereum never being censored by Vitalik despite the community wanting a chain with unstoppable smart contracts?

And they were all wrong haha.

I just closed a short on BTC while you scrolled through HF posts. I love wasting your time to the point where you go through thousands of posts. I make money, you waste time.

shreder - December 27, 2022, 8:22 am

(Dec 27, 2022 09:21 AM)Focus Broken Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How were they wrong about "If the developers manage to get this reversed I think Ethereum will be forever doomed to corruption."? Remember the EIP-649, EIP-1234, EIP-1559, EIP-2384, EIP-2387, EIP-3554, EIP-4345, and EIP-5133 hard forks that Vitalik executed after that?

I executed those forks actually.

shreder - December 27, 2022, 8:30 am

(Dec 27, 2022 09:26 AM)Focus Broken Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Why did you decide to switch to a new fork that censored a smart contract instead of executing it?

There was no censorship ever on ETH.

Why don't you explain why Satoshi censored 184Billion BTC from being spawned in without his permission?

XMR - December 27, 2022, 8:30 am

(Dec 27, 2022 09:05 AM)shreder Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Well if it was coded better you wouldn't have an infinite money printing exploit.

The same can be said about the DAO. If it was coded better then it wouldn't have been exploited. Bugs are unavoidable. Expecting a perfect piece of software in any field (aka "just code better bro") is unrealistic utopian thinking.

(Dec 27, 2022 09:05 AM)shreder Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's up to the community to decide.

The community did decide. They unanimously decided that a chain without unrestricted money printing (which would have rendered bitcoin entirely worthless) was more worth mining on. This doesn't make bitcoin centralized at all. If anything, it demonstrates how decentralized it really is - if even one miner wanted to continue the original chain then it would still be active.

(Dec 27, 2022 09:13 AM)shreder Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I guess you didn't lie huh Satoshi the owner of Bitcoin did end up censoring the hacker on his centralized chain.

Once again, how is bitcoin centralized?

shreder - December 27, 2022, 8:35 am

(Dec 27, 2022 09:30 AM)XMR Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The same can be said about the DAO. If it was coded better then it wouldn't have been exploited. Bugs are unavoidable. Expecting a perfect piece of software in any field (aka "just code better bro") is unrealistic utopian thinking.

The community did decide. They unanimously decided that a chain without unrestricted money printing (which would have rendered bitcoin entirely worthless) was more worth mining on. This doesn't make bitcoin centralized at all. If anything, it demonstrates how decentralized it really is - if even one miner wanted to continue the original chain then it would still be active.

Once again, how is bitcoin centralized?

Have you seen the posts? No one would dare defy Satoshi to continue mining on the uncensored chain. All were waiting for Satoshi to come online to issue an command for his slaves. Ever wonder why Satoshi abandoned BTC? It shattered him to do that.

For ETH miners continued on both because it was truly a decentralized decision.

shreder - December 27, 2022, 8:47 am

(Dec 27, 2022 09:44 AM)Focus Broken Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You are lying. Here is proof:

I did already:

How do you feel that you are using a censored and centralized BTC chain?

SUI-3183372 - December 27, 2022, 9:09 am

XMR - December 27, 2022, 9:12 am

(Dec 27, 2022 09:35 AM)shreder Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Have you seen the posts? No one would dare defy Satoshi to continue mining on the uncensored chain. All were waiting for Satoshi to come online to issue an command for his slaves. Ever wonder why Satoshi abandoned BTC? It shattered him to do that.

For ETH miners continued on both because it was truly a decentralized decision. And yet again, anyone could've told Satoshi to stick it and kept mining on the original chain. The community simply unanimously chose not to because a chain with an infinite money exploit is useless for financial transactions. A unanimous decision is not a centralized one. Whether or not people would "dare defy Satoshi" and his "command" is completely irrelevant as no one was forced onto either side at all.

If you or anyone else wants to mine on a worthless chain on principle, there was and still is nothing stopping you.

shreder - December 27, 2022, 9:24 am

(Dec 27, 2022 10:16 AM)Focus Broken Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So what?
So what if BTC is controlled by 2 centralized mining pools?

SUI-3183372 - December 27, 2022, 9:29 am

(Dec 27, 2022 10:16 AM)Focus Broken Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So what?
Historically, users such as yourself have warned the community when just 2 pools have over 50% of the block production on a network.

Seems like now would be a good time for miners utilizing those pools to consider delegating to new pools and more evenly distributing hashing power.

Omniscient - December 27, 2022, 9:48 am

(Dec 27, 2022 10:29 AM)BV1 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Historically, users such as yourself have warned the community when just 2 pools have over 50% of the block production on a network.

Seems like now would be a good time for miners utilizing those pools to consider delegating to new pools and more evenly distributing hashing power.

Two pools is now a "so what" event and Focus Broken knows this. He is such a Bitcoin Maxi that he'll ignore or diminish any potential negative news. It's a terrible bias.

But still when I went to look at charts for the pools it does look fine to me.

<https://miningpoolstats.stream/bitcoin>

shreder - December 27, 2022, 9:53 am

Switching to the next centralized pool means absolutely nothing.

shreder - December 27, 2022, 10:49 am

(Dec 27, 2022 11:00 AM)Focus Broken Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Then solo mine or use a decentralized pool.
No one mines BTC

Dorrance - December 27, 2022, 11:49 am

(Dec 27, 2022 11:49 AM)shreder Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No one mines BTC
?

Some of y'all have to realize BTC is commodity like gold, ETH is a security. This is a good thing
hashrate drops if your mining.

shreder - December 27, 2022, 12:06 pm

(Dec 27, 2022 12:49 PM)Dorrance Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) ?

Some of y'all have to realize BTC is commodity like gold, ETH is a security. This is a good thing
hashrate drops if your mining.

ETH has maximum network security you are right.

shreder - December 27, 2022, 12:26 pm

(Dec 27, 2022 01:14 PM)Focus Broken Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How much security is maximum?
More than Bitcoin is sufficient

Dorrance - December 27, 2022, 12:50 pm

(Dec 27, 2022 01:26 PM)shreder Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) More than Bitcoin is sufficient
You must forget how ETH was formed to launder.

shreder - December 27, 2022, 1:07 pm

(Dec 27, 2022 01:50 PM)Dorrance Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You must forget how ETH was formed to launder.
That's funny that's exactly what the CEO of JP Morgan said about Bitcoin. Let me guess you are a
government sympathizer.

Dorrance - December 27, 2022, 1:16 pm

(Dec 27, 2022 02:07 PM)shreder Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That's funny that's exactly what the CEO of JP
Morgan said about Bitcoin. Let me guess you are a government sympathizer.

Not even, just facts look at the supporting founders. Look at FTT for example, ETH only alive &
50% of the nodes are ran by centralized organizations. But speaking on BTC. Remember without
BTC there would be no ETH.

shreder - December 27, 2022, 2:17 pm

(Dec 27, 2022 02:16 PM)Dorrance Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Not even, just facts look at the supporting founders.
Look at FTT for example, ETH only alive & 50% of the nodes are ran by centralized organizations.
But speaking on BTC. Remember without BTC there would be no ETH.

99% of the Bitcoin nodes are owned and runned by centralized organizations and over 80% of the
hash power is controlled by 5 centralized entities.

Satoshi gave up on BTC after he censored the hacker by enacting the very thing he did not want to
do aka exercising power as a central authority. At this point BTC is like fourth place I'd put it behind
XMR/LTC in terms of security and decentralization.