

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Rumors of Poland preparing for an attack on Belarus](#)

Handsome - February 15, 2023, 4:00 pm

There's no news article for this, so far it's just rumors on telegram. But it's pretty interesting because some of what has been happening with the border between the two suggests that perhaps the rumors are true. What would happen if a NATO country attacked Belarus? Would they lose Article 5 protecting them? Would Poland then become game for Russian missiles?

I really think people underestimate Russia. They're not trying to destroy Ukraine, if they wanted to they very well could. But there is nothing to gain from ruling over wastelands. According to Mossad, Russian troop losses have been greatly exaggerated, believably western propaganda. On that note, Russia also has Kh-47M2 Kinzhal aka Dagger aka Killjoy missiles. The Dagger missile range is more than 2,000 km (1,200 mi). It has a speed of Mach 12 (2.5 mi/s), and an ability to perform evasive maneuvers at every stage of its flight. It can also carry both conventional and nuclear warheads and can be launched from Tu-22M3 bombers or MiG-31K interceptors. This is one of the most advanced missiles in the world. Other missiles include the hypersonic glide vehicle (HGV) that can be carried as a MIRV payload by the UR-100UTTKh, R-36M2 and RS-28 Sarmat heavy ICBMs. It can deliver both nuclear and conventional payloads. As well as their 3M22 Zircon and Kh-22 missiles.

Russia also has the S-500 a Russian hypersonic surface-to-air missile/anti-ballistic missile system that can target missiles going faster than Mach 5. This is supposedly one of the most advanced missile defense systems in the world.

There's also the fact that after the cold war, America has been very slow in developing new nuclear weapons, while Russia, China, and North Korea have been in constant development. Russia currently has the largest nuclear weapon.

With everything going on, I think Russia could actually beat NATO, especially as it continues to waste its resources on a war they've already lost in Ukraine.

What do you guys think? Honestly, Poland doesn't have the balls.

Itachi Uchiha - February 15, 2023, 6:59 pm

(Feb 15, 2023 05:00 PM)Handsome Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) With everything going on, I think Russia could actually beat NATO, especially as it continues to waste its resources on a war they've already lost in Ukraine.

Lmao, get this Russian bot a cookie.

Handsome - February 16, 2023, 7:43 am

(Feb 15, 2023 07:59 PM)Itachi Uchiha Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Lmao, get this Russian bot a cookie.

? what a pathetic attempt at an argument. If you've got a real argument and not smooth brained ad hominem passed down to you by your groomer media overlords, please try using that instead.

Undead - February 16, 2023, 8:36 am

Questions for OP: What exactly is Russia trying to do in Ukraine and why is it taking so long for them to achieve their objectives?

Itachi Uchiha - February 16, 2023, 10:30 am

(Feb 16, 2023 08:43 AM) Handsome Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) ? what a pathetic attempt at an argument. If you've got a real argument and not smooth brained ad hominem passed down to you by your groomer media overlords, please try using that instead.

Russia can't beat a country not even half its size ON ITS OWN BORDER.

Cuthbert - February 16, 2023, 7:34 pm

It's a shame there isn't as much talk of peace as there is missiles. If Churchill managed to appease Stalin, what excuse do we have?

Aeowulf - February 17, 2023, 3:19 am

(Feb 15, 2023 05:00 PM) Handsome Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) With everything going on, I think Russia could actually beat NATO, especially as it continues to waste its resources on a war they've already lost in Ukraine.

A Marine battalion straight out of recruit training could conquer Russia at this point. ?

tripac - February 17, 2023, 3:32 am

(Feb 15, 2023 05:00 PM) Handsome Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) There's also the fact that after the cold war, America has been very slow in developing new nuclear weapons, while Russia, China, and North Korea have been in constant development. Russia currently has the largest nuclear weapon.

Not true at all, in fact after the cold war both Russia and USA destroyed about half of their nuclear warheads.

mandarinas - February 19, 2023, 12:04 am

(Feb 16, 2023 11:30 AM) Itachi Uchiha Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Russia can't beat a country not even half its size ON ITS OWN BORDER.

In my opinion it is war Russia against NATO, but only with Ukrainian hands. If not all the support from the west I don't know what situation would be right now in geopolitics.

Hopefully it won't escalate to WW3...

Crypt - February 21, 2023, 9:31 pm

Saw a study the other day saying that NATO vs Russia would be a 1000:1 kill ratio. Meaning, every 1 NATO soldier killed we would have killed 1,000 Russians. It's no argument that NATO would destroy Russia quite easily, the problem is that Russia would be forced to use Nukes and that's bad for everyone. Poland definitely won't fire the first shot and drag NATO into war, they would only respond if fired upon.

mandarinas - February 22, 2023, 1:35 am

(Feb 21, 2023 10:31 PM) Crypt Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Saw a study the other day saying that NATO vs Russia would be a 1000:1 kill ratio. Meaning, every 1 NATO soldier killed we would have killed 1,000 Russians. It's no argument that NATO would destroy Russia quite easily, the problem is that Russia would be forced to use Nukes and that's bad for everyone. Poland definitely won't fire the first shot and drag NATO into war, they would only respond if fired upon.

What study? I would like to see it.

Winterchill - February 22, 2023, 2:36 am

(Feb 21, 2023 10:31 PM) Crypt Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Saw a study the other day saying that NATO vs Russia would be a 1000:1 kill ratio. Meaning, every 1 NATO soldier killed we would have killed 1,000 Russians. It's no argument that NATO would destroy Russia quite easily, the problem is that Russia would be forced to use Nukes and that's bad for everyone. Poland definitely won't fire the first shot and drag NATO into war, they would only respond if fired upon.

Wishful thinking I would say, speaking of ratios, if NATO interferes in direct confrontation with

Russian forces, then it becomes a global conflict, and that is why there are other military pacts out there, besides NATO on its own, just kept under the radar.

You think, majority of the planet would allow some 30 countries dictate world order? Sure, they have money and etc, but its not the only major player on the field when it comes to world order.

SI1mS3al - February 22, 2023, 2:57 am

(Feb 19, 2023 01:04 AM)mandarinas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) In my opinion it is war russia against nato, but only with ukrainian hands. If not all the support from the west i don't know what situation would be right now in geopolitics.

Hopefully it won't escalate to ww3...

I totally agree with this statement.

Its hard to find real information. People in east suffer from propaganda, its not from now. Its especially strong in the communist countries Yet, PEOPLE IN THE WEST dont let your selves be fooled. Propaganda exists, and, worst, hypocrisy.

Not entering in historical discussion here, both US and Russia have been committing atrocities against human kind (it even seems they have a fetish for Afghanistan).

Yet, I believe the biggest threat may not even be Russia, but what will come after it.

I heard from some well informed person that China and Russia have disputes land. Saying that a war Russia - NATO happens, wouldn't it be the right moment for China to impose/confirm their world rule?

There is another option.

Brazil
Russia
China
South Africa
India

and lets be honest, North Korea, and with a bit of luck Turkey, joining forces and dividing the world on their own terms.

I read yesterday even, these first 5 countries I listed, are joining in August this year in South Africa to create an alternative to US\$

Do you know how was the last person trying to do this?

Send me answer if you do, it will open the door for the next discussion.

mandarinas - February 22, 2023, 11:28 am

(Feb 22, 2023 03:57 AM)SI1mS3al Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I totally agree with this statement.

Its hard to find real information. People in east suffer from propaganda, its not from now. Its especially strong in the communist countries Yet, PEOPLE IN THE WEST dont let your selves be fooled. Propaganda exists, and, worst, hypocrisy.

Not entering in historical discussion here, both US and Russia have been committing atrocities against human kind (it even seems they have a fetish for Afghanistan).

Yet, I believe the biggest threat may not even be Russia, but what will come after it.

I heard from some well informed person that China and Russia have disputes land. Saying that a war Russia - NATO happens, wouldn't it be the right moment for China to impose/confirm their world rule?

There is another option.

Brazil
Russia
China
South Africa
India

and lets be honest, North Korea, and with a bit of luck Turkey, joining forces and dividing the world on their own terms.

I read yesterday even, these first 5 countries I listed, are joining in August this year in South Africa to create an alternative to US\$

Do you know how was the last person trying to do this?

Send me answer if you do, it will open the door for the next discussion.
'After it' may happen that China may take Taiwan by military force. Nobody knows.

But for now, after and any time soon I can't see that China would search any disputes with Russia. They created BRICS as an alternative for NATO. For now they have strong alliance. But everything may happen in geopolitics.

I heard that if Erdogan will lose an election in may i think. Then Turkey may start military intervention in Karabakh and that may start war with Russia. But who knows.

The last person who tried that was Muammar Gaddafi. But in reality I think the \$ is already being pushed out of the market little by little.

Bbounces - February 23, 2023, 9:04 am

Joe Biden will go down as one of the worst mistakes United States of America has ever made. America's international policy should have concentrated on maintaining their economic and military leverage across the globe, instead of trying to poke the bear. The outcome could usher in a new world order. But their innate hatred for Russia will not let them. It is no longer petrodollar, and there is even more bad news coming

Lindros - February 25, 2023, 1:58 am

(Feb 21, 2023 10:31 PM) Crypt Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Saw a study the other day saying that NATO vs Russia would be a 1000:1 kill ratio. Meaning, every 1 NATO soldier killed we would have killed 1,000 Russians. It's no argument that NATO would destroy Russia quite easily, the problem is that Russia would be forced to use Nukes and that's bad for everyone. Poland definitely won't fire the first shot and drag NATO into war, they would only respond if fired upon.

This is the most retarded thing I think I have ever heard in my life. The study, I mean.

NATO would run out of ammunition in a week, max, against Russia at the scale being implemented by Russia in Ukraine. This is not an exaggeration.

<https://www.newsweek.com/nato-allies-would-run-out-ammunition-within-days-war-russia-report-say-s-1780851>

If you're wondering why Ukraine hasn't run out of artillery shells, well, they're only firing back 1/10th of what Russia is dealing out. With the US and NATO supplying them, they ARE draining NATO's reserves. They're also objectively losing.

Poland attacking Belarus would be complete suicide for Poland.

If anything, the US would use nukes against Russia after being embarrassed, having their entire 101st Airborne totally destroyed by superior firepower amassed on the Russian side.

We have never prepared, let alone drilled in the modern era for ground war at the scale we are seeing in Ukraine right now.

Crypt - February 25, 2023, 1:36 pm

(Feb 22, 2023 02:35 AM)mandarinas Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What study? I would like to see it.

Heard it on the news, tried finding it but couldn't. Just using common sense though, NATO troops alone is 5.5 million compared to 1.3m Russian troops, they also far FAR more advanced weapons, jets, tanks etc. They are also far better trained. NATO would definitely beat Russia in a straight up fight, they are just far more advanced and have way more spending than Russia.

(Feb 25, 2023 02:58 AM)Lindros Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is the most retarded thing I think I have ever heard in my life. The study, I mean.

NATO would run out of ammunition in a week, max, against Russia at the scale being implemented by Russia in Ukraine. This is not an exaggeration.

<https://www.newsweek.com/nato-allies-would-run-out-ammunition-within-days-war-russia-report-say-s-1780851>

If you're wondering why Ukraine hasn't run out of artillery shells, well, they're only firing back 1/10th of what Russia is dealing out. With the US and NATO supplying them, they ARE draining NATO's reserves. They're also objectively losing.

Poland attacking Belarus would be complete suicide for Poland.

If anything, the US would use nukes against Russia after being embarrassed, having their entire 101st Airborne totally destroyed by superior firepower amassed on the Russian side.

We have never prepared, let alone drilled in the modern era for ground war at the scale we are seeing in Ukraine right now.

Strange how every discussion on here turns into an argument/flame war. I wasn't stating the study as a fact, I simply said I heard it somewhere and mentioned that I couldn't find it. I remember why I logged out 6 months ago ??

I'm not going to go back and forth, I would refer to my other comment I just posted to another member about how NATO far outnumbers Russia and has far better training and advanced

equipment. In the end it doesn't really matter though, Russian would easily lose a conventional warfare and would be forced to use nukes and everyone will lose with that outcome.

I definitely think NATO would steamroll Russia though and I would suggest reading this Article "Nato Vs. Russia" it breaks down just how much more powerful NATO is compared to Russia. Their performance in Ukraine tells a lot about how untrained and unequipped they are. I also think if Russia didn't have nukes we would have already pushed them out.

<https://www.theweek.co.uk/news/defence/104574/nato-vs-russia-who-would-win> (Note it asks to create an account, just put in BS information and hit "register" to get around it)

I found this interesting

"The combined total of Nato military personnel currently exceeds 5.4 million – around four times as many as Russia, according to Statista. It has about five times as many aircraft, four times as many armoured vehicles and three times as many military ships. Nato and Russia have a similar number of nuclear warheads, both more than 6,000."

Lindros - February 25, 2023, 4:29 pm

(Feb 25, 2023 02:36 PM)Crypt Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Heard it on the news, tried finding it but couldn't. Just using common sense though, NATO troops alone is 5.5 million compared to 1.3m Russian troops, they also far FAR more advances weapons, jets, tanks etc. They are also far better trained. NATO would definitely beat Russia in a straight up fight, they are just far more advanced and have way more spending then Russia.

Strange how every discussion on here turns into an argument/flame war. I wasn't stating the study as a fact, I simply said I heard it somewhere and mentioned that I couldn't find it. I remember why I logged out 6 months ago ??

I'm not going to go back and forth, I would refer to my other comment I just posted to another member about how NATO far outnumbers Russia and has far better training and advanced equipment. In the end it doesn't really matter though, Russian would easily lose a conventional warfare and would be forced to use nukes and everyone will lose with that outcome.

I definitely think NATO would steamroll Russia though and I would suggest reading this Article "Nato Vs. Russia" it breaks down just how much more powerful NATO is compared to Russia. Their performance in Ukraine tells a lot about how untrained and unequipped they are. I also think if Russia didn't have nukes we would have already pushed them out.

<https://www.theweek.co.uk/news/defence/104574/nato-vs-russia-who-would-win> (Note it asks to create an account, just put in BS information and hit "register" to get around it)

I found this interesting

"The combined total of Nato military personnel currently exceeds 5.4 million – around four times as many as Russia, according to Statista. It has about five times as many aircraft, four times as many armoured vehicles and three times as many military ships. Nato and Russia have a similar number of nuclear warheads, both more than 6,000."

It's not a flame war you're just wrong.

NATO armies are ill prepared, not experienced and winning a ground war against Russia would be logistically impossible. There is no "NATO" without the United States logistics and intelligence and again, the US military is not in any kind of situation to fight an already far larger, mobilized and

experienced force. The US military is struggling to recruit currently and there are massive infrastructure problems back home in our country. In case you didn't know, fighting a war at the scale Russia has already been implementing is extremely complicated.

The numbers you are citing are ON PAPER, not organized into fighting divisions, brigades or regiments that are trained or are able to be effectively coordinated and as I already stated, they would run out of ammunition in a week.

When you run out of ammunition, you DIE.

You understand Ukraine was stronger than any military in NATO with the exception of Turkey and the US and they were trained and equipped by NATO. Their military has been virtually destroyed by Russia with a nearly 9:1 kill ratio in favor of Russia. Their defenses are collapsing.

Furthermore, the NATO alliance does not have solidarity in wanting a war with Russia. Plenty of European powers know the absurdity of the situation.

Anybody who believes NATO would swiftly win, within a week with a 1000:1 kill ratio, when Russians have an absurd advantage in artillery is delusional. They would need to win within a week otherwise again, they would run out of ammunition.

Please explain to me how "advanced equipment" would be any good with no ammunition to supply them?

Also, you think the decadant populations of the West would tolerate taking the kind of heavy casualties required to fight a proper nation state such as China or Russia? ?

Trust in the media machine is at an all time low. I'm not even sure if they could manufacture such support in the 90's when we were actually capable, at our peak and China and Russia were both weaker..

We wouldn't be able to strategically defeat professional Iranian troops waging guerilla war in the mountains of somewhere in Iran at this point.

Opto111 - February 26, 2023, 10:27 am

I don't think that's going to happen but who knows

Crypt - February 28, 2023, 7:47 pm

(Feb 25, 2023 05:29 PM)Lindros Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's not a flame war you're just wrong.

NATO armies are ill prepared, not experienced and winning a ground war against Russia would be logistically impossible. There is no "NATO" without the United States logistics and intelligence and again, the US military is not in any kind of situation to fight an already far larger, mobilized and experienced force. The US military is struggling to recruit currently and there are massive infrastructure problems back home in our country. In case you didn't know, fighting a war at the scale Russia has already been implementing is extremely complicated.

The numbers you are citing are ON PAPER, not organized into fighting divisions, brigades or regiments that are trained or are able to be effectively coordinated and as I already stated, they would run out of ammunition in a week.

When you run out of ammunition, you DIE.

You understand Ukraine was stronger than any military in NATO with the exception of Turkey and the US and they were trained and equipped by NATO. Their military has been virtually destroyed by Russia with a nearly 9:1 kill ratio in favor of Russia. Their defenses are collapsing.

Furthermore, the NATO alliance does not have solidarity in wanting a war with Russia. Plenty of European powers know the absurdity of the situation.

Anybody who believes NATO would swiftly win, within a week with a 1000:1 kill ratio, when Russians have an absurd advantage in artillery is delusional. They would need to win within a week otherwise again, they would run out of ammunition.

Please explain to me how "advanced equipment" would be any good with no ammunition to supply them?

Also, you think the decadant populations of the West would tolerate taking the kind of heavy casualties required to fight a proper nation state such as China or Russia? ?

Trust in the media machine is at an all time low. I'm not even sure if they could manufacture such support in the 90's when we were actually capable, at our peak and China and Russia were both weaker..

We wouldn't be able to strategically defeat professional Iranian troops waging guerilla war in the mountains of somewhere in Iran at this point.
The U.S has the best logistics in the world.

Strange how I give sources showing how NATO is far bigger and superior then Russia and your responses are Russian propaganda rocking the "Trust me bro" source.

You're either trolling, inconvincible because you're a Russia fan boy or simply dull.

Lindros - March 1, 2023, 7:30 am

(Feb 28, 2023 08:47 PM)Crypt Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The U.S has the best logistics in the world.

Strange how I give sources showing how NATO is far bigger and superior then Russia and your responses are Russian propaganda rocking the "Trust me bro" source.

You're either trolling, inconvincible because you're a Russia fan boy or simply dull.
What part of running out of ammunition do you not understand? That's not "Russian propaganda".

NATO does not have experienced militaries and coordinating even a "coalition" of the willing, because not all NATO members would be on board, would be difficult, to say the least.

Do you understand that the numbers on paper is not analogous to ready, trained and equipped troops?

Russia has already mobilized. The only NATO members that arguably are doing that to a significant degree are the Romanians, the Poles and partially the US.

The US is NOT a continental land power and fighting a war with Russia would be logistically impossible. People who believe the US is in a position to do so are insane.

Would you be signing up to fight, my friend?

Do you honestly believe Iran and China would just sit by and do nothing if NATO decided to wage total war against their strategic partner? Especially knowing if they do nothing, they're next on the West's war mongering list.

Crypt - March 1, 2023, 2:56 pm

(Mar 1, 2023 08:30 AM)Lindros Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What part of running out of ammunition do you not understand? That's not "Russian propaganda".

NATO does not have experienced militaries and coordinating even a "coalition" of the willing, because not all NATO members would be on board, would be difficult, to say the least.

Do you understand that the numbers on paper is not analogous to ready, trained and equipped troops?

Russia has already mobilized. The only NATO members that arguably are doing that to a significant degree are the Romanians, the Poles and partially the US.

The US is NOT a continental land power and fighting a war with Russia would be logistically impossible. People who believe the US is in a position to do so are insane.

Would you be signing up to fight, my friend?

Do you honestly believe Iran and China would just sit by and do nothing if NATO decided to wage total war against their strategic partner? Especially knowing if they do nothing, they're next on the West's war mongering list.

Yes, the numbers provided are ready and trained troops. Also apart of NATO is an attack on one is an attack on all, they have to respond

Please add sources backing up everything you said because it's blatantly false. Or add "trust me bro" at the end ?.

Lindros - March 1, 2023, 7:20 pm

(Mar 1, 2023 03:56 PM)Crypt Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yes, the numbers provided are ready and trained troops. Also apart of NATO is an attack on one is an attack on all, they have to respond

Please add sources backing up everything you said because it's blatantly false. Or add "trust me bro" at the end ?.

No, that's not what Article 5 means. Go fucking read it you condescending fuck. Article 5 just means they'll consider responding, not that they're literally required to. Countries, such as Turkey, or Germany, for example, could EASILY say no to getting involved if Russia, say, attacked Poland.

You don't even know what you're talking about.

Quote:Article 5

The Parties agree that an armed attack against one or more of them in Europe or North America shall be considered an attack against them all and consequently they agree that, if such an armed attack occurs, each of them, in exercise of the right of individual or collective self-defence recognised by Article 51 of the Charter of the United Nations, will assist the Party or Parties so attacked by taking forthwith, individually and in concert with the other Parties, such action as it deems necessary, including the use of armed force, to restore and maintain the security of the North Atlantic area.

Any such armed attack and all measures taken as a result thereof shall immediately be reported to

the Security Council. Such measures shall be terminated when the Security Council has taken the measures necessary to restore and maintain international peace and security .

You got anything else?

It doesn't REQUIRE anything, other than considering action. It doesn't OBLIGATE action because the language is flexible.

So please, don't talk about shit you know literally nothing about.

When did I just say "trust me bro"? My sources are listening to hundreds of combined hours of Colonel Douglas Macgregor, former UN inspector Scott Ritter, former CIA Phil Giraldi and former US politician Colonel Richard Black among others.

Who do you listen to?

I cited my source on NATO running out of ammunition within a week, you never even responded. ?

Crypt - March 1, 2023, 7:33 pm

(Mar 1, 2023 08:20 PM)Lindros Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) No, that's not what Article 5 means. Go fucking read it you condescending fuck. Article 5 just means they'll consider responding, not that they're literally required to. Countries, such as Turkey, or Germany, for example, could EASILY say no to getting involved if Russia, say, attacked Poland.

You don't even know what you're talking about.

You got anything else?

It doesn't REQUIRE anything, other than considering action. It doesn't OBLIGATE action because the language is flexible.

So please, don't talk about shit you know literally nothing about.

When did I just say "trust me bro"? My sources are listening to hundreds of combined hours of Colonel Douglas Macgregor, former UN inspector Scott Ritter, former CIA Phil Giraldi and former US politician Colonel Richard Black.

Who do you listen to?

You sound angry.

The U.S and Europe has made it clear that they would respond if a nuke was used in Ukraine, you really believe they wouldn't respond if they bombed Poland? Biden made it clear they will defend every inch of NATO territory. Stop crying because you ride Putins 5 incher ?.

Again, any sources showing how Russia is somehow more powerful then NATO? Despite the fact they roll around in Ukraine with soviet vehicles and can't even take over Ukraine. Yeah they sound like an elite military.

Lindros - March 1, 2023, 7:36 pm

(Mar 1, 2023 08:33 PM)Crypt Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You sound angry.

The U.S and Europe has made it clear that they would respond if a nuke was used in Ukraine, you really believe they wouldn't respond if they bombed Poland? Biden made it clear they will defend

every inch of NATO territory. Stop crying because you ride Putins 5 inchers ?.

Again, any sources showing how Russia is somehow more powerful than NATO? Despite the fact they roll around in Ukraine with soviet vehicles and can't even take over Ukraine. Yeah they sound like an elite military.

Lmao you're a waste of time and an insulting, ignorant fuckwad. The sad part is you don't even know that you're ignorant.

You're just mad because Russia has practically seized most Donbas and will seize all of Eastern Ukraine by the end of this, despite billions of dollars in aid from the US tax payers, including you if you even hold a damn job.

Russia wouldn't use a nuke in Ukraine, that's another retarded assertion and they wouldn't attack Poland either, it's called a hypothetical, if you have ever heard of that before..

They ARE an elite military you fuck wad.

Crypt - March 1, 2023, 7:43 pm

(Mar 1, 2023 08:36 PM)Lindros Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Lmao you're a waste of time and an insulting, ignorant fuckwad. The sad part is you don't even know that you're ignorant.

You're just mad because Russia has practically seized all of Eastern Ukraine, despite billions of dollars in aid from the US tax payers, including you if you even hold a damn job.

Typical response from someone losing an argument. An argument you started. Strange everything you say is your opinion and you can't provide a single link.

Russia is no comparison to NATO, the only thing they have going for them is their threat to nuke because they can't win. They know they'll be forced to use nukes or surrender if NATO is actually pulled it, that's why they are being so careful and panicked when they thought their missile hit Poland.

"You're just mad because Russia has practically seized all of Eastern Ukraine"

Thanks for confirming you're a Russian fan boy. Once Russia is pushed out of Ukraine and they may even take back Crimea I will be happy. But yes, I am mad at what Russia has done and even my friends in Russia hate Putin. You're the fan boy that's angry Russia isn't winning. Strange you want someone to win who started an unjust war and is bombing civilians, including children. All for the dream of rebuilding the Soviet Union which was a shit system.

Back on the ignore list with you troll ?

Lindros - March 1, 2023, 7:45 pm

(Mar 1, 2023 08:43 PM)Crypt Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Typical response from someone losing an argument. An argument you started. Strange everything you say is your opinion and you can't provide a single link.

Russia is no comparison to NATO, the only thing they have going for them is their threat to nuke because they can't win. They know they'll be forced to use nukes or surrender if NATO is actually pulled it, that's why they are being so careful and panicked when they thought their missile hit Poland.

"You're just mad because Russia has practically seized all of Eastern Ukraine"

Thanks for confirming you're a Russian fan boy. Once Russia is pushed out of Ukraine and they

may even take back Crimea I will be happy. But yes, I am mad at what Russia has done and even my friends in Russia hate Putin. You're the fan boy that's angry Russia isn't winning. Strange you want someone to win who started an unjust war and is bombing civilians, including children. All for the dream of rebuilding the Soviet Union which was a shit system.

Back on the ignore list with you troll ?

I didn't lose the argument, you never addressed a single point because you're an annoying Russophobe, drinking State department propaganda. You're a fucking embarrassment.

Join the American military then or go to Ukraine and fight then, if Russia is so weak.

Let alone Russia just mobilized more men then the active duty of the US Army.

You don't know shit.

Ukraine is going to take back Crimea? LOOOOOL. You're fucking delusional.

Blame the US for what is happening. You're ignorant of the facts.

Crypt - March 1, 2023, 7:48 pm

(Mar 1, 2023 08:45 PM)Lindros Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I didn't lose the argument, you never addressed a single point because you're an annoying Russophobe, drinking State department propaganda. You're a fucking embarrassment.

Join the American military then or go to Ukraine and fight then, if Russia is so week.

Let alone Russia just mobilized more men then the active duty of the US Army.

You don't know shit.

Ukraine is going to take back Crimea? LOOOOOL. You're fucking delusional.

Blame the US for what is happening. You're ignorant of the facts.

The link I provided explained how much bigger NATO is then Russia, not just numbers but training, military jets, helicopter, vehicles etc etc.

You just can't handle the reality because you're a fan boy. Quite convinced you're actually a troll with that American flag on your profile. Keep dreaming, the war isn't going Russia's way and it won't end with their victory. ;)

Lindros - March 1, 2023, 7:52 pm

(Mar 1, 2023 08:48 PM)Crypt Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The link I provided explained how much bigger NATO is then Russia, not just numbers but training, military jets, helicopter, vehicles etc etc.

You just can't handle the reality because you're a fan boy. Quite convinced you're actually a troll with that American flag on your profile. Keep dreaming, the war isn't going Russia's way and it won't end with their victory. ;)

[Video: <https://youtu.be/iXj87urVnUo>]

Ignorance of the facts won't win the war. Don't you want to know the truth?

Crypt - March 1, 2023, 8:03 pm

(Mar 1, 2023 08:52 PM)Lindros Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) [Video: <https://youtu.be/iXj87urVnUo>]

Ignorance of the facts won't win the war. Don't you want to know the truth?
Doubtful, lots of other experts saying the opposite. The next few months will be key. We will probably know through the next 3-4 months how the war is going to go.

Ukraine losing isn't an option, so you will probably see NATO vs Russia play out if they think Russia will be on Poland's border. Time will tell but I don't think Russia has the capability to taking every inch of Ukraine, not if NATO allies keep supplying them.

Anyways, done going back and forth with someone who can't accept blatant facts like NATO being stronger then Russia with an outdated military and terrible economy. Got better things to do. Enjoy the night and don't rage too hard ;).

Lindros - March 1, 2023, 8:07 pm

(Mar 1, 2023 09:03 PM)Crypt Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Doubtful, lots of other experts saying the opposite. The next few months will be key. We will probably know through the next 3-4 months how the war is going to go.

Ukraine losing isn't an option, so you will probably see NATO vs Russia play out if they think Russia will be on Poland's border. Time will tell but I don't think Russia has the capability to taking every inch of Ukraine, not if NATO allies keep supplying them.

Anyways, done going back and forth with someone who can't accept blatant facts like NATO being stronger then Russia with an outdated military and terrible economy. Got better things to do. Enjoy the night and don't rage too hard ;).

Are you going to believe the US military brass after decades of lies about Iraq and Afghanistan?

You don't think all these experts enriching themselves at government adjacent "think tanks" are going to adhere to the "party line", the same lies regurgitated by the mainstream media?

Don't fall for it.

Russia doesn't want Western Ukraine.

(Mar 1, 2023 09:03 PM)Crypt Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) -Snip-
Have you actually looked at the statements of NATO's top general, General Cavoli?

Quote:"The scale of this war is out of proportion with all of our recent thinking."

<https://www.businessinsider.com/ukraine-war-scale-out-of-proportion-with-nato-planning-cavoli-2023-2>

That is not a confident statement. That statement absolutely reveals that NATO is not quite prepared for what Russia is capable of currently dishing out.