

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Climate Change: A Serious Emergency or a Well-Timed Fear Mongering Scheme?](#)

Lyrice - October 21, 2023, 3:53 pm

Let's talk about climate change, one of the most hotly contested issues of our day. There are those who maintain that there is an extreme and serious worldwide catastrophe, while others contend that the agenda is much overstated.

In my opinion, there are several reasons why climate change is frequently sensationalized. At times, it appears to be a means of seeming politically correct or gaining social credit.

How do you feel about this? Do you think there is a legitimate and immediate threat to our planet from climate change, or do you think there is a lot of hype surrounding it for different reasons?

This discussion is no place for the politically correct or those who shy away from a heated debate.

Laughing Man - October 21, 2023, 3:55 pm

Climates have always changed and will always change, there is certainly some shit we are doing that is ultimately forcing an unexpected shift. However the thoughts that Electric, Solar, Wind are the answer are astonishing.

Nuclear or Thorium is the answer.

webdev77 - October 21, 2023, 4:10 pm

As an individual nobody is statistically significant towards or against climate change. That's just an objective fact. Even billionaire jet setters are statistically insignificant compared to industrial manufacturing in China.

Are there issues? Probably. Will spending billions of your tax dollars on non-solutions help? Absolutely not. The best way to reduce emissions is to reduce consumption, not spend billions on new construction and replacing things that don't need replacing yet. Simply waiting another 10 years before upgrading infrastructure saves millions of tons more emitted CO2 than upgrading early.

Laughing Man - October 21, 2023, 4:25 pm

(Oct 21, 2023 04:10 PM)webdev77 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) As an individual nobody is statistically significant towards or against climate change. That's just an objective fact. Even billionaire jet setters are statistically insignificant compared to industrial manufacturing in China.

Are there issues? Probably. Will spending billions of your tax dollars on non-solutions help? Absolutely not. The best way to reduce emissions is to reduce consumption, not spend billions on new construction and replacing things that don't need replacing yet. Simply waiting another 10 years before upgrading infrastructure saves millions of tons more emitted CO2 than upgrading early. I would agree and disagree.

Sadly money is so ingrained in our society it will absolutely have a place in attempting to resolve and change some of the things that are hyper accelerating us towards the next ice age.

Lyrice - October 21, 2023, 4:47 pm

(Oct 21, 2023 03:55 PM)Laughing Man Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Climates have always changed and will always

change, there is certainly some shit we are doing that is ultimately forcing an unexpected shift. However the thoughts that Electric, Solar, Wind are the answer are astonishing.

Nuclear or Thorium is the answer.

I agree that climate change is a complex issue with both natural cycles and human influences at play. While it's essential to recognize the role individuals can play, it's true that the real game-changers are likely to be technological advances and innovations like nuclear power. These technologies have the potential to make a significant impact on reducing emissions and addressing climate challenges.

(Oct 21, 2023 04:09 PM)phantomman55 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Climate change is real but the issue is that is it man made or is it just a galactic cycle? We had an ice age before we industrialized our civilization.

The question here is that is there a normal long term cycle? Or are we causing alot of the changes. There's no concrete evidence we have a major impact. It's a lot of bias research projects thats trying to promote the idea we need to tax companies and everyone knows when we do this it will get shifted down towards the consumers. The cusomers will always pay any taxes the companies have to pay.

It's a true point that climate change has natural cycles, and it's critical to discern between natural shifts and human-caused changes. I agree that a lot of the talk in the media these days seems to be more about placing blame and taxes than it is about finding practical answers. Technological developments that have the potential to provide cleaner, more sustainable energy sources, like thorium or nuclear power, should be our main priority if we want to actually make a difference.

(Oct 21, 2023 04:10 PM)webdev77 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) As an individual nobody is statistically significant towards or against climate change. That's just an objective fact. Even billionaire jet setters are statistically insignificant compared to industrial manufacturing in China.

Are there issues? Probably. Will spending billions of your tax dollars on non-solutions help? Absolutely not. The best way to reduce emissions is to reduce consumption, not spend billions on new construction and replacing things that don't need replacing yet. Simply waiting another 10 years before upgrading infrastructure saves millions of tons more emitted CO2 than upgrading early.

You are absolutely right that our individual contributions to climate change may not be statistically significant, particularly when considering industrial-scale variables. Technology developments, especially in the energy production sector, will, in my opinion, be essential to resolving climate-related issues. Innovations like nuclear power have the potential to lower emissions and help us transition to a more sustainable future.

Laughing Man - October 21, 2023, 4:48 pm

(Oct 21, 2023 04:47 PM)Lyrica Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I agree that climate change is a complex issue with both natural cycles and human influences at play. While it's essential to recognize the role individuals can play, it's true that the real game-changers are likely to be technological advances and innovations like nuclear power. These technologies have the potential to make a significant impact on reducing emissions and addressing climate challenges.

It's a true point that climate change has natural cycles, and it's critical to discern between natural shifts and human-caused changes. I agree that a lot of the talk in the media these days seems to be more about placing blame and taxes than it is about finding practical answers. Technological developments that have the potential to provide cleaner, more sustainable energy sources, like thorium or nuclear power, should be our main priority if we want to actually make a difference.

You are absolutely right that our individual contributions to climate change may not be statistically significant, particularly when considering industrial-scale variables. Technology developments, especially in the energy production sector, will, in my opinion, be essential to resolving climate-related issues. Innovations like nuclear power have the potential to lower emissions and help us transition to a more sustainable future.

I'd argue I had the attitude that the GREEN movement is nothing more than a cash grab by those wishing to exploit the fear of self destruction.

LeetSpeed - October 21, 2023, 7:31 pm

Climate Change does exist the earth naturally changes climate. Us humans are accelerating that natural climate change at a faster pace than it should be going.

Tor - October 22, 2023, 6:50 am

(Oct 21, 2023 03:53 PM)Lyrica Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Let's talk about climate change, one of the most hotly contested issues of our day. There are those who maintain that there is an extreme and serious worldwide catastrophe, while others contend that the agenda is much overstated.

In my opinion, there are several reasons why climate change is frequently sensationalized. At times, it appears to be a means of seeming politically correct or gaining social credit.

How do you feel about this? Do you think there is a legitimate and immediate threat to our planet from climate change, or do you think there is a lot of hype surrounding it for different reasons?

This discussion is no place for the politically correct or those who shy away from a heated debate. Look, the science pretty much backs the fact that climate change is real and caused by human activity. That said, I get where you're coming from. The media can be a drama queen, making things sound doomsday-ish for clicks and views. But, on the flip side, isn't it better to be safe than sorry? I mean, if there's even a slight chance we're screwing up the planet, we should probably try to fix it. Politically correct or not, mate, that's my two cents.