

Forum: [Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Judge dismisses Alec Baldwin's involuntary manslaughter case](#)

Pr0m3th3us - July 13, 2024, 1:41 am

<https://www.foxnews.com/entertainment/judge-tosses-alec-baldwins-involuntary-manslaughter-case-amid-trial-stunning-victory-actor>

Unbelievable.

hussain.spams - July 13, 2024, 4:30 am

Boss money makes the world go round... if you have it then it is easy life... some would say you could get away with anything

Joel - July 13, 2024, 6:14 am

I don't understand, isn't context and intention everything. It was very obvious that he didn't mean to do this. He didn't load the gun, it wasn't his job to verify the gun was not a real gun, it wasn't his job in any way shape or form to know. His job was to act. If he DID get put away for this, it would be a great injustice.

Pr0m3th3us - July 13, 2024, 6:53 am

(Jul 13, 2024 04:30 AM)hussain.spams Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Boss money makes the world go round... if you have it then it is easy life... some would say you could get away with anything

Yep the prosecution was definitely paid off to make an "oopsie"

(Jul 13, 2024 06:14 AM)Joel Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't understand, isn't context and intention everything. It was very obvious that he didn't mean to do this. He didn't load the gun, it wasn't his job to verify the gun was not a real gun, it wasn't his job in any way shape or form to know. His job was to act. If he DID get put away for this, it would be a great injustice.

I respectfully disagree, in this situation if someone hands you a firearm, you need to verify it isn't loaded with live ammo. If your unsure because your not familiar with firearms and ammo then ask the expert on set.

Also he blatantly LIED and said the gun went off by itself and he didn't pull the trigger. With a single action revolver it's impossible for this to happen. Yea sure lying isn't illegal (unless under oath) but be a man and own up to your mistakes.

webdev77 - July 13, 2024, 7:01 am

(Jul 13, 2024 06:14 AM)Joel Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't understand, isn't context and intention everything. It was very obvious that he didn't mean to do this. He didn't load the gun, it wasn't his job to verify the gun was not a real gun, it wasn't his job in any way shape or form to know. His job was to act. If he DID get put away for this, it would be a great injustice.

The context is if you have a real gun in your hands, you never point it at people you don't intend to kill. I understand that you are unfamiliar with safe handling of firearms and have probably never held one based on your post. This is just basic stuff that kids are taught around age 5-7 when they grow up with firearms around.

Given that the prosecution royally screwed up, I think it makes sense that Baldwin is walking away, but I don't think it's right either. He violated a fundamental basic principle. Anyone for gun safety should be infuriated that the precedent has now been set that the person holding the gun isn't

responsible for their own actions.

Pr0m3th3us - July 13, 2024, 7:11 am

(Jul 13, 2024 07:01 AM)webdev77 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The context is if you have a real gun in your hands, you never point it at people you don't intend to kill. I understand that you are unfamiliar with safe handling of firearms and have probably never held one based on your post. This is just basic stuff that kids are taught around age 5-7 when they grow up with firearms around.

Given that the prosecution royally screwed up, I think it makes sense that Baldwin is walking away, but I don't think it's right either. He violated a fundamental basic principle. Anyone for gun safety should be infuriated that the precedent has now been set that the person holding the gun isn't responsible for their own actions.

Agreed, I grew up with guns, I own many, hunt, shoot every month or so and did competitions at one point. But I'm not entirely sure that rule number 3 applies here, I mean on an old west movie set people be pointing guns at each other all day right? I just think he should have verified the ammo in the gun first instead of relying on your armorer 100%. Even if my best friend handed me a gun and said "it's ok it's unloaded" id still assume it's loaded ????

Quote:Keep your finger off the trigger

Only put your finger on the trigger when you're ready to shoot. Keeping your finger on the trigger when you're moving around can be dangerous because you might accidentally pull it.

Point the gun in a safe direction

A safe direction is one where an accidental discharge won't cause injury or damage. Never point a gun at yourself or another person.

Identify your target

Know what your target is, what's in front of it, and what's behind it. Never shoot at something you haven't positively identified.

Treat all guns as if they're loaded

Never assume a gun is unloaded, even if you've seen it unloaded

webdev77 - July 13, 2024, 7:50 am

(Jul 13, 2024 07:11 AM)Unknown Inebriated User Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Agreed, I grew up with guns, I own many, hunt, shoot every month or so and did competitions at one point. But I'm not entirely sure that rule number 3 applies here, I mean on an old west movie set people be pointing guns at each other all day right? I just think he should have verified the ammo in the gun first instead of relying on your armorer 100%. Even if my best friend handed me a gun and said "it's ok it's unloaded" id still assume it's loaded ????

I would argue it does still apply. Never point a fully functional firearm at someone unless you intend for them to die. Prop guns exist for a reason. Airsoft and paintball guns that can shoot pyrotechnics exist that are specially made for movie sets. At no point in time should both real ammunition and a fully functional firearm be on set at the same time. Actors shouldn't get special treatment. Does it really matter if it's a movie because the end result is still someone died due to negligence and an overt action of pointing a firearm and pulling the trigger. There is not any changing the fact that this would have been entirely prevented had Baldwin followed the basic safety rules. If you're going to break the known working rules, you had better take extreme precautions, and they didn't.

Joel - July 13, 2024, 10:13 am

(Jul 13, 2024 07:01 AM)webdev77 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The context is if you have a real gun in your hands, you never point it at people you don't intend to kill. I understand that you are unfamiliar with safe

handling of firearms and have probably never held one based on your post. This is just basic stuff that kids are taught around age 5-7 when they grow up with firearms around.

Given that the prosecution royally screwed up, I think it makes sense that Baldwin is walking away, but I don't think it's right either. He violated a fundamental basic principle. Anyone for gun safety should be infuriated that the precedent has now been set that the person holding the gun isn't responsible for their own actions.

This only applies if he knew it was a real gun with live rounds in it. Not on a movie set where real bullets shouldn't have been included in any scenario whatsoever.

'Why did he aim a gun in a film, that makes no sense!!!', trying to place the blame on him when there was more than 2 people ahead of him that really should've been doing their job, but didn't is insane. Next you'll be blaming fuel companies for people crashing their cars.

(Jul 13, 2024 06:53 AM)Unknown Inebriated User Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yep the prosecution was definitely paid off to make an "oopsie"

I respectfully disagree, in this situation if someone hands you a firearm, you need to verify it isn't loaded with live ammo. If your unsure because your not familiar with firearms and ammo then ask the expert on set.

Also he blatantly LIED and said the gun went off by itself and he didn't pull the trigger. With a single action revolver it's impossible for this to happen. Yea sure lying isn't illegal (unless under oath) but be a man and own up to your mistakes.

'be a man and own up to someone elses mistakes*'

Undead - July 13, 2024, 10:44 am

Nice fake crying, once an actor always an actor.

webdev77 - July 13, 2024, 11:19 am

(Jul 13, 2024 10:13 AM)Joel Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This only applies if he knew it was a real gun with live rounds in it. Not on a movie set where real bullets shouldn't have been included in any scenario whatsoever.

'Why did he aim a gun in a film, that makes no sense!!!', trying to place the blame on him when there was more than 2 people ahead of him that really should've been doing their job, but didn't is insane. Next you'll be blaming fuel companies for people crashing their cars.

'be a man and own up to someone elses mistakes*'

Somehow you managed to say exactly the wrong and incorrect thing. I wish to place blame upon the person who did the action that resulted in someone dying. Unlike leftys who want to blame gun manufacturers for crimes they don't commit, I want to blame the people pulling the triggers.

Phantom_NiNja - July 14, 2024, 8:15 pm

(Jul 13, 2024 01:41 AM)Unknown Inebriated User Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)

<https://www.foxnews.com/entertainment/judge-tosses-alec-baldwins-involuntary-manslaughter-case-amid-trial-stunning-victory-actor>

Unbelievable.

Did you see him walk out of the court to go to the bathroom and to grab a cup of coffee. He did this without asking his lawyers.

It was hilarious as to how his lawyers saw him leaving and they were shocked and dumbfounded.

Like the security around the court room didn't do anything they could have tackled him and charge him with more charges like fleeing.

Like he was not understanding the seriousness of the charges against him.

(Jul 13, 2024 06:14 AM)Joel Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't understand, isn't context and intention everything. It was very obvious that he didn't mean to do this. He didn't load the gun, it wasn't his job to verify the gun was not a real gun, it wasn't his job in any way shape or form to know. His job was to act. If he DID get put away for this, it would be a great injustice.

That doesn't matter if it's your job or not. You could be working at an office and if a security guard hands you his gun to hold it for a minute while he takes a piss. If you agree to hold it and then you by mistake shoot it off and it hits someone and kills them by accident. You still can face manslaughter charges. Intention matters based on evidence that shows planning and what your intention is. If it's an accident it's manslaughter if the accident caused a death which gets similar treatment as a person guilty of murder if found guilty of manslaughter.

Here it's manslaughter but since this is a job and they have prop people that their job is to make sure everything is secure and they use blanks. They would have to prove how much he neglected safety. I would think it would be hard to prove this that he was reckless. I mean if he asked the pyro people that if it's safe and he didn't have a reason to check the gun because they all gave the green light to do the scene. I wouldn't think there's much evidence to show recklessness on his part. It would most likely fall on the people that their jobs is to make sure the gun has no real bullets and all of them loaded are blanks.

F4tDoinkz - July 14, 2024, 8:32 pm

I don't think he is to blame here honestly. It all falls on the armorer and she didn't get enough of a punishment imo.

The Pact - August 1, 2024, 8:31 am

(Jul 13, 2024 06:14 AM)Joel Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't understand, isn't context and intention everything. It was very obvious that he didn't mean to do this. He didn't load the gun, it wasn't his job to verify the gun was not a real gun, it wasn't his job in any way shape or form to know. His job was to act. If he DID get put away for this, it would be a great injustice.

It turns out that he did a lot of reckless things like blow off the gun safety classes he was supposed to attend and did a lot of cost cutting bullshit that meant he bent rules and made things unsafe he got off because the judge found that the state withheld evidence that could have provided information on how the shooting occurred, so it was deemed an unfair trial in terms of the prosecution's actions.

All that being said it was an accident and I'm glad Mr Conductor is not going to serve any time for it.