

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Give me advice for best configuration of Babylon 1.6.0.0](#)

Dekan272 - October 28, 2024, 4:27 am

I am facing a problem with churn, some clients can appear once, some only on Both or IPv4. What could be the problem? I will be glad for any answers and advice

Sand Ferret - October 28, 2024, 9:09 am

Babylon is your problem. It's old and shit. Let it die in peace.

Dekan272 - October 28, 2024, 10:35 am

(Oct 28, 2024 09:09 AM)Sand Ferret Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Babylon is your problem. It's old and shit. Let it die in peace.

I'm not going to argue that he's old and on his own he's not the best RAT today. I chose it because of its profitability and lack of money for a normal instrument. I do not care about functionality, but about stability and simplicity in crypting

(Oct 28, 2024 07:18 AM)m0hx Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) what's "churn"?

cuz ipv4 / both depends on the OS.

if u select ipv4 it will work with most windows machines.

if u select ipv6/both it will only i think work with vista+ machines.

and if u mean the double fields for backup DNS settings. the second field doesn't work. so yeah, just put the same values once. the backup doesn't work.

Some clients appear only on IPv4 and some on Both

I cry blood - October 28, 2024, 10:54 am

(Oct 28, 2024 10:35 AM)Dekan272 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I'm not going to argue that he's old and on his own he's not the best RAT today. I chose it because of its profitability and lack of money for a normal instrument. I do not care about functionality, but about stability and simplicity in crypting

Some clients appear only on IPv4 and some on Both

If you're looking for a free rat that is up to date and stable, I'd look into [Xeno RAT](#). The issue with old rat softwares, like Babylon, is that even if it functions well, the file signature is so profilable that even using a fud private crypt would not be able to fully mask the detections.

Dekan272 - October 28, 2024, 11:06 am

(Oct 28, 2024 10:54 AM)I cry blood Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If you're looking for a free rat that is up to date and stable, I'd look into [Xeno RAT](#). The issue with old rat softwares, like Babylon, is that even if it functions well, the file signature is so profilable that even using a fud private crypt would not be able to fully mask the detections.

I know about xeno rat, I follow its updates. But I didn't choose it because one: It can't mass execute commands from clients. Second: I don't know how well it could be crypted by my guy. He has no problems with native applications, he did well with Babylon ([avcheck.net/id/Zsw4eSlnRpoi](#)). What he has about NET software. I don't know