

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Biden still regrets dropping out of 2024 race and believes he could have beaten Trump](#)

The Pact - December 29, 2024, 3:28 am

Biden regrets dropping out of 2024 race and believes he could have beaten Trump. Lol no because Elon would have bought the presidency either way.

<https://www.msn.com/en-nz/news/other/biden-still-regrets-dropping-out-of-2024-race-and-believes-he-could-have-beaten-trump-says-report/ar-AA1wDmmb?ocid=msedgntp&pc=U531&cvid=32b199d21f5a4aa6c258a53af90f9042&ei=54>

Sahgid - January 1, 2025, 10:11 pm

I think Trump winning again was. If his first run was so popular, we should let him go again.

The Pact - January 1, 2025, 10:14 pm

(Jan 1, 2025 11:11 PM)Sahgid Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think Trump winning again was. If his first run was so popular, we should let him go again.

I have no idea what this means, and what you wrote has nothing to do with the topic of the thread.

Sahgid - January 2, 2025, 5:42 am

(Jan 1, 2025 11:14 PM)The Pact Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I have no idea what this means, and what you wrote has nothing to do with the topic of the thread.

I just don't know Bidens policies. Although Kamala ran for president and lost, she's still in office. Seemed like a fair election to me. I wouldn't think, to be fair both Trump and Kamala had their own upper hand. Nothing to do with Biden.

Hackers - January 2, 2025, 8:24 am

A few donors and Nancy Pelosi made him step down, forcefully by threatening to pull funds and support. Biden had no choice but to step away - any other plan would've guaranteed a loss because Biden would've still needed the donations being withheld.

The Pact - January 3, 2025, 3:28 am

(Jan 2, 2025 06:42 AM)Sahgid Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Although Kamala ran for president and lost, she's still in office. Nothing to do with Biden.

You didn't read the opening post, nor did you click on the link to find out what this thread was even about.

She's still in office because the term hasn't ended yet.

(Jan 2, 2025 09:24 AM)nodes Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) A few donors and Nancy Pelosi made him step down, forcefully by threatening to pull funds and support. Biden had no choice but to step away - any other plan would've guaranteed a loss because Biden would've still needed the donations being withheld.

Biden would have done better than Kamala, but Elon Musk would have assured that he lost.

SIM-2502833 - January 3, 2025, 4:16 am

Woulda been possible IMHO, Kamala Harris is a donkey of a woman.

The Pact - January 3, 2025, 5:12 am

Elon put a lot of money into the election and Biden couldn't have won against that any more than Kamala.

Foxy Proxies Ltd - January 3, 2025, 1:12 pm

I don't think Biden could have beaten Trump. Trump not only took the electoral college, but he also took the popular vote as well. It is very rare for any presidential candidate to take both of these.

Blazin - January 3, 2025, 10:50 pm

After he took a bullet and stood up with the fist, it was over, IMO.

The Pact - January 3, 2025, 11:16 pm

(Jan 3, 2025 02:12 PM)Foxy Proxies Ltd Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't think Biden could have beaten Trump. Trump not only took the electoral college, but he also took the popular vote as well. It is very rare for any presidential candidate to take both of these.

Lol it's absolutely not rare? It's rare for Republicans to get the popular vote. But Trump got 49% of the total vote and Kamala got 48% and third parties and write ins got the rest. Bottom line without Elon's spending a quarter of a million dollars in Swing states and buying Twitter to destroy the huge left wing platform...without those things Trump may have had a narrow loss to Kamala. Allan Lichtman was wrong because he didn't count on Elon's money in the swing states and the influence Elon had in destroying Twitter which he did purely to influence the election.

Foxy Proxies Ltd - January 3, 2025, 11:27 pm

(Jan 4, 2025 12:16 AM)The Pact Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Lol it's absolutely not rare? It's rare for Republicans to get the popular vote. But Trump got 49% of the total vote and Kamala got 48% and third parties and write ins got the rest. Bottom line without Elon's spending a quarter of a million dollars in Swing states and buying Twitter to destroy the huge left wing platform...without those things Trump may have had a narrow loss to Kamala. Allan Lichtman was wrong because he didn't count on Elon's money in the swing states and the influence Elon had in destroying Twitter which he did purely to influence the election.

Then I'd call that a clever fucking move. But there are times when unconventional methods are needed to solve a larger problem.

The Pact - January 4, 2025, 1:42 am

(Jan 4, 2025 12:27 AM)Foxy Proxies Ltd Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Then I'd call that a clever fucking move. But there are times when unconventional methods are needed to solve a larger problem.

That's obviously how Elon sees it. For some reason Elon is massively upset about "wokism." I read all of Elon's tweets his first two weeks after he bought the platform and it was obvious he'd done it to try and influence the midterms and the presidential election, then he spent a quarter million on the swing states. I didn't think it was going to work because Allan Lichtman has been right so often, but Allan Lichtman's system of predicting the presidential elections doesn't factor anything in that would count. Elon's spend up into the equation.

(Jan 3, 2025 09:47 AM)shatter Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Biden and reality don't really go together.

Trump has a warped view of reality as well, but his general politics aren't disagreeable for me. Maybe except for his take on abortion. I will say this though, we need red again.

Here is Trump in 1999 saying he is prochoice. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NglssDgZ-yE>