

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [MSISDN/Phone number lookup](#)

Interconnector - January 24, 2025, 8:35 pm

If you need a lookup on a phone number by IMSI, HLR information(subscriber data such as IMSI, cell info, and a bit more), VLR, MSC. This includes location information in terms of cell ID if available, and in some cases a very precise location. Then you can contact me for free, no costs.

<http://ss7console.com/demo/> - Feel free to use now! | Currently not limited.

Please use common sense and don't use personal information. I fully own this site and backend(not SS7, but the translation/transfer point), and I don't have any logging systems as such(nothing I intentionally do, but obviously there'll be sys logs that are irrelevant in terms of data sent to the server I own), but the carrier might notice your actions, and then you can figure the consequences.

Abuse and lose, it'll simply become unavailable in the demo.

Diameter messages coming soon.

Bastille - January 25, 2025, 1:40 am

Interesting, I hope to see more about it soon

Interconnector - January 25, 2025, 3:09 am

(Jan 25, 2025 02:40 AM)Bastille Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Interesting, I hope to see more about it soon
I'm glad it was allowed, since it's rare that people doesn't get greedy with this. I'd rather spread it to the people that could benefit from it by learning.

Perhaps the wrong place to ask, but in regards to guidelines here(I'm not entirely new, I was pretty reputable once here, but I guess I got older) - is it allowed to post the URL, but make "on-site payments", simply to avoid spam/abuse, if a user is requesting recklessly and simply to prevent it from being abused like hell?

Riggs - January 25, 2025, 3:31 am

Waiting to see what happens here...

Interconnector - January 25, 2025, 7:51 am

It's released, though with SendRoutingInfoForSM which provides a response alike this(in most cases):

(removed irrelevant information)

"RoutingInfoForSM_Res":

"imsi": "X",

"locationInfoWithLMSI":

"networkNode-Number":

"address": "X"

If you receive a response, and not a system failure(which could indicate that the number is either completely invalid, or the carrier is rejecting the specific GT used in the demo)

If you'd like to try another method, you're welcome to reach out. I'll just make a quick script to cross-check with other requests on the website, to see if it's an actual failure due to incorrect receiver details(or sender for that matter, too), or if it's due to blacklistings.

MT and MO-forwardSM will also be available in the free demo.

As AnytimeInterrogation, of its many kinds, typically is well-protected and most likely rejected, it'll also be available with limited information in the response(I'd rather not be giving out dangerous info for the whole world to see with a single click, lots of abuse would destroy the fun for researchers)