

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [The Crypto Trio: U.S. House Quietly Passes 3 Major Bills](#)

JoseMar - July 17, 2025, 3:32 pm

The Crypto Trio:
U.S. House Quietly Passes 3 Major Bills

Okay, so... three pretty big crypto-related bills just passed through the U.S. House. And while most people were busy watching charts or arguing about meme coins, this kind of slipped through without the usual drama. But it's a bigger deal than it might look at first glance.

We're talking about: The CLARITY Act (to give crypto markets a framework)

The GENIUS Act (stablecoin regulations)

And the Anti-CBDC Surveillance State Act (basically a pushback on digital dollar fears)

You can feel the split, though. This wasn't just some quiet bipartisan handshake. It's very political, very layered, and yeah... maybe even a little bit messy.

The Votes, the Tensions, the Timing

So here's the score: CLARITY passed 294–134

GENIUS passed 308–122

Anti-CBDC passed 219–210

And that last one? Almost split down the middle. Which makes sense if you look at what it represents.

What's wild is how fast this happened. The House pushed these bills through just before their August recess. A sort of "get-it-done-before-vacation" energy. It's probably not how most people imagine crypto legislation should be handled, but... this is politics.

Wait, Is This Good for Crypto or Not?

Honestly now, depends on who ask, also what part of crypto you care about.

If you're into stablecoins or just want clearer rules for exchanges and tokens, the CLARITY and

GENIUS Acts might feel like a win. Finally, right? A chance for U.S. law to define digital assets instead of punting every decision to the SEC or letting the courts guess.

But that Anti-CBDC bill... that's where things get a little more complicated.

What's the Deal With the Anti-CBDC Act?

Some people see central bank digital currencies (CBDCs) as inevitable, just digital dollars issued by governments. Others see them as... kind of terrifying.

Think surveillance. Control. Programmable money. Or even expiration dates on your funds (yeah, that's been floated in some places).

So this bill is basically a line in the sand: "No thanks, we don't want the U.S. to go there."

Whether you think that's bold or paranoid, well, depends on how much you trust government tech and how closely you've followed what's happening in China or Nigeria with their CBDCs.

The Political Shade Behind the Scenes

What's kind of awkward is how divided this has become.

Republicans branded the week as "Crypto Week" (kind of like Shark Week but with more lobbyists), and tried to position themselves as the pro-innovation, pro-Bitcoin party.

Democrats, led by Maxine Waters, fired back with... yep, "Anti-Crypto Corruption Week." The names alone say a lot.

She basically warned that these bills would open financial loopholes, increase risk, and maybe even spark the next crash if things go south.

Is that overblown? Or just cautious? Depends on what side of 2008 you remember most clearly.

Trump, Biden, and the Next Move

Here's where it gets even more interesting. Trump is reportedly planning to sign the GENIUS Act, assuming no one changes it too much in the Senate.

That means stablecoin legislation might get real, and fast. The other two bills (CLARITY and Anti-CBDC) still need to go through Senate debates and, probably, some amendments.

But the groundwork is there. And honestly? That's huge.

It's the kind of boring but important stuff that actually shapes how crypto works in the U.S.

New entry for [7](#)contest?

? Big shoutout to [6](#) for making this even more exciting?

Hey guys, check this out.?

[DemonAsylum](#) [Immortal](#) [I N C R E D I B L E](#) [itcorp](#) [Jen](#) [vyactor](#) [Dystortion](#)

So What Now? (And Should You Care?)

Look, I get it. Legislation feels far from the charts, the memes, the next pump. But regulation, like it or not, is what decides who gets to play in crypto long-term.

If these laws hold up, especially CLARITY, we might finally get a U.S. crypto market that doesn't feel like it's walking on eggshells every time the SEC breathes.

That said, I still have questions. Like: Will this actually reduce regulatory uncertainty?

Could the anti-CBDC push slow down digital innovation in other areas?

And are we accidentally locking in the wrong definitions too early?

I don't know. No one does. But the shift is happening whether we like it or not.

If you made it this far, let me know what you think.

Genuine progress, or just more headlines before the elections?

And yeah... would love to hear where you stand on the CBDC issue.

Too paranoid? Or not paranoid enough?

Let's talk.

Omniscient - July 17, 2025, 3:46 pm

I think it's all bad news. I think it opens the door for CBDC and surveillance.

btw, Anti-CBDC Act just says the Federal Reserve can't do it. Says nothing that the government can't do it under a different agency.

DramaKing - July 17, 2025, 8:32 pm

(Jul 17, 2025 03:46 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think it's all bad news. I think it opens the door for CBDC and surveillance.

btw, Anti-CBDC Act just says the Federal Reserve can't do it. Says nothing that the government can't do it under a different agency.

Or that Congress can't overturn the law and track spending or usher in a post-apocalyptic world where no one can buy or sell without the government's approval. I get it. The government passing any law may seem like overregulation. And as a recent victim of crypto theft, a little less anonymity seems like a fair tradeoff.

Whether \$AMAZON will be a positive or a dumb idea is something that I won't try to predict.

