

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [The earth is flat, change my mind](#)

HerrWinston - July 20, 2025, 1:26 pm

Change my mind, the earth is flat and no one can prove otherwise! lets see.... (i will actually try to debate this)

Edit!

1. Person ([Stephen](#)) changed my mind
 2. Person ([W1NK1LL3RX](#)) convinced me!
 3. Person ([Phantom_NiNja](#)) did too!
 4. Person ([Mech](#)) did it aswell!
 5. Person ([P??sonl?af](#)) did it too, a little late perhaps!
- Try it yourself!

HerrWinston - July 20, 2025, 1:33 pm

(Jul 20, 2025 01:29 PM)Lowkey Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Historically and throughout all of recorded time it's more been recognized as a dome but everyone is entitled to their beliefs.

Also nice rage-bait.

ragebait? No, def my opinion, i might get talked into it beeing a pizza, but we will see how this thread will go...

HerrWinston - July 20, 2025, 1:46 pm

(Jul 20, 2025 01:36 PM)Lowkey Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Flammarion_engraving

Study and learn the things that date & pre-date the 1500's

Also, inb4

"bro we didn't have access to billion dollar telescopes and the technology was rudimentary and they didn't uh and and and and and and and and"

nah sounds like too much work, flat earther for life!

M?tt - July 20, 2025, 1:50 pm

I've flown to the same destination from 2 different directions (East and West). Yes, that means "around the world."

HerrWinston - July 20, 2025, 1:54 pm

(Jul 20, 2025 01:48 PM)Lowkey Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Ragebait threads should be deleted.

no ragebait! i genuinly would like to know how you would debunk someone as dumb as me. i had quite the blast speaking with some flat earthers, straight up refusing anything.... but thanks i will indeed read the wiki page it looks very interesting :D

(Jul 20, 2025 01:50 PM)M?tt Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I've flown to the same destination from 2 different directions (East and West). Yes, that means "around the world."

hmmm good point! will look into that

HerrWinston - July 20, 2025, 1:59 pm

(Jul 20, 2025 01:55 PM)Lowkey Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Not this thread, other threads that might be ragebait. well thats a good topic aswell, i think so too, but there should be split between ragebait and just genuinly beeing uneducated about something, might wanna give me a reference of a ragebait thread =? :D

Mech - July 20, 2025, 3:26 pm

Flat as my ex-wife's secret girlfriend's chest.

DramaKing - July 20, 2025, 6:54 pm

I remember hearing once that the website for the Flat Earth Society claimed to have members from across the globe. Flat Earthers are fake.

<https://www.thearchaeologist.org/blog/measuring-the-globe-eratosthenes-measurement-of-the-earth>

[Video: <https://youtu.be/in0B1OQG3-M?si=p8HTJ4p5MTQu5IOM>]

W1NK1LL3RX - July 20, 2025, 7:30 pm

(If the Earth had an edge, you'd see influencers posing on it.)

Fish - July 20, 2025, 8:42 pm

(Jul 20, 2025 01:26 PM)HerrWinston Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Change my mind, the earth is flat and no one can prove otherwise! lets see.... (i will actually try to debate this)

Edit!

1. Person ([Stephen](#)) changed my mind

Try it yourself!

The earth is flat.

Phantom_NiNja - July 20, 2025, 10:43 pm

The world isn't flat. The world is a sphere.

How you can tell is by looking at aircraft flying past you. The planes fly in the straight light at the same altitude.

As you watch them pass by your. You will see them fly over you and then it looks as if it starts to descend downwards.

in reality it's at the same altitude and is going straight. It's that the curvature of the sphere makes it look as if it's descending downwards.
into the horizon.

HerrWinston - July 20, 2025, 11:39 pm

(Jul 20, 2025 06:54 PM)DramaKing Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I remember hearing once that the website for the Flat Earth Society claimed to have members from across the globe. Flat Earthers are fake.

<https://www.thearchaeologist.org/blog/measuring-the-globe-eratosthenes-measurement-of-the-earth>

[Video: <https://youtu.be/in0B1OQG3-M?si=p8HTJ4p5MTQu5IOM>]

Yeah my fellow flat earthers arent the brightest but they try their best!

(Jul 20, 2025 07:30 PM)W1NK1LL3RX Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) (If the Earth had an edge, you'd see influencers posing on it.)
very good argument... you convinced me

(Jul 20, 2025 08:42 PM)Fish Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The earth is flat.
good thing i am not alone with my opinion anymore

(Jul 20, 2025 10:43 PM)Phantom_NiNja Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The world isn't flat. The world is a sphere.

How you can tell is by looking at aircraft flying past you. The planes fly in the straight light at the same altitude.

As you watch them pass by your. You will see them fly over you and then it looks as if it starts to descend downwards.

in reality it's at the same altitude and is going straight. It's that the curvature of the sphere makes it look as if it's descending downwards.

into the horizon.

Good arguments, got a little spark of physics and astronomy i like it, convinced me!

Fish - July 21, 2025, 5:36 am

(Jul 20, 2025 11:39 PM)HerrWinston Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yeah my fellow flat earthers arent the brightest but they try their best!

very good argument... you convinced me

good thing i am not alone with my opinion anymore

Good arguments, got a little spark of physics and astronomy i like it, convinced me!
You're never alone, igotchu

Moookie - July 21, 2025, 6:02 pm

(Jul 20, 2025 01:26 PM)HerrWinston Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Change my mind, the earth is flat and no one can prove otherwise! lets see.... (i will actually try to debate this)

Edit!

1. Person ([Stephen](#)) changed my mind
2. Person (@W1NK1LL3RX@) convinced me!
3. Person ([Phantom_NiNja](#)) did too!

Try it yourself!

I've always been open minded when it comes to 'conspiracies' .. as in, I've always been willing to give people the benefit of the doubt with their theories.. until enough facts prove them wrong. For example, the moon landing.. we didn't go, or at least it wasn't the way they claimed we did.. there is way too much evidence proving that they lied about so much of the stuff they told us in regards to this.. Bart Sibrel proved this. We're in 2025, and we still don't have the technology to put a human on Moon... regardless of all the advances in mechanics and technology, but somehow we did it on our first try back in the '60s.. pretty hard to convince anyone with common sense this. We've been trying to put a human on the moon for the past 20 years.. and still can't, but we did it on our first try in the 60s.. if you believe this, I got some swap land I would like to sell you for \$50 an acre. :)

Now when it comes to Flat Earth, I gave this theory the benefit of the doubt.. watched countless

videos and documentaries trying to prove the theory, but in the end, none of them had credible evidence that the earth was flat. There is much more evidence to prove that earth is round, then there is to say that it's flat. The fact NASA never took an actual photo of the Earth "while on the moon" was the one thing that allowed me to even consider that the Earth might be flat, because why would they go all the way to the moon.. and not take a photo of Earth? That would make zero sense. But that was more so because they didn't go to the moon.. not because the Earth was flat. The Earth is round.. saying it's flat is a cute theory, but there is no credible evidence to back this theory.

peeboa - July 21, 2025, 7:40 pm

I do not have to change your mind. I believe you are allowed to believe what you want and you should not be judged for it. Many people have many different opinions.

Mix - July 21, 2025, 7:54 pm

[Video: <https://youtu.be/GFqmDazwb6Y>]

This video proves that the earth is not flat.

Also proves flat eathers that they were wrong.

Phantom_NiNja - July 21, 2025, 8:46 pm

(Jul 21, 2025 06:02 PM)Mooooookie Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I've always been open minded when it comes to 'conspiracies' .. as in, I've always been willing to give people the benefit of the doubt with their theories.. until enough facts prove them wrong. For example, the moon landing.. we didn't go, or at least it wasn't the way they claimed we did.. there is way too much evidence proving that they lied about so much of the stuff they told us in regards to this.. Bart Sibrel proved this. We're in 2025, and we still don't have the technology to put a human on Moon... regardless of all the advances in mechanics and technology, but somehow we did it on our first try back in the '60s.. pretty hard to convince anyone with common sense this. We've been trying to put a human on the moon for the past 20 years.. and still can't, but we did it on our first try in the 60s.. if you believe this, I got some swap land I would like to sell you for \$50 an acre. :)

Now when it comes to Flat Earth, I gave this theory the benefit of the doubt.. watched countless videos and documentaries trying to prove the theory, but in the end, none of them had credible evidence that the earth was flat. There is much more evidence to prove that earth is round, then there is to say that it's flat. The fact NASA never took an actual photo of the Earth "while on the moon" was the one thing that allowed me to even consider that the Earth might be flat, because why would they go all the way to the moon.. and not take a photo of Earth? That would make zero sense. But that was more so because they didn't go to the moon.. not because the Earth was flat. The Earth is round.. saying it's flat is a cute theory, but there is no credible evidence to back this theory.

I agree to an extent. I think the first landing was fake I think later on there has been some landings that were public and some not public.

If you own a telescope you can actually see the U.S. flag on the moon. Also their response to why we didn't go back to the moon.

They said it's too expensive and when they landed they heard a huge bell ring meaning that they think the inside of the moon is hallow which means it's not safe to land and construction buildings on it. This is what hey have said in the past.

Yet, now trump wants to build data centers on the moon and mine for helium 3 fuel for fusion power plants and to have a fusion powerplant on the moon.

The question if all that is true we would be risking breaking up the moon and if we do this we can mess up life on earth because the moon gives us the tide waves in our oceans and has a part in seasons. I mean the moon and the sun plays a part in it.

I love talkin about conspiracy theories and I am open minded but love legit discussions on the topic where people bring legit possible sounding theories.

I believe we eventually went there but 50/50 on it. I mean I even heard that the sand on the moon is ionized so i that dust goes up in the air it will stick to their suits and helmets and make it hard to see. It just makes you wonder. The U.s. government is know to tell lies. So, why believe anything they tell us.

Mooooookie - July 23, 2025, 6:26 pm

(Jul 21, 2025 08:46 PM)Phantom_NiNja Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I agree to an extent. I think the first landing was fake I think later on there has been some landings that were public and some not public.

If you own a telescope you can actually see the U.S. flag on the moon. Also their response to why we didn't go back to the moon.

They said it's too expensive and when they landed they heard a huge bell ring meaning that they think the inside of the moon is hallow which means

it's not safe to land and construction buildings on it. This is what hey have said in the past.

Yet, now trump wants to build data centers on the moon and mine for helium 3 fuel for fusion power plants and to have a fusion powerplant on the moon.

The question if all that is true we would be risking breaking up the moon and if we do this we can mess up life on earth because the moon gives us the tide waves in our oceans and has a part in seasons. I mean the moon and the sun plays a part in it.

I love talkin about conspiracy theories and I am open minded but love legit discussions on the topic where people bring legit possible sounding theories.

I believe we eventually went there but 50/50 on it. I mean I even heard that the sand on the moon is ionized so i that dust goes up in the air it will stick to their suits and helmets and make it hard to see. It just makes you wonder. The U.s. government is know to tell lies. So, why believe anything they tell us.

Yes, I agree that the first landing was more then likely faked. I believe they faked the first landing, due to the space race.. we had to be first.. no way we could allow another country to beat us there. Notice that no other country has ever put a human on the moon to-date? Other countries have landed rockets up there, but no other country has ever put a human on the moon. Why? Because it's not possible to do so, without the astronauts getting fried by the radiation belts.

The whole concept of it's too expensive to go back to the moon, was their way of coming up with an excuse for why we haven't been back in 50+ years. They also said there's nothing up there, and that's why we haven't been back. But in my humble opinion, these are merely excuses. Because if this was the case, we wouldn't have been trying to get back there for the past 20 years. A spokesperson from NASA has already stated on video, that the reason we haven't been back is because we no longer have the technology to go back. They claim NASA destroyed all the technology that we used to go there, and that all the telemetry data from the first moon landing, some how is all missing. Now make that make sense. One of the United States biggest accomplishments, do you really believe they would destroy the equipment we used to accomplish this? If not put in a museum, it would be locked away in a safe location.. there would be no way they would destroy this technology. Not a chance in hell. That's not something you do with the instrument you used to accomplish something that no other person, or country has been able to repeat - to date.

And all the telemetry data, all of a sudden being missing? How and why would they ever allow this to go missing? One of Americas biggest accomplishments.. we're just going to miss place all the telemetry data? It's missing because if they were to reveal this data, it would reveal that we didn't

actually go when and how they say we did. The telemetry data is the one thing that we would be able to analyze, and see if all the numbers added up correctly. By stating that it's missing, they don't have to worry about showing this data. There is no way in hell, that they would ever allow this information to just go missing. We're not talking about a couple folders of data, or a couple filing cabinets worth of data.. were talking about a half a million pages of data... just randomly goes missing. NASA has no clue where it went. How'd that happen?!?! Does this sound realistic?

Now don't get me wrong, we have been to the moon.. but I don't know if humans have ever been up there. They could easily land a rocket up there, without humans, and use robots to plant the flag. You have to think about the amount of radiation that the astronauts would face going to the moon... not only going up there, but also coming back. This is the one reason why we "haven't been back", as they don't know how to get humans passed the radiation belts, without having them get cooked from all the radiation exposure. There is a NASA spokesperson on video admitting this. He said "we would love to go back to the moon, unfortunately we no longer have the technology that we used to go there.. and it's a painful process to build it back" - so we developed this technology, and it worked on the first try back in the 60s... but 50+ years later, where technology is 100x better, and mechanics are 100x better.. you're telling me we can't figure out how we did it 50 years ago? Does that even make sense? Technology has NEVER went in reverse.. the only time it's gone in reverse, is with space technology used to go to the moon. If I'm wrong, someone please chime in with another time in history where our technology has went in reverse. I would love to hear one time in history, where our technology from 50 years ago, was better then it is now. It hasn't happened, and it won't happen.. this goes beyond common logic.

How were they able to get humans passed the radiation belts back in the 60s, when Apollo was super thin, and their spacesuits, weren't specially equipped to fight off this radiation? How were they able to pass by the radiation belts 2x... in a super thin rocket that wasn't specially outfitted to protect them from this? This is the information that most people don't know, or even know is problematic to the whole theory of us putting humans on the moon. They say that NASA traveled in a path that avoided the parts of the radiation belts that contained the most radiation.

If it was really as simple as traveling in a direction that avoids most of this radiation.. then we would just repeat this today, and this wouldn't be the biggest problem that were facing today. For the past 20 years, we've been trying to figure out how to get humans passed the radiation belts, without them being cooked. This is no secret, NASA has admitted this numerous times.. even on video. The key reason NASA says why we haven't put humans back on the moon, is because they can't figure out how to avoid the astronauts from being cooked by the radiation belts.. so why not just follow the same path Apollo took, if that was really how they avoided the radiation the first time? It doesn't make sense that we know how we did it the first time, but don't repeat the same thing? If it worked 50+ years ago, and human made it to the moon and back, with no problems.. then why is NASA still saying that's our biggest problem for why we haven't gone back? It contradicts itself.

Phantom_NiNja - July 23, 2025, 8:47 pm

(Jul 23, 2025 06:26 PM)Mooooookie Wrote: [CITE](#) Yes, I agree that the first landing was more then likely faked. I believe they faked the first landing, due to the space race.. we had to be first.. no way we could allow another country to beat us there. Notice that no other country has ever put a human on the moon to-date? Other countries have landed rockets up there, but no other country has ever put a human on the moon. Why? Because it's not possible to do so, without the astronauts getting fried by the radiation belts.

The whole concept of it's too expensive to go back to the moon, was their way of coming up with an excuse for why we haven't been back in 50+ years. They also said there's nothing up there, and that's why we haven't been back. But in my humble opinion, these are merely excuses. Because if this was the case, we wouldn't have been trying to get back there for the past 20 years. A

spokesperson from NASA has already stated on video, that the reason we haven't been back is because we no longer have the technology to go back. They claim NASA destroyed all the technology that we used to go there, and that all the telemetry data from the first moon landing, some how is all missing. Now make that make sense. One of the United States biggest accomplishments, do you really believe they would destroy the equipment we used to accomplish this? If not put in a museum, it would be locked away in a safe location.. there would be no way they would destroy this technology. Not a chance in hell. That's not something you do with the instrument you used to accomplish something that no other person, or country has been able to repeat - to date.

And all the telemetry data, all of a sudden being missing? How and why would they ever allow this to go missing? One of Americas biggest accomplishments.. we're just going to miss place all the telemetry data? It's missing because if they were to reveal this data, it would reveal that we didn't actually go when and how they say we did. The telemetry data is the one thing that we would be able to analyze, and see if all the numbers added up correctly. By stating that it's missing, they don't have to worry about showing this data. There is no way in hell, that they would ever allow this information to just go missing. We're not talking about a couple folders of data, or a couple filing cabinets worth of data.. were talking about a half a million pages of data... just randomly goes missing. NASA has no clue where it went. How'd that happen?!?! Does this sound realistic?

Now don't get me wrong, we have been to the moon.. but I don't know if humans have ever been up there. They could easily land a rocket up there, without humans, and use robots to plant the flag. You have to think about the amount of radiation that the astronauts would face going to the moon... not only going up there, but also coming back. This is the one reason why we "haven't been back", as they don't know how to get humans passed the radiation belts, without having them get cooked from all the radiation exposure. There is a NASA spokesperson on video admitting this. He said "we would love to go back to the moon, unfortunately we no longer have the technology that we used to go there.. and it's a painful process to build it back" - so we developed this technology, and it worked on the first try back in the 60s... but 50+ years later, where technology is 100x better, and mechanics are 100x better.. you're telling me we can't figure out how we did it 50 years ago? Does that even make sense? Technology has NEVER went in reverse.. the only time it's gone in reverse, is with space technology used to go to the moon. If I'm wrong, someone please chime in with another time in history where our technology has went in reverse. I would love to hear one time in history, where our technology from 50 years ago, was better then it is now. It hasn't happened, and it won't happen.. this goes beyond common logic.

How were they able to get humans passed the radiation belts back in the 60s, when Apollo was super thin, and their spacesuits, weren't specially equipped to fight off this radiation? How were they able to pass by the radiation belts 2x... in a super thin rocket that wasn't specially outfitted to protect them from this? This is the information that most people don't know, or even know is problematic to the whole theory of us putting humans on the moon. They say that NASA traveled in a path that avoided the parts of the radiation belts that contained the most radiation.

If it was really as simple as traveling in a direction that avoids most of this radiation.. then we would just repeat this today, and this wouldn't be the biggest problem that were facing today. For the past 20 years, we've been trying to figure out how to get humans passed the radiation belts, without them being cooked. This is no secret, NASA has admitted this numerous times.. even on video. The key reason NASA says why we haven't put humans back on the moon, is because they can't figure out how to avoid the astronauts from being cooked by the radiation belts.. so why not just follow the same path Apollo took, if that was really how they avoided the radiation the first time? It doesn't make sense that we know how we did it the first time, but don't repeat the same thing? If it worked 50+ years ago, and human made it to the moon and back, with no problems.. then why is NASA still saying that's our biggest problem for why we haven't gone back? It contradicts itself.

I am with you on that. I am still 50/50 but I do agree with you that there's a lot of holes in their stories. I mean like you pointed out the radiation is intense and I know they told us they designed the space suits to withstand the radiation. That's one issue... the other issue is how can one maneuver in space? I mean it's a vacuum. So I know they said they had to pressurize the suits and that is why it's that big. However, I would think it would be hard to not by accident put stress on the suits that could bust a hole in it. It never happened though. There's a lot of holes that they won't give an answer to them that makes sense.

Like you said they said it cost a lot of money. Yet, were and planning to go to Mars and have spent a lot of money out of space near Earth orbit.

I heard them make the excuse that the moon is hollow and is why they won't go back to the moon. They said when they first landed on the moon it made a huge ringing sound like a bell. That was why they can't go back because it's not safe and it could break up the moon into pieces and make a lot of problems for Earth.

I can see both sides. I feel for sure the first landing was fake. The other one is where I am 50/50 but I am leaning more towards that we never been to the moon like sent a human there. The only reason is that their excuses are odd and they can't answer a lot of the issues that would face humans going onto the moon. I mean like the radiation, the moon dust is ionized so it will stick to the suits and the moon buggy and space craft. May more issues.

I know China, India sent probes to the moon and rovers too. You're right that so far haven't see any other country land a person on the moon.

Mooooookie - July 24, 2025, 6:44 am

(Jul 23, 2025 08:47 PM)Phantom_NiNja Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I am with you on that. I am still 50/50 but I do agree with you that there's a lot of holes in their stories. I mean like you pointed out the radiation is intense and I know they told us they designed the space suits to withstand the radiation. That's one issue... the other issue is how can one maneuver in space? I mean it's a vacuum. So I know they said they had to pressurize the suits and that is why it's that big. However, I would think it would be hard to not by accident put stress on the suits that could bust a hole in it. It never happened though. There's a lot of holes that they won't give an answer to them that makes sense.

Like you said they said it cost a lot of money. Yet, were and planning to go to Mars and have spent a lot of money out of space near Earth orbit.

I heard them make the excuse that the moon is hollow and is why they won't go back to the moon. They said when they first landed on the moon it made a huge ringing sound like a bell. That was why they can't go back because it's not safe and it could break up the moon into pieces and make a lot of problems for Earth.

I can see both sides. I feel for sure the first landing was fake. The other one is where I am 50/50 but I am leaning more towards that we never been to the moon like sent a human there. The only reason is that their excuses are odd and they can't answer a lot of the issues that would face humans going onto the moon. I mean like the radiation, the moon dust is ionized so it will stick to the suits and the moon buggy and space craft. May more issues.

I know China, India sent probes to the moon and rovers too. You're right that so far haven't see any other country land a person on the moon.

Yes, indeed China and India have great space programs. I believe if it was possible to put a human on the moon, that if not the United States.. then one of the other countries would have done it by now. There is zero reason why they wouldn't have, if it was actually plausible. We're talking 50+ years, and no other country has even attempted it? If it was possible, don't you think other countries would have loved to prove that they're capable of doing the same thing? That's a big achievement.

I have heard the theory of the moon ringing like a bell when they landed on it the first time. If NASA

was a company that told things how they were, and didn't always avoid answering specific questions, then I would give them more credit. They say NASA stands for Never A Straight Answer, for their uncanny ability to never give a straight answer to questions. And don't get me wrong, as an American myself.. I would love more then anything for them to have really went. I went into the whole conspiracy believing that we did go, but after studying the data for a good year or two, I came to the conclusion that we didn't go, like how they claim we went. It could be as simple as we went, and that we just didn't actually have humans up there.

They gave us the impression, that they were so comfortable on the moon, that they were driving around in a buggy, playing golf, just having a good old time. Things that don't seem so plausible, now that more information is available about space, and the technologies we used back then. I believe another 50 years will pass, and humans still will have not gone back up there. That's just my honest opinion. I'm not sure how they plan to get to Mars. If you can't get a human on the moon, how the hell are you going to get them to Mars?

HVAC Technician - July 24, 2025, 8:16 am

Can't change the mind or the mentally disabled.

HerrWinston - July 24, 2025, 8:26 am

(Jul 24, 2025 08:16 AM)HVAC Technician Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Can't change the mind or the mentally disabled.

That was rude :(

But well you didnt even try it, unfortunate

HVAC Technician - July 24, 2025, 8:31 am

(Jul 24, 2025 08:26 AM)HerrWinston Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That was rude :(

But well you didnt even try it, unfortunate

Don't worry I'm mentally disabled as well

HerrWinston - July 24, 2025, 8:32 am

(Jul 24, 2025 08:31 AM)HVAC Technician Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Don't worry I'm mentally disabled as well oh well, then its aight, do you want to be my flat earther friend ?

HVAC Technician - July 24, 2025, 8:41 am

(Jul 24, 2025 08:32 AM)HerrWinston Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) oh well, then its aight, do you want to be my flat earther friend ?

Hell naw

HerrWinston - July 24, 2025, 8:58 am

(Jul 24, 2025 08:41 AM)HVAC Technician Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hell naw

ohh, well its aight, i am sure i will find a fellow flat earther aswell! i see these were your first posts on here so Welcome to HF, i would advise you to look into the [Help Docs](#) , do you have any special interests? :D

HVAC Technician - July 24, 2025, 9:06 am

(Jul 24, 2025 08:58 AM)HerrWinston Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) ohh, well its aight, i am sure i will find a fellow flat earther aswell! i see these were your first posts on here so Welcome to HF, i would advise you to look into the [hackforums.net/misc.php?action=help](#) , do you have any special interests? :D

My interest is to not be associated with a group that is ok with Rape and Murder like [6](#)

HerrWinston - July 24, 2025, 9:08 am

(Jul 24, 2025 09:06 AM)HVAC Technician Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) My interest is to not be associated with a group that is ok with Rape and Murder like [6](#)

oh i see now.... welp i am sorry to hear that but i dont think ban evation is the best solution for the problem, why not let it go ? :(

HVAC Technician - July 24, 2025, 9:09 am

(Jul 24, 2025 09:08 AM)HerrWinston Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) oh i see now.... welp i am sorry to hear that but i dont think ban evation is the best solution for the problem, why not let it go ? :(
Because its more reasonable to expose on my lunch break while eating taco bell.

Bringing attention to the matter is my way of doing justice.

HerrWinston - July 24, 2025, 9:10 am

(Jul 24, 2025 09:09 AM)HVAC Technician Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Because its more reasonable to expose on my lunch break while eating taco bell.

Bringing attention to the matter is my way of doing justice.

well i wouldnt call it expose, but i dont really want to go any deeper into this since i am not fully aware of all the things that happened, i would like to know from who you are the multi acc tho, is that you sox ?

HVAC Technician - July 24, 2025, 9:11 am

(Jul 24, 2025 09:10 AM)HerrWinston Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) well i wouldnt call it expose, but i dont really want to go any deeper into this since i am not fully aware of all the things that happened, i would like to know from who you are the multi acc tho, is that you sox ?
Im not so :) Im Nintendo 64 living rent free in Omni head because he already made 3 threads about me trying to explain himself justifying the situation like its ok

HerrWinston - July 24, 2025, 9:13 am

(Jul 24, 2025 09:11 AM)HVAC Technician Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Im not so :) Im Nintendo 64 living rent free in Omni head because he already made 3 threads about me trying to explain himself justifying the situation like its ok

hmm welp, alright then, have fun with Taco bell, i am quite sure we will see each other a few times, but i am certain that wont be always as me speaking to HVAC Technician ?

HVAC Technician - July 24, 2025, 9:14 am

(Jul 24, 2025 09:13 AM)HerrWinston Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) hmm welp, alright then, have fun with Taco bell, i am quite sure we will see each other a few times, but i am certain that wont be always as me speaking to HVAC Technician ?

Hell yeah brother but respectfully in future cases try to avoid talking to me Omni gets salty and says people "feed the troll" but wish u the best ofc

bevc - July 24, 2025, 9:28 am

Aren't we all a bit bored of conspiracy theories by now?

I'd like to know how flat-earthers explain lunar eclipses (I'm being rhetorical, I don't want to know). Why is the shadow of the earth always circular? For that matter, how can astro-physicists predict them so accurately.

Or watch a ship sailing away. The bottom goes below the horizon first, before the top. Is it falling off the earth?

Or of course, someone could just keep going in one direction, eventually they'd end up back where they started. Michael Palin has actually done this more than once on a TV show. Michael Palin is not a liar. And presumably the person would use 'GPS' ie 'Global Positioning'. Coz it's a globe that you

need to know your position on.

HerrWinston - July 24, 2025, 9:31 am

(Jul 24, 2025 09:28 AM)bevc Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Aren't we all a bit bored of conspiracy theories by now?

I'd like to know how flat-earthers explain lunar eclipses (I'm being rhetorical, I don't want to know). Why is the shadow of the earth always circular? For that matter, how can astro-physicists predict them so accurately.

Or watch a ship sailing away. The bottom goes below the horizon first, before the top. Is it falling off the earth?

Or of course, someone could just keep going in one direction, eventually they'd end up back where they started. Michael Palin has actually done this more than once on a TV show. Michael Palin is not a liar. And presumably the person would use 'GPS' ie 'Global Positioning'. Coz it's a globe that you need to know your position on.

Alright so, there is no shadow of the earth, there is nothing to predict!

Ships despawn at a ceartain point, and our FOV isnt far enough, GPS is a lie from government; Michael Palin is a liar.

or something of that lines would work :D everything not fitting is a lie!

bevc - July 24, 2025, 9:42 am

(Jul 24, 2025 09:31 AM)HerrWinston Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Alright so, there is no shadow of the earth, there is nothing to predict!

Ships despawn at a ceartain point, and our FOV isnt far enough, GPS is a lie from government; Michael Palin is a liar.

or something of that lines would work :D everything not fitting is a lie!

I know, handy, isn't it?? I remember reading about the concentration camps set up in WW2 to house Japanese-Americans, and when whichever government official it was that set them up was told that there was no evidence of a conspiracy of Japanese-Americans, he replied "That makes me even more certain that there must be a conspiracy".

A quote I like: "Trying to argue with someone who has abandoned reason, is like administering medicine to the dead" - Thomas Paine.

(although I'm pretty sure "medicine" isn't real and is a cover for implanting dinosaur eggs inside innocent people. You think the government doesn't know? Don't be naive).

HerrWinston - July 24, 2025, 9:52 am

(Jul 24, 2025 09:42 AM)bevc Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I know, handy, isn't it?? I remember reading about the concentration camps set up in WW2 to house Japanese-Americans, and when whichever government official it was that set them up was told that there was no evidence of a conspiracy of Japanese-Americans, he replied "That makes me even more certain that there must be a conspiracy".

A quote I like: "Trying to argue with someone who has abandoned reason, is like administering medicine to the dead" - Thomas Paine.

(although I'm pretty sure "medicine" isn't real and is a cover for implanting dinosaur eggs inside innocent people. You think the government doesn't know? Don't be naive).

i have dinosaur eggs inside me ? :D

Phantom_NiNja - July 25, 2025, 11:39 pm

(Jul 24, 2025 06:44 AM)Mooooookie Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yes, indeed China and India have great space programs. I believe if it was possible to put a human on the moon, that if not the United States.. then ones of the other countries would have done it by now. There is zero reason why they wouldn't have, if it was actually plausible. We're talking 50+ years, and no other country has even attempted it? If it was possible, don't you think other countries would have loved to prove that they're capable of doing the same thing? That's a big achievement.

I have heard the theory of the moon ringing like a bell when they landed on it the first time. If NASA was a company that told things how they were, and didn't always avoid answering specific questions, then I would give them more credit. They say NASA stands for Never A Straight Answer, for their uncanny ability to never give a straight answer to questions. And don't get me wrong, as an American myself.. I would love more than anything for them to have really went. I went into the whole conspiracy believing that we did go, but after studying the data for a good year or two, I came to the conclusion that we didn't go, like how they claim we went. It could be as simple as we went, and that we just didn't actually have humans up there.

They gave us the impression, that they were so comfortable on the moon, that they were driving around in a buggy, playing golf, just having a good old time. Things that don't seem so plausible, now that more information is available about space, and the technologies we used back then. I believe another 50 years will pass, and humans still will have not gone back up there. That's just my honest opinion. I'm not sure how they plan to get to Mars. If you can't get a human on the moon, how the hell are you going to get them to Mars?

I agree with you that maybe Russia could have done it also. I doubt china and india back in those days they didn't have the budget and their economy wasn't developed enough.

onodmv - July 26, 2025, 12:31 am

Lol i think the earth is flat too

HerrWinston - July 26, 2025, 1:31 am

(Jul 26, 2025 12:31 AM)onodmv Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Lol i think the earth is flat too awesome! Not alone anymore, your now my flat earther buddy ?

Mooooookie - July 26, 2025, 3:04 am

(Jul 25, 2025 11:39 PM)Phantom_NiNja Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I agree with you that maybe Russia could have done it also. I doubt china and india back in those days they didn't have the budget and their economy wasn't developed enough.

Russia were the ones leading the Space Race at one point.. out of all counties, they should of been the ones to of put a human on the moon "next" .. but it seems once America "did it", that's where everything stopped.. and no one else attempted to do so.

Although countries continue to send rockets up there, no one seems to have the ability to put a human up there. And I don't expect to see that happens in my lifetime.

Phantom_NiNja - July 26, 2025, 10:31 pm

(Jul 26, 2025 03:04 AM)Mooooookie Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Russia were the ones leading the Space Race at one point.. out of all counties, they should of been the ones to of put a human on the moon "next" .. but it seems once America "did it", that's where everything stopped.. and no one else attempted to do so.

Although countries continue to send rockets up there, no one seems to have the ability to put a

human up there. And I don't expect to see that happens in my lifetime.

Yet, the U.S says the reason for this is that the moon is hallow and no one wants to break the moon into smaller pieces.

It's because the U.S when they landed they heard a bell sound which they claim meant it was hallow inside.

They said on earth the further you dig deeper into earth the denser it gets. The moon is different it's the most dense near the surface.

If you dig deeper into the moon it gets less dense. That is their excuse why no one is sending a human back onto the moon because the rockets

and the equipment used would be heavy and it might cause the moon to crack open if there was a massive collision. If this happens then things would die on earth. Life on earth would be in a horrible condition. That's their official excuse. That and that it cost too much.

Mech - July 26, 2025, 11:31 pm

The Earth's curvature is visible from high altitudes, as seen in photos from space and even weather balloons, showing a clear spherical edge.

Ships disappear bottom-first over the horizon, a phenomenon explained by the curve of a globe, not a flat plane.

The Coriolis effect, which influences weather patterns and ocean currents, only occurs on a rotating spherical Earth.

HerrWinston - July 26, 2025, 11:35 pm

(Jul 26, 2025 11:31 PM)Mech Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The Earth's curvature is visible from high altitudes, as seen in photos from space and even weather balloons, showing a clear spherical edge.

Ships disappear bottom-first over the horizon, a phenomenon explained by the curve of a globe, not a flat plane.

The Coriolis effect, which influences weather patterns and ocean currents, only occurs on a rotating spherical Earth.

I never was in Space or a Weather balloon so i call it fake

Ships just get out of our Field of View, because our render distance is too low

The Coriolis Effect, is fake, the government controls weather!

(this is fun, i can just say dumb stuff :D)

Mech - July 27, 2025, 12:01 am

(Jul 26, 2025 11:35 PM)HerrWinston Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I never was in Space or a Weather balloon so i call it fake

Ships just get out of our Field of View, because our render distance is too low

The Coriolis Effect, is fake, the government controls weather!

(this is fun, i can just say dumb stuff :D)

How about during a lunar eclipse, Earth's shadow on the Moon is always curved, like a circle. Only a spherical object casts a consistently circular shadow, or tides varying due to the moon's gravitational pull. If the earth were flat, gravity would pull evenly downward, making all tides the same

HerrWinston - July 27, 2025, 12:02 am

(Jul 27, 2025 12:01 AM)Mech Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How about during a lunar eclipse, Earth's shadow on the Moon is always curved, like a circle. Only a spherical object casts a consistently circular shadow, or

tides varying due to the moon's gravitational pull. If the earth were flat, gravity would pull evenly downward, making all tides the same
good point, with that i can tell you your the next person that convinced me that flat earthing isnt the right earthing...

Mooooookie - July 27, 2025, 6:44 am

(Jul 26, 2025 10:31 PM)Phantom_NiNja Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yet, the U.S says the reason for this is that the moon is hallow and no one wants to break the moon into smaller pieces.

It's because the U.S when they landed they heard a bell sound which they claim meant it was hallow inside.

They said on earth the further you dig deeper into earth the denser it gets. The moon is different it's the most dense near the surface.

If you dig deeper into the moon it gets less dense. That is their excuse why no one is sending a human back onto the moon because the rockets

and the equipment used would be heavy and it might cause the moon to crack open if there was a massive collision. If this happens then things would die on earth. Life on earth would be in a horrible condition. That's their official excuse. That and that it cost too much.

If this theory was true.. then why didn't it break into a bunch of pieces when they landed on it all those times previously? And wouldn't the same thing occur when they send the probes up there? Because if you remember, when they landed on the moon... its not like the heavy ass rocket landed on the moon, they pulled a magic trick and had the LEM pop off the rocket, and slowly land on to the moon.

I'm not sure how much I believe that the moon is hollow, because just think. The moon gets hit with asteroids regularly.. hence the reason for all the pot holes that are on the moon. These asteroids hit the moon with a heavy force. If the moon was hollow, wouldn't one of these asteroids broke thru the service by now? It's been getting hit was asteroids for decades.. and seems to be fully in tact.

Video of the LEM taking off from the moon, getting ready to connect with the rocket. Does this look real to you, or does this look like something out of a movie from the 60s?

[Video: <https://youtu.be/9HQfauGJaTs>]

K4RM4 - August 17, 2025, 9:12 am

Well a couple things come to mind. The fact that we have media from space from multiple sources is a big one. Then the fact that people literally travel around the world via ships, planes, etc. is another pretty convincing argument.

HerrWinston - August 17, 2025, 9:18 am

(Aug 17, 2025 09:12 AM)Ab3Snak3 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Well a couple things come to mind. The fact that we have media from space from multiple sources is a big one. Then the fact that people literally travel around the world via ships, planes, etc. is another pretty convincing argument.

obviously the pictures are all fake and they just teleport on the other side, thats how this works for real

K4RM4 - August 17, 2025, 9:51 am

(Aug 17, 2025 09:18 AM)HerrWinston Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) obviously the pictures are all fake and they just teleport on the other side, thats how this works for real

Obviously lol

P??sonl?af - October 3, 2025, 5:42 am

You disappoint me Herr :(

If the earth was flat cats would have knocked you off already.

HerrWinston - *October 3, 2025, 5:44 am*

(Oct 3, 2025 05:42 AM)P??sonl?af Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) You disappoint me Herr :(

If the earth was flat cats would have knocked you off already.

I completely forgot i ever made this thread lmao, your completely right! I will put you to the winners aswell ;)

P??sonl?af - *October 3, 2025, 5:48 am*

(Oct 3, 2025 05:44 AM)HerrWinston Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I completely forgot i ever made this thread lmao, your completely right! I will put you to the winners aswell ;)

That's the #1 reason why I know the earth isn't flat! That and the fact that you can see the curve at the sea & that is something I see every day. xD

HerrWinston - *October 3, 2025, 5:53 am*

(Oct 3, 2025 05:48 AM)P??sonl?af Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That's the #1 reason why I know the earth isn't flat! That and the fact that you can see the curve at the sea & that is something I see every day. xD

Pfft the second part obviously is a lie, your getting paid by the government! xDD

P??sonl?af - *October 3, 2025, 5:54 am*

(Oct 3, 2025 05:53 AM)HerrWinston Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Pfft the second part obviously is a lie, your getting paid by the government! xDD

The sure as hell are not paying me enough if that is the case. xD