

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [The Next Gold Rush will be on the Moon!](#)

Rsynced - August 9, 2025, 2:34 pm

This is just an idea, but I do have evidence of the possibility.

Below is how much gold AI estimates is inside the moons crust, along with the current gold price in USD.

Low (0.1 ppb): ? $\$4.2 \times 10^{16}$ (? \$42 quadrillion)

Mid (1 ppb): ? $\$4.2 \times 10^{17}$ (? \$420 quadrillion)

High (10 ppb): ? $\$4.2 \times 10^{18}$ (? \$4.2 quintillion)

AI Quote: Does the Moon contain Gold?

ChatGPT said:

Yes — the Moon does contain gold, but not in glittering nuggets you could pick up with a shovel.

Lunar samples brought back by the Apollo missions (and confirmed by later spacecraft measurements) show that trace amounts of gold are present in the Moon's crust. This gold is dispersed at very low concentrations — typically a few parts per billion — mixed into rock and dust.

The reason is that when the Moon formed (likely from a giant impact between early Earth and another planet-sized body), heavy elements like gold mostly sank toward Earth's core or ended up scattered in the Moon's mantle and crust in extremely thin distribution. There are no known concentrated "gold veins" like we find in some places on Earth.

Mining it would currently cost far more than the gold is worth — but technically, yes, the Moon has gold.

If you'd like, I can tell you where on the Moon the gold is most likely to be found based on current geological mapping.

You said:

Have they tried mining the moon for gold?

ChatGPT said:

No — no one has actually mined the Moon for gold (or any other metal, for that matter).

All material we've gotten from the Moon so far comes from scientific sample collection — mainly the Apollo missions (1969–1972), which brought back 382 kilograms (~842 pounds) of lunar rocks and soil, and a few robotic missions from the USSR and China. Those were purely for research, not for commercial mining.

There are a few main reasons nobody has tried mining gold on the Moon yet:

Cost – It's vastly more expensive to launch mining equipment to the Moon and bring material back than the gold would be worth.

Low Concentration – Lunar gold is spread in tiny trace amounts, not concentrated enough for profitable extraction with current technology.

Legal Issues – The 1967 Outer Space Treaty forbids nations from claiming parts of the Moon, and while private companies can potentially extract resources, the legal framework is still developing.

Technical Challenges – Lunar mining would require machinery that works in vacuum, extreme temperatures, and low gravity.

That said, space agencies and private companies are now planning future lunar resource extraction — but the first targets aren't gold; they're water ice (for fuel and drinking water) and certain rare-earth elements.

If you want, I can walk you through how a gold-mining operation on the Moon would actually work if someone tried it today. It's more "Moon Mad Max" than you might think.

You said:

has there ever been a mining operation on the moon to prove how much resources are available

ChatGPT said:

No — there's never been a full-scale mining operation on the Moon to prove resource quantities through actual extraction.

What we do have is resource mapping and small-scale sampling:

Apollo missions (1969–1972) – Brought back ~382 kg of rocks and dust from six landing sites, analyzed for composition.

Soviet Luna missions (1970–1976) – Robotic sample return missions; Luna 16, 20, and 24 brought back ~300 g combined.

Chinese Chang'e 5 (2020) – Returned ~1.7 kg of lunar material.

Remote sensing – Orbiters like NASA's Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter (LRO), India's

Chandrayaan-1, and Japan's SELENE have mapped mineral signatures using spectroscopy.

These missions confirmed the Moon has gold, rare earth elements, titanium, aluminum, and water ice, but all of this is based on localized samples and satellite scans — not bulk extraction.

No one has run heavy machinery or dug large pits to directly measure ore bodies like we do on Earth. The first "real" mining tech demos are planned for the 2030s, starting with small robots targeting water ice in permanently shadowed craters.

If you want, I can give you a realistic estimate of how much gold the Moon might hold based on current scientific data. That would give a clearer picture of its potential value.

You said:

yes, give me realistic estimate of how much gold the Moon might hold worth in US dollar current gold price. Thank you

ChatGPT said:

Thought for 20s

Nice question — fun to run the numbers. Short answer: the Moon probably contains enormous total masses of gold if you count the whole crust, but the metal is extremely dilute and mostly inaccessible, so those dollar figures are essentially meaningless for practical mining. Below I show how I estimated the numbers and the big caveats.

What I assumed (and why)

Average lunar crust thickness ? 40 km and bulk crust density ? 2550 kg/m³ (GRAIL gravity + crust studies).

ScienceDirect

PMC

Gold abundance in lunar crust: literature gives a plausible range from ~0.1 ppb up to ~10 ppb (parts per billion by mass); many studies quote typical values <=10 ppb, with some samples a few ppb. I use three scenarios to span low -> high.

Geoscience World

ADS

Spot gold price (used to convert ounces -> USD): ? \$3,380 per troy ounce (early August 2025 spot).

Gold Price

Trading Economics

How I calculated it (brief)

Compute lunar crust mass = Moon surface area × crust thickness × crust density.

Using R = 1,737.4 km, thickness 40 km, density 2550 kg/m³ -> crust mass ? 3.87×10^{21} kg.

NSSDCA

PMC

Multiply crust mass by gold mass fraction for each ppb scenario ($1 \text{ ppb} = 1 \times 10^{-9}$).

Convert gold mass (kg) -> troy ounces, then multiply by spot price.

Results — total gold in the entire lunar crust (illustrative scenarios)
(All values rounded; USD values use spot ? \$3,380/oz.)

Low case — 0.1 ppb gold

Gold mass ? $3.87 \times 10^{11} \text{ kg}$ (? $1.24 \times 10^{13} \text{ troy oz}$) -> ? $\$4.2 \times 10^{16}$ (? \$42 quadrillion).

Mid case — 1 ppb gold

Gold mass ? $3.87 \times 10^{12} \text{ kg}$ (? $1.24 \times 10^{14} \text{ troy oz}$) -> ? $\$4.2 \times 10^{17}$ (? \$420 quadrillion).

High case — 10 ppb gold

Gold mass ? $3.87 \times 10^{13} \text{ kg}$ (? $1.24 \times 10^{15} \text{ troy oz}$) -> ? $\$4.2 \times 10^{18}$ (? \$4.2 quintillion).

(Computations use the crust mass and the ppb ranges cited above.)

PMC

Geoscience World

Important — why these numbers are not realistic mining values

Those dollar numbers count every gram of gold in the whole crust (down tens of kilometers). On Earth we only mine near-surface deposits where gold is concentrated — most of the crustal gold is widely dispersed and uneconomic to recover.

The Moon's gold is very dilute (ppb levels) and not in concentrated veins; you'd have to move and process stupendous amounts of rock to collect meaningful gold. Apollo/regolith samples confirm trace, not ore-grade, concentrations.

LPI

Geoscience World

Practically accessible material is just the upper regolith (meters), not the whole crust. If you restrict the calculation to, say, only the top 1 m of regolith globally, the recoverable gold mass drops by many orders of magnitude compared with the full-crust number above — and that's before accounting for mining losses, processing inefficiencies, transport & launch costs, legal and infrastructure costs.

Launch, development, and processing costs for lunar mining at present are astronomically high; even tiny amounts of returned metal would cost far more than the metal's market value.

Legal and environmental issues about extraterrestrial mining remain unresolved (treaties, property rights, etc.).

Bottom line

Yes, by a bulk-mass accounting the Moon likely contains vast total quantities of gold (giving eye-popping USD totals when multiplied by today's price).

No, that doesn't mean moon-gold is worth trillions to anyone — the metal is extremely dilute and almost entirely inaccessible with current technology and economics.

If you'd like, I can:

Recompute using a different crust thickness or a different gold-ppb assumption; or

Show the estimate for just the top 1 meter or 10 meters of regolith (more realistic “accessible” resource scenarios); or

Convert the numbers to other formats (tonnes, scientific notation with significant figures). Which would you prefer?

You said:

Just give me the estimated value of the gold in US Dollars

ChatGPT said:

Thought for a couple of seconds

Low (0.1 ppb): ? $\$4.2 \times 10^{16}$ (? \$42 quadrillion)

Mid (1 ppb): ? $\$4.2 \times 10^{17}$ (? \$420 quadrillion)

High (10 ppb): ? $\$4.2 \times 10^{18}$ (? \$4.2 quintillion)

Oh_Well - August 9, 2025, 4:12 pm

I trully believe that all this talk about the moon and bases there or on Mars is really just about enabling mining operations for resources that are starting to run out on Earth.

Rsynced - August 9, 2025, 4:28 pm

(Aug 9, 2025 04:12 PM)Oh_Well Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I trully believe that all this talk about the moon and bases there or on Mars is really just about enabling mining operations for resources that are starting to run out on Earth.

Maybe, seriously... after reading all this AI research about how much Gold could be there I don't see why someone wouldn't be already planning this!?

Oh_Well - August 10, 2025, 1:38 am

(Aug 9, 2025 04:28 PM)Rsynced Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Maybe, seriously... after reading all this AI research about how much Gold could be there I don't see why someone wouldn't be already planning this!?

Have you ever heard about the space wars? At some point the demand of these materials will be so high that countries will fight actual wars on space to get them.

The future is closer than we think xD

RangoWorld - August 10, 2025, 3:14 am

Will gold be worth much if it becomes common?

Rsynced - August 10, 2025, 7:20 am

(Aug 10, 2025 03:14 AM)RangoWorld Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Will gold be worth much if it becomes common? Idk, but the Gold price now is Insane.

(Aug 10, 2025 01:38 AM)Oh_Well Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Have you ever heard about the space wars? At some point the demand of these materials will be so high that countries will fight actual wars on space to get them.

The future is closer than we think xD

Ya, I have heard of this... I thought that is more about space surveillance of Earth?

Spore - August 10, 2025, 7:23 am

I'm ready to be drafted as a tie-fighter pilot to defend the commies from touching my lunar nuggets

CutePenguin - August 10, 2025, 7:48 am

Its absolutely true that moon is the next gold rush except problem now is that there is very little ways for the average person to invest.

Unless you are a bank or have generational wealthy, you are out of the scene until at least 1 more decade.

W1NK1LL3RX - August 10, 2025, 7:56 am

Those numbers are mind-blowing, if we could actually mine that, it would completely change the global economy overnight.

Oh_Well - August 10, 2025, 3:55 pm

(Aug 10, 2025 07:56 AM)W1NK1LL3RX Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Those numbers are mind-blowing, if we could actually mine that, it would completely change the global economy overnight.

Pretty scary, right? The company/gov that wins that race will take the lead (worldwide)

Rsynced - August 10, 2025, 4:24 pm

(Aug 10, 2025 03:55 PM)Oh_Well Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Pretty scary, right? The company/gov that wins that race will take the lead (worldwide)

My brother was saying he read somewhere that people had some theory about this happening and causing the destruction of human civilization. He said people basically will start mining the Moon for all its resources and get to the point where they changed the actual volume of the Moon which in turn will cause the Ocean Tides to create a Tsunami that wipes out the entire landmass of the Earth. Only Aquatic life and creatures capable of adapting such as Birds and Insects will survive. I guess of course it could end up like the movie 'Water World' for the human population left to survive on a landless Earth. Not 100% Bad, but totally fucked for sure!

Oh_Well - August 10, 2025, 5:11 pm

(Aug 10, 2025 04:24 PM)Rsynced Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) My brother was saying he read somewhere that people had some theory about this happening and causing the destruction of human civilization. He said people basically will start mining the Moon for all its resources and get to the point where they changed the actual volume of the Moon which in turn will cause the Ocean Tides to create a Tsunami that wipes out the entire landmass of the Earth. Only Aquatic life and creatures capable of adapting such as Birds and Insects will survive. I guess of course it could end up like the movie 'Water World' for the human population left to survive on a landless Earth. Not 100% Bad, but totally fucked for sure!

Yep, definitely not that bad xD

I hope they won't nuke the shit out of it to get it faster, I don't want a real-life "dont look up" situation

Rsynced - August 10, 2025, 6:58 pm

(Aug 10, 2025 05:11 PM)Oh_Well Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yep, definitely not that bad xD

I hope they won't nuke the shit out of it to get it faster, I don't want a real-life "dont look up" situation

Quote:Project A119: During the Cold War, the United States Air Force briefly considered Project A119, a top-secret plan to detonate a nuclear bomb on the Moon in the 1950s.

Reasons for Cancellation: Project A119 was ultimately abandoned for several reasons, including:

Concern about negative public reactions and the potential militarization of space.

Fears of the warhead potentially impacting Earth if the launch failed.

The realization that a successful moon landing would be a more impactful achievement for the American public and the international community.

International Treaties: The 1967 Outer Space Treaty, signed by the US and other nations, prohibits the placement of nuclear weapons or weapons of mass destruction in outer space, including on celestial bodies like the Moon, according to UNOOSA.

Conclusion

The idea of nuking the moon has been explored in fiction and considered briefly as a scientific/propaganda stunt in the past, but it has never been carried out

This really happened in the YEAR 2009 ~ BARACK OBAMA

[Video: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OJQTLm7o71k>]

Mooooookie - August 10, 2025, 7:13 pm

While I'm not confident that there is gold on the moon to be mined, I do believe it would be interesting to see a mining operation started on the moon. Rather than fighting trying to figure out how to get humans up there, everything can be done remotely via robots.

Fun Fact:

One the of the Moon Rocks that was gifted to the Dutch Museum from the Apollo Missions, turned out to be Petrified wood. Not sure how that occurred.. humans mined that themselves!

Source: <https://www.nbcnews.com/id/wbna32581790>

Rsynced - August 10, 2025, 7:33 pm

(Aug 10, 2025 07:13 PM)Mooooookie Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) While I'm not confident that there is gold on the moon to be mined, I do believe it would be interesting to see a mining operation started on the moon. Rather than fighting trying to figure out how to get humans up there, everything can be done remotely via robots.

Fun Fact:

One the of the Moon Rocks that was gifted to the Dutch Museum from the Apollo Missions, turned out to be Petrified wood. Not sure how that occurred.. humans mined that themselves!

Source: <https://www.nbcnews.com/id/wbna32581790>

This is a Hoax?

Quote:A jagged fist-size stone with reddish tints, it was mounted and placed above a plaque that said, "With the compliments of the Ambassador of the United States of America ... to commemorate the visit to The Netherlands of the Apollo-11 astronauts." The plaque does not specify that the rock came from the moon's surface.

AI Quote: Dutch national museum moon rock hoax?

ChatGPT said:

You're on point—there was indeed a famous “moon rock hoax” associated with a Dutch museum. Here’s what really happened:

What Went Down

In 1969, former Dutch Prime Minister Willem Drees received what was believed to be a moon rock as a private gift on October 9, presented by the U.S. Ambassador J. William Middendorf II during a goodwill visit by the Apollo 11 astronauts.

NBC News

Wikipedia

After Drees passed away in 1988, the item ended up at the Rijksmuseum in Amsterdam in 1992, labeled as a moon rock.

Wikipedia

In 2009, scientists investigated the sample and discovered it was not from the Moon, but instead was petrified wood—likely from the Arizona desert.

NBC News

Phoenix New Times

Wikipedia

Why Did This Happen?

It appears to have stemmed from a series of misunderstandings: Drees, who was elderly and had hearing and vision impairments, may have misinterpreted the gift. The museum also didn't perform proper scientific verification and relied on a verbal confirmation from NASA that it was "possible" to be a moon rock—despite having never examined the object in person.

One Big Monkey

Reddit

+1

Wikipedia

Not a Conspiracy

This incident does not imply that real moon landings—or authentic moon rocks—are a hoax. In fact, genuine moon sample displays from the Apollo 11 and Apollo 17 missions were officially given to the Netherlands and are securely housed at the National Museum of the History of Science and Medicine in Leiden.

Wikipedia

+1

TL;DR

A faux moon rock at the Rijksmuseum turned out to be petrified wood.

It was likely a miscommunication and mislabeling—not an intentional cover-up.

True moon rocks do exist and have been authentically gifted and accounted for.

Moooookie - August 10, 2025, 8:04 pm

(Aug 10, 2025 07:33 PM)Rsynced Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is a Hoax?

Correct in the sense that what they were given wasn't an actual Moon Rock from the Moon, but it doesn't change that it was given to the Dutch Museum as a Moon Rock. These aren't my words,

these are the words from NBC News, and all the other places that published articles about it.

Rsynced - August 10, 2025, 8:05 pm

(Aug 10, 2025 08:04 PM)Mooooookie Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Correct in the sense that what they were given wasn't an actual Moon Rock from the Moon, but it doesn't change the it was given to the the Dutch Museum as a Moon Rock. These aren't my words, these are the words from NBC News, and all the other places that published articles about it.

I updated my response with more info from AI:

AI Quote: Dutch national museum moon rock hoax?

ChatGPT said:

You're on point—there was indeed a famous “moon rock hoax” associated with a Dutch museum. Here’s what really happened:

What Went Down

In 1969, former Dutch Prime Minister Willem Drees received what was believed to be a moon rock as a private gift on October 9, presented by the U.S. Ambassador J. William Middendorf II during a goodwill visit by the Apollo 11 astronauts.

NBC News

Wikipedia

After Drees passed away in 1988, the item ended up at the Rijksmuseum in Amsterdam in 1992, labeled as a moon rock.

Wikipedia

In 2009, scientists investigated the sample and discovered it was not from the Moon, but instead was petrified wood—likely from the Arizona desert.

NBC News

Phoenix New Times

Wikipedia

Why Did This Happen?

It appears to have stemmed from a series of misunderstandings: Drees, who was elderly and had hearing and vision impairments, may have misinterpreted the gift. The museum also didn't perform proper scientific verification and relied on a verbal confirmation from NASA that it was "possible" to be a moon rock—despite having never examined the object in person.

One Big Monkey

Reddit

+1

Wikipedia

Not a Conspiracy

This incident does not imply that real moon landings—or authentic moon rocks—are a hoax. In fact, genuine moon sample displays from the Apollo 11 and Apollo 17 missions were officially given to the Netherlands and are securely housed at the National Museum of the History of Science and Medicine in Leiden.

Wikipedia

+1

TL;DR

A faux moon rock at the Rijksmuseum turned out to be petrified wood.

It was likely a miscommunication and mislabeling—not an intentional cover-up.

True moon rocks do exist and have been authentically gifted and accounted for.

Moooookie - August 10, 2025, 8:10 pm

(Aug 10, 2025 08:05 PM)Rsynced Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I updated my response with more info from AI:

AI Quote: Dutch national museum moon rock hoax?

ChatGPT said:

You're on point—there was indeed a famous “moon rock hoax” associated with a Dutch museum. Here's what really happened:

What Went Down

In 1969, former Dutch Prime Minister Willem Drees received what was believed to be a moon rock as a private gift on October 9, presented by the U.S. Ambassador J. William Middendorf II during a goodwill visit by the Apollo 11 astronauts.

NBC News

Wikipedia

After Drees passed away in 1988, the item ended up at the Rijksmuseum in Amsterdam in 1992, labeled as a moon rock.

Wikipedia

In 2009, scientists investigated the sample and discovered it was not from the Moon, but instead was petrified wood—likely from the Arizona desert.

NBC News

Phoenix New Times

Wikipedia

Why Did This Happen?

It appears to have stemmed from a series of misunderstandings: Drees, who was elderly and had hearing and vision impairments, may have misinterpreted the gift. The museum also didn't perform proper scientific verification and relied on a verbal confirmation from NASA that it was "possible" to be a moon rock—despite having never examined the object in person.

One Big Monkey

Reddit

+1

Wikipedia

Not a Conspiracy

This incident does not imply that real moon landings—or authentic moon rocks—are a hoax. In fact, genuine moon sample displays from the Apollo 11 and Apollo 17 missions were officially given to the Netherlands and are securely housed at the National Museum of the History of Science and Medicine in Leiden.

Wikipedia

+1

TL;DR

A faux moon rock at the Rijksmuseum turned out to be petrified wood.

It was likely a miscommunication and mislabeling—not an intentional cover-up.

True moon rocks do exist and have been authentically gifted and accounted for.

Correct. We will never know the actual truth.. we just know that it was said to be given to the Dutch Museum as a Moon Rock. Was it an actual Moon Rock? No. Whether it was mislabeled, miscommunicated, or a cover up, we will never know the actual truth.. as none of us were there. We're just going by what was said, and what was put out in the News.

Rsynced - August 10, 2025, 8:20 pm

(Aug 10, 2025 08:10 PM)Mooooookie Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Correct. We will never know the actual truth.. we just know that it was said to be given to the Dutch Museum as a Moon Rock. Was it an actual Moon Rock? No. Whether it was mislabeled, miscommunicated, or a cover up, we will never know the actual truth.. as none of us were there. We're just going by what was said, and what was put out in the News.

The hardest part is getting a moon rock and next the money for the test lmao

? How to Personally Test & Prove a Moon Rock is Real — Step-by-Step Lab Checklist

Ever wondered how you could independently prove a Moon rock is genuine without just taking NASA's word for it? ?

Here's the full procedure — from visual inspection to isotope testing — that you can hand to a university or meteorite lab.

? 0. Preliminaries — Legal & Handling

? Confirm legal ownership & provenance. (Apollo samples = government property. Lunar meteorites = legal & realistic option.)

? Photograph the sample with scale & color card.

? Document chain-of-custody & seal in tamper-evident bag.

? 1. Non-Destructive First Tests

? High-res macro photos.

? Stereo microscope inspection (10–100×).

? Micro-CT scan (if available).

? XRF scan for elemental composition.

? Raman or FTIR surface scan.

? Stop here if it's clearly wood, slag, or common basalt.

? 2. Petrography & Mineralogy

? Prepare thin section(s).

? Polarizing light microscopy (look for regolith breccia & glass spherules).

? SEM + EDS mapping for minerals.

? XRD for mineral phases.

? 3. Bulk Chemistry & Trace Elements

? LA-ICP-MS (trace elements, REE patterns).

? Solution ICP-MS for rare earth elements.

? XRF for major element abundances.

? 4. Isotope & Solar Wind Analysis

? Oxygen isotope ratios ($^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$, $^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$).

? Noble gas analysis (^3He , Ne) for solar wind particles.

? Cosmogenic exposure ages.

? 5. Cross-Comparison

? Compare to known lunar sample data.

? Replicate results at 2 independent labs.

? Keep full raw data, spectra, and method details.

? Red Flags / Fakes

? Wood grain or organic structure -> petrified wood.

? Slag-like glass + metal lumps = industrial byproduct.

? No solar wind gases in a regolith sample claim.

? One-Page Quick Checklist

? Legal & provenance clear

? Photograph & seal sample

? Macro & stereo microscope

? Micro-CT scan

? XRF / Raman scan

? Thin section & petrography

? SEM + EDS mapping

? XRD & LA-ICP-MS

? Solution ICP-MS (REE)

? Oxygen isotope

? Noble gas

? Cross-compare to lunar datasets

? Blind replicate tests

? Final report + raw data

? Final Tip:

A real lunar rock will match mineralogy + isotopes + chemistry + solar wind gases.

Fake samples always fail one or more of these tests. ??

Oh_Well - August 11, 2025, 1:15 am

(Aug 10, 2025 06:58 PM)Rsynchronized Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This really happened in the YEAR 2009 ~ BARACK OBAMA

[Video: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OJQTLm7o71k>]

What was the purpose of this? Just to test the orbit and reach moon with a rocket?

Rsynchronized - August 11, 2025, 7:46 am

(Aug 11, 2025 01:15 AM)Oh_Well Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What was the purpose of this? Just to test the orbit and reach moon with a rocket?

They say testing for water.

IDK, they prob got some Gold ?

Oh_Well - August 11, 2025, 9:09 am

(Aug 11, 2025 07:46 AM)Rsynchronized Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) They say testing for water.

IDK, they prob got some Gold ?

testing for water? This was a 2009 op (LCROSS), I didn't know they tried it before. xD

Rsynchronized - August 11, 2025, 9:16 am

(Aug 11, 2025 09:09 AM)Oh_Well Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) testing for water? This was a 2009 op (LCROSS), I didn't know they tried it before. xD

That what the news says, they tested it the moon had water. ?

Mooooookie - August 11, 2025, 10:15 am

(Aug 11, 2025 09:16 AM)Rsynchronized Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) That what the news says, they tested it the moon had water. ?

I love this guy.. (no homo)

Dude is funny as hell, and you never know what you're going to get from him next. Had the pleasure of shooting the shit with him last night about the moon, and bunch of other shit.. and he's an all around good character. Rsynchronized for President.

Rsynchronized - August 11, 2025, 11:33 am

(Aug 11, 2025 10:15 AM)Mooooookie Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I love this guy.. (no homo)

Dude is funny as hell, and you never know what you're going to get from him next. Had the pleasure of shooting the shit with him last night about the moon, and bunch of other shit.. and he's an all around good character. Rsynced for President.

Thanks man! Started watching that Movie you shared last night in my living room on the couch with my Bro as I said I would do, cut it off to play Battlefield 6 since the BETA was running out... Going to continue it today. Might just watch it from the beginning again, think I only got 15 min or so into but I am hooked! Thanks for the share seriously, I love watching stuff like this...??

K4RM4 - August 11, 2025, 6:26 pm

Dang that's pretty cool!