

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Ohio's new AI crime wave](#)

The Pact - November 24, 2025, 9:18 pm

Ohio lawmakers have proposed bills to criminalize the use of deepfakes.

A Central Ohio man accused of using AI to manipulate family photos into sexual images of minors — another growing concern — is expected to plead guilty.

The big picture: Deepfake fraud attempts surged 3,000% in 2023, per DeepStrike, a cybersecurity group.

Actually that sounds impressive except prior to 2023 people most people weren't aware about what Ai could do and ChatGPT didn't even appear until November 2022 let alone all these other bots you can do Deepfakes with. so.....

U.S. losses from fraud that relies on generative AI are projected to reach \$40 billion by 2027, according to the Deloitte Center for Financial Services.

House Bill 185, introduced in March, would prohibit the use of anyone's likeness without prior written consent.

What they're saying: "In recent years, the prevalence of 'deepfake' technology has been made widely available to the public," Attorney General Dave Yost wrote in a letter supporting the bill.

Ohio has yet to enact specific legislation related to deepfakes.

Ohio is also one of five states that did not include AI or computer-edited images in its child sexual abuse material and pornography statutes as of August, according to the child advocacy organization Enough Abuse.

It's not just local governments. The Better Business Bureau of Central Ohio warns of scam calls that use AI-cloned voices of family members to entice victims into sending money.

The tools are also used in lottery scams.

<https://www.axios.com/local/columbus/2025/11/24/ai-crime-ransomware-deepfakes>

RangoWorld - November 24, 2025, 11:30 pm

Great move. I'm in favor of regulating AI and even banning certain aspects of it like deep fake, that has potential for great harm.

The Pact - November 25, 2025, 2:31 am

(Nov 25, 2025 12:30 AM)RangoWorld Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Great move. I'm in favor of regulating AI and even banning certain aspects of it like deep fake, that has potential for great harm.

I don't like how it's just going to make it hard to do legitimate things with the AI. One of the worst things I've come across in the news is a teacher who was making deep fake child porn of his students. He was caught somehow.

Carl Innmon (Austin, Texas, USA) and other teachers: Other cases have involved teachers using AI

to create non-consensual sexually explicit deepfakes of students.

A fifth-grade teacher in Austin, Texas, [So the kids were TEN?]

Carl Innmon, was arrested for using real photos of his students to generate child sexual abuse material (CSAM) with AI.

Similarly, a Christian teacher in Florida was accused of using yearbook photos of students to create AI-generated CSAM content.

Lefty - November 25, 2025, 11:55 pm

I mean now that there is not and cannot be a regulator in the USA for 10 years (I think?) it's going to get worse before it gets better

The Pact - November 26, 2025, 12:21 am

(Nov 26, 2025 12:55 AM)Lefty Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I mean now that there is not and cannot be a regulator in the USA for 10 years (I think?) it's going to get worse before it gets better

The Senate voted no 99-1, but I guess an executive order has made that happen for individual states?

States that try to regulate AI under the draft executive order would face lawsuits from the U.S. Department of Justice and risk losing certain streams of federal funding, such as broadband support.

I asked Copilot about this because I didn't really know what was going on. AI Quote: Trump's order will direct the Attorney General to create a dedicated task force within the DOJ. Its role would be to challenge state AI laws in court, arguing they unconstitutionally interfere with interstate commerce or federal authority.

States that pass AI laws deemed “onerous” could be denied access to federal broadband funding or other federal support programs. This echoes an earlier Ted Cruz proposal that Trump has revived in this order.

The order would assert that federal AI policy overrides state-level regulation, effectively blocking states like California or Colorado from enforcing their own AI safety or anti-discrimination rules.

Beyond the DOJ, agencies like the Federal Trade Commission would be tasked with issuing policy statements that clarify how federal consumer protection laws apply to AI, further limiting states' ability to regulate independently.

? Context and Implications

- Targeted States: California and Colorado are specifically mentioned in drafts, since they've passed AI-related laws on safety and discrimination.
- Industry Influence: Tech leaders and investors have lobbied against state-by-state regulation, arguing it fragments the AI market. The order reflects this push for a single national framework.
- Legal Battles Ahead: If signed, the order would trigger federal lawsuits against states, setting up a constitutional clash over states' rights versus federal supremacy in regulating emerging technologies.

? In short: States could be sued by the DOJ and lose federal funding if they pass AI laws that conflict with the executive order.

Phantom_NiNja - November 30, 2025, 1:47 pm

(Nov 25, 2025 03:31 AM)The Pact Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't like how it's just going to make it hard to do legitimate things with the AI. One of the worst things I've come across in the news is a teacher who was making deep fake child porn of his students. He was caught somehow.

Carl Innmon (Austin, Texas, USA) and other teachers: Other cases have involved teachers using AI to create non-consensual sexually explicit deepfakes of students.

A fifth-grade teacher in Austin, Texas, [So the kids were TEN?]

Carl Innmon, was arrested for using real photos of his students to generate child sexual abuse material (CSAM) with AI.

Similarly, a Christian teacher in Florida was accused of using yearbook photos of students to create AI-generated CSAM content.

The schools computers are always monitored that is how he was caught.

Gmail uses AI for many years to scan any images you attached to your emails or received emails. They caught a dad sending an email to his doctor of his boy naked in the bathtub he was showing some kind of rash

because they did a remote web call and the doctor needed to see the rash. Gmail detected this as child porn.

The dad faces 20 years in prison. Even though he wasn't doing it for that purpose. They said the law doesn't make exceptions for remote doctor calls.

The Pact - November 30, 2025, 4:13 pm

(Nov 30, 2025 02:47 PM)Phantom_NiNja Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The schools computers are always monitored that is how he was caught.

Gmail uses AI for many years to scan any images you attached to your emails or received emails. They caught a dad sending an email to his doctor of his boy naked in the bathtub he was showing some kind of rash

because they did a remote web call and the doctor needed to see the rash. Gmail detected this as child porn.

The dad faces 20 years in prison. Even though he wasn't doing it for that purpose. They said the law doesn't make exceptions for remote doctor calls.

I found a case like this and it says "Mark was cleared of any criminal wrongdoing, but Google has said it will stand by its decision." Google won't let Mark use any Google services including his phone plan was with some kind of Google service and he's banned from his previous phone plan and all Google services.

Mark's Gmail and other Google accounts, including Google Fi, which provides his phone service, were disabled over "harmful content" that was "a severe violation of the company's policies and might be illegal"

San Francisco police department opened an investigation into him.

Mark was cleared of any criminal wrongdoing, but Google has said it will stand by its decision.

<https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2022/aug/22/google-csam-account-blocked>

Phantom_NiNja - November 30, 2025, 11:12 pm

(Nov 30, 2025 05:13 PM)The Pact Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I found a case like this and it says "Mark was cleared of any criminal wrongdoing, but Google has said it will stand by its decision." Google won't let Mark use any Google services including his phone plan was with some kind of Google service and he's banned from his previous phone plan and all Google services.

Mark's Gmail and other Google accounts, including Google Fi, which provides his phone service, were disabled over "harmful content" that was "a severe violation of the company's policies and might be illegal"

San Francisco police department opened an investigation into him.

Mark was cleared of any criminal wrongdoing, but Google has said it will stand by its decision.

<https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2022/aug/22/google-csam-account-blocked>

So, they must have dropped the charges. Last time I checked he was facing possible 20 years in prison.

I remember the cops came with a search warrant and he told them the whole thing and they didn't buy it.

They found some other videos of his boy next to his mom that was naked. Well the cops and google claim a naked woman was sleeping with the little boy while she was naked. The father claimed it was a video of him sleeping with his mom. He said him and his wife sleep in their underwear and said maybe they should wear pajamas when they go to bed.

My point is apple, google, Microsoft they snoop on you. That's a small mistake that could get you into major trouble. Most don't think that this is even possible until it happens to them.

RiveR29 - December 1, 2025, 12:07 am

I think that it is good to catch vile people. But there should be also some safety nets for situations like shown earlier.

Because I myself can't understand the logic behind "our judgement is final" even tho no actual crime was committed.

The Pact - December 1, 2025, 7:30 pm

(Dec 1, 2025 01:07 AM)RiveR29 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think that it is good to catch vile people. But there should be also some safety nets for situations like shown earlier.

Because I myself can't understand the logic behind "our judgement is final" even tho no actual crime was committed.

A lot of the time these Big Tech companies are using bots and people in the third world being paid 18 cents an hour, so most likely the person saying "Our judgement is final," is someone in the third world whose English isn't good enough to understand any of the nuance of "It was actually a dad giving info to a real doctor," and they've been taught the words "Our judgement is final" to spew at people.

And all they know is the bot said that the person had done one of the worst things and the bot banned him from everything. The people the appeals go to usually have very poor English with all the Big Tech companies so they can pay them next to nothing. If they don't just lie about appeals being read buy real people while the appeals still go to a bot.

On Twitter I was once given a months ban for mentioning smurfs and they said a real person would

read my appeal, but I lost the appeal and they said it was still "Hate Speech" to talk about blue people. No joke.

RiveR29 - December 1, 2025, 9:26 pm

(Dec 1, 2025 08:30 PM)The Pact Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) A lot of the time these Big Tech companies are using bots and people in the third world being paid 18 cents an hour, so most likely the person saying "Our judgement is final," is someone in the third world whose English isn't good enough to understand any of the nuance of "It was actually a dad giving info to a real doctor," and they've been taught the words "Our judgement is final" to spew at people.

And all they know is the bot said that the person had done one of the worst things and the bot banned him from everything. The people the appeals go to usually have very poor English with all the Big Tech companies so they can pay them next to nothing. If they don't just lie about appeals being read buy real people while the appeals still go to a bot.

On Twitter I was once given a months ban for mentioning smurfs and they said a real person would read my appeal, but I lost the appeal and they said it was still "Hate Speech" to talk about blue people. No joke.

Yea, perhaps. But that is the problem. But we all also know that the Big Tech, does not give a crap about the consumer. Like I got banned from eBay, because someone in a 3rd world country decided with the help of a bot that my account was with 5% possibility a scam account and they banned me. No way to appeal, and even when I wanted them to do a 100% screen on my acc they said that if the bot bans you it is final :D oh well selling on eBay was a pain in the ass anyway.

And all I did was I was selling AutoCAD licenses for 40€ a pop. I had 100% positive reviews. But even that was not a helping factor in the "appeal" process.

And the funniest thing was that they said I could appeal the decision. But they also said in the next email that it was final. So do what you will then. I just told them to pound dirt.

Jamika - December 3, 2025, 1:33 am

(Nov 24, 2025 10:18 PM)The Pact Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Ohio lawmakers have proposed bills to criminalize the use of deepfakes.

A Central Ohio man accused of using AI to manipulate family photos into sexual images of minors — another growing concern — is expected to plead guilty.

The big picture: Deepfake fraud attempts surged 3,000% in 2023, per DeepStrike, a cybersecurity group.

Actually that sounds impressive except prior to 2023 people most people weren't aware about what Ai could do and ChatGPT didn't even appear until November 2022 let alone all these other bots you can do Deepfakes with. so.....

U.S. losses from fraud that relies on generative AI are projected to reach \$40 billion by 2027, according to the Deloitte Center for Financial Services.

House Bill 185, introduced in March, would prohibit the use of anyone's likeness without prior written consent.

What they're saying: "In recent years, the prevalence of 'deepfake' technology has been made widely available to the public," Attorney General Dave Yost wrote in a letter supporting the bill.

Ohio has yet to enact specific legislation related to deepfakes.

Ohio is also one of five states that did not include AI or computer-edited images in its child sexual abuse material and pornography statutes as of August, according to the child advocacy organization Enough Abuse.

It's not just local governments. The Better Business Bureau of Central Ohio warns of scam calls that use AI-cloned voices of family members to entice victims into sending money.

The tools are also used in lottery scams.

<https://www.axios.com/local/columbus/2025/11/24/ai-crime-ransomware-deepfakes>

Yes, artificial intelligence has become very dangerous, and it can now produce images that look extremely natural and truly realistic.

The Pact - December 3, 2025, 9:05 pm

(Dec 3, 2025 02:33 AM)Jamika Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yes, artificial intelligence has become very dangerous, and it can now produce images that look extremely natural and truly realistic.

Yeah and my son sent me a music video made completely by AI and from the past I was expecting not to like the sound and that it would sound at least a little bit crap, but I actually liked it and thought it was a bop. ?

It was based around a real band and Michael Jackson. It was fan made and that fan could get sued. It had cartoon versions of the members of this band called "The Wiggles" and of Michael Jackson, but still the band or MJ's family could sue.

Hackers - December 5, 2025, 1:14 pm

(Nov 25, 2025 12:30 AM)RangoWorld Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Great move. I'm in favor of regulating AI and even banning certain aspects of it like deep fake, that has potential for great harm.

I think it will damage the quality and capabilities

I know there are downsides, but if we limit ourselves to what humans can do manually or what we deem "safe" then we won't go nearly as far as unregulated & open AI.

AI porn can be bad, mmkay

But girls becoming pornstars is always bad, mmkay

If we're going to ban AI porn or alterations, then ban adult entertainment entirely.

AI is safer, even if some use it for bad purposes.

Obviously I'm against minors being depicted in porn. I just don't see a way forward without risking the quality of AI platforms as a whole

The only way to maintain quality is to allow base models to function without regulation, and then use a 2nd layer to filter out the output.

The problem with this is that it becomes "legal to create, illegal to distribute" which is hard to enforce

.. so if you can't create or distribute, then you must regulate the core model being used rather than just censoring the output afterwards... and that lowers quality.

I am for 100% unregulated AI. I am excited for a future where we do not need humans for anything that involves binary code in any format.

The Pact - *December 13, 2025, 4:08 am*

"Legal to create, illegal to distribute": That tension exists in other domains too—think of pharmaceuticals or weapons. Enforcement is hard, but not impossible. The point is to balance innovation with responsibility, not to abandon oversight altogether.