

Forum: [Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Charlie Lee Bitcoin Maxi](#)

Omniscient - December 19, 2025, 12:45 pm

In case you don't know who Charlie Lee is, he created Litecoin. And years ago sold all his LTC.

This is classic, and I don't mean it's old. It means that it's a perfect example of what I've said to everyone.

Buy Bitcoin, hold, and STFU.

Marvelous - December 19, 2025, 5:45 pm

It's not a good thing to be a BTC Maxi. BTC has multiple flaws itself.. Smart contract chains have extremely good use cases.

Yes, BTC has consistently outperformed almost all other cryptos.

Omniscient - December 19, 2025, 5:51 pm

(Dec 19, 2025 06:45 PM)Marvelous Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It's not a good thing to be a BTC Maxi. BTC has multiple flaws itself.. Smart contract chains have extremely good use cases.

Yes, BTC has consistently outperformed almost all other cryptos.

So which of the 100's of Smart Contract Blockchains is the best investment and why?

Marvelous - December 19, 2025, 6:31 pm

(Dec 19, 2025 06:51 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So which of the 100's of Smart Contract Blockchains is the best investment and why?

Well, I am only going to focus on ETH because I don't follow any other in depth. I do believe that the future is multi chain, my second biggest bag is ChainLink.

This is also a rabbit hole that you have to want to go down.

BlackRock:

BlackRock CEO Larry Fink Declares "Tokenization of All Assets" Era Has Begun
<https://finance.yahoo.com/news/blackrock-ceo-larry-fink-declares-194215457.html>

Bank of America:

Bank of America eyes real-world asset tokenization to disrupt traditional banking
<https://www.smartsuite.com/news/bank-of-america-tokenization-real-world-assets>

BofA Bets on Fractional Ownership for Asset Growth

<https://www.pymnts.com/blockchain/2025/bank-of-america-reports-growing-interest-tokenization-real-world-assets/>

Robinhood:

Tokenization of real world assets is an unstoppable 'freight train' coming to major markets:
Robinhood CEO

<https://www.cnbc.com/2025/10/02/tokenization-of-assets-is-freight-train-coming-to-markets-robinhood-ceo.html>

DTCC:

DTCC and Digital Asset Partner to Tokenize DTC-Custodied U.S. Treasury Securities on the Canton Network

<https://www.dtcc.com/news/2025/december/17/dtcc-and-digital-asset-partner-to-tokenize-dtc-custodied-us-treasury-securities>

The Depository Trust Company Gets SEC OK to Tokenize Securities and Skip Key Regulations

<https://www.sidley.com/en/insights/newsupdates/2025/12/the-depository-trust-company-gets-sec-ok-to-tokenize-securities-and-skip-key-regulations>

I can literally go on and on with news articles. I will also say that all of these stories are not older than 2 months. I also want to point out that BTC was guaranteed to have a spot ETF, ETH was not. ETH got its spot ETF under Gensler. That is a huge clue that there is a big push from powerful people to get this ball rolling.

The SEC chair Paul Atkins recently said "Tokenization is imminent, and we at the SEC should be focused on how we advance innovation in the marketplace."

Stable coins are going to get pushed hard, because of the government debt that needs to be refinanced at a lower rate. Stable coin issuers hold gov bonds and get 4-5% yield. The more stable coins that are on chain the debt that USDC and USDT need to hold to cover it.

I could argue about this forever.. It is SOOOO OBVIOUS what is happening. Fuck the FUD and the clear manipulation of price.

The facts are:

These institutions are professionals at this.

They are not going to give you a free pass to be rich.

They are late to the game and realize that.

There is EXTREME moves happening in the background.

This is 100000% happening. I would literally bet my life.

R5N3T - December 19, 2025, 6:53 pm

(Dec 19, 2025 01:45 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) In case you don't know who Charlie Lee is, he created Litecoin. And years ago sold all his LTC.

This is classic, and I don't mean it's old. It mean that it's a perfect example of what I've said to everyone.

Buy Bitcoin, hold, and STFU.

Buying Bitcoin, holding it, and ignoring market noise has proven to be more effective than constantly chasing the next big thing.

Costanza - December 19, 2025, 7:15 pm

i'm also a btc maxi yet i hold none . figure that out. LOL

Marvelous - December 19, 2025, 7:33 pm

(Dec 19, 2025 08:15 PM)Costanza Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) i'm also a btc maxi yet i hold none . figure that out. LOL

Being a BTC maxi is like saying "I only invest in Gold and the stock market is stupid".

Costanza - December 19, 2025, 10:15 pm

(Dec 19, 2025 08:33 PM)Marvelous Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Being a BTC maxi is like saying "I only invest in Gold and the stock market is stupid".

Not wrong lmao , one day Satoshi's wallet will be hacked, 10,20 years etc. cannot wait for that bloodbath lol.

Mewtwo - December 20, 2025, 12:32 am

(Dec 19, 2025 11:15 PM)Costanza Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Not wrong lmao , one day Satoshi's wallet will be hacked, 10,20 years etc. cannot wait for that bloodbath lol.

His coins are safe. Even when a quantum hack happens. He never sent a transaction, therefore his public keys were never exposed. You would need to break SHA-256 or ECDSA, which is basically used for the internet and military today.

Marvelous - December 20, 2025, 9:40 am

(Dec 20, 2025 01:32 AM)Mewtwo Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) His coins are safe. Even when a quantum hack happens. He never sent a transaction, therefore his public keys were never exposed. You would need to break SHA-256 or ECDSA, which is basically used for the internet and military today.

His coins will 100% either be frozen by a hard fork or taken by a quantum computer. Both are equally as bad.

One of my favorite anti BTC arguments is, who will still be mining in the future when block reward goes down to .01 BTC? On top of that with BTC mostly being locked in ETFs and sent via Lightning Network. There are barely any fees going to be generated. A nation state like China would gladly spend a billion dollars to disrupt Bitcoin.

In 2060 the BTC halving reward will be 0.006103515625 at a million dollar BTC thats 6,100.

My guess is that BTC will eventually be 100% wrapped on a smart contract chain. I guess there are pros and cons of not being able to upgrade.

Omniscient - December 20, 2025, 9:44 am

(Dec 20, 2025 01:32 AM)Mewtwo Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) His coins are safe. Even when a quantum hack happens. He never sent a transaction, therefore his public keys were never exposed. You would need to break SHA-256 or ECDSA, which is basically used for the internet and military today.

People don't understand this. Anyone saying that Satoshi's wallet is going to get broken by Quantum is misinformed.

AI Quote: Short answer: No, not with anything that exists today or in the near future.

Long answer below, broken down cleanly.

? What protects Satoshi's Bitcoin?

Bitcoin uses two main cryptographic primitives:

1. ECDSA (secp256k1) – protects private keys
2. SHA-256 – protects hashes, mining, and addresses

Satoshi's coins (~1 million BTC) are believed to be in P2PK outputs, meaning the public key is visible on-chain, which matters for quantum analysis.

? What quantum computers could break (in theory)

1 ECDSA via Shor's algorithm

Shor's algorithm can mathematically break elliptic-curve cryptography
That would allow deriving a private key from a public key

? BUT this requires:

~1–2 million *error-corrected logical qubits*

Sustained coherent runtime Fault tolerance far beyond current tech

Current reality (2025):

Best quantum machines: ~100–1,000 noisy qubits Effective logical qubits: near zero

? We are decades away, not years.

2 SHA-256 via Grover's algorithm

Grover's only gives a square-root speedup SHA-256 (256-bit) -> effectively becomes 128-bit security

That is still astronomically secure.

? Grover does NOT meaningfully threaten Bitcoin hashing

? Why Satoshi's wallet is still safe

Even if a future quantum computer could break ECDSA:

The attacker would need to:

1. Extract Satoshi's private key
2. Broadcast a transaction
3. Beat the network confirmation window The moment *any quantum theft happens:

Bitcoin would hard-fork

Keys would migrate to post-quantum signatures

Legacy outputs would be frozen or migrated

Bitcoin can upgrade. Cryptography is not static.

? The bigger picture

If quantum computers could crack Satoshi's wallet, they could also break:

Banks TLS / HTTPS
Governments Military systems
Every ECC-based blockchain

Bitcoin would be the least of our problems.

? About Satoshi

Satoshi Nakamoto has never moved those coins.

Many experts believe this is intentional:

As a *credibility anchor As a *failsafe Or because keys are destroyed

? Final verdict

Question	Answer
Can quantum computers break Bitcoin today?	? No
In 10 years?	? Extremely unlikely
In several decades?	? Possible, but Bitcoin can adapt
Specifically Satoshi's wallet?	? Not realistically

If you want, I can also explain:

How post-quantum Bitcoin would work Why reused addresses are more dangerous than quantum attacks

Whether Satoshi intentionally used P2PK for signaling

* What would happen if Satoshi's coins ever moved

Just say the word.

I just wish people would inform themselves and stop repeating what dummies say.

Marvelous - December 20, 2025, 10:57 am

(Dec 20, 2025 10:44 AM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) People don't understand this. Anyone saying that Satoshi's wallet is going to get broken by Quantum is misinformed.

I just wish people would inform themselves and stop repeating what dummies say.I agree that QC is not as big of a threat as people believe. It wont become a real threat for at least 20 years from now. QC is not BTCs biggest threat. I believe that my previous post is a much bigger threat. Who will pay to secure the network if the block price is \$6,000 at \$1,000,000 BTC? (.006 BTC per block at 1 million dollar BTC in 2060(34 years))

I think we are just in a distribution and accumulation phase for BTC. BTC will more than likely be wrapped/tokenized on ETH.

Why does nobody argue against this subject? I have brought this up like 5 times any nobody can say anything about me being wrong. This is why being a Maxi is bad. You ignore the negatives.

Omniscient - December 20, 2025, 11:49 am

(Dec 20, 2025 11:57 AM)Marvelous Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Who will pay to secure the network if the block price is \$6,000 at \$1,000,000 BTC? (.006 BTC per block at 1 million dollar BTC in 2060(34 years)) Governments and mega-corporations will gladly secure the network because it's the only asset controlled by none and trusted by all. China, Russia, USA, and basically every major country will have treaties about mining BTC.

What is the cost now to have a financial system with US Dollars as the Global Reserve Currency? We have sanctions, we have wars, all because of it. There is fraud and scams everywhere. Under a global financial system backed by Bitcoin, a lot of situations get fixed.

You're thinking too small imho. Bitcoin will be a store of value. Nobody will be buying coffee with BTC blockchain. You might buy a home or car with it at most. Your financial future and retirement might be Bitcoin. Companies and governments moving billions will do it in Bitcoin. Governments will create CBDC's backed by Bitcoin (similar to your wrapped BTC on Ethereum theory).

The financial world is going to change in meaningful ways.

I want to add that just like how the US government spends almost \$1T annually on the Dept of Defense meanwhile we're not at war. Annual budget of the Federal Reserve is about \$6B too. Trust me, governments are going to back Bitcoin and happily.

Marvelous - December 20, 2025, 12:22 pm

(Dec 20, 2025 12:49 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Governments and mega-corporations will gladly secure the network because it's the only asset controlled by none and trusted by all. China, Russia, USA, and basically every major country will have treaties about mining BTC.

BTC was definitely made in the USA at least, there are zero other theories. More than likely BTC was made by the CIA. It's probably a 70% chance. There are 1,000,000 coins that nobody knows who owns? Besides Satoshi Nakamoto. BTC was launched in 2009 right after the 2008 financial crisis. Why the fuck would other countries own it and play into this? China and Russia definitely don't trust BTC. They own none.

(Dec 20, 2025 12:49 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What is the cost now to have a financial system with US Dollars as the Global Reserve Currency? We have sanctions, we have wars, all because of it. There is fraud and scams everywhere. Under a global financial system backed by Bitcoin, a lot of situations get fixed.

It's expensive to run a network but when it comes to who has more money controls the network it is not worth it. That is NOT decentralization. So, BTC is 100% moving towards being completely government run? These are EXTREMELY high hopes. By 2060 it will be pointless to mine BTC unless you are subsidized by the Gov. you will make no money.

Even right now it is close to not being profitable to mine BTC. 3 entities supply 53% of the hash power to BTC.

?border?ineExtr(A) - December 20, 2025, 12:56 pm

Love the post and thank you for breaching this topic, Omni. In my personal opinion, LTC rode off the wave of hardforks at a time when BTC was the prime innovator in blockchain technology and managed to stick to the crypto sidemarket availability (i.e. the coin exists, there is probably some sort of usage for that, either in terms of technology or financial value, yet we don't know how/what) - however never made the leap into the main stage as a sole product. One might argue that there are

technology advancements behind its implementation, however to this day (and it's been a long while since LTC hit the market) Litecoin remains as an extension for Bitcoin and one of the earliest, moderately successful proof-of-concept releases made with blockchain technology.

While I'm not going to touch on the economic statements that both of you have posted above, which personally I'd like to thank you for, there is however one interesting matter which I'd love to discuss further:

(Dec 20, 2025 10:40 AM)Marvelous Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) His coins will 100% either be frozen by a hard fork or taken by a quantum computer. Both are equally as bad.

From my outlook, none of these FUD conspiratorial theories have any chance of materializing, in real digital life. Why? Option 1: Any hard fork that would affect the genesis balance wallet would immediately disprove the legitimacy of the hard fork. Case in point, ETH vs ETC. Option 2: With quantum computing availability, so does the difficulty increase and therefore mining capabilities while having to undergo a massive shift in operations, should stabilize and the results, at least theoretically, will be that we end up with a much stronger and decentralized network. The only thing that remains to be seen is whether the DEV team can keep up, technology-wise. As for your other counterpoints, they mostly border on financial so I'll skip for now, though I'd love to get back to this thread and get involved. The two of you raise very valid points and I'm loving the clash of culture views that is being mixed with tech talk + financial possibilities. For a crypto nerd like myself, that's what I call good company lol

Omniscient - December 20, 2025, 1:20 pm

We're so many years away from Quantum being a threat that it's not even a threat. It's just something that has to eventually be dealt with and it will, in due course.

Anyways, Litecoin is bad-ass imho. I think like XMR, it will always be part of the ecosystem.

Typical LTC daily transactions is about half of BTC which imho is very respectable. XMR does about 25-30k daily transactions.

NoodleBot™ - December 21, 2025, 1:57 pm

(Dec 20, 2025 10:40 AM)Marvelous Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) His coins will 100% either be frozen by a hard fork or taken by a quantum computer. Both are equally as bad.

One of my favorite anti BTC arguments is, who will still be mining in the future when block reward goes down to .01 BTC? On top of that with BTC mostly being locked in ETFs and sent via Lightning Network. There are barely any fees going to be generated. A nation state like China would gladly spend a billion dollars to disrupt Bitcoin.

In 2060 the BTC halving reward will be 0.006103515625 at a million dollar BTC thats 6,100.

My guess is that BTC will eventually be 100% wrapped on a smart contract chain. I guess there are pros and cons of not being able to upgrade.

..would you not continue mining if 0.01 BTC was ~1M USD?

Marvelous - December 21, 2025, 2:11 pm

(Dec 21, 2025 02:57 PM)NoodleBot™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) ..would you not continue mining if 0.01 BTC was ~1M USD?

TF.

So 1 BTC is worth 100 million dollars?

(Dec 20, 2025 10:40 AM)Marvelous Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) His coins will 100% either be frozen by a hard fork or taken by a quantum computer. Both are equally as bad.

One of my favorite anti BTC arguments is, who will still be mining in the future when block reward goes down to .01 BTC? On top of that with BTC mostly being locked in ETFs and sent via Lightning Network. There are barely any fees going to be generated. A nation state like China would gladly spend a billion dollars to disrupt Bitcoin.

In 2060 the BTC halving reward will be 0.006103515625 at a million dollar BTC thats 6,100.

My guess is that BTC will eventually be 100% wrapped on a smart contract chain. I guess there are pros and cons of not being able to upgrade.

I am literally begging this forum to shut this argument down in some way shape or form.

Dumb ass Focus Broken needs to come back to this forum, and Omni needs to unban Shreder. This is bullshit. All i do is read on here anymore. Fuck Stanley.

NoodleBot™ - December 21, 2025, 4:24 pm

(Dec 21, 2025 03:11 PM)Marvelous Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) TF.

So 1 BTC is worth 100 million dollars?

I am literally begging this forum to shut this argument down in some way shape or form.

Dumb ass Focus Broken needs to come back to this forum, and Omni needs to unban Shreder. This is bullshit. All i do is read on here anymore. Fuck Stanley.

I mean theoretically. We don't know what the price will be in 2140 when mining is about to hit the last blocks.

Also adds to the scalability of everyone being able to use it whether there's only 21 million to exist

Omniscient - December 21, 2025, 5:19 pm

(Dec 21, 2025 03:11 PM)Marvelous Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) TF.

So 1 BTC is worth 100 million dollars?

I am literally begging this forum to shut this argument down in some way shape or form.

Dumb ass Focus Broken needs to come back to this forum, and Omni needs to unban Shreder. This is bullshit. All i do is read on here anymore. Fuck Stanley.

This wasn't your thread. I appreciate the responses but saying I have to shut it down because you're losing the arguments seems weird. You ignored my very detailed response about why Quantum isn't a threat. Focus Broken left HF on his own, he's not interested in returning and fairly sure he'd school you as the Bitcoin Maxi that he was.

Marvelous - December 21, 2025, 7:54 pm

(Dec 21, 2025 05:24 PM)NoodleBot™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I mean theoretically. We don't know what the price will be in 2140 when mining is about to hit the last blocks.

Also adds to the scalability of everyone being able to use it whether there's only 21 million to exist This is straight up retarded. BTC wont reach 100 million in the next 500 years.

(Dec 21, 2025 06:19 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This wasn't your thread. I appreciate the responses but saying I have to shut it down because you're losing the arguments seems weird. You ignored my very detailed response about why Quantum isn't a threat. Focus Broken left HF on his own, he's not interested in returning and fairly sure he'd school you as the Bitcoin Maxi that he was. What argument have I lost? Ever? Thats funny as fuck. I didnt ignore your post about QC. I replied back to it. I said it is NOT a threat for the next 20+ years. I also pointed out what my opinions abt the true threat to BTC is, and NOBODY cares.

Its all just happy... Buy BTC AND SHUT THE FUCK UP. Thats it, just but BTC and dont question who will pay to secure the network, cuz the governments will have a truce to secure it. The fuck.

QC is not BTC's biggest threat. Its who is going to secure the network in 30 years when the block reward is 6,000 and BTC is 1 million dollars. There are no transaction fees cuz its 90% ETFs and the rest is lovely Lightning Network.

FreeShreder

NoodleBot™ - December 21, 2025, 8:21 pm

(Dec 21, 2025 08:54 PM)Marvelous Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This is straight up retarded. BTC wont reach 100 million in the next 500 years.

What argument have I lost? Ever? Thats funny as fuck. I didnt ignore your post about QC. I replied back to it. I said it is NOT a threat for the next 20+ years. I also pointed out what my opinions abt the true threat to BTC is, and NOBODY cares.

Its all just happy... Buy BTC AND SHUT THE FUCK UP. Thats it, just but BTC and dont question who will pay to secure the network, cuz the governments will have a truce to secure it. The fuck.

QC is not BTC's biggest threat. Its who is going to secure the network in 30 years when the block reward is 6,000 and BTC is 1 million dollars. There are no transaction fees cuz its 90% ETFs and the rest is lovely Lightning Network.

FreeShreder

How do you know, though? How would you? There's no need for aggression it's a discussion...

Marvelous - December 21, 2025, 8:57 pm

(Dec 21, 2025 09:21 PM)NoodleBot™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How do you know, though? How would you? There's no need for aggression it's a discussion...

Hostility is justified if your answers are literally fucking retarded. I am trying to have a serious conversation.

Here is your answer tho.. the market cap of BTC would be 2 quadrillion. That is 2,000 trillion.

Do you know what 2 quadrillion is dumb kid?

The entire market cap of all real estate is 393 trillion.

The entire net worth of the world is like 500 trillion dollars.

So yeah, you are stupid as shit.

Omniscient - December 21, 2025, 9:31 pm

(Dec 21, 2025 08:54 PM)Marvelous Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) QC is not BTC's biggest threat. Its who is going to secure the network in 30 years when the block reward is 6,000 and BTC is 1 million dollars. There are no transaction fees cuz its 90% ETFs and the rest is lovely Lightning Network.
I gave you a detailed answer on this already.

I suppose I can add how Gold is stored in very expensive places with heavy security to ensure its safety.

I asked AI about it...

AI Quote: There's no single published global figure for the total annual cost of securing all gold worldwide*, because that cost is distributed across many private and public vaults, insurance policies, transport operations, and sovereign reserve services. However, we can estimate the major components based on known fee structures and the amount of global gold held.

? 1. Annual *Storage & Vaulting Costs (Commercial and Institutional)

Most professional gold vaults charge fees based on a percentage of the gold's value per year, which covers secure storage, monitoring, and basic insurance:

Typical vaulting/storage fees: ~0.3% to 0.5% of gold's market value annually. ([International Depository][1])

Some custodians (like OneGold) charge ~0.12% per year. ([OneGold][2])

For example:

If \$1 trillion worth of gold is stored, a 0.5% fee would cost \$5 billion per year in storage/security.
A 0.3% fee on \$1 trillion would be \$3 billion per year.

Actual vaulting fees for major central banks and custodians may be lower due to economies of scale and bulk insurance deals.

? 2. Annual Insurance Costs*

Insurance for physical gold (especially privately held or transported) often runs around 0.1%–2% of the value per year, depending on the risk profile, coverage terms, and whether the insurance is bundled into storage fees or separate. ([CBS News][3])

High-end policies covering transport and vault security can push costs toward the upper end. Large institutional storage often integrates insurance into the vault fee.

? 3. Scale of Global Gold Holdings

To approximate the *base value being secured*:

Central banks alone hold over 35,000 metric tonnes of gold. ([London Academy of Trading][4]) At a price of ~\$2,000 per ounce (a round approximate figure for calculation), 35,000 tonnes equals roughly 1.1 billion ounces, or ~\$2.2 trillion in gold reserves.

(Actual bullion price fluctuates; this is illustrative.)

Plus:

Private holdings in vaults (LBMA vaults alone store thousands of tonnes as collateral for markets). ([LBMA][5]) Institutional and investor-held privately secured gold.

? Putting It Together — Rough Global Estimate

Using these rough figures:

Component	Estimated Value	Rate	Approx. Annual Cost
Central bank gold	~\$2.0 T	0.3%–0.5%	\$6 B–\$10 B
Commercial & private vault gold	~\$3.0 T	0.3%–0.5%	\$9 B–\$15 B
Insurance & transport costs	—	0.1%–0.3%	\$5 B–\$10 B
Estimated total	\$5 T (illustrative)	—	~\$20 B–\$35 B per year (very rough)

This is just an illustrative total value for all globally stored bullion; actual total may be higher when factoring all private and institutional holdings not counted in central bank reserves.

Key point:

These figures are very approximate because there is no centralized reporting of global gold storage/insurance costs.

* They reflect industry fee norms applied to a rough estimate of total secured gold value.

? Conclusion

While there's no official global tallied figure, a reasonable estimate suggests that securing all the world's gold — including storage, insurance, and vault services — costs on the order of tens of billions USD per year (roughly \$20 billion to \$35 billion+ annually in fees). This includes vault custodians, insurance providers, and institutional storage arrangements — not just central banks but commercial and investor holdings as well.

If you want, I can break this down further into central bank vs commercial vs investor segments, or estimate it based on current gold price data.

[1]: https://internationaldepositoryservices.com/blog/gold-storage-how-much-does-it-cost-to-store-gold/?utm_source=chatgpt.com "Gold Storage: How Much Does It Cost To Store Gold"

[2]: https://www.onegold.com/storage-fees?utm_source=chatgpt.com "Low Storage Fees"

[3]: https://www.cbsnews.com/news/how-much-does-it-cost-to-insure-1-ounce-gold-bars-in-2025/?utm_source=chatgpt.com "How much does it cost to insure 1-ounce gold bars in 2025?"

[4]: https://www.lat.london/resources/blog/the-role-of-central-banks-in-the-gold-market/?utm_source=chatgpt.com "The Role of Central Banks in the Gold Market"

[5]: https://www.lbma.org.uk/market-standards/vaulting?utm_source=chatgpt.com "Vaulting"

If you're done being a civil member on HF and just want this to end LMK. Shreder isn't coming back. If you don't like it here I can make it easier for you by ending your account. This isn't a threat, it's just a nudge to the door if you want to act out and maybe you're just done here. If you don't like it here and don't want to be here, then time you get closed.

(Dec 21, 2025 10:31 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I gave you a detailed answer on this already.

I suppose I can add how Gold is stored in very expensive places with heavy security to ensure its safety.

I asked AI about it...

AI Quote: There's no single published global figure for the total annual cost of securing all gold worldwide*, because that cost is distributed across many private and public vaults, insurance policies, transport operations, and sovereign reserve services. However, we can estimate the major components based on known fee structures and the amount of global gold held.

? 1. Annual *Storage & Vaulting Costs (Commercial and Institutional)

Most professional gold vaults charge fees based on a percentage of the gold's value per year, which covers secure storage, monitoring, and basic insurance:

Typical vaulting/storage fees: ~0.3% to 0.5% of gold's market value annually. ([International Depository][1])

Some custodians (like OneGold) charge ~0.12% per year. ([OneGold][2])

For example:

If \$1 trillion worth of gold is stored, a 0.5% fee would cost \$5 billion per year in storage/security. A 0.3% fee on \$1 trillion would be \$3 billion per year.

Actual vaulting fees for major central banks and custodians may be lower due to economies of scale and bulk insurance deals.

? 2. Annual Insurance Costs*

Insurance for physical gold (especially privately held or transported) often runs around 0.1%–2% of the value per year, depending on the risk profile, coverage terms, and whether the insurance is bundled into storage fees or separate. ([CBS News][3])

High-end policies covering transport and vault security can push costs toward the upper end. Large institutional storage often integrates insurance into the vault fee.

? 3. Scale of Global Gold Holdings

To approximate the *base value being secured*:

Central banks alone hold over 35,000 metric tonnes of gold. ([London Academy of Trading][4]) At a price of ~\$2,000 per ounce (a round approximate figure for calculation), 35,000 tonnes equals roughly 1.1 billion ounces, or ~\$2.2 trillion in gold reserves.

(Actual bullion price fluctuates; this is illustrative.)

Plus:

Private holdings in vaults (LBMA vaults alone store thousands of tonnes as collateral for markets). ([LBMA][5]) Institutional and investor-held privately secured gold.

? Putting It Together — Rough Global Estimate

Using these rough figures:

Component	Estimated Value	Rate	Approx. Annual Cost
Central bank gold	~\$2.0 T	0.3%–0.5%	\$6 B–\$10 B
Commercial & private vault gold	~\$3.0 T	0.3%–0.5%	\$9 B–\$15 B
Insurance & transport costs	—	0.1%–0.3%	\$5 B–\$10 B
Estimated total	\$5 T (illustrative)	—	~\$20 B–\$35 B per year (very rough)

This is just an illustrative total value for all globally stored bullion; actual total may be higher when factoring all private and institutional holdings not counted in central bank reserves.

Key point:

These figures are very approximate because there is no centralized reporting of global gold storage/insurance costs.

* They reflect industry fee norms applied to a rough estimate of total secured gold value.

? Conclusion

While there's no official global tallied figure, a reasonable estimate suggests that securing all the world's gold — including storage, insurance, and vault services — costs on the order of tens of billions USD per year (roughly \$20 billion to \$35 billion+ annually in fees).

This includes vault custodians, insurance providers, and institutional storage arrangements — not just central banks but commercial and investor holdings as well.

If you want, I can break this down further into central bank vs commercial vs investor segments, or estimate it based on current gold price data.

[1]:

https://internationaldepositoryservices.com/blog/gold-storage-how-much-does-it-cost-to-store-gold/?utm_source=chatgpt.com "Gold Storage: How Much Does It Cost To Store Gold"

[2]: https://www.onegold.com/storage-fees?utm_source=chatgpt.com "Low Storage Fees"

[3]:

https://www.cbsnews.com/news/how-much-does-it-cost-to-insure-1-ounce-gold-bars-in-2025/?utm_source=chatgpt.com "How much does it cost to insure 1-ounce gold bars in 2025?"

[4]:

https://www.lat.london/resources/blog/the-role-of-central-banks-in-the-gold-market/?utm_source=chatgpt.com "The Role of Central Banks in the Gold Market"

[5]: https://www.lbma.org.uk/market-standards/vaulting?utm_source=chatgpt.com "Vaulting"

If you're done being a civil member on HF and just want this to end LMK. Shreder isn't coming back. If you don't like it here I can make it easier for you by ending your account. This isn't a threat, it's just a nudge to the door if you want to act out and maybe you're just done here. If you don't like it here and don't want to be here, then time you get closed.

No thanks. I like it here thats why i still post here. I dont really like the replies here though. Its just AI posts, in some way shape or form. Either AI slop or dumb fucking idiots trying to get their post count up.

Shreder should come back. Focus should come back(nobody is coming after him).

Im not scared of you or your ban. I wont be silenced or intimidated.

Dirty - December 21, 2025, 11:05 pm

(Dec 19, 2025 08:33 PM)Marvelous Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Being a BTC maxi is like saying "I only invest in Gold and the stock market is stupid".

As I agree I would also say most 99.99999999999999% of people shouldnt pick even small bundles of stocks in the stock market but should go for some form of S&P500, FTSE100, all market ETF for investing into the stock market. This also applies to crypto even more and largely this is impossible to do manually so I would still suggest people to just buy BTC as personal preference I also buy ETH and XMR, but besides that I would suggest them to put money into gold and all market type ETFs rather than some random smart contract coin.

NoodleBot™ - December 22, 2025, 10:11 am

(Dec 21, 2025 09:57 PM)Marvelous Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Hostility is justified if your answers are literally fucking retarded. I am trying to have a serious conversation.

Here is your answer tho.. the market cap of BTC would be 2 quadrillion. That is 2,000 trillion.

Do you know what 2 quadrillion is dumb kid?

The entire market cap of all real estate is 393 trillion.

The entire net worth of the world is like 500 trillion dollars.

So yeah, you are stupid as shit.

Jesus Christ. What a waste of time you are.

Varsity - December 22, 2025, 3:09 pm

(Dec 20, 2025 11:57 AM)Marvelous Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I agree that QC is not as big of a threat as people believe.

You said in your reply before that his coins will be taken Imfao. How about stop trying to be a yes man and at least stand by your retarded opinions.

Merry Christmas

SUI-3183372 - December 22, 2025, 3:35 pm

Since we're playing with fun AI scenarios...

AI Quote: It's 2037. Across the U.S., Bitcoin is no longer an outsider asset—it's welded into the payment rails of every major retailer and storefront in the country. Tap to pay, direct deposit, loyalty points, payroll: it all routes through BTC. Every bank offers custody. Every advisor offers exposure. And the biggest BTC funds keep swallowing the rest of the market by sheer gravity.

None of it happened on that Bitcoin.

This version runs on the U.S.-sanctioned BlackRock hardfork of 2032—the only chain that clears compliance, the only BTC exchanges can list without risk, the only ledger retailers can plug into without lawyers camping in the boardroom. The old network still exists, technically—somewhere out there—treated like a shadow market with a famous name and nowhere legal to spend it.

And the final irony is the part nobody says out loud: the Satoshi coins are now the BlackRock coins. What used to symbolize a system beyond capture has been reissued under governance, policy, and permission. BlackRock didn't just pave the way for explosive adoption and growth across the nation—they wrote the rules of what "Bitcoin" is allowed to mean.

Omniscient - December 22, 2025, 4:04 pm

(Dec 22, 2025 04:35 PM)SUI-3183372 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Since we're playing with fun AI scenarios...

AI Quote: It's 2037. Across the U.S., Bitcoin is no longer an outsider asset—it's welded into the payment rails of every major retailer and storefront in the country. Tap to pay, direct deposit, loyalty points, payroll: it all routes through BTC. Every bank offers custody. Every advisor offers exposure. And the biggest BTC funds keep swallowing the rest of the market by sheer gravity.

None of it happened on that Bitcoin.

This version runs on the U.S.-sanctioned BlackRock hardfork of 2032—the only chain that clears compliance, the only BTC exchanges can list without risk, the only ledger retailers can plug into without lawyers camping in the boardroom. The old network still exists, technically—somewhere out there—treated like a shadow market with a famous name and nowhere legal to spend it.

And the final irony is the part nobody says out loud: the Satoshi coins are now the BlackRock coins. What used to symbolize a system beyond capture has been reissued under governance, policy, and permission. BlackRock didn't just pave the way for explosive adoption and growth across the nation—they wrote the rules of what "Bitcoin" is allowed to mean.

CBDC nightmare. Very dystopian. I'm more hopeful that freedom wins in the end. Through the centuries wars have been fought in the name of freedom. The next one could be over financial freedom which imho is probably more important the free speech.