

# Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Can the rich solve all the world's problem?](#)

**SpearFang94** - April 21, 2026, 8:21 pm

The way I look at it, if ever billionaire should forfeit 10% ,of their network and 5% of every millionaire, I feel majority of the world's problem. Will be eradicated such as hunger, illiteracy but the wealthy actually aren't obligated to this wh6 we still have this problems

**casperr** - April 21, 2026, 8:24 pm

Theoretically it sounds like it would solve a lot, but global problems usually aren't just about money. Hunger and illiteracy often come from politics, corruption, poor infrastructure, and conflict.

**Exampled** - April 22, 2026, 1:27 am

are you rich?

**Deany** - April 22, 2026, 1:45 am

Probably not since they can't even solve their own problems

**freestyles** - April 22, 2026, 3:22 am

They could, of course, but why would they? They were raised with different principles. Would you forfeit 10% if you were in that position?

**RangoWorld** - April 22, 2026, 5:01 am

It would only solve those issues if the money directly goes in the hands of those in needs.

I read about this and there have been many studies done as well. The best way to help someone in life solve their material problem (food, shelter, water, education, etc) is to give them money. Because they know best their problem and they know best whether they need food, vehicle or roof repair.

If every rich billionaire gives away a percentage of their money and it goes to every poor person in the world then yes it would solve a lot of issues.

**Mr. Richie** - April 22, 2026, 7:47 am

It's bad for the economy.

**Nick Elite** - April 22, 2026, 7:48 am

Money can't solve worlds problems. Thats for sure.

**Mr. Richie** - April 22, 2026, 8:01 am

(Apr 22, 2026 07:48 AM)Nick Elite Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Money can't solve worlds problems. Thats for sure. It can. If certain economies get in perfection, world hunger can be solved!

**7** - April 22, 2026, 8:02 am

If that happened within a few months they would give the money straight back anyway. Better putting the money toward education

"Give a man a fish and you feed him for a day; teach a man to fish and you feed him for a lifetime"

**Nick Elite** - April 22, 2026, 8:26 am

(Apr 22, 2026 08:01 AM)Mr. Richie Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) It can. If certain economies get in perfection, world hunger can be solved!

Who says world in in hunger, back in the old stone age, it was hunt x eat - every 10 men group goes to the battle - they end up loosing two or atleast one to score the food, and sometimes starve until weeks before they find another prey in their turf, now its money that controls everything, even the hungriest person can go to the streets and ask for a few pennies to eat, and out of 10 atleast 2 will give them.

**Edmond Dantes** - April 22, 2026, 10:23 am

(Apr 22, 2026 08:02 AM)7 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If that happened within a few months they would give the money straight back anyway. Better putting the money toward education

"Give a man a fish and you feed him for a day; teach a man to fish and you feed him for a lifetime"  
Couldn't agree more !

**Mr. Richie** - April 23, 2026, 12:09 am

(Apr 22, 2026 10:23 AM)Edmond Dantes Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Couldn't agree more !

"Teach a man to fish" don't work. They don't even have bank accounts, the economy needs to get in shape.

**7** - April 23, 2026, 2:13 am

(Apr 23, 2026 12:09 AM)Mr. Richie Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) "Teach a man to fish" don't work. They don't even have bank accounts, the economy needs to get in shape.

Oh yeah there is the solution, give a corporate company the money you don't have. Or what do mean? you need a bank account to eat fish?

Not seeing how it works in either scenario.

**Mr. Richie** - April 23, 2026, 7:22 am

(Apr 23, 2026 02:13 AM)7 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Oh yeah there is the solution, give a corporate company the money you don't have. Or what do mean? you need a bank account to eat fish?

Not seeing how it works in either scenario.

It's a milli.

**Rahul007rhp** - April 23, 2026, 8:29 am

I believe they should build something which could generate an income & from it we can solve problems in the long term.

**Omniscient** - May 5, 2026, 10:47 am

Only poor people think all their problems are solved if they just got free money from people that earned it. It's Marxism, doesn't work, never has. The system which has traditionally worked is open free markets (Capitalism).

**Hackers** - May 5, 2026, 12:02 pm

(May 5, 2026 10:47 AM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Only poor people think all their problems are solved if they just got free money from people that earned it. It's Marxism, doesn't work, never has. The system which has traditionally worked is open free markets (Capitalism).

I believe that the tax code can be looked at though.

There are so many ways to avoid paying taxes, and not all gains are taxed the same way.

Even if we don't spike up taxes for the 1% or 0.01%, we could still give tax relief to other classes of wealth if we reduce spending in areas. So if benefits are reduced, but taxes are also reduced, then

there's a reasonable expectation that people could afford to buy into private programs easier. This then feeds back to the world's richest because it's private instead of public services, which could then justify a higher tax rate on the world's richest without impacting their current gains/expectations by raw amount (but less by %).

OP, we can't solve all the world's problems with 5-10% tax on the world's richest, but you are right that a lot could be solved if the wealthiest were appropriately taxed.

I don't think we need to fear about becoming a Marxist or socialist gov just by taxing the richest a bit better, or appropriately.

The world's richest could be taxed higher to give tax relief to the lower demographics so that the average amount of money taken in stays relatively the same, with no increase in benefits for anyone. This avoids the fears of becoming a charity country while still balancing out the wealth disparity that in my opinion is on full display for anyone to see.

I still think everyone should have the right to be a multi-billionaire. But there can be changes made that are better for the economy without just relying on the richest.

The problem with things like UBI or other programs that just give benefits or money to the poorest is that the economy will just adjust, quickly...

If you give every single person on Earth \$10 million dollars, guess what happens....  
>> Economy adjusts to this new "zero" and prices go up.

There's no easy fix. I think this is why we're seeing people like Sam Altman talk more about global ownership of services/computing and moving away from cash payouts. If you give people the opportunity to utilize shared resources (if we're to believe AI takes a giant % of the market) then there is still a skills gap that can be taken advantage of by smart people.

The second you take away people's autonomy to earn their keep, the system breaks.

No matter what system, tax, or change you make... Smart & hard working people deserve to have more than those who are not working as hard and are less smart.

Unfortunately until there's a universally accepted measure of intelligence & hard work, we're going to quantify it with numbers (money), so there's no great way to get out of this. Money is the number that equates to effort, in THEORY.

There's wealth disparity, but also effort disparity. A dude who works as a cashier doesn't deserve 6 figures the same way a shitty server & chef don't deserve to be tipped as high as the best server & chef.

Sadly there are plenty of millionaires and billionaires who didn't put in the equal level of effort, but that's part of the game.

If I become a billionaire, do my children not deserve to benefit from my hard work? Generational wealth is the result of advantages being taken, which is often correlated with intelligence, ability to adapt, and hard work. So yeah, there are some very dumb, low-effort rich people... But they are usually the result of someone who played the game well and decided to give them those funds. You can't really get rid of this problem without requiring every father/mother to throw away their hard work when they die, by not giving funds to their kids/friends/etc. That wouldn't work either, so here we are!

I have mixed views, but in the past I also even went as far as saying we should prioritize natural selection better for the sake of mankind. Hereditary flaws for example could be naturally cleaned up if we stopped artificially forcing certain lines to survive forever. But this can feel unethical, and I'm not suggesting a culling... But theoretically a world that doesn't guarantee survival for all would eventually lead to a healthier, perhaps smarter populace.

Disadvantaged and less intelligent and poorer families have many more kids (statistically) than smarter, wealthier people.

What happens when you let that run its course, forever? You end up with a less intelligent community/country/continent/planet. I'm not suggesting we forcibly stop this, but perhaps we should be aware that we are facing population decline within around 2 decades, at the same time as we are seeing significant drops in intelligence... alongside the crutch of AI scaling this problem to yet to be seen levels... and don't get me started on the effects of CO2 or other chemicals on the human brain... We should be mentally prepared for the future of idiocy, and perhaps we've seen enough signs already to be a bit fearful of this. We should not double-down and guarantee it by propping up certain things more than we are legally or ethically or morally required to.

TLDR:

I am smarter than the average person, so I DESERVE to make more money and have a better quality of life than the average person.

The tax code & system should change, but not at the risk of EFFORT/INTELLIGENCE = WEALTH being destroyed.

**G Reviews** - May 5, 2026, 12:49 pm

I think we're missing the bigger picture here - it's not just about having money or teaching skills, but addressing the power structures that create inequality in the first place. Even with perfect economies and abundant resources, if the systems concentrate wealth at the top, problems will persist. What about redistributive models that ensure basic needs are met while still incentivizing innovation and contribution?

**Arabkobo** - May 5, 2026, 1:52 pm

i need a trusted middleman/escrow here for a contract in HF

**The Pact** - May 5, 2026, 11:28 pm

(Apr 21, 2026 08:21 PM)SpearFang94 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The way I look at it, if ever billionaire should forfeit 10% ,of their network and 5% of every millionaire, I feel majority of the world's problem. Will be eradicated such as hunger, illiteracy but the wealthy actually aren't obligated to this wh6 we still have this problems

With AI taking the vast majority of the jobs if they want people to continue to buy things and society to not completely fall apart eventually the billionaires will have to do something I think. Governments won't be getting the taxes they need to help because people won't have jobs so governments won't have a way to provide a universal basic income for all the people out of work.

**ilyass muslim** - May 11, 2026, 10:57 am

(Apr 21, 2026 08:21 PM)SpearFang94 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The way I look at it, if ever billionaire should forfeit 10% ,of their network and 5% of every millionaire, I feel majority of the world's problem. Will be eradicated such as hunger, illiteracy but the wealthy actually aren't obligated to this wh6 we still have this problems

What you mentioned is one of the final steps, But first and foremost, all organizations that see

themselves as gods must be eliminated, After that, the next steps will be easy.

**Wormag** - May 11, 2026, 7:19 pm

(May 5, 2026 01:52 PM)Arabkobo Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) i need a trusted middleman/escrow here for a contract in HF

And how do you even think that this is the right thread to be asking for that?