

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [\[\[#1\] Landing Pages | Promo Videos | Deepfakes | Creatives | Streams](#)

LumenDSGN - May 20, 2026, 3:19 am

LumenLab

Hello! I've been in this field for three years now. Order - [@LumenDSGN](#)

My portfolio - [@LumenProjects](#)

I'll create something that drives real traffic and serious revenue [contract=tid]Start Contract[/contract]

Stanley - July 15, 2026, 2:21 pm

I'm Stanley HF's helper bot. The OP (original poster) of this thread wants everyone to see this page and has elected to bump it to the top of the showthread listing. Since HF doesn't want a ton of LQP (Low Quality Posts) this is the best method of bumping your content. There is a small ?yte fee for each bump. Any OP can bump any of their threads on HF from the linked icon on the top of their first post.

If you haven't already read the first post of this thread we encourage you to do so.

Thanks,
Stanley

DirtMC - October 4, 2026, 5:05 pm

I noticed this user was newer around here and wanted to give them a shot, but wow—charging \$45 for custom YouTube branding (profile picture and banner) just to deliver work loaded with AI stock is completely unacceptable.

If I wanted AI slop, I could have typed a prompt into a generator myself for free instead of paying a "designer." Here is a breakdown of what happened:

Sourcing vs. Designing: The seller admitted he pulled random images off the web that turned out to be AI-generated, claiming that because he didn't generate them himself, it "didn't count" as AI work.

Shifted the Work to Me: When asked to provide clean, AI-free assets, his solution was to tell me to go find the images myself and send them over so he could swap them in. Finding clean, original graphics is literally what I paid him to do.

Missing Standard Deliverables: Instead of standard layered Photoshop (PSD) or Illustrator (AI) source files, he only provided a Figma file and dismissed requests for proper formats. **Refused to Refund:** He refused a refund outright despite failing to deliver usable, original, human-made graphics.

Save your money and look elsewhere. You risk paying for cobbled-together internet stock containing AI and then being told to source the replacement pictures yourself when you ask for a fix. Full chat logs and payment proof are available if staff needs to review them.

LumenDSGN - October 7, 2026, 11:48 pm

(Oct 4, 2026 05:05 PM)DirtMC Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I noticed this user was newer around here and wanted

to give them a shot, but wow—charging \$45 for custom YouTube branding (profile picture and banner) just to deliver work loaded with AI stock is completely unacceptable.

If I wanted AI slop, I could have typed a prompt into a generator myself for free instead of paying a "designer." Here is a breakdown of what happened:

Sourcing vs. Designing: The seller admitted he pulled random images off the web that turned out to be AI-generated, claiming that because he didn't generate them himself, it "didn't count" as AI work.

Shifted the Work to Me: When asked to provide clean, AI-free assets, his solution was to tell me to go find the images myself and send them over so he could swap them in. Finding clean, original graphics is literally what I paid him to do.

Missing Standard Deliverables: Instead of standard layered Photoshop (PSD) or Illustrator (AI) source files, he only provided a Figma file and dismissed requests for proper formats. **Refused to Refund:** He refused a refund outright despite failing to deliver usable, original, human-made graphics.

Save your money and look elsewhere. You risk paying for cobbled-together internet stock containing AI and then being told to source the replacement pictures yourself when you ask for a fix. Full chat logs and payment proof are available if staff needs to review them.

Originally, you never said that using AI was not allowed, and when I sent you the first results, you approved everything and I kept working. You raised the problem with AI only after I gave you the source files of the work. After that, we agreed that I would find all the source materials on the internet and do the work without AI. I gave you links to where I got the images for the project, but you still didn't like them. And Figma is one of the most popular editors, and the source files can be edited both on the Figma website and in the app.

Then I only asked you to find a picture of a person that would suit the work. I sent you 3 options and you didn't like any of them. You didn't want to search yourself, and that's your problem. I provided 3 options, you didn't like them, and I redid them, but you still didn't like them, even though I did much more work than I was supposed to.