

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Modeling institutional-grade friction in Python: Results from a 6-year Binance Bktst](#)

O?eN - September 30, 2026, 8:50 am

? loading encrypted image... I've been working on optimizing my Python orchestration setup, specifically focusing on building a highly pessimistic execution engine to avoid the usual curve-fitting traps. I just finished a full Binance system backtest (2020-2026) and wanted to share the results and the friction constraints I used.

Here is the current performance of the target profile:

Sharpe Ratio: 2.39
Annual Return: +52.3%
Max Drawdown: -9.4%
Win Rate: 74% of months positive

The Execution Model:

To ensure this wasn't just idealized script fantasy, the simulation enforces:

Fully loaded maker/taker fee tiers.
Dynamic market impact (slippage) based on order book depth.
Strict bid-ask spread crossing (zero look-ahead bias).
Latency drag penalties.

Before anyone calls this a curve-fitted fantasy, I need to emphasize how aggressively pessimistic our backtesting environment is. This isn't your average idealized script. We built a brutally strict, institutional-grade execution engine that accounts for every real-world friction point.

Every single simulated trade is fully loaded with maker/taker fees, dynamic market impact (slippage), and latency drag. We strictly enforce zero look-ahead bias, model exact order book depth constraints, and account for bid-ask spread crossing. The system is incredibly harsh on itself, if a fill is even slightly questionable in live conditions, the backtest rejects it.

If you look at the chaotic grey dashed line in the background (holding Bitcoin), you can see the exact volatility we engineered away. Even through the 2022 bear market, where the system still pulled +31%-the architecture managed to keep the drawdowns incredibly tight despite the heavy penalty constraints we placed on the simulation.

I'm moving this from the lab to forward-testing now. For those of you modeling high-frequency or high-friction crypto algos, how are you handling order book depth in your backtests? Are there any other friction points I should add before going live?

octanitrocubane - October 1, 2026, 10:41 am

50 percent return sound too good to be true. How does it deal with halving of asset price, this happens in crypto world, you know.

SUI-3183372 - October 1, 2026, 11:17 am

(Oct 1, 2026 10:41 AM)octanitrocubane Wrote: [CITE](#) 50 percent return sound too good to be true.

How does it deal with halving of asset price, this happens in crypto world, you know.
This does not happen in the crypto world?

Halving of block rewards is a real event, but this does not halve the value of the crypto asset.

O?eN - October 2, 2026, 9:46 am

(Oct 1, 2026 10:41 AM)octanitrocubane Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 50 percent return sound too good to be true.
How does it deal with halving of asset price, this happens in crypto world, you know.

That is a valid reason for skepticism. Assuming you are referring to severe market crashes rather than block reward halvings, the system survives because it is not a standard long-only strategy.

As noted in the original breakdown, the system was stress-tested through the 2022 bear market, a period where the broader crypto market saw massive drawdowns. Despite that extreme volatility, the architecture still pulled a +31% return that year. It handles severe market drops by engineering that directional volatility away and relying on aggressive execution constraints to keep the maximum historical drawdown tightly capped at -9.4%.

(Oct 1, 2026 11:17 AM)SUI-3183372 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) This does not happen in the crypto world?

Halving of block rewards is a real event, but this does not halve the value of the crypto asset.
Spot on sire!, and I appreciate you stepping in to clarify the distinction between a block reward halving and a 50% market crash.

Even so, addressing the root of their concern regarding extreme market downturns...if an asset were to suddenly lose half its value, the system's architecture is expressly designed to weather that exact type of downside volatility. As the backtest shows, it successfully navigated the heavy, prolonged losses of the 2022 bear market by keeping drawdowns strictly controlled.

My research lab is working and verifying it all , if you want you can check

<https://research.corvyx.online/>