

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [loadman has Disputed a Contract with socialexpert \(5124853 \)](#)

loadman - October 7, 2026, 12:54 pm

Contract Link: <https://hackforums.net/contracts.php?action=view&cid=414242>

Disputed Member: <https://hackforums.net/member.php?action=profile&uid=5124853>

basically refusing to refund my money

jcole - October 7, 2026, 12:55 pm

(Oct 7, 2026 12:54 PM)loadman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Contract Link:

<https://hackforums.net/contracts.php?action=view&cid=414242>

Disputed Member: <https://hackforums.net/member.php?action=profile&uid=5124853>

basically refusing to refund my money

What is the amount for, and use me as an escrow going forward. I hate those social media services. They are all outright shit.

loadman - October 7, 2026, 12:56 pm

(Oct 7, 2026 12:55 PM)jcole Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What is the amount for, and use me as an escrow going forward. I hate those social media services. They are all outright shit.

150\$

jcole - October 7, 2026, 12:59 pm

(Oct 7, 2026 12:56 PM)loadman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 150\$

That's cheaper than I have escrowed for similar services. Overall social media banning services suck.

Scrub - October 7, 2026, 2:15 pm

You'd expect you've been here long enough to use the contract correctly and input the correct information, right now it just shows as \$0 obligation. Gg

Charlie Sheen - October 8, 2026, 2:45 pm

@socialexpert@ you've been online several times since this dispute opened. Respond.

socialexpert - October 9, 2026, 9:53 am

I told you there was a possibility of opening an account; we've worked together many times before. If I was making a permanent ban, I was already giving a guarantee about it in the past, but there is a possibility that the accounts will be opened back at this time, I closed the account, but it is not my problem to open it later, I could not guaranteed to make a permanent ban to you

our message history is still there, I have never guaranteed you that there is a permanent ban, and it is not my problem that the account came back later, I labored and banned it as I said

Also, remember that I provided this service to you at a very low price because you are my old customer, and it's very funny that you're still demanding a refund from me.

loadman - October 10, 2026, 12:31 am

(Oct 9, 2026 09:53 AM)socialexpert Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I told you there was a possibility of opening an

account; we've worked together many times before. If I was making a permanent ban, I was already giving a guarantee about it in the past, but there is a possibility that the accounts will be opened back at this time, I closed the account, but it is not my problem to open it later, I could not guarantee to make a permanent ban to you

our message history is still there, I have never guaranteed you that there is a permanent ban, and it is not my problem that the account came back later, I labored and banned it as I said

Also, remember that I provided this service to you at a very low price because you are my old customer, and it's very funny that you're still demanding a refund from me.

u told me that HE CANNOT UNBAN HIS ACC HIMSELF and in reality he unbanned his account himself

if we worked together many times then do u think its worth fucking this relation for merely 150\$?

i even told u that ill do the payment after 24 hours ban,, U SAID NO I TAKE ADVANCE

u never informed me that he can unban his account in literally FEW HOURS

u told me that HE WOULD REQUIRE UNBAN SERVICE

which wasnt the case at all

instead of all this, you told me HURRY UP AND PAY

?border?ineExtr(A) - October 10, 2026, 1:00 am

This situation sucks for both sides of this deal. Having been on the receiving end of [loadman](#) 's false allegations previously, I can honestly state that finger pointing of that caliber doesn't bode fairly well for the accused, and he/she/they can prove to be relentless even without any shred of evidence. In this case though, the proof appears to be quite solid although no guarantees were laid out at the making of this deal. If you can do so, either side share the correspondence between the service provider and paid buyer in order for us, the unbiased silently-judging audience can pick a hillside to defend.

Charlie Sheen - October 10, 2026, 1:11 am

How long did the account stay banned for?

loadman - October 10, 2026, 1:14 am

(Oct 10, 2026 01:11 AM)Charlie Sheen Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) How long did the account stay banned for?
4-5 hours ONLY

Charlie Sheen - October 10, 2026, 10:23 pm

A 4 hour ban is unacceptable. [loadman](#) should be refunded.

jcole - October 11, 2026, 5:05 am

(Oct 10, 2026 10:23 PM)Charlie Sheen Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) A 4 hour ban is unacceptable. [loadman](#) should be refunded.

I believe 4-5 hours is more temporary restrictions or a soft lock

?inimalist - October 11, 2026, 12:31 pm

When will people finally realize that these services don't work?

It's painfully obvious that every single ban service is a scam and never working ffs.

socialexpert - October 13, 2026, 4:10 am

and what about my labors? i pay cost per ban,is it my problem that the account comes back?

customer satisfaction is always my priority,but I demand a refund of half of the fee so that both sides can agree,as a result, I did my best and banned it,and if anyone thinks that these services are lies, he probably never tried, I've been doing this job for 8 years and I've served thousands of people all over the world.

(Some people are trying to undermine the entire business and claiming that it doesn't work because of minor issues. This is stupid and honestly quite funny. My service always delivers the highest quality.)

CL1B - October 13, 2026, 4:21 am

Should be a L for both parties.

1. Social Expert does not have access to meta insiders thus cannot provide real banning services, only those phantom bans where Meta almost always unbans the user.

2. Loadman learned that yes cheaper ban services can ban, but likely phantom ban. And he found out this way. Because yes, the account did get banned for 4-5 hours thus you've got what you've paid for according to contract terms.

As of today, there's only 1 reason to get into these services and that is a Meta insider. As early of 1 year ago this was not true, so this might be a learning point for both parties but I don't think the dispute objectively holds up.

Charlie Sheen - October 13, 2026, 6:49 pm

(Oct 13, 2026 04:10 AM)socialexpert Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) and what about my labors? i pay cost per ban,is it my problem that the account comes back?

customer satisfaction is always my priority,but I demand a refund of half of the fee so that both sides can agree,as a result, I did my best and banned it,and if anyone thinks that these services are lies, he probably never tried, I've been doing this job for 8 years and I've served thousands of people all over the world.

(Some people are trying to undermine the entire business and claiming that it doesn't work because of minor issues. This is stupid and honestly quite funny. My service always delivers the highest quality.)

At the end of the day, you're the one that is selling the service. If you want half of the fee refunded, then you need to take it up with your service provider. [loadman](#) needs to be refunded.

loadman - October 15, 2026, 10:44 am

waiting for my refund

Charlie Sheen - October 15, 2026, 1:42 pm

24 hours to resolve [socialexpert](#)

Motusora - October 15, 2026, 2:13 pm

(Oct 10, 2026 01:14 AM)loadman Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 4-5 hours ONLY if you actually read his thread title , it literally says "Very fast" , not permanent.

? loading encrypted image...

JK hope you gets this resolve.?

Scrub - October 15, 2026, 3:35 pm

(Oct 15, 2026 01:42 PM)Charlie Sheen Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 24 hours to resolve [socialexpert](#)
What's the point in enforcing contracts if they're not even properly set up. Says the obligation is \$0.00

socialexpert - October 15, 2026, 9:55 pm

(Oct 15, 2026 01:42 PM)Charlie Sheen Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) 24 hours to resolve [socialexpert](#)
what about their own lack of rules? why is all the responsibility being placed on me?

I request that the decision be changed to ensure a fair environment.

Charlie Sheen - October 15, 2026, 10:33 pm

(Oct 15, 2026 03:35 PM)Scrub Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) What's the point in enforcing contracts if they're not even properly set up. Says the obligation is \$0.00
By that logic the seller should've done the job for free.

(43 hours ago)socialexpert Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) what about their own lack of rules? why is all the responsibility being placed on me?

I request that the decision be changed to ensure a fair environment.
He didn't do anything wrong. You charged him for a service that failed. He needs to be refunded.
My decision is final. Refund him.

Scrub - October 16, 2026, 4:27 am

(42 hours ago)Charlie Sheen Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) By that logic the seller should've done the job for free.

He didn't do anything wrong. You charged him for a service that failed. He needs to be refunded.
My decision is final. Refund him.

That would be correct. So that means loadman shouldn't have sent any money, but he did. So the contract is INVALID.

It also does not specify within the contract the length of the ban, it says Instagram ban which the guy provided. It does NOT say a length of the ban or if it's a permanent ban.

Common now. This is a retarded dispute all around.

socialexpert - October 16, 2026, 12:47 pm

(42 hours ago)Charlie Sheen Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) By that logic the seller should've done the job for free.

He didn't do anything wrong. You charged him for a service that failed. He needs to be refunded.
My decision is final. Refund him.

Saying "did not provide service" means I never offered that service; I did not claim the ban would be

permanent, and the account was ultimately banned. Does the fact that he received a ban for a short period of time mean that I did not complete the service? please review your decision for a fair environment