

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: [Contract #410644 Dispute has been Resolved](#)

TehFif - October 8, 2026, 4:13 pm

Contract Link: <https://hackforums.net/contracts.php?action=view&cid=410644>

Disputed Member: <https://hackforums.net/member.php?action=profile&uid=3098194>

I bought 12 months of Adobe from Moe in April.

Had several access issues in May, needed manual intervention from him in June; by September had no working access and learned it had already been down for months prior. I don't use Adobe much, but when I needed it, I liked having it and I kept having to chase him to get it working.

When Adobe stopped working entirely, he offered a \$20 refund or \$30 store credit from my original \$35 Adobe payment, saying he was deducting his \$15 supplier cost from the refund. I accepted Spotify Duo, to give to a friend for free, using the credit and specifically asked about restrictions before agreeing. He said he'd change the account's region and nothing should change with music availability. He priced Spotify Duo for 12 months at \$50, so with the \$30 credit I would owe another \$20. Only after I agreed and he'd started setting it up did he tell me the "12 months" required coordinating with him and my friend every 3 months to renew it. I immediately explained that avoiding that hassle was why I wanted a year. When I complained about the renewal requirement, he waived the extra \$20 he'd asked me to pay. I thanked him and went ahead with it despite the renewal hassle.

My friend never got it working. I sent him screenshots of the blocks due to being in a different region. He promised to check, then went over two weeks without responding despite follow-ups. When he finally replied, he said she needed a VPN and pointed back to an announcement he'd forwarded into our chat on September 8, before I asked about restrictions, saying "we also recommend a VPN to not get a revoke". That is not the same as clearly telling me it was required when I directly asked about restrictions.

I wasn't blaming him for Adobe or Spotify changing policies but he kept going on this irrelevant tangent about how great he is and his reputation and other crap nobody asked about. I was complaining about unreliable access, conditions disclosed after I'd agreed, and repeatedly chasing support. He initially offered another replacement using store credit or a reduced refund of about \$15, saying he'd now paid for two services. I objected because my friend had never been able to use the Spotify replacement. He eventually agreed to refund \$20. I received \$18 after fees, with the remaining \$2 offered separately through another crypto. When I explained why the experience had been frustrating, his responses included "No way you typed all that," "Please stop bugging out," and calling me a "negative mofo" (which to his point yeah I was negative with my very last message.. sue me). After my post on his thread, he changed his rep to a -rep. Lol.

TL;DR: Paid for 12 months of Adobe, repeatedly lost access until I permanently lost access, accepted store credit toward Spotify for a friend after Adobe stopped working entirely. I specifically asked about restrictions, was told there were none, but only learned about the three-month renewals (restriction 1; not one year) after setup had started. I accepted that hassle after he waived the extra \$20; I was still not clearly told a VPN (another restriction) was required. My friend never got it working, and his promised follow-up took over two weeks. Eventually received \$18 of an agreed \$20 refund. His supplier cost wasn't the refund calculation in our contract - unused time was. And accepting a replacement doesn't erase what he represented that replacement to be.

The contract terms were: "1 year of Adobe Creative Cloud upgrade on my account + warranty. Refund for unused time if removed and no replacement available."

Full conversation: <https://postimg.cc/yk1Q06Df>

(Oct 8, 2026 04:07 PM)MOE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The fact that you are still trying to down talk on my name is so crazy.

I am going to post this here so people understand, I changed my +rep because this user cursed me in Arabic. Not "swearing or flaming", he literally did a "curse" (as in Arabic we have words for black magic nonsense), I don't care that he did it but the fact that he said it over \$2 was wild for me.

Also because he is only posting text and not screenshots, I can easily show that this user is tripping.

He had \$20 refund from \$35, got a \$55 product without paying difference, still was given a \$20 refund after received 2 products, so the original contract was fulfilled (if no service he would get refund minus time used), which he did + extra spotify service that is working for everyone but his friend who doesn't know how to use a vpn.

In the end, my opinion the user got his refund (which is what the contract stated has to happen), he got the service until it went down, and he got an extra spotify service that he claims he can't use. Screenshots from my side below...since he did all of this over \$2 not \$20 , which I offered to give but he declined and chose to rant / attack me. Always two sides to a story...and his side is trash.

But yeah it is not my fault his friend doesn't know how to use a vpn, that was for the second service that he chose, and that he also got for \$35 off. So to even still complain after receiving the original \$20 refund + 2 services and curse me was unnecessary. User was a customer of mine for 2+ years, but as soon as a service had an issue he switched up. You can see he was talking about the flawless service and that it was working in past great. But he renewed his 3rd year but the service dropped in July, I gave refunds to everyone. This user got his refund, he is just trying to bash because he didn't get \$2....which I offered for him before his words.

<https://prnt.sc/rbIHREKt0M8U>

<https://prnt.sc/sVKV0dA4q9XI>

<https://prnt.sc/heZOn1hGBFac>

<https://prnt.sc/x9aC3rgUp1Wp>

<https://prnt.sc/Gho81GuruAYu>

I'm not claiming you refused to pay that \$2. I also acknowledge my last Arabic remark was hostile. Neither point explains away the service complaints I raised before the refund.

The contract said: "1 year of Adobe Creative Cloud upgrade on my account + warranty. Refund for unused time if removed and no replacement available."

When you offered \$20, your explanation was that you were deducting your \$15 supplier cost, not calculating unused time.

That distinction is documented in our chat.

Spotify was an agreed replacement using my Adobe credit, not an unrelated free gift.

You priced it at \$50 (not \$55 as you mention in your post) , applied \$30 credit, and initially asked for another \$20. You waived that difference after explaining, during setup, that the year required renewals every three months.

But before proceeding, I had specifically asked: "Does it come with any restrictions or limitations?" You didn't tell me a VPN would be required to use it. The forwarded announcement said you recommended a VPN "to not get a revoke." My friend's ability to operate a VPN doesn't answer why that requirement wasn't clearly explained when I asked. It also never worked.

When I reported the problem, you said on September 18 that you would check and respond later that day. Your next response was October 5, despite multiple follow-ups. These complaints existed well before the \$2 refund difference.

Yes, I accepted the eventual partial refund. Readers can take that into account. But describing this as me receiving "two products" and then attacking you over \$2 leaves out the failed Adobe access, the replacement we never got working, and the weeks spent chasing non-existent support.

Edit: Lol. I also just saw by the time it confirmed it was even less:

MOE - October 8, 2026, 4:28 pm

If you want the remainder of your \$2 you can send your address. Your obliged to \$20 from \$35 and that is what you got. You got an extra service and gifts before, the fact you made this whole thread over a negative reputation because you cursed me is childish.

You are the one attacking me, I have offered the refund, swap, and then refunded.

Also this is the last time I am explaining this to you, the upgrade works but you must turn on a VPN (for the "extra service") that you do not deserve! Since you already got your \$20 refund! Which means you are missing \$2, you should not care for the extra service...As far as the Adobe, I updated on Hackforums + telegram, and sent you instantly when you contacted and offered refund. You are the one WHO CHOSE to swap without knowing anything about the service you buying (spotify), then you asked for a refund, which at this point should be waived...since I waived \$35 you had to pay for Spotify...but guess what I refunded the \$20 but it missed \$2 and you cursed.

So yes I still keep offering your \$2 after you lost myself money, as that is what a businessman does. But you are an attacking man child.

Also he keeps saying the replacement service does not work, so how do all my other customers have Spotify working?

TehFif - October 8, 2026, 4:36 pm

(Oct 8, 2026 04:28 PM)MOE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If you want the remainder of your \$2 you can send your address. Your obliged to \$20 from \$35 and that is what you got. You got an extra service and gifts before, the fact you made this whole thread over a negative reputation because you cursed me is childish.

You are the one attacking me, I have offered the refund, swap, and then refunded.

I genuinely don't know if there's a language barrier or if you're just intentionally missing the point and using it as a point to complement your own delusional generosity.

If you owe me money and I accept a car instead, after I specifically ask about its limitations, you don't get to hand me a car that doesn't f*cking work under the conditions you described and then count that as an "extra gift" and "extra services".

Since I have to keep repeating myself:

OUR CONTRACT DOES NOT MENTION A "SUPPLIER FEES" DEDUCTED FROM MY WARRANTY/REFUND. THAT IS NOT MY RESPONSIBILITY.

EVEN WHEN I ASKED SPECIFICALLY, YOU NEVER MENTIONED A VPN WAS REQUIRED TO USE SPOTIFY.

SPOTIFY NEVER WORKED FOR HER.

EVEN IF WE'RE BUYING THE BULLSHIT:

YOU ONLY "PROVIDED" AN UPGRADE FOR 3 MONTHS. YOU LIED ABOUT IT BEING A YEAR.

SHE STILL DOES NOT HAVE SPOTIFY.

EVEN IF YOU CLAIM SPOTIFY COSTED YOU MONEY: YOU ONLY "PROVIDED" 1/4 OF THE PROMISED AMOUNT.

My proposed resolution:

Refund me the remaining \$9 and cover the "fees" (we can include the \$18 you sent me) for a total of \$27 for unused months, roughly ~\$3 per month as per our initial agreement/contract on HF.

MOE - October 8, 2026, 4:41 pm

(Oct 8, 2026 04:36 PM)TehFif Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I genuinely don't know if there's a language barrier or if you're just intentionally missing the point and using it as a point to complement your own delusional generosity.

If you owe me money and I accept a car instead, after I specifically ask about its limitations, you don't get to hand me a car that doesn't f*cking work under the conditions you described and then count that as an "extra gift" and "extra services".

I think you are the one who doesn't understand, your original contract states if the Adobe service goes down you will get only a refund minus the time used of the subscription correct? You were given that choice of the refund, you chose to swap for store credit & get Spotify. That means contract voided, done, you already chose something and it was given. You did not like that because it did not work for you right? VPN was too much for you to handle, I IGNORED the swap and gave you back the refund option, which was fulfilled missing \$2. Which you chose to not accept.

Also btw I did not take the \$2, the network fees were \$2 and I told him give me USDT BEP 20 or LTC addy I will send full amount, bro cried.

Also a much easier handling of this dispute since you think you are entitled more than \$2. Ask an admin for a review, as I am not going back and forth with you.

TehFif - October 8, 2026, 4:43 pm

(Oct 8, 2026 04:41 PM)MOE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I think you are the one who doesn't understand, your original contract states if the Adobe service goes down you will get only a refund minus the time

used of the subscription correct? You were given that choice of the refund, you chose to swap for store credit & get Spotify. That means contract voided, done, you already chose something and it was given. You did not like that because it did not work for you right? VPN was too much for you to handle, I IGNORED the swap and gave you back the refund option, which was fulfilled missing \$2. Which you chose to not accept.

Also btw I did not take the \$2, the network fees were \$2 and I told him give me USDT BEP 20 or LTC addy I will send full amount, bro cried.

My proposed resolution:

Refund me the remaining \$9 and cover the "fees" (we can include the \$18 you sent me) for a total of \$27 for the unused months, roughly ~\$3 per month as per our initial agreement/contract on HF. If you prefer LTC, we can do LTC.

MOE - October 8, 2026, 4:46 pm

(Oct 8, 2026 04:43 PM)TehFif Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) My proposed resolution:

Refund me the remaining \$9 and cover the "fees" (we can include the \$18 you sent me) for a total of \$27 for the unused months, roughly ~\$3 per month as per our initial agreement/contract on HF. If you prefer LTC, we can do LTC.

I am not sending you an extra \$7 when you made me waste buying a working spotify sub, and you are owed \$2. If the admins say to give you \$7 you can have it, as this is no problem for me to do. I have the money, but you are not a good customer. So fight for it, didn't you curse me in Arabic about this \$2? We will see if they think you deserve \$7

TehFif - October 8, 2026, 4:52 pm

(Oct 8, 2026 04:46 PM)MOE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I am not sending you an extra \$7 when you made me waste buying a working spotify sub, and you are owed \$2. If the admins say to give you \$7 you can have it, as this is no problem for me to do. I have the money, but you are not a good customer. So fight for it, didn't you curse me in Arabic about this \$2? We will see if they think you deserve \$7
 $\$18 + \$9 =$ grand total of \$27 for 9 months of Adobe not provided, as per our contract.

I'm not trying to be a "good customer" you should try to be a good seller who doesn't lie to get customers to agree and then claim "recommended" = "required" and "1 year Spotify" = "3 months Spotify" and make them responsible for your "supplier" cost that wasn't even a part of the original agreement. At what point can we blatantly just call it a scam?

Either way, I highly encourage those to read my original post. These last few responses are just petty tbh and I'm getting too old for this shit.

MOE - October 8, 2026, 4:58 pm

(Oct 8, 2026 04:52 PM)TehFif Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) $\$18 + \$9 =$ grand total of \$27 for 9 months of Adobe not provided, as per our contract.

I'm not trying to be a "good customer" you should try to be a good seller who doesn't lie to get customers to agree and then claim "recommended" = "required" and "1 year Spotify" = "3 months Spotify" and make them responsible for your "supplier" cost that wasn't even a part of the original agreement.

Either way, I highly encourage those to read my original post. These last few responses are just petty tbh.

Considering I handled about \$4,000 in refunds which not many can say and if you think I will cry about \$7 I will not. My whole point is your contract does not count because you already chose to SWAP , and got waived \$35, but when you didn't like that service, I still chose to refund you \$20. You can't keep changing the service and want the same TOS. Spotify is working and did not need to be refunded and I paid for it, you literally just refusing to use it over a VPN and because it isn't billed yearly but every 3 months. So I sent you the \$20 and you saw in the message you agreed to it, you

are the one who is being petty because the network fees took \$2.

So again, I will leave it up to staff.

TehFif - October 8, 2026, 5:04 pm

(Oct 8, 2026 04:58 PM)MOE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Considering I handled about \$4,000 in refunds which not many can say and if you think I will cry about \$7 I will not. My whole point is your contract does not count because you already chose to SWAP , and got waived \$35, but when you didn't like that service, I still chose to refund you \$20. You can't keep changing the service and want the same TOS. Spotify is working and did not need to be refunded and I paid for it, you literally just refusing to use it over a VPN and because it isn't billed yearly but every 3 months. So I sent you the \$20 and you saw in the message you agreed to it, you are the one who is being petty because the network fees took \$2.

So again, I will leave it up to staff.

Man, its like I'm reading a Trump tweet ffs. Yes. Believe me, I know refunds. Nobody knows refunds better than I do. I handled \$4,000 in refunds, tremendous numbers. Numbers you've never seen. The best refunder. So many numbers. Spotify works, folks. You just don't like the terms. And now you're crying over \$2 in fees? Sad! Its such a great deal actually.

Motusora - October 8, 2026, 5:06 pm

(Oct 8, 2026 05:04 PM)TehFif Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Man, its like I'm reading a Trump tweet ffs. Yes. Believe me, I know refunds. Nobody knows refunds better than I do. I handled \$4,000 in refunds, tremendous numbers. Numbers you've never seen. The best refunder. So many numbers. Spotify works, folks. You just don't like the terms. And now you're crying over \$2 in fees? Sad! Its such a great deal actually.

i started reading this in trump's voice when you mentioned him?

Scrub - October 8, 2026, 5:36 pm

All this over pocket change, wild.

StockX24 - October 8, 2026, 10:54 pm

Let's be clear about a few things.

Adobe Creative Cloud: There is no longer a cheap regional pricing loophole that makes legitimate 12-month Adobe subscriptions available at prices like \$35. At that price, it's highly unlikely to be a normal, legitimately purchased annual subscription. In my opinion, 99% of these cheap Adobe upgrades are unreliable garbage that can stop working at any time.

Spotify: Spotify has also started tightening regional restrictions, particularly affecting Egyptian subscriptions used outside Egypt. Users can lose access or face restrictions if their actual location doesn't match the subscription region. These cheap regional Spotify subscriptions are essentially a gray-market method, not a stable official solution.

Personally, I wouldn't offer any long-term warranty on regionally priced Spotify subscriptions.

Everyone involved in this market should understand that using cheaper regional pricing comes with a significant risk of losing access at any time. Spotify can enforce regional restrictions, and subscriptions may be cancelled if the account is being used outside its intended region.

These are gray-market methods, not guaranteed long-term solutions. In this particular case, I don't think the seller is responsible for Spotify changing or enforcing its regional restrictions. That's a known risk when buying subscriptions through cheaper regions.

MOE - October 9, 2026, 4:00 am

Thank you guys for providing him an understanding of the market, I tried to explain this and provide a solution & even when he refused the workaround, I had no problems providing the refund, he is just trying to ruin my image because the network fees took \$2. If this is over his calculated time, again this would have been okay in the original contract. Which he voided and chose to swap to Spotify and didn't pay the \$30-35 difference, which means he got ANOTHER deal. He got the \$20 refund after he refused to use a VPN. Which by the way, again I provide all my customers a free VPN if they have geo-lock issues. He doesn't understand how regional products work, I am on a few markets away from forums and hundreds of thousands of customers all understand some services need vpn others work completely fine without.

Anyway whatever must be done, I will do. But only what Staff chooses, thank you for your time.

TehFif - October 9, 2026, 12:13 pm

Since I have to keep repeating myself:

OUR CONTRACT DOES NOT MENTION A "SUPPLIER FEES" DEDUCTED FROM MY WARRANTY/REFUND. THAT IS NOT MY RESPONSIBILITY.

EVEN WHEN I ASKED SPECIFICALLY, YOU NEVER MENTIONED A VPN WAS REQUIRED TO USE/ACTIVATE THE SPOTIFY.

THE SPOTIFY NEVER WORKED FOR HER.

EVEN IF WE'RE BUYING YOUR BULLSHIT:

YOUR REPLACEMENT WAS NOT AS YOU DESCRIBED IT.

YOU ONLY "PROVIDED" AN UPGRADE FOR 3 MONTHS. YOU LIED ABOUT IT BEING A YEAR.

SHE NEVER HAD, AND STILL DOES NOT HAVE SPOTIFY. THE UPGRADE DID NOT WORK.

YOU ONLY "PROVIDED" 1/4 OF THE PROMISED AMOUNT.

THE DISPUTE IS NOT OVER \$2.

Obligatory reminder:

Your fees and how much it costs you to get products are not my responsibility. That's your risk, and your cost of doing business. That's what determines what's profitable/not profitable enough to sell.

MOE - October 9, 2026, 12:27 pm

(Oct 9, 2026 12:13 PM)TehFif Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Since I have to keep repeating myself:

OUR CONTRACT DOES NOT MENTION A "SUPPLIER FEES" DEDUCTED FROM MY WARRANTY/REFUND. THAT IS NOT MY RESPONSIBILITY.

EVEN WHEN I ASKED SPECIFICALLY, YOU NEVER MENTIONED A VPN WAS REQUIRED TO USE/ACTIVATE THE SPOTIFY.

THE SPOTIFY NEVER WORKED FOR HER.

EVEN IF WE'RE BUYING YOUR BULLSHIT:

YOUR REPLACEMENT WAS NOT AS YOU DESCRIBED IT.

YOU ONLY "PROVIDED" AN UPGRADE FOR 3 MONTHS. YOU LIED ABOUT IT BEING A YEAR.

SHE NEVER HAD, AND STILL DOES NOT HAVE SPOTIFY. THE UPGRADE DID NOT WORK.

YOU ONLY "PROVIDED" 1/4 OF THE PROMISED AMOUNT.

THE DISPUTE IS NOT OVER \$2.

Obligatory reminder:

Your fees and how much it costs you to get products are not my responsibility. That's your risk, and your cost of doing business. That's what determines what's profitable/not profitable enough to sell.

The original contract you chose to ignore for a Spotify swap, because you wanted store credit. Do you not understand you already accepted store credit? Which you then used with a discount for Spotify. I explained spotify is renewed as 3 months, and you clearly when you went to my website saw that spotify and youtube are sold as 3 months. There is no prepaid annual option for spotify, so we renew it every 3 months. This was not an issue, your issue was you don't want to use a VPN to access the spotify, why do you keep changing what your issue is?

The disputed amount is \$2 based on that I don't owe you a refund if you already accepted store credit and made another purchase (ignoring the first contract). The only reason I am even arguing back and forth and doing all this isn't over the \$2, but the principle of you cursing me over a small amount of money.

Anyway I have no issues if you get \$2 or \$9 back, but the staff can review your case. As in my opinion, I did nothing wrong but provide what you chose and even when you still did not like that, went back and gave you a \$20 refund (nothing to do with the original contract at this point).

TehFif - October 9, 2026, 12:38 pm

(Oct 9, 2026 12:27 PM)MOE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I explained spotify is renewed as 3 months, and you clearly when you went to my website saw that spotify and youtube are sold as 3 months. There is no prepaid annual option for spotify, so we renew it every 3 months. This was not an issue, your issue was you don't want to use a VPN to access the spotify, why do you keep changing what your issue is?

Brother you are lying out of your ass

There wasn't a mention of 3 months until after... always on on some scammy shit

He disappeared for a week and came back to tell me it wasn't actually a year, telling me its not

actually a year after I agreed to it as a replacement. Its endless shady shit with this guy. Look at the dates ffs:

Point being:

YOUR REPLACEMENT WAS NOT AS YOU DESCRIBED IT.

YOU ONLY "PROVIDED" AN UPGRADE FOR 3 MONTHS. YOU LIED ABOUT IT BEING A YEAR.

Furthermore:

SHE NEVER HAD, AND STILL DOES NOT HAVE SPOTIFY. THE UPGRADE DID NOT WORK.

?Border?ineExtr(A) - October 9, 2026, 1:29 pm

Skimmed the thread, 2 sides to a debacle but the point remains:

- Seller claims service fulfilled and then based on complaint, payment refunded, but buyer was being difficult even after providing additional subscription to another platform, thus the hassle of dealing with a negative dispute.
- Buyer refuses to comply with terms given from service provider such as VPN and demands either his money back or the contract fulfilled based on expectations. \$2 price difference is only for the principle of it rather than the face value.

I'd expect one of the staff to weigh in if this flamewar continues any further, but so far it appears that no resolution is possible from both sides of the dispute, unless either one of you throws in the towel and accepts an error in good faith. I'd take this example as loss incurred and move on rather than getting stuck on the pedantics of a deal gone the wrong way - after all both showed willingness to compromise, resolve and replace or otherwise discuss a refund.

Sorry to hear about your friend @OP though there's many different service providers for Spotify cheap upgrade, hopefully shouldn't be an issue to spend a few bucks more for that service.

MOE - October 9, 2026, 1:36 pm

(Oct 9, 2026 01:29 PM)?Border?ineExtr(A) Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Skimmed the thread, 2 sides to a debacle but the point remains:

- Seller claims service fulfilled and then based on complaint, payment refunded, but buyer was being difficult even after providing additional subscription to another platform, thus the hassle of dealing with a negative dispute.
- Buyer refuses to comply with terms given from service provider such as VPN and demands either his money back or the contract fulfilled based on expectations. \$2 price difference is only for the principle of it rather than the face value.

I'd expect one of the staff to weigh in if this flamewar continues any further, but so far it appears that no resolution is possible from both sides of the dispute, unless either one of you throws in the towel and accepts an error in good faith. I'd take this example as loss incurred and move on rather than

getting stuck on the pedantics of a deal gone the wrong way - after all both showed willingness to compromise, resolve and replace or otherwise discuss a refund.

Sorry to hear about your friend @OP though there's many different service providers for Spotify cheap upgrade, hopefully shouldn't be an issue to spend a few bucks more for that service.

Correct which is why I am only willing to refund him the extra \$7 if staff chooses, but in my opinion he is only missing \$2. So I will leave it up to them if it is \$2 or \$9 since he does not see my side at all.

Also above he is claiming I lied and NEVER told him it is renewed every 3 months...but in his very own screenshot I told him and he accepted it.

<https://i.postimg.cc/yxpcxLsW/image.png>

He claims I am the liar, it is in his own screenshot but okay. ? Leaving it up to staff, I told him everything he is the one not reading his own messages.

TehFif - October 9, 2026, 1:40 pm

(Oct 9, 2026 01:36 PM)MOE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Correct which is why I am only willing to refund him the extra \$7 if staff chooses, but in my opinion he is only missing \$2. So I will leave it up to them if it is \$2 or \$9 since he does not see my side at all.

Also above he is claiming I lied and NEVER told him it is renewed every 3 months...but in his very own screenshot I told him and he accepted it.

<https://i.postimg.cc/yxpcxLsW/image.png>

He claims I am the liar, it is in his own screenshot but okay. ? Leaving it up to staff, I told him everything he is the one not reading his own messages.

Stop lying. That is after I had already accepted the 1 year.

You said it was 3 months after I agreed to your false terms.

MOE - October 9, 2026, 1:41 pm

Also I am just letting it be known I get vendors messaging me asking literally for the ways to do upgrades because their current ways get revoked.

<https://prnt.sc/bKxCHwyQV6Gz>

I run a group with over 140 other shop owners/method users, and always have the actual latest working ways & we work together.

<https://prnt.sc/Hjo8Pjp7DSMT>

So for this customer to say I am scamming or doing something wrong he is just misinformed. Again I will easily refund him whatever amount staff chooses.

TehFif - October 9, 2026, 1:44 pm

(Oct 9, 2026 01:29 PM)?βorder?ineExtr(A) Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Skimmed the thread, 2 sides to a debacle but the point remains:

- Seller claims service fulfilled and then based on complaint, payment refunded, but buyer was

being difficult even after providing additional subscription to another platform, thus the hassle of dealing with a negative dispute.

- Buyer refuses to comply with terms given from service provider such as VPN and demands either his money back or the contract fulfilled based on expectations. \$2 price difference is only for the principle of it rather than the face value.

I'd expect one of the staff to weigh in if this flamewar continues any further, but so far it appears that no resolution is possible from both sides of the dispute, unless either one of you throws in the towel and accepts an error in good faith. I'd take this example as loss incurred and move on rather than getting stuck on the pedantics of a deal gone the wrong way - after all both showed willingness to compromise, resolve and replace or otherwise discuss a refund.

Sorry to hear about your friend @OP though there's many different service providers for Spotify cheap upgrade, hopefully shouldn't be an issue to spend a few bucks more for that service.

My proposed resolution is in my other post.

Give me my fucking money back for the shit I paid for and didn't get. I will not purchase anything from this seller; word tricks and terms that change after you pay. It's simple.

skim incorrect:

THE VPN REQUIREMENT WAS NEVER IN THE TERMS.

HE DID NOT DISCLOSE IT WASNT 1 YEAR.

MOE - October 9, 2026, 1:50 pm

(Oct 9, 2026 01:44 PM)TehFif Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) My proposed resolution is in my other post.

Give me my fucking money back for the shit I paid for and didn't get. I will not purchase anything from this seller; word tricks and terms that change after you pay. It's simple.

skim incorrect:

THE VPN REQUIREMENT WAS NEVER IN THE TERMS.

HE DID NOT DISCLOSE IT WASNT 1 YEAR.

Of course the vpn requirement was not in the terms of the contract? You made the contract for Adobe only. You chose store credit, went to the website, and chose Spotify duo.

This has nothing to do with Hackforums at this point from MY BUSINESS STAND POINT. You are now in the TOS of the website. <https://cheapsubs.io/refunds>

If you read at the bottom, it says

Change of Mind - NOT ELIGIBLE

You no longer want the subscription for personal reasons

Digital products cannot be returned once delivered and working.

But I PERSONALLY continued with a refund for you. Again you are not understanding it from my side, because you are just ranting.

Finally, I still (even to this day) am still willing to refund you whatever they see is the right missing amount.

Also further proof that since Jan when the Adobe began having issues for EVERYONE (all vendors) and eventually dropped completely in June, I posted in July the below. This was announced everywhere so customers understood moving forward VPNs would be included for FREE if geolocked. Which happened and no one since July to now has had issues, except this

customer who bought something without knowing what he purchased.

https://prnt.sc/rAD_ckKCI01s

<https://prnt.sc/Z2Y37vTD94II>

Also I do not think staff care enough for something so petty, and I have explained my side and shown that you just don't understand what you purchased.

Provide the Litecoin or USDT Bep-20 address, I will refund you the full \$9 you claim you are owed. A third loss from you but to get you out of my day is all I want now.

TehFif - October 9, 2026, 2:22 pm

Ltc:

LLeiZDA3Pt2LJAbc8xuAReMiGv7PKtJBE9

MOE - October 9, 2026, 2:43 pm

(Oct 9, 2026 02:22 PM)TehFif Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Ltc:

LLeiZDA3Pt2LJAbc8xuAReMiGv7PKtJBE9

Will provide TXID shortly.

LLeiZDA3Pt2LJAbc8xuAReMiGv7PKtJBE9

for reference

StuffSneak - October 10, 2026, 12:08 am

So whatever happened, happened. Eventually you accepted the resolution of the other stuff & refund which was done with. That means the "Deal" part is now over. Seller changed his +Pop to -Pop because of some serious cussing which you also agree to & you thought that was enough to open deal dispute?

I understand your experience was not good. But eventually the "resolution" was agreed upon by you that means the deal should be done at that point.

I still see the market rep as positive. Infact he has 2 positive market reps on you. That is all that matters. Popularity number means nothing.

MOE - October 10, 2026, 6:58 am

(Oct 9, 2026 02:43 PM)MOE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Will provide TXID shortly.

LLeiZDA3Pt2LJAbc8xuAReMiGv7PKtJBE9

for reference

\$9 sent to the address above, just to prove the point money is not my problem with this customer.

34575d7f7ac016bf98aca19694b61f08e0e01a39f778bad0923e75f5d898829d

Scrub - October 10, 2026, 9:20 am

(Oct 10, 2026 06:58 AM)MOE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) \$9 sent to the address above, just to prove the point money is not my problem with this customer.

34575d7f7ac016bf98aca19694b61f08e0e01a39f778bad0923e75f5d898829d

One would hope that \$9 is not a problem.

Throw that at a bum for fun.

TehFif - October 10, 2026, 12:00 pm

(Oct 10, 2026 12:08 AM)StuffSneak Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) So whatever happened, happened. Eventually you accepted the resolution of the other stuff & refund which was done with. That means the "Deal" part is now over. Seller changed his +Pop to -Pop because of some serious cussing which you also agree to & you though that was enough to open deal dispute?

I understand your experience was not good. But eventually the "resolution" was agreed upon by you that means the deal should be done at that point.

I still see the market rep as positive. Infact he has 2 positive market reps on you. That is all that matters. Popularity number means nothing.

Nah, I initially thought I didn't have perms to open a deal dispute. I later found out you open one through the contract, not the "new thread" button. Before that, I thought my only option was post about my experience on his thread.

The +pop changed to -pop after that post on his service thread, which didn't contain any cussing as far as I remember. I did make the original remark in our telegram, a middle eastern common remark said to people who short you, and I've acknowledged that. The timing is why I saw the rating change as retaliation for me posting that on his thread, but he's free to do what he wants ofc.

Lol I've been here long enough to know what a "repfuck" is. The number doesn't mean as much to me as it once did; how someone uses it when you criticize their service says more. He has now refunded me the original unused months from the original contract and I am okay with closing this out.

(Oct 10, 2026 06:58 AM)MOE Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) \$9 sent to the address above, just to prove the point money is not my problem with this customer.

34575d7f7ac016bf98aca19694b61f08e0e01a39f778bad0923e75f5d898829d

Thanks sir.

(Oct 10, 2026 09:20 AM)Scrub Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) One would hope that \$9 is not a problem.

Throw that at a bum for fun.

Yeah, one would hope that. Unfortunately \$9 is the profit margin for some of these services. When someone is only making \$5-10 a year off an upgrade, well.. we can't be so generous with other people's money. I am not wealthy enough to throw \$9 at a bum for fun, and I don't think I'd ever do that.

Anyhow, we're all set now. I received my refund for the warrantied unused Adobe time.
Thanks.

MOE - October 10, 2026, 12:12 pm

(Oct 10, 2026 12:00 PM)TehFif Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Nah, I initially thought I didn't have perms to open a deal dispute. I later found out you open one through the contract, not the "new thread" button.

Before that, I thought my only option was post about my experience on his thread.

The +pop changed to -pop after that post on his service thread, which didn't contain any cussing as far as I remember. I did make the original remark in our telegram, a middle eastern common remark said to people who short you, and I've acknowledged that. The timing is why I saw the rating change as retaliation for me posting that on his thread, but he's free to do what he wants ofc.

Lol I've been here long enough to know what a "repfuck" is. The number doesn't mean as much to me as it once did; how someone uses it when you criticize their service says more. He has now refunded me the original unused months from the original contract and I am okay with closing this out.

Thanks sir.

Yeah, one would hope that. Unfortunately \$9 is the profit margin for some of these services. When someone is only making \$5-10 a year off an upgrade, well.. we can't be so generous with other people's money.

Anyhow, we're all set now. I received my refund for the warrantied unused Adobe time. Thanks.

The -pop is for your words nothing else, don't get it twisted retard.

Now close the dispute.

Stanley - *October 10, 2026, 5:25 pm*

This Disputed Contract was marked Resolved.