

Forum:[Hack Forums](#)

Thread: ["Post here and PM me to receive this guide"](#)

Manwë - August 23, 2011, 9:08 am

I don't think we should allow people to require a post in the thread in order to get a guide. It's a bad trend, since after a while all of us guide writers will have to do it in order to get our guide equally promoted.

Simply requiring a PM in order to get the guide is okay, but not requiring someone to bump their thread as well.

Thoughts?

NoThx - August 23, 2011, 9:15 am

I personally disagree with this simply because it is also nice to have the thread ed once in a while. If its not ed you have to wait 24h to post meaning people will only see your guide for 5-10 minutes a day while its on the front page. (unless they go through all the other pages which i doubt most people do.)

Just my thoughts on it.

Live2Code - August 23, 2011, 9:15 am

Make a ebook yourself, see the amount of views you get / downloads vs post and then come back.

Manwë - August 23, 2011, 9:29 am

The point is that people do this so that their thread will get BUMP'ed. When everyone does it it defeats the purpose.

(Aug 23, 2011 09:15 AM)Live2Code Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Make a ebook yourself, see the amount of views you get / downloads vs post and then come back.

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=1043289>

15k views, 230 replies. I still mean it.

Mr. Anderson - August 23, 2011, 9:32 am

I see it as a waste of DB space. People should just stick to posting to get it if they are concerned about bumping.

Manwë - August 24, 2011, 3:25 am

Are there any more thoughts on this?

D?certo - August 24, 2011, 3:34 am

I have to disagree with you, the posting keeps the thread alive allowing more people to see it.

Manwë - August 24, 2011, 3:39 am

(Aug 24, 2011 03:34 AM)D?certo Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I have to disagree with you, the posting keeps the thread alive allowing more people to see it.

Yet again, it keeps the threads where people require a post alive, but the others will die since they are falling back on pages.

What gives some people the right to give their guides higher priority than others?

The MammoTh - August 24, 2011, 3:41 am

If you say only PM me the thread won't get ed and be old very fast.

Xenochrist - August 24, 2011, 3:42 am

I prefer the "post here and I'll PM you". It keeps the thread b0mped and the people even say "thanks".

Sun Tzu - August 24, 2011, 3:42 am

Well if you are so interested in the content of which the thread starter is giving away then why not do it as he proposes it to be, Post and Pm'ing him. If you do not like it and such you are requested to navigate away from the thread to another one, which wouldn't be hard for you.

Manwë - August 24, 2011, 11:28 am

(Aug 24, 2011 03:42 AM)??????<=>???? ?™ Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Well if you are so interested in the content of which the thread starter is giving away then why not do it as he proposes it to be, Post and Pm'ing him. If you do not like it and such you are requested to navigate away from the thread to another one, which wouldn't be hard for you.

It doesn't seem like you understand my point. As a guide writer, I like having my work appreciated also, but these requirements to post there becomes useless.

Chris - August 24, 2011, 12:25 pm

Then their thread would be at the 20th page if nobody ed it.

and you're getting something for FREE, are you that lazy to post then PM someone? If you are, you don't deserve a free item.

-DMT- - August 24, 2011, 12:31 pm

I only make the people post to keep the thread alive it would die within days without those kind of methods

B3cnoc - August 24, 2011, 12:38 pm

I can see what you mean. I totally understand you, but i am not really sure about i think it's a good idea.

Omniscient - August 24, 2011, 12:39 pm

I hate this practice very much. Eventually I'll figure out a way to end it. At one point I changed how threads were displayed in the marketplace so that it was not by last post but instead by the first post. So it could not happen in that situation.

I should do this in ebooks again.

The problem is enforcing a "no PM sent or PM post requests". I would see a lot of extra reported posts. The benefits of course are that LQP would hopefully diminish.

Something eventually will be done about this though. It's a nuisance and I've always recognized this type of activity as detrimental.

Iceberg. - August 24, 2011, 5:19 pm

Here is 10 basically what will happen, you will get 10 pms asking for the guide, then it will disappear from the top page and have no replies, this is very similar to hacking tuts, a lot of GREAT tuts go to

waste because no one s up the thread, so in short I disagree.

Monstah - August 24, 2011, 5:28 pm

(Aug 24, 2011 03:39 AM)mongoleif Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Yet again, it keeps the threads where people require a post alive, but the others will die since they are falling back on pages.

What gives some people the right to give their guides higher priority than others?
If their not good enough guides to keep up with the rest then they should be at the bottom anyways

Manwë - August 24, 2011, 10:14 pm

(Aug 24, 2011 05:28 PM)M?tt Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) If their not good enough guides to keep up with the rest then they should be at the bottom anyways

But not if the first three pages are full of "post here to recive this"- guides.

Sun Tzu - August 25, 2011, 2:34 am

(Aug 24, 2011 12:39 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I hate this practice very much. Eventually I'll figure out a way to end it. At one point I changed how threads were displayed in the marketplace so that it was not by last post but instead by the first post. So it could not happen in that situation.

I should do this in ebooks again.

The problem is enforcing a "no PM sent or PM post requests". I would see a lot of extra reported posts. The benefits of course are that LQP would hopefully diminish.

Something eventually will be done about this though. It's a nuisance and I've always recognized this type of activity as detrimental.

Then I prefer if you could come up with the post to unlock system or such it would be best and possible way to clear this ruckus.

danbirk - August 25, 2011, 9:04 am

I think the idea is good.

However, I think its ok to make people post to get the content.

But I hate whenever I need to PM someone to get something.

I'ts annoyiing.

Viral Dragon - August 25, 2011, 9:09 am

(Aug 23, 2011 09:08 AM)mongoleif Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't think we should allow people to require a post in the thread in order to get a guide. It's a bad trend, since after a while all of us guide writers will have to do it in order to get our guide equally promoted.

Simply requiring a PM in order to get the guide is okay, but not requiring someone to their thread aswell.

Thoughts?

I agree with this. This is just damn annoying. ._. Luckily Omni is tying to think of something to get around this. ._.

-Viral.

ComX - August 25, 2011, 9:36 am

I hate sending Private Messages, about ``Post here and, pm me to get this book`` I like those where its like = Post and i will send it, DO NOT pm me

So yeah, I do agree :)

Jash - August 25, 2011, 9:46 am

(Aug 24, 2011 03:42 AM)Uprising Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I prefer the "post here and I'll PM you". It keeps the thread b0mped and the people even say "thanks".

Goddamnit I personally agree with this bauss...

PM is BS.

Justintr4in - August 25, 2011, 9:48 am

<https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=1447308>

I made a thread like this a while back. Some good points were brought up on both sides.

jasperav - August 25, 2011, 11:54 am

(Aug 23, 2011 09:08 AM)mongoleif Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I don't think we should allow people to require a post in the thread in order to get a guide. It's a bad trend, since after a while all of us guide writers will have to do it in order to get our guide equally promoted.

Simply requiring a PM in order to get the guide is okay, but not requiring someone to their thread aswell.

Thoughts?

Yea man, its so annoying to pm them all. i support

Manwë - August 25, 2011, 2:43 pm

Well the problem isn't in my opinion that people have to PM them for their guide, it is the fact that users are forced to bum-p the writers thread and in that way giving them support without knowing anything about the quality of the guide. It gives them an unfair advantage over the people who don't do it in getting their guides promoted.

Also, it's great seeing Omni having this in mind! :)

? ?? ???? - August 26, 2011, 11:03 am

members will easily get infected/backdoored/scam. because the 100 pages of thread will full with vouch n thanks but U will never know what OP is giving U when U request. Nobody will alert U about it, just like link posted in the thread.

Developer - August 26, 2011, 12:22 pm

The idea of posting and then acquiring the ebook is not intended for being kind but rather more for being a rep whore and a fame lover.

I have posted all my work to be publicly available to anyone without having to PM me to get it, and all I did was ask for them to at least say "Thanks" for taking my work for their own improvement.

ventada - August 26, 2011, 5:48 pm

I kind of think it should be banned as well. Most of the eBooks are less than a page long and could just be posted in a thread as text. A lot of eBooks are unnecessary.

Grillianz - August 26, 2011, 6:04 pm

i disagree

i think forum members should have the freedom to request a post/pm

Manwë - September 6, 2011, 6:35 am

Anyone have any more thoughts on this?

Distorted? - September 6, 2011, 10:10 am

(Aug 24, 2011 03:34 AM)D?certo Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I have to disagree with you, the posting keeps the thread alive allowing more people to see it.

I agree with you .

Its very good .. After all, its not like the Thread Starter gets anything from this .

But if its a good tutorial, or eBook, or what ever , by posting in that thread its shows the quality of it . If there are a lot of people posting, means its HQ, if not, it means its a LQ , and it will be lost throw the other threads..

Breakbeat Junkie - September 16, 2011, 10:41 am

It's good for the thread. And if I'm releasing a free guide I don't want to post the direct link. Like in my case (check my sig about adsense)

I couldn't possible post the direct link there, since normal members only will get fileice download.

MayfairSuperking - September 17, 2011, 5:35 am

I've often wondered why these thread are started. Fair enough people contribute to the thread to receive the item but what is the purpose of an additional PM?

Techie??? - September 17, 2011, 5:37 am

I really don't like it. Post here and I will PM you is enough.

Riku9182 - September 22, 2011, 4:19 am

Are we still going to be aloud to say, have them post and then pm them with the link? It keeps the thread cleaned some :3

AFRO - September 24, 2011, 10:59 am

Dont lock members up. I think a Post + PM is fine.

And if you think someone is begging for rep, it means they are begging for a REPort.

#OccupyHackForums - September 24, 2011, 11:13 am

Disable that section from getting post counts. All I see is random spams stating the same message. If people actually wants the eBook/method, they will find it using the search button if the thread is "dead". Threads come and go. Eventually, they will be dead. No need to have people spam it up and earn post counts at the same time. Some of these topics are from 2009 to early 2010. I doubt the eBook/method would even still work, maybe some but not much. Sometimes, people don't even want the eBook/method but just wants to post there for the post count.

TickTack - September 25, 2011, 5:51 pm

I think it makes sense to disallow the "post here then PM" thing. If say the ebook required users to download executables or the item in itself is an executable, the OP can attempt to infect the regular members and give legitimate versions to the people they deem less "nooby".

CreationSlayer - September 25, 2011, 6:31 pm

(Sep 25, 2011 06:27 PM)t5tmike ftw Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#)

[img]http://i1223.photobucket.com/albums/dd502/yokid575/oh-look-its-this-thread-again.jpg[/img]

I think omni gets the point..

Ikr. People need to stop bitching about this and just let it go. Nothing is wrong with it. It helps people keep their threads alive, while making it easier for them to get whatever they are giving away to the person asking for it.

Muggsy - September 25, 2011, 7:01 pm

I disagree with this one suggestion.

Bumping a thread helps the User get more attention to his product/etc.

mrnapolean1 - September 25, 2011, 8:34 pm

(Aug 26, 2011 06:04 PM)Chain-Link Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) i disagree
i think forum members should have the freedom to request a post/pm
For stuff like Account Giveaways for members like Codevade.

Stuff like ebooks and other stuff can be uploaded to mediafire and linked to the thread.

mrnapolean1 - October 2, 2011, 1:34 pm

(Aug 24, 2011 05:19 PM)iceberg11hack Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Here is 10 basically what will happen, you will get 10 pms asking for the guide, then it will disappear from the top page and have no replies, this is very similar to hacking tuts, a lot of GREAT tuts go to waste because no one s up the thread, so in short I disagree.

Thats why you pay for a sticky.

Threads on top will more often get more views than normal threads.

Xenochrist - October 2, 2011, 1:36 pm

(Oct 2, 2011 01:34 PM)mrnapolean1 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) Thats why you pay for a sticky.

Threads on top will more often get more views than normal threads.

Somebody writes 10 tutorials.

Buy a sticky for each one?

and who buys a sticky for a tutorial thread?

-DMT- - October 2, 2011, 1:45 pm

but this will never work someone will always do it and with out this the thread dies

Xenochrist - October 2, 2011, 5:08 pm

I say the post is good, but no need for the PM.

?Phantom - October 2, 2011, 6:01 pm

I think it should stay the same. It should stay the same, because usually the threads that have tons of people interested in the book / guide will be at the top more often. So the ones that deserve to be ed usually will be. But, of course that is not always true.

iMario - October 6, 2011, 5:07 pm

(Aug 24, 2011 12:39 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I hate this practice very much. Eventually I'll figure out a way to end it. At one point I changed how threads were displayed in the marketplace so that it was not by last post but instead by the first post. So it could not happen in that situation.

I should do this in ebooks again.

The problem is enforcing a "no PM sent or PM post requests". I would see a lot of extra reported posts. The benefits of course are that LQP would hopefully diminish.

Something eventually will be done about this though. It's a nuisance and I've always recognized

this type of activity as detrimental.

The monetizing section is full of these threads. Some people hide their referral link behind PMs and their thread is not in referral section. I have to PM a lot of members to find what exactly they are sharing and if they are using a referral link to then move the thread to the referral section.

I hope this practice gets banned, should clean a lot of sections. At least for eBooks definitely!

bebonzy09 - October 6, 2011, 5:45 pm

(Oct 6, 2011 05:07 PM)iMario Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) The monetizing section is full of these threads. Some people hide their referral link behind PMs and their thread is not in referral section. I have to PM a lot of members to find what exactly they are sharing and if they are using a referral link to then move the thread to the referral section.

I hope this practice gets banned, should clean a lot of sections. At least for eBooks definitely! agree w/ mario, or at least if you guys see someone not doing the post/pm bullshit and have a mediafire link and are trying to legitly contribute, POST on it. keep it alive. the reason people do the post/pm thing is 50% rep 50% thread life length.

iMario - October 6, 2011, 5:47 pm

(Oct 6, 2011 05:45 PM)livingdeath Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) agree w/ mario, or at least if you guys see someone not doing the post/pm bullshit and have a mediafire link and are trying to legitly contribute, POST on it. keep it alive. the reason people do the post/pm thing is 50% rep 50% thread life length.

Sorry but 80% for hitting rep via PM and 20% for keeping the thread alive.

Drainingpower - October 12, 2011, 4:40 pm

they do that so there is more people interested, and so they can send their shitcash or foolice link to everyone. If it is on a thread, people just skip over it.

Zetas - October 12, 2011, 4:48 pm

Actually, only the interesting eBooks get ed.

Wheezy Gang - March 10, 2012, 8:42 am

(Aug 24, 2011 12:39 PM)Omniscient Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I hate this practice very much. Eventually I'll figure out a way to end it. At one point I changed how threads were displayed in the marketplace so that it was not by last post but instead by the first post. So it could not happen in that situation.

I should do this in ebooks again.

The problem is enforcing a "no PM sent or PM post requests". I would see a lot of extra reported posts. The benefits of course are that LQP would hopefully diminish.

Something eventually will be done about this though. It's a nuisance and I've always recognized this type of activity as detrimental. I would hire more moderators to help deal with it. If your gonna have all those thread reported and if in return it DOES make more LQP your gonna need help.

Charlie Kelly - March 20, 2012, 5:15 pm

I agree with this. I hate threads like these.

All the users say it's to "Stop leechers", although the vast majority of people know they're just trying to hoard rep. There's really no reason to have it.

Also, if you really do want to stop leechers, add a "Can't view link of not registered" type BBCode.

That would eliminate at least some of the leaching.

(Mar 10, 2012 09:42 AM)EpicXXCrushor1 Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I would hire more moderators to help deal with it. If your gonna have all those thread reported and if in return it DOES make more LQP your gonna need help.

Enlist more moderators. They're not paid.

Superb - March 20, 2012, 5:19 pm

I agree and disagree with this suggestion. At the same time I understand the OP's position on this. If you don't say " Post Then PM Me " and just post the Download link, people will just download and download with no thank you.

ViciousS - March 20, 2012, 6:59 pm

(Mar 20, 2012 05:19 PM)Banksy. Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I agree and disagree with this suggestion. At the same time I understand the OP's position on this. If you don't say " Post Then PM Me " and just post the Download link, people will just download and download with no thank you.

People should be posting to share, not to get thanks and reps. And I can guarantee at least some people will thank for it. Instead, we have a forum full of clutter of things that dont work because the crappy method is always ed. Threads need to die naturally

The Social Experiment - March 21, 2012, 5:00 pm

I agree with you, in essence by asking for a post+PM you're effectively over-advertising your thread above others, and asking users to your post.

I think there should be some guide lining around this, it's not too fair.

Integrity - March 21, 2012, 5:21 pm

I agree with you OP, I strongly dislike the whole post then PM. Those threads are so low quality and yes it may be easier for the uploader to keep track of who downloads it, but it get's annoying for the downloader. Yes, the uploader's preference should come first, if you have information you would like to share then share it, you don't need to make money off of every ebook you share. That's all it is, the uploader either want's rep or money. Those threads really block out the good tutorials that are actually trying to contribute to the site.

MountainDew - March 21, 2012, 7:39 pm

I disagree, leeching is a big enough problem on hackfourms. This would simply make things worse. It's not hard to post on a thread.

ViciousS - March 21, 2012, 8:49 pm

(Mar 21, 2012 07:39 PM)MountainDew Wrote: [\[CITE\]](#) I disagree, leeching is a big enough problem on hackfourms. This would simply make things worse. It's not hard to post on a thread.

Most stuff is already leeches, it doesn't really control leeching that much. Also, leeching doesn't matter to much, this is a place to share. I wager that most people did not come up with this stuff on their own anyways. Posting in the thread isn't a problem but sometimes getting a response is.